1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0906, 2 23 January 2024.] 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission is called to order. Trial Counsel, are all the government counsel who were 4 5 present at the close of the previous commission session again 6 present? 7 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. They are. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And, Trial Counsel, can you affirm that 8 9 these proceedings are being transmitted by closed-circuit television 10 to the United States in compliance with the commission's order in 11 Appellate Exhibit 0007.006 (TJ). 12 TC [COL KRAEHE]: They are, Your Honor. 13 These proceedings are being transmitted stateside via 14 closed-circuit television to remote viewing sites at Fort Meade, 15 Maryland, and the Pentagon, pursuant to the commission's order, Appellate Exhibit 007.006 and 007.019. 16 17 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Trial Counsel. It's also my understanding that we are not broadcasting to 18 the Remote Hearing Room for this morning; is that a correct 19 20 understanding? 21 TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is correct, Your Honor. 22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, are all the defense counsel who were present at the close of the previous 23

981

1	commission session again present this morning?
2	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
4	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Thank you.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, same question.
6	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	We are all here. We are also joined by Mr. Aaron Shepard.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel.
9	I also note that both counsel are present here in the
10	courtroom I'm sorry. I also note that both accused are present
11	here in the courtroom.
12	Before we get underway this morning to discuss some of the
13	administrative matters prior to voir dire, I do want to make the
14	parties aware that based upon a slight shift in prayer times, I'm
15	going to slightly modify the breaks for the sessions this week.
16	As it currently stands, I anticipate taking a lunch recess
17	again at 1130 to 1300. I believe that will accommodate that prayer
18	time that coincides with that recess, as well as give everybody time
19	to attend to any matters they need to over that lunch period.
20	Additionally, for that afternoon recess, my plan is to
21	recess at 1515 and then reconvene at 1545. That appeared to align
22	with the prayer times. However, Defense Counsel, please ensure that
23	my understanding is going to be sufficient for the needs of your

982

1 clients.

2	Trial Counsel and then a recess at the end of the day at
3	1730, as we had previously discussed.
4	Trial Counsel, any objection to that way ahead?
5	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
7	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
9	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep.
10	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Outstanding. Thank you.
12	The commission did have an opportunity to review the flyer,
13	the joint flyer submission of the parties. In response to that
14	submission, the commission provided the parties, which has been
15	marked as an appellate exhibit, a proposed flyer in this for both
16	accused in this commission.
17	In reviewing those flyers, and my findings on the charges
18	and specifications, I found that I had missed excepting out some
19	language, specifically in Charge VIII, the conspiracy charge and its
20	Specification. I do not believe that this amendment is going to
21	impact anything. It's more an administrative oversight on my part.
22	If you notice in the Specification of Charge VIII, it
23	contains the word "three," "each of the three accused." My intent is

983

to except out the word "three" in that last sentence. So it will say "each of the accused," to avoid -- understanding that this was a joint trial originally; we severed one party. I think the language "three" clearly was an omission, needs to be corrected by the commission so it's just not a question in the panel's mind as to who that third person might be.

So with that, as to both Mr. bin Amin and Mr. bin Lep, of
the excepted word "not guilty" of Charge VIII and its Specification
to the -- to Charge VIII and its Specification: Guilty.

Does either side have an objection to the court modifying its finding to remove that word "three" as articulated in the Specification of Charge VIII?

13 Trial Counsel?

14 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.

15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?

16 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor.

17 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?

18 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection, Your Honor.

19 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well.

20 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin and Mr. bin Lep, I'm going to give 21 you a moment now to talk with your clients about what I just 22 discussed. I'm going to then ask them if they understand the change 23 that I've made and that they consent to that change. So please take

984

1 a moment now in place to do that.

2 [Counsel conferred with the accused.] 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, did you have an opportunity to have that discussion? 4 5 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? CDC [MS. FUNK]: I did, Your Honor. 6 7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 8 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir. 9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Mr. bin Amin, your counsel just discussed 10 with you what I previously articulated on the record, specifically 11 that, as reflected in the Specification of Charge VIII, the word "three" appears. Clearly there's only two accused in this case. 12 13 It's a holdover, if you will, from the previous posture of the case. 14 It's my intent now to except out that word "three," to find 15 you not guilty specifically of that particular word and otherwise, 16 then, find you guilty of the specification to the charge and to the 17 charge as previously articulated by this commission. 18 Did you have an opportunity to discuss this with your counsel just now? 19 20 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Do you have any questions about 22 that change?

ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.

985

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Understanding that change, do you desire
2	to make any change as to your previously stated intent to be bound by
3	the pretrial agreement in this case?
4	ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
6	Mr. bin Lep, I'm going to ask you, in essence, the same
7	questions.
8	Did you have an opportunity to discuss this change I just
9	talked about on the record with your counsel?
10	ACC [MR. BIN LEP]: Yes.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any questions about that change?
12	ACC [MR. BIN LEP]: None.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Do you agree with that change?
14	ACC [MR. BIN LEP]: Yes, I agree.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And understanding that I am making a
16	change to the finding to the findings for this court-martial, do
17	you still desire to be bound by the terms of your pretrial agreement?
18	ACC [MR. BIN LEP]: Yes.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And, Trial Counsel, understanding
20	the nature of this change, I would assume that the convening
21	authority would also concur with remaining bound by the terms of the
22	pretrial agreement?
23	TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is correct, Your Honor.

986

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
2	Okay. Counsel, so for the Specification of Charge VIII,
3	this commission, in addition to its previously announced findings on
4	the record, will find the accused guilty, except the word "three," of
5	the excepted word "not guilty," of the charge "guilty."
6	Trial Counsel, do you request to withdraw the word "three"
7	now that the accused has been found guilty of that particularly
8	excepted word, consistent with your previous motion on the charges
9	and specifications?
10	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any objection, Counsel for
12	Mr. bin Amin?
13	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor.
14	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
15	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then.
17	Trial Counsel, your motion is granted, with prejudice
18	attaching, consistent with the other the corollary motion you've
19	previously made on the record.
20	Okay. So based upon the findings of the commission
21	previously, Trial Counsel, do you desire to have the remaining
22	charges and specifications renumbered 1 through 5?
23	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.

987

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any objection to the renumbering of
2	those charges and specifications so that they run in sequence?
3	Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
4	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
6	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
7	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then. Government, your request
8	to renumber the charges as Charges I through V is granted. The
9	charges are renumbered on the flyers the commission previously
10	provided the parties yesterday.
11	So with that, then, let's take up those flyers provided to
12	the parties by the commission. Any objection to the flyers as
13	provided?
14	Trial Counsel?
15	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any objection?
17	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
19	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
20	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well.
21	At this time, Counsel, I would like to take up any
22	additional documentary evidence that can be admitted and dealt with
23	prior to moving into voir dire.

988

1	Trial Counsel, we'll start with you. I know there were some
2	matters you wanted to correct from the last time we were on the
3	record.
4	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. We did want to bring to
5	the commission's attention that a vice order was submitted on behalf
6	of the convening authority to the commission. That's Military
7	Commission Convening Order 24-03, dated January 22nd, 2024.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And I was provided that. Thank you.
9	Counsel Defense Counsel, were you also provided a copy of
10	that vice order?
11	Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
12	CDC [MS. FUNK]: We haven't seen it directly, but we are aware
13	of it, Your Honor.
14	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
15	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: The same, Your Honor.
16	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, I do have copies available for
17	them right now.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
19	Trial Counsel?
20	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. At this time, we would
21	like to ask the court and offer into evidence prosecution exhibits
22	that are marked for identification purposes as Prosecution Exhibit 1
23	through Prosecution Exhibit 30.
	090

989

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, Prosecution Exhibits 1 and 3, the
2	Stipulations of Fact, I believe, have already been admitted into
3	evidence.
4	TC [COL KRAEHE]: And we are admitting 2 and 4, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So you're requesting to I'll give you a
6	moment, Counsel.
7	TC [COL KRAEHE]: We're not we're omitting not admitting
8	2 and 4.
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Understood on that.
10	And Prosecution as previously stated, Prosecution
11	Exhibits 1 and 3 are admitted into evidence.
12	So, then, as it pertains to Prosecution Exhibits 5
13	through
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: 30, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, can you provide me a
16	working copy of those exhibits?
17	TC [COL KRAEHE]: May I approach, Your Honor?
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please. And if you want to
19	TC [COL KRAEHE]: And, Your Honor, these have all been
20	provided to defense counsel, and we have reached agreement as to
21	their admission.
22	[Pause.]
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any objection to

990

1	what the government has offered as Prosecution Exhibits 5 through 30?
2	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor.
3	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, any
4	objection to what has been offered by the government as Prosecution
5	Exhibits 5 through 30?
6	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
7	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Understanding there's no objection,
8	I am going to take a recess shortly here just to ensure that I've
9	reviewed all of these there's a couple that I don't believe the
10	commission has seen before I provide ruling on the admission of
11	these particular exhibits.
12	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.
13	And I did want to note for the record that admission of the
14	victim impact statements, which I would argue also includes related
15	photographs of victim members' families, is specifically required
16	under the pretrial agreement. The parties have agreed that that
17	evidence will come in for purposes of sentencing.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Understood that that and the commission
19	is aware of that term, Counsel. Thank you for highlighting it. The
20	commission also wants to ensure compliance with Rule for Military
21	Commissions 1001, as well as the applicable rules, Military
22	Commission Rules of Evidence.
23	Any additional exhibits, Trial Counsel, that can be marked

991

1 at this time? 2 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 3 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any documentary evidence we can 4 take up at this time? 5 6 CDC [MS. FUNK]: At this time, Your Honor, pursuant to the 7 court's order, we're offering for admission Defense Exhibit (AMI) A 8 through Y with two corrections. 9 As to A, the unsworn statement of Mr. Mohammed Farik bin Amin, that is a total of five pages, not 10 pages. As to T, 10 Mr. bin Amin family photos, there are 12 pages of photos and not 15. 11 12 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel. 13 Trial Counsel, any objection to what has been offered as Defense Exhibits A through Y, and Defense Exhibits (AMI) A through Y? 14 15 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor. 16 Okav. Counsel ----17 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Briefly, Your Honor, for record purposes, we also do not object. 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, have originals of those exhibits 19 20 been provided to the court reporter and marked? 21 CDC [MS. FUNK]: They've been provided via e-mail, Your Honor. 22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So how I'm going to ensure clarity of the record, what we're going to do is just -- I'm going to have 23

992

1 you walk through -- it appears that there's -- is there an index, 2 Counsel?

3 CDC [MS. FUNK]: There's an index in the motion, but 4 otherwise, no, Your Honor.

5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So what we'll do, then, just to kind of 6 try and keep things moving along and for clarity of the record, I'm 7 just going to have you describe generally each exhibit and then the 8 number of pages, and then the Defense (AMI) exhibit letter that's 9 attached to it.

10 I think that will get us to where we need to be for this 11 particular moment.

12 Then on the break, I just ask to have you ensure that the 13 court reporter has the original of the documents and we're all 14 complete on the recordkeeping side of things.

15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Certainly, Your Honor.

16 For the record, A, unsworn statement of Mr. Mohammed Farik
17 bin Amin, five pages.

B is character affidavit from Madam Fatimah Binte Shakmin,three pages.

20 C, character affidavit, Mr. bin Amin Anan -- excuse me.
21 Mr. Amin bin Anan, two pages.

22 D, character affidavit, Madam Siti Norhayati, two pages.

23 E, character affidavit, Madam Ainita Binte Amin, two pages.

993

1	F, character affidavit, Madam Mahawa Binti Dahalan, two
2	pages.
3	G, character affidavit, Ms. Noradilah Mohd Nor, two pages.
4	H, client letter to Mr. Kennedy, one page.
5	I, client letter to Mr. Kesab, one page.
6	J, client letter to Captain Franz, two pages.
7	Kite, client letter kilo, excuse me. Apologies. No
8	prior service, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Understood, Counsel.
10	CDC [MS. FUNK]: I'm doing my best.
11	K, client letter to Chief Petty Officer Kelly Alston, two
12	pages.
13	L, client letter to Tech Sergeant Bailey, one page.
14	M, client letter to Ms. Funk and Lieutenant Colonel Higgins,
15	two pages.
16	N, client letter to parents, two pages.
17	O, client letter to parents, two pages.
18	P, client letter to parents, one page.
19	Q, client letter to parents, two pages.
20	R, client letter to parents, two pages.
21	S, client letter to parents, three pages.
22	T, Mr. bin Amin family photos, 12 pages.
23	U, camp photos, nine pages.

994

1 V, United Nations Human Rights Report, 23 pages. 2 W, drawings by Mr. Mohammed Farik bin Amin, 14 pages. X, report and curriculum vitae of Dr. Hawthorne E. Smith, 3 that is 54 pages. 4 5 And, Y, excerpts from the Senate Select Committee on 6 Intelligence Report, 20 pages. 7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Defense Counsel. Seeing no objection to what has been offered as Defense 8 Exhibits (AMI) A through Y, those defense exhibits are admitted. 9 10 Anything additional, Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? 11 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. Thank you. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 12 13 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 14 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we will have one exhibit. And I'm 15 happy to have it marked now, but we are not asking that it be 16 admitted yet. We'll need to make copies, and it is not necessary 17 until the end. 18 And for planning purposes, this is the unsworn statement of Mr. bin Lep; and my preference would be that once he completes 19 reading that to the panel, that it then be published to them. 20 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Yes. And, Counsel, that's absolutely your 22 prerogative if you want to move to admit at that time ----23 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sure.

995

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: in the proceeding, that is fine. I'd
2	just ask that you to try and economize time, not necessitate
3	necessarily a recess after you move, if you could coordinate with the
4	other parties to ensure that they have an opportunity to, as much as
5	makes sense for your presentation of your evidence and ability to
6	look at that ahead of time, that would be appreciated.
7	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Absolutely, sir. Would you like me to
8	have it marked now?
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: We can take up if you're going to admit
10	it later, we'll just take up the marking and admission later. If you
11	want to get with the court reporters over a recess, though, and just
12	have that the document itself marked, please do so. That would be
13	helpful.
14	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Perfect.
15	And, Your Honor, I know you're about to get into voir dire
16	here shortly. May we be heard prior to you beginning that?
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Yes. And now would be the time, Counsel.
18	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: So we wanted to advise the court that the
19	three parties got together over the weekend. And we are all in
20	agreement that as to a certain number of panel members, we have been
21	able to identify through their questionnaires sufficient challenges
22	for cause that we all three agree on them and agree that they should
23	not be on the panel. And this was in the interest, you know,

996

primarily of streamlining, but also certainly in the spirit of cooperation in this case.

Having said that, we're obviously going to then do whatever the court wants to do. It's my opinion that, at least as to the members whose numbers I can give you, there needs to be no other time necessarily spent on them unless the commission deems it necessary.

So I'm standing by to read those numbers into the record, if
you wish, or to do whatever you need, Judge.

9

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: One moment, Counsel.

LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Oh, and if I may, Judge, just to put a foot stomp on that, not only do we believe that we've developed those challenges via the questionnaires, but we also believe that there is no live questioning of those witness -- those witnesses that would be likely to rehabilitate.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And how we're likely going to handle that, just so -- while I'm referencing a couple matters of law here from the bench, the commission needs to ensure that a complete record's made. And that's going to be the basis of any challenge for cause, and it sounds like that's where we're going here.

20 So insomuch as client member -- or client data sheets or 21 questionnaires or wherever this information's coming from to create 22 that factual basis for the commission, the parties will be able to 23 articulate that, but we're probably going to need to be prepared to

997

1 mark those particular questionnaires or data sheets or whatever it 2 may be as appellate exhibits so that they do exist in the record. 3 I anticipate the parties may want to talk about them. I'm going to want to look at them to make sure that I understand the 4 5 basis for challenge for cause. 6 The question really right now is a sequencing question as it 7 pertains to the rules on when this challenge is best taken up. So as I consult the rules here on that particular matter, I'd just ask 8 9 counsel to kind of be prepared to move forward in that direction. 10 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir. 11 [Counsel conferred.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel, commission's looking at 12 13 R.M.C. 912, Challenge of Selection of Members, Examination and 14 Challenge of Members, specifically subsection (f), Challenges and Removal for Cause, subpart (2), which talks about -- it's actually 15 16 titled "when made," the timing and consideration that the commission 17 is currently considering. 18 When you look at subpart (A), it states: Upon completion of examination under Section (d) of this rule and presentation of the 19

21 challenges.

20

(B) seems inapplicable at this point, because it just talksabout matters that may be ground for challenge that would arise later

998

evidence, if any, on the matter, the parties may elect to make those

1 in the proceeding.

The court notes that -- or the commission notes that in this case, however, the panel members, to some extent, have already been questioned; and that was done through a pretrial questionnaire, which is contemplated by the rule in subsection (a), part (1), discussing questionnaires. So the commission, for purposes of that rule, believes that some questioning has occurred.

Additionally, acknowledging that the military judge exercises a pretty broad discretion as to the manner and method of the questioning of panel members for purposes of exercise of challenge by the parties, whether that be cause or peremptory. The commission has some ability to modify the process within the confines of the rules to meet the end intent, which is to seat an appropriately impartial panel for this commission.

15 The commission's concern is, perhaps, without having the 16 benefit of the positions of the parties on individual members at this 17 time, that should the commission not entertain the parties' request 18 at this time, it may result in a scenario where a identified member, perhaps, during group voir dire, could disclose additional 19 20 information which could risk tainting the entire panel, which would be very chaotic to the proceeding itself, requiring the convening 21 22 authority to detail new members, getting members here.

23 So based upon that, the commission interprets the use of

999

1	questioning as articulated in Rule 912, subpart (f), part (2),
2	subsection (F); part (2), subpart (A), to envision questioning to
3	discuss pretrial questionnaires.
4	Do the parties have a position with regard to the
5	language and I'll give you an opportunity if you need to the
6	language the commission's referring to, and its interpretation of the
7	commission's ability to entertain those causal challenges at this
8	time, based upon the information already provided by the members to
9	the parties?
10	Trial Counsel?
11	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the government offers nothing
12	further. We believe your interpretation of the rule is correct.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
14	CDC [MS. FUNK]: We agree with the government, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we do, too.
17	In particular, the concerning potential harm, as you've
18	noted, of members who we have already identified as inappropriate to
19	be seated, essentially tainting the rest of the members.
20	So the only other thing I would add is that there is no
21	chance that we are going to break quorum or anything like that. We
22	will have a panel even if all these people were excused this minute.
23	So we're just standing by.

1000

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So, then, what I would like to do is,
2	Defense Counsel, since you have brought this to the attention of the
3	commission, I'm going to have you identify those numbers for the
4	panel members that raise or that the parties agree raise basis for
5	cause a challenge for cause, excuse me.
6	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir.
7	Those members in response to your description, Judge, are:
8	Number 2, number 6, number 7, number 8, number 10, number 15,
9	number 18, number 20, and number 23.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, do you concur with those
11	particular panel members articulated by counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
12	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. We concur.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, do you also
14	concur with those panel members?
15	CDC [MS. FUNK]: We do, Your Honor.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So, then, what we're going to do,
17	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, is I would like to step through the causal
18	basis of challenge. If we're relying upon a questionnaire, which I'm
19	assuming we are, we'll need to mark that as the next appellate
20	exhibit in line for that particular member. We can step through them
21	individually to ensure the creation of a complete record.
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, I believe copies of those are coming
23	from the government that are clean copies. Would you like to defer

1001

1 this until we have those, and can all look at the same document? 2 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So the commission absolutely will need to look at that document. So I'm not going to proceed without having 3 that information in front of me, as I think that's going to be 4 5 necessary for the commission to make an informed ruling on the 6 counsels' positions regarding any causal challenge. 7 Trial Counsel, understanding that you're going to have those 8 copies made, how long would we require to get that information to the 9 commission? 10 TC [COL KRAEHE]: 15 minutes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 11 12 TC [COL KRAEHE]: We have someone working on it right now, 13 Your Honor. 14 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Trial Counsel. 15 Before we recess for those 15 minutes, though, while trial 16 counsel is working to get those matters produced, I would like to 17 take up the voir dire questions I provided to the parties in advance, 18 which have been marked as an appellate exhibit, to ascertain whether there are any objections to those questions. 19 20 They were taken, as I had previously discussed, both from 21 the standard questions provided in the military judge's benchbook, as 22 well as the submissions of the parties. Those are the -- as provided 23 to the parties, those are the questions that the commission will ask

1002

1 the panel members as we sit here right now.

2	Trial Counsel, have you had an opportunity to look at those
3	voir dire questions, and do you have any objection?
4	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, we have reviewed the voir dire
5	questions, and the government has no objections.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, same questions.
7	Have you had an opportunity to review those questions, and
8	do you have any objections?
9	CDC [MS. FUNK]: We've had the opportunity to review the
10	questions, and we do not have any objections.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
12	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
13	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We have reviewed. We have no objection.
14	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well.
15	Then just for planning purposes, as I did not receive
16	advance questions from any party, the intent of the commission, after
17	we step through these causal challenges, is to call the members,
18	place them under oath, ask the standard questions.
19	Then we will recess, determine what individual voir dire may
20	be necessary based upon the information the parties have both from
21	the questionnaires and the commission's questions to the members, and
22	then we'll step through that individual voir dire member by member.
23	As a reminder to the parties, the commission will require a

1003

1	party to articulate its basis for recalling a member. So it's going
2	to be a little more involved than "we would like to recall Member
3	Number 4." It's going to be the expectation will be "recalling
4	Member Number 4 to discuss topic X" so that the commission
5	understands what the parties plan to individually voir dire each
6	member about.
7	Do all parties understand the commission's way ahead and
8	have any or do any parties have any questions? Better way to ask
9	that.
10	Trial Counsel?
11	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No questions from the government, Your
12	Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
14	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No questions.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No questions.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Then with that, this commission's in a
18	15-minute recess.
19	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 0950, 23 January 2024.]
20	[END OF PAGE]
21	[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1022,
22	23 January 2024.]
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission is called to order. All

1004

1 parties that were present when the commission recessed are again
2 present.

3 Trial Counsel, were you able to provide the court reporter 4 the questionnaires of the individuals identified by counsel for 5 Mr. bin Lep?

6 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. We were.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: It's my understanding that those questionnaires were marked as Appellate Exhibit 93, is that correct, in that series?

10 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So in an attempt to make this easiest, what I'm going to do is discuss them in numeric order, starting with lowest and going to the highest number. To do that, I'm going to identify the number and its appellate exhibit, and then from that point forward, I will refer to them only by their number. I think it just makes it a little easier for all of us.

So Appellate Exhibit 093.001 will be the questionnaire forPanel Member Number 2.

93.002 will be the questionnaire for Panel Member Number 6.
93.003 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member
Number 7.

93.004 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel MemberNumber 8.

1 93.005 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member Number 10. 2 3 93.006 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member Number 15. 4 5 93.007 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member Number 18. 6 7 And 93.008 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member Number 20. 8 93.009 is going to be the questionnaire for Panel Member 9 10 Number 23. 11 Okay. Then what I'd like to do is just start with Panel Member Number 2. 12 13 Counsel, if you want to articulate the basis for challenge for cause as it relates to Panel Member Number 2. 14 15 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Judge, Panel Member Number 2 has a 16 longstanding history as an intelligence officer, perhaps 28 years. 17 We would challenge for cause on the basis of 912(f), actual and 18 implied bias. She comes from the intelligence community. She served in 19 combat twice during that period, and one was actually during the time 20 21 that our clients were captured. So she would be subjected to several 22 classified briefs and information that she can't even really share 23 with us.

1	She was also a standing panel member in eight
2	courts-martial. She sent a team down to GTMO, I assume, based on her
3	MOS, that it was intelligence related. Given her prominence in the
4	intelligence community, her rank, and experience, it would give
5	actual, if not at least implied, bias that she would be a leader and
6	an authority on intelligence collection, and also have an opinion,
7	having been in combat against the war on terrorism in the roles that
8	she had. And so we would ask that she be striked [sic] for cause:
9	Inelastic opinion, inability to render a fair sentence, and her
10	position longstanding position in the intelligence community and
11	contacts therein.
12	Thank you.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, anything
14	additional as it relates to Panel Member Number 2?
15	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir. As to Panel Member Number 2, we
16	concur with team bin Amin. We have nothing to add.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And, Trial Counsel, do you object to the
18	defense counsel challenge for cause as it relates to Panel Member
19	Number 2 based both actual and implied bias?
20	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. The government does not
21	object.
22	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then. The court will take that

23 under advisement, and I'll explain to the parties why that may be.

1007

1 In accordance with the rules, the court does not retain the authority to excuse a panel member until such time as assembly. We 2 have not made it to assembly yet. It is the court's intent to hear 3 the parties' positions, ask any questions the court may -- the 4 5 commission, excuse me, may have of the parties at this time to get 6 all the facts out there. 7 The commission will then move forward with all panel members to assembly. We'll place all panel members under oath. We'll then 8 9 recess the panel members to provide a ruling based upon the parties' 10 stated challenges. Then we'll call in those members who are being 11 excused, should the commission decide to grant any of those 12 challenges, and excuse those members at that time. 13 That seems to be -- while a little difficult logistically, that seems to be the best way, in the commission's impression, to 14 15 avoid the potential danger of tainting the entire panel while also 16 trying to economize our time as best we can and comport with the 17 rules as provided to this commission. 18 Understanding that, I guess, any objection to that approach, Trial Counsel? 19 20 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any 22 objection to that, that way ahead? 23 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor.

1008

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 1 2 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Not at all, Your Honor. Thank you. 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Very well, then. 4 5 We'll move on to Panel Member Number 6, whichever party 6 wishes to articulate that objection -- or that challenge, excuse me. 7 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Judge, Panel Member Number 6 expressed an -- also expressed close contacts with friends in the 8 9 intel community, and has served with the intelligence community; 10 again, subjected to briefs that not even she can share the details of 11 those briefs. She worked for the Trump administration, which I don't think 12 13 I have to tell the court the actual bias of at least the Trump 14 administration for Guantanamo and keeping it open and putting more 15 bad guys in there. So working for the Trump administration, I would 16 submit that she would be more inclined to adopt a -- at least an 17 implied bias against our clients. 18 I see that she attended a religious-based institution, Liberty University, I believe his name is Jerry Falwell, who is also 19 extremely conservative. And so, I mean, you could assume that also 20 21 that one who would select a university like that tends to have a more 22 conservative view, which again goes with the Trump administration 23 information.

1	She's also a sexual assault victim, and it's not clear
2	whether she received her day in court as a victim. And so that might
3	tend to lend itself to some implied biases about a victim getting
4	their day in court and rendering a sentence to that end.
5	I see that there are some she's in postpartum, and there
6	are some concerns that she has with accommodations that are going to
7	be required in order to continue in the proceedings, which may be,
8	frankly, distractions that will interfere with her ability to pay
9	attention and hear all the facts.
10	For those reasons, we ask that Member Number 6 be stricken
11	for cause for implied bias.
12	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, anything
13	additional? Do you join in the challenge for cause, and do you have
14	anything additional you'd like to add?
15	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We do join in the challenge. We have
16	nothing to add.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Excuse me, and in the challenge. Thank
18	you.
19	Trial Counsel?
20	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, while we may not agree with all
21	the bases for the challenge for cause, we believe there is sufficient
22	bases. And, for that reason, we will not oppose the challenge for
23	cause.
	1010

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. The court will consider the
2	challenge for cause based upon implied bias as it relates to Panel
3	Member Number 6.
4	Moving on to Panel Member Number 7.
5	DC [LCDR CURTIS]: Your Honor, prospective member number 7,
6	while a pilot himself who was deployed in support of Operation Iraqi
7	Freedom and Enduring Freedom, he also is married to an intelligence
8	officer and has listed that his close personal friend is an employee
9	of the CIA.
10	In support of his operations, which he listed as being from
11	2007 until 2021, notwithstanding all of the intelligence briefs that
12	he received during those operations, he also has extensively listed
13	his recreational reading, which for the most part, for purposes of
14	this case, concerns Al Qaeda, Afghanistan, the Taliban.
15	And he kind of goes on and on to describe his like I
16	said, not just his formal training in these subjects, but also what
17	appears to be a vested personal interest in topics that will be
18	touched upon in our proceedings.
19	He also had a response in his questionnaire about the
20	SSCI Report, which has been admitted as evidence in the sentencing.
21	And he quite specifically wrote the, quote/unquote, alleged enhanced
22	interrogation.
23	We found that particularly problematic due to his close

1011

1 personal friend being in the CIA and him choosing to use the word "alleged" for the CIA's term "enhanced interrogation," which is the 2 legal term for those actions. 3 So, for those reasons, Your Honor, we believe that 4 5 prospective juror number 7 should be stricken for cause, not just 6 because he might be privy to information outside of this case that 7 bears on the evidence they will be reviewing, but also due to his close proximity to actors and groups that might be touched upon and 8 9 criticized in these proceedings. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel. 11 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we join and have nothing to add. 12 13 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And I apologize, Counsel for Mr. bin Amin. Was that actual bias? Implied bias? What was the basis of the 14 15 challenge? 16 DC [LCDR CURTIS]: Apologies, Your Honor. Both actual bias 17 and implied bias. I also believe I touched upon Rule for Military 18 Commission 912(f)(1)(F), as it talks about any parties who have potentially investigated offenses charged. In that we don't know the 19 substantive information contained in the intelligence briefs, we can 20 21 cross-reference the time frames of, for example, this juror's 22 participation in those briefings with reports of investigation that have manifested themselves in evidence we're presenting in the 23

1012

1 sentencing.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel. 2 3 Trial Counsel, objection to defense counsel's challenge to Panel Member Number 7 based upon actual and implied bias? 4 5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the government does not object. 6 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. 7 Then moving on to Panel Member Number 8. DC [LCDR CURTIS]: Your Honor, we would like to strike Panel 8 9 Member Number 8 for cause under grounds of both actual and implied 10 bias again. 11 This panel member is a career intelligence officer for the Navy. She expressed that she has a family member who is, in fact, an 12 13 Army Judge Advocate who works for the military commissions. 14 She also explained that in preparation for military commissions in November of 2023, she received cultural awareness 15 16 training. And so as that bears on her bringing in outside knowledge 17 or taking any preparation specifically for these proceedings, we find 18 that to be improper. This potential panel member is currently a member of the 19 Joint Staff (J2), so the director at Foreign Intelligence, and 20 21 formerly worked on the Joint Intelligence Office and PACOM, which 22 I'll note has now been properly renamed as INDOPACOM, as it does encompass some of the areas of the crimes that we're talking about 23

1013

1 today in sentencing.

2	So to the extent that her relationship with the Army Judge
3	Advocate who works for the military commissions, the way that she
4	prepared intentionally for these proceedings and also her former
5	internship at the Federal Bureau of Investigation which, while not
6	party to the proceeding, may be implicated in the sentencing
7	evidence, we move to strike this juror.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel.
9	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
10	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we join and have nothing to add.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel?
12	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. The commission will consider
14	the challenge to Panel Member 8 under actual implied actual and
15	implied bias. Excuse me.
16	And on to Panel Member Number 10.
17	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Judge, after further consideration, the
18	defense for Mr. bin Amin has no challenges for cause on that
19	particular member.
20	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: And we concur as well, Your Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, any matters you want to
22	bring forward at this time as it relates to Panel Member Number 10?
23	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.

1014

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Then Panel Member Number 15.
2	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Your Honor, Panel Member Number 15 has a
3	very interesting reading and movie list, which suggests that he has a
4	particular interest in the war on terror. None of the reading list
5	is defense-friendly, I'll say that. It's all lauding the United
6	States readings and books about, you know, lauding the United
7	States for the war on terror and the stance that it's taken, the
8	battle, the fight, the struggle.
9	I did not see any books that would suggest that he's a
10	neutral person who can put aside any hostility towards the accused
11	for what they've been what they've pled guilty for, or develop an
12	inelastic opinion concerning the sentence.
13	Given that, and that his close mentor is a law enforcement
14	individual, we would move to strike for cause this member for implied
15	bias.
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We join and have nothing to add, Your
17	Honor.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel.
19	TC [COL KRAEHE]: And no objection from the government, Your
20	Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. The court will take under
22	consideration the challenge for cause based upon implied bias as it
23	relates to Panel Member Number 15.

1015

1 Moving on to Panel Member Number 18. 2 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Judge, we're moving to strike Member Number 18 for actual and implied bias. 3 He actually expressed a strong-hold belief about Muslims. 4 5 He's conducted actual ops against Al Qaeda, again, that he can't share with us in open court. The reading list is very similar to 6 7 jury member number -- or Member Number 15, lauding the struggle and 8 the race against terrorism. 9 He spent 10 years, and this is a quote, conducting 10 ops -- I'm sorry -- conducting ops against terrorism. The quote is 11 that -- is: To kill and capture terrorists. 12 In addition, Panel Member Number 18 has an impacted 13 vertebrae, which prevents long sit -- long periods of sitting. As we 14 know, this will entail long periods of sitting, and that will be -- undoubtedly be a distraction. 15 16 So we move to strike Panel Member Number 18 for actual and 17 implied bias. 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Your Honor, we join. The only thing to 19 add is that this panel member specifically said or admitted to bias 20 21 against terrorists. You know, the concern is he's not going to 22 entertain the possibility that an accused in a commission may not be 23 a terrorist.

```
1016
```

1 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Is that based upon the very last question 2 of the guestionnaire? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir. 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okav. 4 Trial Counsel? 5 6 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. The court will take under 8 consideration counsel's challenge to prospective Panel Member 9 Number 18 based upon actual and implied bias. 10 Moving on, then, to Panel Member Number 20. 11 DC [LCDR CURTIS]: Your Honor, we'd like to strike Panel Member Number 20 for cause for both actual and implied bias. 12 13 Panel Member Number 20 participated as a pilot in Operation Allies Refuge in 2021. That operation entailed what was essentially 14 15 the fall of Kabul. And he specifically participated in the, I'd say, 16 toppling of the Kabul airport. 17 So in that same vein, he's expressed a vested interest in 18 media regarding the escape from Kabul is one of the ones he listed, a documentary that does not take, I guess, a positive light on what's 19 20 happening in that region and that time particularly with the Taliban, 21 or express any type of flexibility. 22 It's also inferred that if he participated in these operations, he, while I did not see him in this documentary, likely 23

1017

1 knows or served with many of the people who provided that commentary 2 on which this challenge is based as far as it concerns his interest 3 in that media.

Panel Member Number 20 also replied that he has read the
SSCI Report, which is part of the evidence in this sentencing case.
While it is over 700 pages in full, he repeatedly said he didn't have
any opinion about the report.

8 We find that to be just a little troubling because we would 9 expect that there would be some type of opinion if he was interested 10 enough in reviewing. But, again, we do not have any further 11 information on that.

12 The last part of the questionnaire for member 20 we believe 13 is grounds for striking for cause is that he stated that his 14 preconceived beliefs about individuals charged in military 15 commissions, he believes that they have done something worthy of 16 being in Guantanamo Bay.

So we see that as, not only as he stated, his preconceived belief, but as potentially inflexible opinion, and that he has made conclusions about what Guantanamo Bay entails, and -- [microphone button not pushed; no audio.] -- who are here.

21 For those reasons, Your Honor, we move to strike Member 22 Number 20 for both actual and implied bias.

23 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we concur, and have nothing to add.

1018

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel?
2	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Pardon me. We join.
3	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
4	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. The commission will take under
5	consideration the challenge for cause under actual and implied bias
6	for Panel Member Number 20.
7	Finally, Counsel, Panel Member Number 23.
8	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Your Honor, we move to move [sic] Panel
9	Member Number 23 for implied bias based on the association with Megan
10	Gentry, who is the chief litigation support for the Office of
11	Military Commissions or, I'm sorry, chief prosecutor, prosecutor's
12	office.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, where in the questionnaire, if
14	you could just give me a page number, if you have it available.
15	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: It's question 22, Your Honor.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Because it's divided into parts, do you
17	know which page that's on, or which part it's in?
18	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Page 10.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Page 10. Thank you.
20	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Or 13 of 17.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We join, and nothing else to add, sir.
23	TC [COL KRAEHE]: And no objection from the government, Your

1019

1 Honor.

2	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any other matters that we can take
3	up at this time prior to my calling the members?
4	Trial Counsel?
5	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
7	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
9	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Bailiff, please call the members.
11	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1049, 23 January 2024.]
12	[The military commission was called to order at 1056,
13	23 January 2024.]
14	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: You may be seated. This commission is
15	called to order.
16	Members of the commission, I'm Judge Braun, the military
17	judge who will be presiding over this military commission.
18	In a moment, trial counsel will explain how this commission
19	was convened. They will introduce the parties, and then counsel will
20	ask you to take an oath regarding the performance of your duties as a
21	member.
22	Once counsel does that, I will provide you some preliminary

1020

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

23 instructions. There are several documents in a folder in front of

1	you that we are going to review shortly. Until then, I ask that you
2	leave those folders closed and follow along with trial counsel's
3	announcements and my preliminary instructions.
4	Trial Counsel.
5	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the court is convened by
6	Military Commission Convening Order Number 23-01, Office of Military
7	Commissions, Office of the Convening Authority, dated 17
8	November 2023, as amended by 23-02 and 23-03, copies of which have
9	been furnished to each member of the court.
10	The accused and the following persons detailed to this
11	military commission are present: Lieutenant Colonel Wesley A. Braun,
12	military judge; Colonel George Kraehe, U.S Army, trial counsel;
13	Lieutenant Colonel Goewert, Christopher, U.S. Air Force, assistant
14	trial counsel; Lieutenant Commander Jeffrey Larson, United States
15	Navy, assistant trial counsel.
16	And if defense counsel would please announce the persons
17	detailed to this commission on behalf of the defense.
18	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Certainly.
19	I'm Christine Funk. To my left is Lieutenant Colonel
20	Chantell Higgins, and to her left is Lieutenant Commander Crystal
21	Curtis.
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: First, good morning. My name is Brian
23	Bouffard. I'm here with Mr. Aaron Shepard

1021

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, if we could please -- thank you. 1 2 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Probably need to do that, don't I? 3 I am here with Mr. Aaron Shepard; Major Jason Cordova, U.S. Air Force; Lieutenant Jennifer Joseph, JAG Corps, U.S. Navy; 4 Lieutenant Commander Matthew Anderson, JAG Corps, U.S. Navy; and 5 6 Ms. Nessma Bashi. 7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And, Counsel -- Trial Counsel, 8 before we move forward, I want to make a correction on the record 9 here. This court-martial, I believe, was convened by Convening Order 10 Numbers 23-01, dated November 17, 2023, as modified by Order 23-02, 11 dated 13 December 2023 -- excuse me -- the original convening order 12 is 21-01, dated 21 January 2021. That was modified further by 13 Convening Order 23-01, dated 17 November 2023, further modified by 14 Convening Order 23-02, dated 13 December 2023, further modified by 15 24-02, dated 12 January 2024, and Order 23 -- 24-03, dated 22 16 January 2024. 17 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: If you may proceed -- you may proceed, 19 Trial Counsel.

20 TC [COL KRAEHE]: The members are present. The prosecution is 21 ready to proceed with trial in the case of the <u>United States v.</u>

22 Mohammed Nazir bin Lep; Mohammed Farik bin Amin.

23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: The members of the court will now be

1022

1 sworn. All persons in the courtroom, please rise. 2 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Do you swear or affirm ----3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, on the --Members, if you could raise your right hand, please. 4 5 [Panel members were sworn.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: The commission is assembled. 6 7 Members, it's appropriate that I give you some preliminary 8 instructions. My duty as military judge is to ensure this commission 9 is conducted in a fair, orderly, and impartial manner in accordance 10 with the law. I preside over open sessions, rule upon objections, 11 and instruct you on the law applicable to this case. You are required to follow my instructions on the law, and 12 13 may not consult any source as to the law pertaining to this case 14 unless it is admitted into evidence. This rule applies throughout 15 the trial, including closed sessions and periods of recess and 16 adjournment. Any questions you have of me should be asked in open 17 court. 18 At a session held earlier, the accused pled quilty to the charges and specifications which you have before you. I have 19 20 accepted that plea and entered findings of guilty. Therefore, you 21 will not have to determine whether the accused is guilty or not 22 guilty, as that has been established by their pleas.

23 Your duty is to determine an appropriate sentence. That

1023

1 duty is a grave responsibility requiring the exercise of wise 2 discretion. Your determination must be based upon all the evidence 3 presented, and the instructions I will give you as to the applicable 4 law.

5 Since you cannot properly reach your determination until all 6 the evidence has been presented, and you have been instructed, it is 7 of vital importance that you keep an open mind until all the evidence 8 and instructions have been presented to you.

9 Counsel soon will be given an opportunity to ask you 10 questions and exercise challenges. With regard to challenges, if you 11 know of any matter that you feel might affect your impartiality to 12 sit as a court member, you must disclose that matter when asked to do 13 so.

Bear in mind that any statement you make should be made in general terms so as not to disqualify other members who hear the statement.

Any matter that may affect your impartiality regarding an appropriate sentence for the accused is a ground for challenge. Some of the grounds for challenge would be: If you were an accuser of any offense charged; if you have investigated any offense charged; or if you have formed a fixed opinion as to what an appropriate punishment would be for either accused.

23

To determine if any grounds for challenge exist, counsel for

1024

both sides are given an opportunity to question you. These questions are not intended to embarrass you, and they are not an attack upon your integrity. They're asked merely to determine whether a basis for challenge exists.

5 If at any time after answering these questions you realize 6 that any of your answers were incorrect, you recognize a witness 7 whose name you did not previously recognize, or you think of any matter that might affect your impartiality, you have a continuing 8 duty to bring that to the attention of this commission. You do that 9 10 simply by raising your hand and stating only that you have an issue 11 to discuss with the commission. I will then follow up with you 12 individually as necessary.

13 It is no adverse reflection upon a member to be excused from 14 a particular case. You may be questioned either individually or 15 collectively but, in either event, you should indicate an individual 16 response to the question asked. Unless I indicate otherwise, you are 17 required to answer all questions.

You must keep an open mind throughout this trial. You must impartially hear the evidence, the instructions on the law, and only when you are in your closed session deliberations may you properly make a determination as to an appropriate sentence after considering all the alternate punishments of which I will later advise you.

23

1025

You may not have a preconceived idea or formula as to either

1 the type or amount of punishment which should be imposed, if any. 2 Counsel are given an opportunity to question all witnesses. When counsel finished, if you feel there are substantial questions 3 that should be asked, you will be given an opportunity to do so. 4 5 There are forms that will be provided for your use if you desire to 6 question any witness. 7 You are required to write your question on the form and sign 8 legibly at the bottom. This method gives counsel for both sides, and 9 me, an opportunity to review the question before they are asked since 10 your questions, like counsel's questions, are subject to objection. 11 I will conduct any needed examination. 12 There are a few things you need to keep in mind with regard 13 to questioning: 14 First, you cannot attempt to help the government or the 15 defense. 16 Second, counsel have interviewed the witnesses and know more 17 about this case than we do. Very often they do not ask a question 18 that may appear to us to be obvious because they're aware this particular witness has no knowledge on that subject. 19 Third, when posing a question, do not include your rationale 20 for asking the question. For example, you shouldn't say, "I was 21 22 curious because witness A said something different." Just provide

23 the question, and I will determine whether it is a permissible

1026

1 question.

Rules of Evidence control what can be received into evidence. As I indicated, questions of witnesses are subject to objection. During the trial, when I sustain an objection, disregard the question and answer. If I overrule an objection, you may consider both the question and answer.

7 Until you close to deliberate, you may not discuss this 8 commission with anyone, even amongst yourselves. You must wait until 9 you are all together in your closed-session deliberations so that all 10 panel members have the benefit of your discussion.

During the course of the trial, including all periods of recess and adjournment, you must not communicate with anyone about the case, either in person or by e-mail, blog, text message, posting on Reddit, or other forms of social media. Posting information about the case on Facebook, for example, is considered a form of communicating about the case.

17 You must also not listen to, or read any accounts of the 18 case, or visit the scene of any alleged incident in the 19 specifications or mentioned during the trial.

20 Do not consult any sources of law or information, written or 21 otherwise.

Do not conduct your own investigation or research. For example, you cannot consult the Manual for Military Commissions, the

1027

Manual for Courts-Martial, dictionaries or reference materials. You may not search the Internet, Google the witnesses to learn more about them, review a Wikipedia entry, or consult a map or satellite picture to learn more about any alleged crime scene.

5 During any recess or adjournment you must also avoid contact 6 with witnesses or potential witnesses in this case, counsel, and the 7 accused.

8 If anyone attempts to discuss the case or communicate with 9 you during any recess or adjournment, you must immediately tell them 10 to stop, and report the occurrence to me at our next session.

I may not repeat these matters to you before every recess or adjournment, but I ask that you keep them in mind throughout the trial.

I will try to estimate the time needed for recesses or hearings outside of your presence. I will tell you frequently their duration is extended by consideration of new issues arising in those particular hearings. I ask for your patience and understanding regarding these matters, as that patience and understanding greatly contributes to an atmosphere consistent with the fair administration of justice.

While you are in your closed-session deliberations, only the members will be present. You must remain together and you may not allow any unauthorized intrusion into your deliberations. Each of

1028

1 you has an equal voice and vote with the other members in discussing 2 and deciding all issues submitted to you. However, in addition to the duties of the other members, the senior member will act as your 3 presiding officer during your closed-session deliberations. 4 5 This general order of events can be expected at this commission: Questioning of the members, challenges and excusals, 6 7 presentation of evidence, closing arguments by counsel, instructions on the law, your deliberations, and announcement of the sentence. 8 9 The appearance and demeanor of all parties to the commission 10 should reflect the seriousness with which the trial is viewed. 11 Careful attention to all that occurs during the trial is required of 12 all parties. 13 Therefore, if it becomes too hot or cold in this courtroom, or if you need a break because of drowsiness or for comfort reasons, 14 15 please tell me so that we can attend to your needs and avoid 16 potential problems that might otherwise arise. 17 Similarly, if you run out of paper or need another writing instrument to permit you to continue taking notes, please raise your 18 hand and tell me so that I can attend to your needs. 19 20 Each of you may take notes, if you desire, and use them to 21 refresh your memory during deliberations. However, your notes may 22 not be shown or read to other members. These notes are merely your personal notes to assist you in jogging your own memory regarding 23

1029

1 what happened in open court.

At the time of any recess or adjournment, you should leave your notes in the deliberation room. Your notes, along with any exhibits, should not be taken from this courtroom, which will include your deliberation area.

6 One other administrative matter: If during the course of 7 the trial it is necessary for you to make any statement, if you would 8 preference that statement by stating your member number, that will 9 make it clear on the record which member is speaking.

10 You will see sitting in front of me are two court reporters. 11 Their responsibility is to create an accurate record of everything that transpires inside this courtroom while we are on the record. 12 13 While each of you have identifiers in front of you, the court 14 reporter will only have the audio recording available when preparing 15 the transcript. When you identify yourself before speaking, it 16 greatly assists the court reporter with the task of transcription in 17 a much more efficient manner.

18 Are there any questions of any panel members at this time?19 Seeing none.

20 Members, at this time I want you to look inside those 21 folders in front of you. Inside you are going to find multiple 22 documents.

23 First, I want you to examine the documents on the left side

1030

1	of your folders. These are convening orders. I want you to examine
2	those convening orders and ensure that your name is correctly
3	reflected on one of the convening orders.
4	If not, I need you to raise your hand. If you've finished
5	locating your name and your information on the convening order,
6	please look up at me so that I know you have completed your review.
7	[Pause.]
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: All members have complied with my
9	direction.
10	Before I have you look at the other documents in that
11	folder, commonly referred to as the flyer, I want to provide you some
12	orientation to this military commission.
13	As you may have noticed when you entered the courtroom,
14	there are two accused in this military commission. To my right,
15	seated at the two rows of tables closest to me, is the defense team
16	for Mr. Mohammed Farik bin Amin, as well as Mr. Mohammed Farik
17	bin Amin on the far right of that front table.
18	At the next two table rows of tables behind
19	Mr. bin Amin's defense team is Mr. Mohammed Nazir bin Lep. Because
20	there are two accused in this military commission, you will see two
21	sets of charges on two individual flyers. You can identify easily
22	which flyer belongs to which accused based upon the caption in the
23	top left-hand corner of that particular flyer.

1031

1 While each flyer may look similar, they are not identical. 2 So it is important that you review both flyers thoroughly and 3 carefully.

So at this time I want you to look at those two flyers in the right-hand side of your folders, review the charges and the information provided, and then look up at me when you are done. [Pause.]

8 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, you may announce the 9 general nature of the charges.

10 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the general nature of the 11 charges in this case are: Murder in violation of the law of war; 12 intentionally causing serious bodily injury; destruction of property 13 in violation of the law of war; conspiracy; and accessory after the 14 fact.

15 The charges were preferred by Megan L. Gentry, Office of 16 Military Commissions, and referred for trial by the Office of 17 Military Commissions Convening Authority on 21 January 2021.

18 If any member of the court is aware of any matter which he 19 or she believes may be ground for challenge by other sides, such 20 matters should now be stated.

21 Your Honor, there was a negative response from the panel 22 members.

23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.

1032

1 Panel members, now that I've given you initial instructions, 2 there are some matters that I need to take up outside your presence. I anticipate this will be a fairly brief recess, just for your kind 3 of planning purposes. 4

5 Then given the hour, and I will talk with you later this 6 afternoon about kind of how I will sequence events, as best I can, to 7 accommodate both our lunch period and where we will start and break 8 for each day, as well as comfort recesses.

9 But based upon that, then, when we come back, what I anticipate doing, after I take care of those matters outside of your 10 presence, is recall everybody, and then place this commission into a 11 12 recess so that everybody can get some lunch. Then we will reconvene 13 after that to proceed with group voir dire, the questioning portion 14 that I had referenced to earlier.

15 So just as much as that may help you, I think this next 16 recess will take absolutely no more than 10 minutes.

17 So with that, Panel Members, I'm going to have you retire to the deliberation room. 18

- [Panel members withdrew from the courtroom.] 19
- 20

- [END OF PAGE]
- [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1131, 21
- 22 23 January 2024.]
- 23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please be seated. This commission will

1033

1	again come to order. Parties are present. Members are absent.
2	Counsel, taking up defense counsel's motions to strike panel
3	members 2, 6, 7, 8, 15, 18, 20, and 23, and opposing counsel's lack
4	of objection to that motion to strike for cause under both generally
5	implied and actual bias, but as more fully articulated on the record
6	as to each member, that motion will be granted.
7	With that, what I plan to do is re-call those particular
8	members. I will excuse them and caution them and then have them
9	depart. I will then re-call the rest of the panel, and then we will
10	recess for lunch. I plan to get back onto the record to 1300 to move
11	directly into group voir dire.
12	Any objection to that way ahead?
13	Trial Counsel?
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Defense counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
16	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
18	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well.
20	And, actually okay.
21	With that, Bailiff, I'm going to have you re-call the
22	following panel members: Panel member 2, 6, 7, 8, 15, 18, 20, and
23	23. And if you could please have them join us.
	1024

1034

1 [Pause.]

[Panel Members 2, 6, 7, 8, 15, 18, 20, and 23 entered the courtroom.]
[The military commission was called to order at 1136,

4 23 January 2024.]

5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. 6 Parties are present. In addition, panel members 2, 6, 7, 8, 15, 18, 7 20, and 23 are also present.

8 Panel Members, I am excusing you from further service in 9 this military commission. In doing so, though, I want to give you a 10 little bit of guidance and caution here.

Because you're being excused, you will have no further obligations to this commission. In the event that you are asked about your service in this commission, I'm going to remind you of the oath that you took just a few moments ago.

Because none of you have been part of any deliberations, you may discuss all of your involvement in this commission, including your personal observations in the courtroom, the process of how a commission functions as you've seen it so far.

However, I'm going to instruct you to refrain from having those discussions with one another, the members who have remained, counsel for either side, or anyone else until the trial has ended. I also remind you of the protective order that was issued by

23 this commission in AE 0006.006 (TJ). That was the order that

1035

1	specifically protected the identity of the members of this
2	commission. That orders remains in effect. So I'm going to ask that
3	you continue to comply with its directives until and unless directed
4	otherwise by a by a court or commission.
5	Do you all understand my instruction?
6	Okay. That's an affirmative response from the panel members
7	present.
8	With that, then, Panel Members, I'm going to have you depart
9	the courtroom with thanks for coming here with us today and
10	participating in the process up until this point. You are excused.
11	[Panel Members 2, 6, 7, 8, 15, 18, 20, and 23 withdrew from the
12	courtroom.]
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: You may be seated.
	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: You may be seated. Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members.
13	
13 14	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members.
13 14 15	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.]
13 14 15 16	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.]
13 14 15 16 17	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. Parties are present. Panel members are present.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. Parties are present. Panel members are present. Panel Members, as I indicated, I'm going to recess for
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. Parties are present. Panel members are present. Panel Members, as I indicated, I'm going to recess for lunch. We will reconvene at 1300 today. I just ask that you be
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Bailiff, if you could please re-call the remaining members. [Pause.] [Panel members entered the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. Parties are present. Panel members are present. Panel Members, as I indicated, I'm going to recess for lunch. We will reconvene at 1300 today. I just ask that you be prepared in the deliberation room for us to get back onto the record,

1036

1 caution I gave you just a few moments ago: Specifically, that during 2 periods of recess or adjournment, you are not to communicate with 3 anyone about this commission or this case. Moreover, you may not 4 conduct any type of research into any matters that you may be aware 5 of as part of your participation in this commission.

Do all panel members understand my instruction?
That's an affirmative response from all panel members.
Do all panel members agree to follow my instruction?
That's an affirmative response from all panel members.
Very well. With that, then, this commission is in recess

11 until 1300.

12 [The military commission recessed at 1143, 23 January 2024.]

13 [The military commission was called to order at 1307,

14 23 January 2024.]

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission is called to order. All parties that were present when the commission last recessed are again present.

18

Members are also present.

Panel Members, good afternoon. I'm going to ask you some preliminary questions. Now, clearly there's a lot of you up there, and there is one of me sitting here. So I'm going to lay down a couple ground rules to try and make this a little more efficient, frankly, for myself and counsel, as we are going to be taking notes

1037

1 as to your responses to my various questions.

So how we're going to step through this is I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I'm going to ask you to respond to my questions in a couple of ways. The first is through an exaggerated head nod, and the second is through either raising or not raising your hand; and this is how we're going to do this.

So if you have an affirmative or a "yes" answer to one of my questions, what I want is an exaggerated north/south and then raise your hand. You will then hear me capturing those responses on the record. Once I capture your response, you can put your hand down and you can quit nodding your head.

12 If you have a "no" answer to my question, I just want to get 13 a really exaggerated no or east/west. That hopefully will help.

If at any point, however, you notice I'm intently staring at you, it's not to make anybody uncomfortable. It's because I probably haven't captured your answer. So if you notice that, please just help me out by affirming your answer for me, and I think that will make it as easy as it can be with the number of panel members we have here this morning.

20 Do all panel members understand my instruction? 21 There we go. That was an affirmative response from all 22 panel members. We got there.

23 So now I also want to remind you of one additional matter.

1038

You are required to answer my questions unless I direct otherwise,
 and I ask that your answers be individual answers. So answer for
 yourself.

If there are additional matters that you feel need to be discussed based upon my question, you can simply raise your hand. I will identify you, and you can let me know that there may be an additional matter that you would like to discuss, and then we can take that matter up individually with you so that we have a little more smaller group to have that conversation.

10 So with that, Panel Members, we'll start with the first 11 question.

12 Does anyone know either of the accused?

13 That's a negative response from all panel members.

14Does anyone know any person named in any of the15specifications or the common allegations located on the flyer?

And before you answer that, I'll remind you, the flyer was the document with the charges and specifications I had you review earlier today in your folders. So if you need to look at that, please feel free to do so at this time.

Okay. That is a negative response from all panel members. The trial counsel is going to read a list of potential witnesses in this case. Afterwards, I will ask if anyone knows any of the potential witnesses in this case.

1039

1	Trial Counsel, please proceed.
2	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the potential witnesses in this
3	case are: Matthew Arnold, Frank Heffernan, Bonnie Hall, Susanna
4	Miller, Solomon Miller, Chris Snodgrass, Susan Snodgrass, Piers
5	Gambrini, Maggie Stephens, Eleni Panagoulas, and Mary Panagoulas.
6	And there are a couple of defense witnesses as well: Faizal
7	bin Amin and Fadil bin Amin.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Panel Members, does anyone know any of the
9	potential witnesses that trial counsel just mentioned?
10	That's a negative response from all panel members.
11	Having seen the accused and having read the charges and
12	specifications, does anyone feel that you cannot give each accused a
13	fair trial for any reason?
14	Okay. That's an affirmative response from Panel Member
15	Number 4 and a negative response from all other panel members.
16	Does anyone have any prior knowledge of the facts or events
17	in this case?
18	That is a negative response from all panel members.
19	Since you filled out your questionnaires, have you seen,
20	heard, or read anything about this case or either accused,
21	Mr. Mohammed Farik bin Amin, also known as Zubair, or Mr. Mohammed
22	Nazir bin Lep, also known as Lillie?
23	That is a negative response from all panel members.

```
1040
```

1	Since you filled out your questionnaire, have you seen,
2	heard, or read anything about Mr. Encep Nurjaman, also known as
3	Hambali?
4	That's a negative response from all panel members.
5	Since you filled out your questionnaire, have you seen,
6	heard, or read anything related to military commissions at U.S. Naval
7	Station Guantanamo Bay or about detainees there?
8	That is a negative response from all panel members.
9	Since you filled out your questionnaire, have you accessed
10	the Office of Military Commissions website at www.mc.mil?
11	That's a negative response from all panel members.
12	Has anyone or any member of your family, or anyone close to
13	you personally, ever been charged with an offense similar to any of
14	those charged in this case?
15	That's a negative response from all panel members.
16	Has anyone or any member of your family, or anyone close to
17	you personally, ever been the victim of an offense similar to any of
18	those charged in this case?
19	That is a negative response from all panel members.
20	Has anyone or any member of your family, or anyone close to
21	you personally, ever been affected by any of the bombings mentioned
22	in any of the charges?
23	That's a negative response from all panel members.

1041

1	Has anyone or any member of your family, any professional
2	colleague, or anyone close to you personally, been involved in the
3	investigation or prosecution of any of the bombings mentioned in the
4	charges?
5	That's a negative response from all panel members.
6	Does anyone have any strongly held opinions or beliefs about
7	the United States Government's treatment of Muslims after
8	September 11th, 2001?
9	That's a negative response from all panel members.
10	Has anyone formed any strongly held opinions or beliefs
11	about Muslim individuals?
12	That's a negative response from all panel members.
13	Has anyone formed any strongly held opinions or beliefs
14	about Malaysians or Indonesians, or other East Asian citizens?
15	That's a negative response from all panel members.
16	Does anyone believe that members of law enforcement or
17	members of the intelligence community are more credible or
18	trustworthy than average individuals who may not be a government
19	agent?
20	That's a negative response from all panel members, with the
21	exception of Panel Member 11, 9, 4, and 1.
22	Does anyone have any particularly strong views or opinions
23	on the Blue Lives Matter movement?

1042

1	That is a negative response from all panel members.
2	Is any member of the panel in the rating chain, supervisory
3	chain, or chain of command of any other member?
4	That is a negative response from all panel members.
5	Now, for most of you, you are going to be junior to at least
6	one other member on the panel. So with that in mind, let me ask you:
7	Would you feel inhibited or restrained in any way in performing your
8	duties as a panel member, including the free expression of your views
9	during deliberation, because another member holds a position of
10	authority over you?
11	That is a negative response from all panel members.
12	Similarly, all of you, except for one, will have somebody
13	senior in rank to you on the panel. So with that in mind, let me ask
14	you this question: Will you be embarrassed or restrained in any way
15	in the performance of your duties as a panel member if a member over
16	whom you hold a position of authority should disagree with you?
17	That is a negative response from all panel members.
18	Has anyone had any dealings with any of the parties to the
19	trial, to include myself or counsel, that might affect your
20	performance of duty as a commission member in any way?
21	That is a negative response from all panel members.
22	Does anyone have any reservations about active duty military
23	attorneys representing detainees who have pled guilty to charges such

1043

as those involved in this case? 1 2 That is a negative response from all panel members. 3 Members, for scheduling purposes, you can expect that I will generally direct we start at about 0900 and end no later than 1730, 4 5 with a lunch recess from about 1130 to 1300, similar to what we have 6 done so far today. 7 I will also attempt to take a short comfort recess about every hour we're on the record, moving that ever so slightly to try 8 9 and make breaks make sense as the proceeding underlies so that I'm 10 not creating weird gaps. 11 Finally, there will be a scheduled recess from around 1515 12 to 1545 every day we are on the record. 13 Again, I'm going to adjust those times as I think it's appropriate, based upon kind of my experience of how these 14 15 proceedings typically unfold so that I can best economize our time 16 together. Understanding my projected daily schedule, does anyone know 17 of anything of either a personal or professional nature that would 18 cause you to be unable to give your full attention to these 19 20 proceedings throughout the trial? 21 That is a negative response from all panel members. 22 Did anyone undergo any exceptional hardships in order to travel here, here being U.S. Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to 23

1044

1 serve on this panel?

That is a negative response from all panel members. It is a ground for challenge that you have an inelastic predisposition towards the imposition of a particular punishment based solely on the nature of the crimes for which the accused are to be sentenced.

Does any member, having read the charges and specifications,
believe that you would be compelled to vote for any particular
punishment solely because of the nature of the charges?

10 That's a negative -- that's a positive response from Panel 11 Member Number 5, and a negative response from all other panel 12 members.

Implicit bias is defined by the American Psychological Association as a negative attitude, of which one is not consciously aware, against a specific social group.

Do you agree to actively work to counteract any implicit bias you may have and remain impartial and fair as a member in this commission and consider only the evidence presented to you and the instructions I will provide you in reaching appropriate sentences for each accused in this proceeding?

That's an affirmative response from all panel members. Okay. Panel Members, this next question contains a little bit of a prelude to it, and it's rather long. So I just want you to

1045

1	sit back and listen, and pay attention. It's a little involved. And
2	then once I get through that prelude, I'm going to ask you a
3	question, ask you to answer that question.
4	Panel Members, you will be instructed in detail before you
5	begin your deliberations. I will instruct you on the full range of
6	punishments up to the maximum punishment. You should consider the
7	entirety of that available range of punishment.
8	"Consider" does not necessarily mean that you would vote for
9	that particular punishment. "Consider" means that you will think
10	about and make a choice in your mind one way or the other as to
11	whether that's an appropriate punishment.
12	Each member must keep an open mind and not make a choice,
13	nor foreclose from consideration any possible sentence, until the
14	closed session for deliberations and voting on the sentence.
15	Can each of you follow that instruction?
16	That is an affirmative response from all panel members.
17	Can each of you be fair, impartial, and open-minded in your
18	consideration of an appropriate sentence as to each accused,
19	understanding the nature of the charges involved?
20	That's an affirmative response from all panel members.
21	Can each of you reach a decision on sentence on an
22	individual basis for each accused in this particular case and not
23	solely upon the nature of the offenses to which each accused have

1046

1 been convicted?

2 That is an affirmative response from all panel members. 3 Is any member aware of a matter that might raise a 4 substantial question concerning your impartiality or participation in 5 this trial as a panel member?

6 That's an affirmative response from Panel Member Number 4 7 and a negative response from all other panel members.

8 Panel Members, those are the entirety of the questions I9 have for you at this time.

10 There's going to be a couple matters that I want to consider 11 outside of your presence. This is going to be a little longer of a 12 recess than the previous 5- to 10-minute one I took, just for your 13 planning purposes.

During -- after that recess, some of you may be re-called to individually discuss matters with you. I want to let you know that if you are re-called for individual questioning, I'm just going to ask that you come into the courtroom and just have a seat in the front row, kind of in the middle. No need to return to your assigned seat, if you will.

20 Once we've finished that questioning, I'm going to have you 21 then return to the deliberation room with potential instructions to 22 have somebody else come out and join us.

23 I'm going to instruct you not to discuss what we talk about

1047

1 in those individual sessions with the rest of the panel members. 2 Do all members understand my instructions? 3 That's an affirmative response from all panel members. With that, Panel Members, then, please return to the 4 5 deliberation room. 6 [The members withdrew from the courtroom.] 7 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1325, 8 23 January 2024.] 9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. 10 Parties are present. Members are absent. Parties, I can provide you -- based upon the responses, I'm 11 12 not sure it would be necessary to provide an extended recess to allow 13 the parties to combine their notes; however, I realize that there's 14 more than just the group voir dire questions that the parties may 15 want to consider in determining who may need to be re-called for 16 individual questioning. 17 If it is helpful, I'm willing to provide the parties an opportunity for a brief recess in place to crosstalk if they think 18 that that would help expedite matters. 19 20 So with that -- otherwise, I would just intend to hold a 21 10-minute recess to allow the parties to collect what they need to, 22 knowing we would come back on the record to identify those members who need to be re-called and for what purposes. 23

1048

1	Trial Counsel, do you have a preference on how we proceed?
2	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, I believe a recess is
3	appropriate at this time. And I think 10 or 15 minutes should be
4	sufficient time to discuss these matters with defense counsel.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
6	CDC [MS. FUNK]: We'd ask for 15, but on the higher end; but
7	yes, thank you.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
9	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We concur.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Very well, then. This commission's
11	in a 15-minute recess.
12	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1327, 23 January 2024.]
12 13	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1327, 23 January 2024.] [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347,
13	[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347,
13 14	[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.]
13 14 15	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order.</pre>
13 14 15 16	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. All parties are present. Members are absent.</pre>
13 14 15 16 17	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. All parties are present. Members are absent. Before I get into who the parties would like to re-call, I</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. All parties are present. Members are absent. Before I get into who the parties would like to re-call, I want to draw the parties' attention to the convening orders for this</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. All parties are present. Members are absent. Before I get into who the parties would like to re-call, I want to draw the parties' attention to the convening orders for this commission, specifically the language contained at the bottom of</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1347, 23 January 2024.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. All parties are present. Members are absent. Before I get into who the parties would like to re-call, I want to draw the parties' attention to the convening orders for this commission, specifically the language contained at the bottom of Military Commission Convening Order 23-02. I believe it also is</pre>

1049

1	this language, so I think it's appropriate for consideration by the
2	commission. But in that language, it states that first, it
3	provides some instructions as it pertains to before assembly.
4	We're clearly after assembly, so that's not applicable. But
5	after assembly, it states the number of primary if the number of
6	primary members falls below five members required for a quorum,
7	sufficient number of alternate members will be detailed to ensure
8	quorum.
9	Insomuch and then there's follow-on language. Insomuch
10	as that language articulates, it is the commission's interpretation
11	that we currently have the primary panel members. We will engage in
12	challenges of those primary panel members until we've exhausted those
13	challenges for cause.
14	The parties will then be permitted to exercise their
15	peremptory challenge. After the exercise of that peremptory
16	challenge, if we still have at least five primary panel members
17	available, no alternate members would be designated as primary
18	members, if you will.
19	If, for some reason, after the exercise of all those
20	challenges we fall below the quorum requirement to five, the
21	commission would then move or designate the next or the first
22	alternate member, if you will, based upon numeric order, lowest to
23	largest, the first of the alternates numerically to the primary panel

1050

1 and continue until that primary contains the minimum quorum of five 2 members.

As it pertains to alternates, the convening order also contains language that states that all alternates -- all additional alternates in excess of the first three shall be excused. If alternate members remain after challenges, the first three alternate members shall be retained. All additional alternates in excess of the first three shall be excused.

9 So given the use of the word "if," the commission views that 10 as the commission impaneling, if you will, three alternate members if 11 three alternate members remain at the conclusion of the exercise of 12 all challenges. So any members that would exist in excess of those 13 three alternate members would then be excused by the commission.

I want the parties to have the benefit of that interpretation before we go into the exercise of any challenges so that the parties can fully and meaningfully exercise their challenges as they see fit, understanding the impact that that may have on both the primary and the alternate members.

Are there any questions, or does anybody object to the court's interpretation of the language contained in the convening orders and the commission's way ahead?

22 Trial Counsel?

23 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No questions, Your Honor. And no

1051

1 objections.

2 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 3 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? CDC [MS. FUNK]: Nothing. Thank you. 4 5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 6 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 7 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We're good with that too, sir. 8 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Outstanding, okay. So, with that, Trial Counsel, do you request individual voir 9 10 dire? And if so, what is the member's number and then reason? 11 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the government does not request 12 individual voir dire. 13 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, do you request 14 individual voir dire of any panel member? If so, which member by number and then for what reason? 15 16 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Judge, we do request individual voir dire 17 on Panel Member 4 -- I'm sorry -- Panel Member 1, Panel Member 9, and 18 Panel Member 11. The reason being is the question -- I won't say it was inartfully drafted, because I don't want to insult the court, but 19 20 I think we probably had some input in that. But the fact of the matter is I believe that the members 21 22 panel, given the credibility of intelligence officers and their research and information that they receive, that they may have been 23

1052

1	answering that question based on the fact that intelligence officers
2	do generally have more information than the average person. So we'd
3	like to make that clarification.
4	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So you'd like to re-call Panel
5	Member Number 1 to ask about the affirmative response to my question
6	number 16 regarding members of law enforcement or members of the
7	intelligence community being more or less credible or trustworthy
8	than the average individual?
9	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: That's correct.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any other reason you'd like to
11	re-call Panel Member Number 1, Counsel?
12	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: No, Your Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And before we move to on to your
14	other members, Counsel, Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, do you have anything
15	you would like to talk to Panel Member Number 1 about in addition to
16	what has already been articulated?
17	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Not in addition to, sir.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Then moving on to Panel Member
19	Number 9, I believe you said, Counsel?
20	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Yes, Your Honor. For the same reasons.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Same response, sir.
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And then Panel Member Number 11.

1053

DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Same thing, sir. 1 2 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 3 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: And same response. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Any other individuals you'd like to 4 5 re-call? Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? 6 7 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 8 9 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 11 So the commission intends, in addition to Panel Members 1, 9, and 11, to re-call Panel Member Number 4, as Panel Member Number 4 12 13 answered affirmatively to my question regarding: "Having seen the 14 accused and having read the charges and specifications, does anyone 15 feel they cannot give each accused a fair trial?" 16 Additionally, Panel Member Number 4 answered in affirmative 17 to the very question that counsel for Mr. bin Amin is re-calling 18 Panel Members 1, 9, and 11. And, finally, this panel member articulated that he 19 has -- he's aware of a matter that would raise a substantial question 20 21 concerning his impartiality or participation in this trial. 22 The commission feels it's necessary to re-call that panel member to get additional information as to those questions. 23

1054

1	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
2	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, as to both Members 4 and 5, I
3	believe we may have an agreement by all parties that they should also
4	be struck for cause at this time.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Well, would you like to make that
6	motion at this time, and then I can get the parties' position on the
7	record?
8	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir.
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
10	Trial Counsel, counsel for Mr. bin Lep has requested Panel
11	Member Number 4 be excused for cause based upon his responses to my
12	questions 4, 16, and 28.
13	Do you object to a challenge for cause?
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
16	Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any objection?
17	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Very well.
19	Then that causal challenge is granted. Panel Member
20	Number 4 will be excused.
21	And then, I believe, Panel Member Number 5, Counsel; is that
22	correct?
23	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir, based on inelastic attitude

1055

1 towards sentencing.

2 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: I believe that was my question Number 23. 3 Yes.

4 Trial Counsel, any objection to defense counsel's motion to 5 excuse Panel Member Number 5 based upon cause given his response to 6 my question number 25 -- 23, excuse me, regarding inelastic 7 predisposition towards sentence? TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor. 8 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? 9 10 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor. 11 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Without objection, Panel Member 12 Number -- that request will be granted. Panel Member Number 5 will 13 be excused. 14 Anything further, then, before I re-call Panel Member Number 1, Trial Counsel? 15 16 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Nothing further, Your Honor. 17 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? CDC [MS. FUNK]: Nothing, Your Honor. 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 19 20 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Nothing, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Bailiff, if you could please have Panel 22 Member Number 1 join us. [Panel Member 1 entered the courtroom.] 23

1056

1 [The military commission was called to order at 1358, 2 23 January 2024.] 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please be seated. Thank you for joining us, Panel Member Number 1. We just 4 5 have some additional follow-up questions based upon the group 6 questioning we had earlier. 7 Counsel, if you want to proceed. DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Good afternoon, ma'am. 8 9 MEMBER 1: Good afternoon. 10 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: I have to be way over here. 11 During the voir dire questions, the judge asked you did anyone believe that members of law enforcement or members of the 12 13 intelligence community are more credible or trustworthy than the 14 average individual who may not know -- or may not be a government 15 agent. 16 I just wanted to seek some clarification on that and 17 understand your answer is all. 18 MEMBER 1: Okay. I answered yes. As a law enforcement or intel, I do believe you are more 19 20 credible based on what you do and the knowledge that you have in your 21 day-to-day activities. So that's why I answered yes. 22 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: When you say more credible, do you mean more truthful, or that they have more information? 23

1057

1	MEMBER 1: They have more information.
2	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: So are you able to judge the credibility
3	of law enforcement against the facts of the case and give a sentence
4	that is appropriate to whatever crime Mr. bin Amin has pled guilty to
5	rather than just assuming that everything that the law enforcement or
6	the government agents are saying is true?
7	MEMBER 1: Yes.
8	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Thank you, ma'am.
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, do you have any
10	additional questions you'd like to ask?
11	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No questions. Thank you, ma'am.
12	MEMBER 1: Sure.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel?
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: I have no questions, Your Honor.
15	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Sorry, Your Honor. I think the ma'am had
16	something else she wanted to say.
17	MEMBER 1: I have nothing. I'm just waiting on you, Judge.
18	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Okay. Okay.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: I do have a question for you.
20	So clearly you're going to potentially hear from multiple
21	witnesses. Those witnesses may, in some way or another, contradict
22	themselves, each other, other pieces of evidence.
23	As a panel member, one of your duties is to discern and

1058

1	weigh that information that you have available to you, apply it to
2	the instructions I give you, and find the appropriate sentence for
3	the accused based upon that evidence you have been provided.
4	Do you understand that?
5	MEMBER 1: I do, Judge.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So based upon that, it's not
7	expected that you give all information equal weight; however, it is
8	expected that you would use the same standards in weighing and
9	evaluating all of that evidence and the testimony of the witnesses as
10	placed before you.
11	Do you believe that you can do that and not give any one
12	particular witness' statements more or less credibility based upon
13	their status or station in life, or perhaps their particular duties?
14	MEMBER 1: I do.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Any reservation that you could not
16	do that?
17	MEMBER 1: I have no reservations.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Outstanding.
19	Does my question prompt any additional questions from
20	counsel?
21	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: No, Your Honor.
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir.
23	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
	1059

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Very well.
2	Ma'am, I'm going to have you return to the deliberation
3	room. I ask that you not discuss what we talked about in this
4	session with any of the other members.
5	If you could please have Panel Member Number 9 join us.
6	MEMBER 1: Yes, Judge.
7	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
8	[Panel Member 1 withdrew from the courtroom.]
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Please be seated.
10	And for purposes of individual voir dire, I'm going to ask
11	that I'm not going to require that the commission be brought to
12	attention for the entry and exit of individual members. We'll just
13	do that for panel members as a whole. I think that's appropriate
14	under the circumstances.
15	[Panel Member 9 entered the courtroom.]
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please have a seat. Thank you for joining
17	us, Panel Member Number 9. We just have some additional follow-up
18	for you based upon the group conversation earlier.
19	Actually, and could I have you move to the seat with the
20	microphone? It makes it a little easier for us. Thank you.
21	Counsel?
22	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Good afternoon. I just have some one
23	follow-up question, or maybe it might turn into two, but I just

1060

1 wanted to clarify one of your answers that you gave to the judge 2 earlier during the voir dire questioning. 3 The question was: Does anyone believe that members of law 4 enforcement or members of the intelligence community are more 5 credible or trustworthy than the average individual who may not be a 6 government agent? 7 I just wanted to ask you to clarify what you meant by your 8 answer. 9 MEMBER 9: Yes, ma'am. As a member of the intelligence 10 community, I know that I have access to additional information that 11 others may not, and I'm trained in analytic standards. So I answered in the affirmative on that based off of the intelligence community. 12 13 I don't have exposure to law enforcement. 14 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: So does that same hold true to the 15 truthfulness and the integrity of the government agents as well? 16 MEMBER 9: I would say that's an individual matter. But as a 17 community, I know that the intelligence community is trained to 18 analytic standards that speak to credibility. 19 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Just one more question. So given that, can you agree that if you're selected as a member of this panel that 20 you will evaluate the evidence and be able to determine the 21 22 appropriate sentence for Mr. bin Amin? 23 MEMBER 9: Yes, ma'am.

1061

DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Thank you. 1 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. Bin Lep? 2 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No questions, sir. And thank you. 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel? 4 5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No questions from the government, Your 6 Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: I just have a couple of follow-up 8 questions for you. 9 MEMBER 9: Yes, sir. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So one of your duties as a court member is 11 to weigh the evidence that you're provided in determining what an 12 appropriate sentence is based upon that evidence. 13 Do you understand that? 14 MEMBER 9: Yes, sir. 15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So it is possible that you will have 16 multiple pieces of evidence, and they may not all directly agree with 17 each other and actually may be in conflict. The weight that you give 18 those individual pieces of evidence is something that's within your 19 discretion as a court member. 20 Do you understand that? 21 MEMBER 9: Yes, sir. 22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So it's not expected that you give all evidence the same weight. However, what is expected is that you will 23

1062

1 use the same standards in weighing the weight that you -- weighing 2 and evaluating all of that evidence in determining how that impacts 3 your decision on sentence. Do you understand that? 4 5 MEMBER 9: I do, sir. 6 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Based upon that, can you agree to 7 give -- to use the same standards in weighing and evaluating the evidence before you and not give any particular testimony or evidence 8 9 more weight simply because of that individual's standing, status, 10 job, position in life? 11 MEMBER 9: Yes, sir. 12 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Any reservation that you could not apply 13 that principle? 14 MEMBER 9: None, sir. 15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. Did my questions prompt any 16 additional questions? 17 Counsel? CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. 18 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir. 19 20 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. 22 Panel Member Number 9, I'm going to have you return to the deliberation room. I ask that when you're in there you not discuss 23

1063

1 what we've talked about in this session with those panel members who 2 remain. 3 And if you could please have Panel Member Number 11 join us. MEMBER 9: Understood, sir. Thank you. 4 5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. [Panel Member 9 withdrew from the courtroom.] 6 7 [Pause.] 8 [Panel Member 11 entered the courtroom.] 9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you for joining us, Panel Member Number 11. We just have some additional follow-up based upon the 10 11 group questions we asked earlier. 12 Counsel? 13 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Good afternoon. I just have one 14 follow-up question about one of the questions that the judge asked 15 you during voir dire. Just seeking clarification is all. 16 The judge asked: Does anyone believe that members of law 17 enforcement or members of the intelligence community are more 18 credible or trustworthy than the average individuals who may not be a 19 government agent? 20 Can you clarify what you meant by when you said "yes"? 21 MEMBER 11: Absolutely -- [microphone button not pushed; no 22 audio.] 23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Panel Member Number 11, can you push the

1064

1 button on the microphone? And make sure the light's on before you
2 talk.

3 MEMBER 11: The light's actually going -- oh, it's the 4 opposite. Sorry.

5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: There we go.

6 MEMBER 11: As a member of the intelligence community, I 7 just -- I feel like that they have credible facts. They're seeing 8 more of a big picture than somebody would, you know, just a normal, 9 regular citizen would.

10 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: So it doesn't necessarily mean that they 11 are more truthful?

MEMBER 11: Absolutely. I don't think that it -- you know, just because you aren't in the community that you're going to peddle falsehoods. I just -- they usually have more facts or -- are well known.

- 16 DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: Thank you.
- 17 MEMBER 11: Thank you.
- 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Defense Counsel?
- 19 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Nothing, sir.
- 20 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
- 21 Trial Counsel?
- 22 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So I do have a couple follow-on questions

1065

1 based upon your response.

2 So one of your duties as a panel member is to weigh and 3 assess the evidence in front of you in determining what an 4 appropriate sentence is. There is the possibility that you may have 5 multiple pieces of evidence that conflict or contradict in some way. 6 By necessity, you don't -- you wouldn't be expected to

7 provide the same weight to all of those individual pieces of evidence 8 given, you know, that they would potentially be in contradiction with 9 each other in some way. That being said, it is expected that you 10 would apply the same standards in weighing all the evidence before 11 you.

And does all that make -- does that make sense?
MEMBER 11: Absolutely, sir.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So understanding that, could you agree to use the same standards in weighing and evaluating the evidence in front of you and not give more or less weight to a particular piece of evidence, especially as it relates to testimony because that evidence comes from somebody of a particular duty or station in life or rank or position or something, some characteristic like that?

21 MEMBER 11: Absolutely, sir.

22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Any question in your mind whatsoever that 23 you would not be able to apply the same standards in weighing

1066

1 evidence?

2	MEMBER 11: No, sir.
3	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Do my questions prompt any additional
4	questions of counsel?
5	DC [LtCol HIGGINS]: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir.
7	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Outstanding.
9	Thank you very much for your time, Panel Member Number 11.
10	I'm going to have you return to the deliberation room. I ask that
11	while you're in the deliberation room you not discuss what we've
12	discussed in this session with the other panel members.
13	Thank you.
14	MEMBER 11: Thank you, sir.
15	
	[Panel Member 11 withdrew from the courtroom.]
16	[Panel Member 11 withdrew from the courtroom.] MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has
16 17	
	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has departed.
17 18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has departed. Trial Counsel, do you have any challenges for cause?
17 18 19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has departed. Trial Counsel, do you have any challenges for cause? TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
17 18 19 20	<pre>MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Panel Member Number 11 has departed. Trial Counsel, do you have any challenges for cause? TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?</pre>

1067

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you, Counsel.
2	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
3	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No challenges, sir.
4	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, do you have any peremptory
5	challenges?
6	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
7	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, do you have any
8	peremptory challenges?
9	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Yes, Your Honor. We submit we would like to
10	peremptorily challenge Number 10.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, any matter you'd like to
12	place on the record regarding Mr. bin Amin's peremptory challenge
13	against Panel Member Number 10?
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Sir, we are aware of our right to
17	exercise a peremptory challenge. We are going to waive that.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
19	Counsel's peremptory challenge exercised against Panel
20	Member Number 10 is granted. Panel Member Number 10 shall be
21	excused.
22	[Pause.]
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Based upon the instructions

1068

1	contained in the convening order and those members who remain, it
2	appears that the following members would be primary panel members:
3	Number 1, 3, 9, 11, and 12.
4	And our alternate members would be: Panel Member 13, 14,
5	and 16.
6	Panel Members 17, 19, 21, and 22 would be excused as excess
7	alternate members.
8	Do the parties concur with the court's interpretation of the
9	convening orders, the exercises for challenge, and those panel
10	members that remain?
11	Trial Counsel? If you need a moment
12	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Just one moment, Your Honor.
13	[Counsel conferred.]
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the government concurs.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, do you concur?
16	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Yes, Your Honor.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
18	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We concur.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: It is also the commission's intention to
20	inform Panel Members 13, 14, and 16 that they are indeed alternate
21	members as the convening orders identified the panel into primary
22	versus alternate.
23	In that instruction, the commission will notify them that

1069

1 they have been designated as an alternate member. They will have the 2 same duties as other members. They will observe the same proceeding, 3 pay attention to all of my instructions, and have the ability to ask 4 questions if they desire.

5 Explain to them that should a member be excused due to 6 illness or some other reason, that they may become a primary member; 7 and then to inform them that, unless later designated as a primary 8 member, they would not participate in deliberations or vote on a 9 sentence, just to place the grouping that they saw in the convening 10 order into some context for those panel members.

11 Trial Counsel, do you object to the commission informing 12 those alternate members at this time of their status, if you will? 13 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.

14 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?

15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I apologize, Your Honor. I'm unable to put 16 my hands on that exact document. I want to make sure I heard you 17 correctly.

Are you saying that the juror -- the panel members have already received a document listing primary and alternate designations?

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Yes. If you look at the convening orders, 22 23-02, 23-01 specifically, you'll see that there are -- well, in 23 actuality, based upon the way that the vicing -- no. If you look at

1070

1

23-02, I believe ----

2 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Actually, Your Honor, I can see it in 22-01 3 on page 2. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Yeah. Do you see the reference ----4 5 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I do. 6 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: ---- I'm talking about? 7 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I do, and I see it's in actually both, 22-01 and 22-02. 8 9 Normally I would object to jurors being advised that they're merely an alternate; however, since the cat's out of the bag, I have 10 11 no objection. 12 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 13 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We also have no objection, sir. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 14 15 So for a way ahead, I'm going to call the members, announce 16 for them those that have been excused, identify the primaries, 17 alternates, provide the instruction I just provided the parties, as 18 well as then place the -- retire the panel to the deliberation room. 19 There are some administrative matters that they need to attend to at 20 that time. 21 While that's happening, then, I believe that would be an 22 appropriate time to take up the prosecution exhibits I have not preadmitted at this time, absent -- assuming the parties are prepared 23

1 to talk about that particular matter. 2 I do appreciate that there's a 1515 recess, but I think we 3 can get some work done before we hit that point. 4 Trial Counsel? 5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: I concur, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? 6 7 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for ----8 9 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Awesome. 11 With that, bailiff, please call the Members. 12 [Panel members entered the courtroom.] 13 [The military commission was called to order at 1421, 14 23 January 2024.] 15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. 16 Parties are present. Members are present. 17 Members, shortly I will announce those members who are being excused. As I mentioned earlier, it's no adverse reflection upon a 18 member to be excused from a particular case. 19 20 For those members who have been excused, let me advise you 21 of one matter. In the event you are asked about your service on this 22 commission, I remind you of the oath that you took. 23 Because none of you have been part of any deliberations, you

1072

1 may discuss all of your involvement in this commission, including 2 your personal observations in the courtroom and the process of how a 3 military commission functions.

However, I instruct you to refrain from having those
discussions with one another, the members who have remained, counsel
for either side, or anyone else until the trial has ended.

7 I am also reminding you of the protective order that was issued by this court in AE 0006.006 (TJ), a copy of which you've 8 previously been provided. Specifically, I want to draw your 9 10 attention to that order as it relates to protecting the identity of 11 the members of this commission. That protective order remains in place, and you are not to reveal the identities of the members of 12 13 this commission unless directed to do so by this commission or another court of competent jurisdiction. 14

15 Are there any questions as to my directions so far, Panel 16 Members?

17 That's a negative response from all panel members.

Members, the following panel members have been excused: Panel Member Number 4, Number 5, Number 10, Number 17, Number 19, Number 21, and Number 22.

The following persons will be primary members on this panel: 22 1, 3, 9, 11, and 12.

23 The following persons will be alternate members on this

1073

1 panel: Member 13, 14, and 16.

Members 13, 14, and 16, you have been designated as an alternate member of this commission. As an alternate member, you have the same duties as the other members. You must observe the same proceeding, pay attention to all of my instructions, and you have the ability to ask questions.

Sometimes during a commission a member might be excused due to illness or some other reason. If that occurs, you may be designated as a primary member. Unless you are later designated as a primary member, you will not participate in the deliberations or vote on a sentence.

Panel Members, we are now going to take a recess to allow the courtroom to be adjusted and to accommodate the changes to the panel I just announced. Additionally, there are some administrative matters that will be brought to your attention in the deliberation room to continue your participation as members of this commission.

For those of you who have been excused, this concludes your service to this commission with my thanks and appreciation for your time today.

20 With that, Panel Members, you are excused. Please return to 21 the deliberation room.

22 [Panel members withdrew from the courtroom.]

23 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1426,

1 23 January 2024.]

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order.
Parties are present. Members are absent.
Trial Counsel, appreciating where we are at in this
proceeding, before we take up the matter of the preadmission of your
prosecution exhibits, when we conclude with that, would you be ready

7 to begin your sentencing case in chief, or will you require a recess 8 for the day to resume tomorrow morning?

9 I know it's just about 1430 right now, so we do have some 10 daytime left to conduct some business, but I appreciate witnesses may 11 be involved, so...

12 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. We would actually request 13 a recess for the day so that we can have the time to prepare our 14 witnesses for testimony starting first thing in the morning.

15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you, Trial Counsel.

16 Then let's move into the taking up of Prosecution Exhibits 5 17 through 30 for identification. I did have an opportunity to review 18 those exhibits.

Defense Counsel, it's my understanding that you had no objection at the time these were offered earlier today. Is that still the case?

22 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?

23 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Your Honor, if we may be heard on a separate

1075

1 issue?

As the court is aware, our exhibits have not yet been marked. It would be our request that all of the exhibits go to the panel together, and so we would start testimony this afternoon.

I am aware of at least 11, probably closer to 15, written statements that have already been provided to us. I think in the interest of fairness, we should break and then give the jurors their reading materials, give them three hours to review all of the exhibits, and then proceed with -- and do that tomorrow morning.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Just so I'm tracking the request, take up the preadmission matter right now, and then today provide the panel members copies of all the exhibits or tomorrow morning provide them an opportunity to review all the exhibits, give them some time to conduct that review, and then move forward with the taking of any evidence in the government's case in chief? Is that the request?

16 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Well, I can't speak to whether or not the 17 government might have some witnesses who would be able to testify 18 today. I know the government has been meeting with them regularly, 19 so ----

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And, Counsel, if it helps, I believe the government's request is to, once we resolve this matter, to recess for the day and then resume tomorrow morning. However, given we're all here and on the record, the commission is going to take up the

1076

1	preadmission matter, because that is still outstanding, as well as
2	there was some discussion about how witness testimony may be
3	presented in the commission, and the commission wants to get a clear
4	understanding of that before we so if there's an issue, we can
5	take it up now as opposed to taking time away from us tomorrow.
6	CDC [MS. FUNK]: So to be clear, we don't have any issue with
7	the preadmission. It's rather, it's the publication to the jury
8	that we would request a different perspective on.
9	Thank you.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And it wasn't the intent of the commission
11	to publish anything. Actually, it wasn't the intent of the
12	commission, based upon trial counsel's position, to start their
13	sentencing case today. We would pick up tomorrow morning with that.
14	Does that resolve your concern?
15	CDC [MS. FUNK]: It does, Your Honor. Thank you.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
17	TC [COL KRAEHE]: To be clear, Your Honor, we are not seeking
18	publication today. I discussed this with Ms. Funk during a recess,
19	and I think it's fair that if we do publish them en masse to the
20	panel, we do so for both sides at the same time.
21	So we'll be publishing these to the panel as they're
22	introduced through the witnesses. And then obviously at the end
23	they'll have all the exhibits to consider during their deliberations.

1077

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you, Trial Counsel.
2	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, anything additional or perspective
3	on the way ahead?
4	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No. Just to be clear, sir, as to
5	admission of the prosecution exhibits, we have no objection as to the
6	scheduling/publication matter. With respect, we take no position on
7	that.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel.
9	And, Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, still correct, no objection
10	to Government or to Prosecution Exhibits 5 through 30 for
11	identification?
12	CDC [MS. FUNK]: That's correct.
13	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Trial Counsel, I want to direct
14	your attention to
15	TC [COL KRAEHE]: I'm sorry to interrupt, Your Honor. We
16	actually had one late exhibit come in. It is another victim impact
17	statement. I do apologize for the late request that it be admitted,
18	but I believe we have a statutory and, more importantly, a moral
19	obligation to make sure that every victim who wishes to be heard is
20	heard. This came in late last evening, and we did want to amend our
21	witness list to include this one last victim impact statement.
22	I have already provided copies of it to defense counsel. I
23	believe they have no objection to its admission. So we do have one

1078

1 last one to add.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: When was this provided to defense counsel? TC [COL KRAEHE]: During, I think, the lunch recess or right afterwards.

5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Defense Counsel, did you receive -- well, 6 let's identify this for the record.

Counsel, I note that PE 30 has already been marked for the
record, so the next prosecution exhibit in line is going to be PE 31.

9 Has that been marked by -- has your exhibit been marked by 10 the court reporter?

11 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Not yet, Your Honor.

12 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Then let's do that at this time. 13 That document is going to be marked as PE 31 for identification.

14 How many pages is it, Counsel?

15 TC [COL KRAEHE]: The exhibit itself is just one page, Your 16 Honor -- it's two pages, Your Honor.

17

May I approach, Your Honor?

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please. If you can ensure the court reporter has the original, and that I am provided a working copy of PE Exhibit 31 for identification.

21 [Pause.]

22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, on the copy provided to the court 23 reporter that I'm looking right now -- looking at right now, excuse

1079

1 me, I want to be clear for the record.

2 Prosecution Exhibit 30 is your index. Prosecution 3 Exhibit 31 is going to be the additional character letter, two pages. So they were marked -- they were premarked accidentally. 4 5 I'm quessing that was just an administrative oversight. That's 6 simple enough, but I just want to make sure everybody is aware of 7 what we're referencing when we're referencing these exhibits, as Prosecution Exhibit 30 has already been identified for the record as 8 9 a document. 10 Defense Counsel, before I take up your matter, have you seen 11 what I have as Prosecution Exhibit 31 for identification? It is a 12 two-page document. It appears to be a character letter and a photo 13 collage on the second page. 14 Have you seen that document? 15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I have, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 17 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, have you also seen that document? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We have, Your Honor. 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: 19 Okay. CDC [MS. FUNK]: Your Honor, while it was given to my team 20 21 before lunch, I just had an opportunity to view it now. 22 I saw the statement earlier, and I agree with the government that there's both a legal and a moral obligation to listen to any and 23

1080

1 all victims who wish to be heard in this matter. 2 However, as to the second page of the exhibit, I do object. 3 I think it is -- there are photos and there is commentary, and I think it is designed to inflame the passions of the jury. I think 4 5 that it is -- well, it lacks foundation also. But mostly my concern 6 is that this second page is not a statement from a victim, per se, 7 and I don't think it qualifies. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you, Defense Counsel. 8 9 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, do you object to Prosecution 10 Exhibit 31 for identification, the character statement? 11 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We do not, sir. 12 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So, Trial Counsel, I'll have you respond 13 to defense counsel's objection to Prosecution Exhibit 31 for 14 identification as it relates to page 2. I believe the basis was authentication of foundation, as well as a 403 argument that it would 15 16 inflame the passions of the sentencing authority. 17 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the second page is merely photos. They're not graphic in any manner. They're just photos of 18 the faces of a number of the people who are presumably victims of the 19 20 October 12th bombings. I think the photos have to be understood in the context of 21 22 the victim impact statement that the photos were sent along with. I think it's pretty clear what these photos are. They're just 23

1081

1 photographs of the victims.

As for the commentary at the bottom of this photo collage, there's nothing inflammatory about it. It just says "We will not forget you" or "The last time we saw you, October 12th, 2002." It's a fairly anodyne statement, given the circumstances.

6 The names of the victims have already been -- well, they're 7 part of the charging document. A memorial to the victims, a 8 photograph of that, has already been entered into evidence, I 9 believe. And this is really not a lot different other than that it 10 somewhat personalizes the names by showing faces.

I believe these are faces. It's logical, anyway, that these are faces of the Australian victims. That seems to be the logical conclusion to be made based on the victim impact statement itself.

But, Your Honor, I think this is -- it's relevant evidence. It's in the context of a victim impact statement. And I think, actually, under the pretrial agreement it could be considered part of the victim impact statement. It's not unduly prejudicial by any means.

So I think it should come into evidence, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: How about the Military Commission Rule of
Evidence portion of defense counsel's objection: Foundation,
authentication? I think you hinted at that in your response of "I
think we can assume that these are photos of." I think -- I think

1082

1 that directly echoes defense counsel's objection. I believe the rules of evidence do apply to 1001 -- evidence 2 offered under M.C.R.E. 1001, at least at this point in the 3 4 proceeding. 5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Well, Your Honor, technically, the -- for 6 foundational purposes, to get all of these photographs in evidence, 7 you might actually need quite a number of different witnesses to come forward, victim family members for each one of these individuals. 8 9 That does not seem reasonable. 10 I think this --11 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, don't the foundational -- don't 12 those rules apply? 13 TC [COL KRAEHE]: They would apply, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: I appreciate that it would be difficult to 14 15 lay the foundation potentially for this. I think there's a couple 16 ways one could potentially do that. 17 Not having the facts in front of me and not knowing the source of this page, but ultimately the commission's left in the 18 position of determining whether this fits within the admissibility 19 20 parameters of 1001, part of which is the Military Commission Rules of Evidence. 21 22 So that's the part I'm trying to step through. TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, it may be possible through one 23

1083

1 of the witnesses that we do have designated to lay the foundation for 2 admission of these photographs.

3 So perhaps at this time I could just ask that this -- that 4 the second page of this exhibit be marked for identification 5 purposes, and I could take up laying the foundation for it later.

But we do ask that the victim impact statement itself, the first page of Exhibit 30 [sic], be admitted into evidence. As to that, I don't believe there's any objection.

9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Defense Counsel, as it pertains to 10 page 1 of Prosecution Exhibit 31 for identification, is there an 11 objection to that?

12 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: As I understood your objection, it was merely page 2 of Prosecution Exhibit 31 for identification; is that correct?

16 CDC [MS. FUNK]: That is correct.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So, then, how we are going to handle this is Prosecution Exhibit 31 for identification will not be admitted into evidence.

Trial Counsel, I'm going to have you mark page 1 of Prosecution Exhibit 31 for identification as Prosecution Exhibit 32 for identification. It will be a one-page document consisting only of that letter. And then the court will consider Prosecution

1084

1 Exhibit 32 for identification as it takes up the rest of the 2 prosecution exhibits for purposes of sentencing. 3 On that note, Trial Counsel, I want to direct you to Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification. While there was not a 4 5 defense objection, and the commission views as taking that matter 6 under consideration, the commission notes in the second-to-last 7 paragraph on page 2 of 2 of Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification 8 the sentence that starts "I asked the judge." Trial Counsel, is that an impermissible sentencing 9 10 recommendation? 11 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Well, Your Honor, that kind of statement is 12 consistent with victim impact statements I have heard my entire 13 career. So I would say no, I don't believe that is an impermissible 14 request. I think that's exactly the kind of thing one often hears 15 16 from victims, is a request that the maximum punishment be imposed or 17 some other punishment. That is not unusual in the context of a 18 victim impact statement. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: While I do appreciate there is some 19 20 discretion the commission must apply in ascertaining what is and is 21 not a -- either a flat-out sentencing recommendation or a euphemism 22 for certain types of sentences often seen in military courts-martial as euphemisms for discharge typically where you see this problem, 23

1085

1 here what we have is a statement asking the judge to place the full 2 and maximum sentence possible.

That seems to be a request for the maximum punishment authorized that the panel would be instructed upon as opposed to severe punishment or something a little more nebulous that isn't directly directing the panel upon the sentence they should adjudge.

And do you see that differently, Trial Counsel?
TC [COL KRAEHE]: I do, Your Honor, but I can accept that
reasonable minds might differ.

10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

11 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, I appreciate you had no objection 12 to this exhibit, and you may not have any objection based upon the 13 court's identification of this line. But I did want to at least get 14 any perspective as to this particular issue you may have.

15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I appreciate that, Your Honor. And we have 16 been in communication with the government regarding some other 17 letters that make recommendations for one thing or another, not quite 18 as boldly as this one.

So I -- I would not object if the court is inclined to take out that sentence.

I also am aware, and we've discussed this last week ----MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, do you object to that sentence? CDC [MS. FUNK]: I do object to that sentence.

1086

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
2	CDC [MS. FUNK]: And I also want to call to the court's
3	attention and I know we discussed my concerns about the letters
4	last week reading of a statement prior to the testimony of the
5	victims.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And we will yes, Counsel,
7	that's after I have handled the preadmission, I want to get some
8	clarity on the government's intent on that so that we can resolve any
9	outstanding issues on that today so that all the parties have a way
10	ahead a clear way ahead tomorrow and can do any adjusting they may
11	need to do ultimately.
12	Anything further, Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
13	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No. Thank you.
14	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.
15	Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, sir. May I make a brief commented
17	on this?
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: You may.
19	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We believe that after two decades of
20	delay in this case, these victims have every right to be heard and to
21	be heard completely. To the extent that this sentence is
22	objectionable, we waive objection to it on that basis.
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

1087

1

LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Thank you, Judge.

2 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you.

3 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, I'd also like to note that the 4 defense has requested an instruction that will instruct the panel as 5 to how to consider the victim impact statements. And I think that 6 that instruction -- we're still working out the particulars of it, 7 but I think that that will resolve any of these issues and make clear 8 to the panel what kinds of information they should give credibility 9 to or consider in the context of the victim impact statements.

10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So for the court to properly 11 consider that instruction and determine whether that cures a 12 potential impermissible sentencing recommendation, the court 13 needs -- the commission, excuse me, needs to see that instruction.

I'm going to place the commission in a 10-minute recess. I'm going to ask the parties to get together and finish the work that they have on that particular tailored instruction and provide it to the bailiff to provide to me in chambers so that I may examine it.

When we come back on the record, then I will have the benefit of that additional information to determine whether, in the commission's perspective, that revolves the matter. And then the commission can rule appropriately based upon all of the government's prosecution exhibits for identification.

23

Is there anything further, then, that we can take up before

1088

1 I place this commission into that recess? Trial Counsel? 2 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin? 4 5 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 6 7 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. This commission's in a 8 9 10-minute recess. [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1449, 23 January 2024.] 10 11 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1507, 12 23 January 2024.] 13 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. The 14 parties are present. Members are absent. 15 During the recess the parties did provide me, through the 16 bailiff, a copy of a draft sentencing -- I'm assuming sentencing 17 instruction here that discusses specifically victim impact testimony 18 and places it -- or attempts to place it into some context for the sentencing authority. 19 20 The commission will reserve ruling on the appropriateness of 21 that tailored instruction until we're at the point in the proceeding 22 where the commission has the benefit of the evidence presented, just to ensure that the instruction appropriately fits the case based upon 23

1089

1 the evidence that does come out.

But thanks to the parties for their work in getting that to the commission, as it did answer some questions and provide some context to the commission.

I am going to have that draft instruction marked as the next appellate exhibit in line, and I'm handing the original to the court reporter for that purpose.

8 Having considered the information contained in the proffer 9 of the tailored instruction provided by the parties, as well as the 10 position of the parties, and the law as it pertains to permissible 11 statements made in victim impact evidence governed by 1001 as 12 aggravation evidence, also ----

13 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Excuse me, Your Honor, I apologize.

I have some additional information about my prior motions.
MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Yes, ma'am.

16 CDC [MS. FUNK]: At this time we are withdrawing our request 17 to remove either the language referenced in this statement, as well 18 as withdrawing our request to remove the photographs.

In speaking with the government, I have been informed that the United States of America is taking the position that my objecting to those exhibits is a violation of our pretrial agreement. While I think that reasonable minds can differ on whether or not it's an actual violation, we need to agree to the government's satisfaction.

1090

1	So we are withdrawing our request to remove those from the
2	jury's view. Thank you.
3	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So you are removing your objection
4	as it pertains to Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification, as well
5	as Prosecution Exhibit it actually would be 30, the complete or
6	I'm sorry, 31, the two-page copy of the victim statement to include
7	the second page of pictures.
8	Am I understanding that correctly, Defense Counsel?
9	CDC [MS. FUNK]: You are, Your Honor.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you.
11	So based upon that, Trial Counsel, is the commission correct
12	in assuming that you would move to admit Prosecution Exhibit 31, and
13	not Prosecution Exhibit 32?
14	TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is correct, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
16	Appreciating the time, appreciating the statement just made
17	by defense counsel, and the inquiry the commission has gone into with
18	regards to the understandings of the parties as it pertains to the
19	pretrial agreement, I am going to at this point take the recess that
20	I normally have scheduled for 1515 to 1545 to review the pretrial
21	agreement and determine if further inquiry is required, based upon
22	statements of counsel, and how these objections have been placed on
23	the record, removed from the record, to ensure that the commission

1091

1 does not have a concern regarding the understanding of the terms of 2 the pretrial agreement.

The regularly scheduled recess should give the commission enough time to conduct that inquiry, come back on the record, and determine whether or not it feels additional questioning is required of the parties, to include Mr. bin Amin himself, as it would be his agreement that is now being discussed.

8 I would encourage counsel for Mr. bin Amin to talk with 9 Mr. bin Amin about that.

10 Trial Counsel, I would encourage you to ensure that you have 11 the understanding of the convening authority, who is the party of the 12 agreement with Mr. bin Amin, and any attenuant positions that -- any 13 associated, excuse me, positions that the convening authority may 14 have, so that you can speak on behalf of the convening authority as 15 it pertains to that term of the agreement.

16 The commission, once it's satisfied of that requirement, 17 will then go into the actual consideration of the matter at bar, 18 which is the preadmission of the government's exhibits, prosecution 19 exhibits.

Is there anything else we can take up, then, before I recess this commission until 1545?

22 Trial Counsel?

23 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.

1092

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
2	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor.
3	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
4	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then. This commission's in
6	recess until 1545.
7	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1513, 23 January 2024.]
8	[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1550,
9	23 January 2024.]
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission is called to order.
11	Parties that were present when the commission recessed are
12	again present. Members remain absent.
13	Counsel, before we continue with consideration of the
14	pretrial agreement, it's my intent to call in the members and recess
15	them for the day. I don't see a need, based upon where we're at in
16	this proceeding and where we're going ahead, to have them waiting in
17	the deliberation room unnecessarily.
18	Does any party have any objection to that approach?
19	Trial Counsel?
20	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No objection, Your Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
22	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No objection, Your Honor.
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?

1093

LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection.
MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then.
Bailiff, please call the members.
[The military commission was called to order at 1552,
23 January 2024.]
[Panel members entered the courtroom.]
MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order.
All parties are present, to include the members.
Panel Members, there's some additional work that I'm going
to take up or some additional matters I'm going to take up outside
of your presence.
Based upon where we are at right now, I don't anticipate we
will get back on the record today. So instead of having you waiting
back in the deliberation room, I think this is a good opportunity to
send you home for the day.
We will pick up tomorrow morning at 0900. So you can plan
on if you could please be back in the deliberation room ready to
go at 0900, I will endeavor to make sure we get on the record as
close to 0900 as I can.
Before I excuse you, though, I do want to remind you of some
matters. First, as I advised you earlier, during the course of this
trial, to include this overnight recess and, Members, you will
notice I pause from time to time. It is an administrative piece of

1094

1	this proceeding that sometimes I talk too fast and I need to slow
2	down a little bit. So if I take an unusual pause, that is what's
3	going on there, just to demystify what's going on.
4	So during the recess, any recess, but include this recess
5	overnight, you must not communicate with anyone about this case.
6	Should someone want to communicate with you about this case, you are
7	directed to tell them to stop, to remove yourself from the situation,
8	and report that matter to me at our immediately at our next open
9	session.
10	Do all panel members understand my instruction?
11	That's an affirmative response from all panel members.
12	Do all panel members agree to follow my instruction?
13	That's an affirmative response from all panel members.
14	Additionally, I want to remind you of the caution that I
15	gave you earlier that you may not conduct any research about this
16	case. So don't consult the Manual for Courts-Martial, the Manual for
17	Military Commissions, Wikipedia, Google, any law dictionary, regular
18	dictionary, or other reference materials to learn about this case,
19	about any of the names of witnesses you may have heard as related to
20	this case, or any other matter related to this case.
21	Finally, I caution you that while you may not communicate
22	about this case, communication does include posting on the Internet,
23	Facebook, Reddit, texting, talking with spouses, anything like that.

1095

1 So please don't discuss the case. Please don't conduct any 2 external research. 3 Do all panel members understand my caution? That's an affirmative response from all panel members. 4 5 Do all panel members agree to follow my caution? 6 That's an affirmative response from all panel members. 7 Very well, then, Panel Members. That will conclude what I 8 require of you today. Please be ready to proceed tomorrow morning at 0900. Thank you. 9 10 You are excused. 11 [Panel members withdrew from the courtroom.] [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1555, 12 13 23 January 2024.] 14 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will again come to order. 15 The parties are present. Members are now absent. 16 Counsel, I want to draw your attention to the pretrial 17 agreement in the case of United States -- in the commission of the 18 United States v. Bin Amin, specifically paragraph 10 of that agreement that discusses in the sentencing proceeding of the case, 19 the government and Mr. bin Amin may present evidence consistent with 20 21 the term of paragraph 10, subject to any rulings by the military 22 judge regarding matters in aggravation and extenuation of mitigation. Mr. bin Amin agrees to allow the government to offer his signed 23

1096

Stipulation of Fact and live testimony or written statements from
 victims and/or their families.

3 It continues on to discuss limitations for the defense as 4 far as witnesses they may call as it pertains to sentencing.

5 There's additional terms in there regarding notice that the 6 parties will provide to each other regarding the evidence that will 7 be provided. The minimum of notice -- that Mr. bin Amin agrees that 8 this provision does not interfere with his ability to present an 9 effective and complete case in extenuation and mitigation as 10 permitted by R.M.C. 1001.

During the inquiry last week, we had actually a more detailed discussion specifically about paragraph 10. I had the parties provide me their understanding of paragraph 10, how that relates to defense's ability to object.

My recollection was that at that time it was explained to me by the parties, and agreed to by the parties, that defense objections were largely limited to format vice substance.

18

Is my recollection correct?

19 I'm going to start with you, Counsel for Mr. bin Amin.
20 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I recall a discussion last week about
21 evidence submissions. I apologize. I'm not sure what you mean by
22 format.

23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: The mode by which the government -- I

1097

1 think the question at the time was the mode by which the government 2 wished to introduce the information, via sworn statement, unsworn statement. I believe that was at the time what the commission was 3 4 focused on. You had raised a concern about that. 5 The commission wanted to ensure that it understood what the 6 parties had agreed to. And at that time it was that format versus 7 the substance of the statement itself discussion that occurred. Ιt 8 was the commission's understanding that the defense could object to 9 the format, i.e., a sworn statement, unsworn statement, the mode of 10 presentation vice the contents or the substance of the statement 11 itself. 12 Is that understanding correct?

13 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. It is not.

14 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: But what I can tell you is our positions have 16 evolved since our discussion last week.

Our team has been working with the government closely. We had some concerns about some of the written statements that were submitted, where there would be live testimony with language similar to the language in Exhibit 30 -- no -- I think it was 25.

21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

22 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Talking about ----

23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And that was the statements that the

1098

1 commission was asking whether or not was a recommendation as to 2 sentence by a victim? 3 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Correct. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 4 5 CDC [MS. FUNK]: And it is my understanding that, based on our 6 concerns, the government has gone to the victim family members who 7 are here and asked them to consider changing certain language. And 8 it's my understanding that that's been accomplished. 9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. 10 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, if I may be heard on this 11 matter? MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: You may, but one moment, Counsel. 12 13 I have a follow-on question, because I want to make sure I understand defense's position first, and then I'm going to come back 14 to you, Trial Counsel. So if you could please hold on to your 15 16 comment. 17 Okay. So, Defense Counsel, what is your understanding as to the agreement between the government and the defense as outlined by 18 paragraph 10 of the pretrial agreement? Because I think we can all 19 agree that the commission has a responsibility to ensure that the 20 21 understandings of all parties of this agreement, specifically the 22 accused and the convening authority, are in alignment.

23 Counsel also signed this agreement.

1099

1 So I have to make sure there's a meeting of the minds and be 2 satisfied that there's a meeting of the minds. 3 CDC [MS. FUNK]: Understood, Your Honor. It is my understanding, after additional conversations with 4 5 the government, that paragraph 10 indicates that it is not okay to 6 object to foundation in the submission of statements, but that 403 7 objections are available to us. And I will now sit down and defer to the government because, 8 9 based on our conversations during the break, I think that they can 10 more accurately address the issue. 11 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. I can appreciate that. However, 12 it's still important for the commission to make sure that everybody's 13 on the same page, and that everybody agrees to the same terms, and 14 agreed to the same terms in this agreement when it was entered 15 between the convening authority and the accused. 16 So I'm going to come back to make sure that we are indeed 17 there. Because if we're not, then the commission, I think, is 18 responsible for ensuring that this proceeding complies with R.M.C. -- with the rules provided in the manual. 19 20 Trial Counsel. TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, defense counsel has accurately 21 22 characterized what that provision of the pretrial agreement is 23 intended to mean.

1100

1	I think previously she somewhat overstated the government's
2	position with respect to that by asserting that the pretrial
3	agreement required them to waive all objections to admission of
4	victim impact statements. In fact, they may object as to matters
5	such as 403, but not as to foundation.
6	I also wanted to advise the commission that we are now
7	taking the position and will withdraw the photograph that is attached
8	to Prosecution Exhibit
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Prosecution Exhibit 31?
10	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Prosecution Exhibit I think it's 32
11	now.
12	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: 31 contains the photographs, 32 does not.
13	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Okay. So we'll withdraw 31, Your Honor.
14	We will also agree to redaction of Prosecution Exhibit 25,
15	the statement regarding the recommendation of sentence.
16	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Before I get to the prosecution
17	exhibit and thank you, Trial Counsel. I want to work through this
18	issue by issue here.
19	So as it pertains to paragraph 10 of the pretrial agreement
20	between the United States and Mr. bin Amin, it is the commission's
21	understanding that the parties this form versus substance and I
22	apologize. That is inartful. Those are my words, not necessarily

1101

1	
1	The position of the parties is and has been that
2	Mr. bin Amin, through his defense counsel, can object to government
3	sentencing exhibits based upon Military Rule of Evidence 403, but is
4	waiving foundational objections to those exhibits; is that accurate?
5	TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is correct, Your Honor.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Mr. bin Amin, is excuse me.
7	Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, is that also your understanding?
8	CDC [MS. FUNK]: It is my understanding. And we have
9	previously indicated to the prosecution that we will not be making
10	foundation objections.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Correct. And insomuch as one was made,
12	you withdrew it. I understand that now, and that places that into
13	better context for the commission, so thank you.
14	[Pause.]
15	Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, have you had an opportunity during
16	the break to discuss paragraph number 10 of the agreement with your
17	client, Mr. bin Amin?
18	CDC [MS. FUNK]: I have, Your Honor.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Mr. bin Amin, I have been
20	discussing paragraph 10 of your pretrial agreement with your counsel
21	and trial counsel.
22	Counsel, can we make sure Mr. bin Amin has a copy of his
23	pretrial agreement? And if we could show him specifically paragraph

1102

1 number 10.

2 Okay. I want you to take a look at paragraph number 10. 3 Look up at me when you're done. I know you've read this before, but 4 I want you to read it again and look up at me when you're done. 5 [Pause.]

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Mr. bin Amin, paragraph number 10 discusses sentencing evidence, specifically sentencing evidence that your counsel can admit on your behalf explaining extenuation and mitigation as applied to sentence, the sentencing proceedings of this case. And also paragraph 10 discusses what the government can introduce as matters in aggravation as to the offenses to which you have pled guilty.

13

Do you understand that?

ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: **[Speaking in English]** Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Now, what I've been talking about with counsel, your defense counsel and trial counsel, is how this term of your agreement operates, because it became -- based upon what has happened today, I had questions as to whether there was an understanding between the parties that was shared.

20 Counsel have provided me information that states that while 21 you have waived the right to object to foundational issues as it 22 applies to evidence, you have not waived your right to object to the 23 government's sentencing evidence as it applies to what we call

1103

1 Military Rule of Evidence -- or, I'm sorry, Military Commission Rule of Evidence 403, sometimes colloquially called -- referred to as a 2 balancing test. It's a rule that allows the commission to determine 3 the probative value of certain types of evidence and contrast that 4 5 with prejudicial effect that that evidence may have in a proceeding. 6 It's a lot of legal terms, and I apologize, but have you had 7 an opportunity to discuss that matter with your defense counsel? 8 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 9 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. In agreeing to waive the making of 10 any foundational objections to the government's evidence, you are, in 11 essence, giving up the right to have me determine whether the government's evidence is admissible based upon certain Military 12 13 Commission Rules of Evidence. 14 Do you understand that? 15 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And in doing so, that means that I 17 don't get to make that determination which, based upon how I rule, 18 could have excluded certain pieces of government sentencing evidence. 19 Do you understand that? 20 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So that, in essence, alleviates the 22 government's requirement that they would have to introduce evidence to prove that the evidence they're offering is admissible for a 23

1104

1 sentencing proceeding.

23

originally approved.

2 You understand that? 3 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And, additionally, by waiving that 4 5 right, you may be waiving -- you would be waiving any additional 6 review of my decision on whether or not that evidence is admissible 7 by an appellate court or higher level. You understand that? 8 9 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Did you understand all of those matters at the time you entered into your pretrial agreement? 11 12 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 13 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Based on our discussion, do you 14 have any questions as to this provision of your pretrial agreement? 15 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] None, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: With the clarification and discussion we 17 just had about this term of your pretrial agreement, do you wish to 18 remain bound by your pretrial agreement? 19 ACC [MR. BIN AMIN]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Trial Counsel, have you discussed this 20 21 matter with the convening authority? 22 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Not since the pretrial agreement was

1	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Was this the understanding of the
2	convening authority at the time you discussed this with the convening
3	authority, basically when the pretrial agreement was approved?
4	TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is my understanding. Yes, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Do the parties feel additional
6	inquiry is required as to this matter?
7	Trial Counsel?
8	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Defense Counsel?
10	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. Based upon this additional
12	clarification, I do find that the parties do have a meeting of the
13	minds as to the operation of the terms contained in paragraph 10. I
14	find that the accused shares in that understanding with the convening
15	authority.
16	I find that the accused has properly entered into his
17	pretrial agreement and find that it does not violate notions of
18	fairness or public policy or otherwise violate the Rules for Military
19	Commissions.
20	Based upon that, I see no need to open the providency
21	inquiry as to the offenses to which the accused has pled guilty or
22	otherwise change any findings in this military commission.
23	Having resolved that matter, now I would like to turn my

1106

attention -- our attention, excuse me, to the government exhibits. 1 2 So, Trial Counsel, as it relates to Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification, you are going to redact on page 2 of 2 of 3 Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification the sentence: I ask the 4 5 judge to place the full and maximum sentence possible to these two 6 terrorists who, by their own admission, participated in the most 7 savage destruction of life possible. Is that correct? 8 9 TC [COL KRAEHE]: That is correct, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Defense Counsel, understanding that 11 Prosecution Exhibit 2 for identification will be redacted in this manner -- or I'm sorry -- Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification 12 13 will be redacted in this matter, do you have any objections to 14 Prosecution Exhibit 25? 15 CDC [MS. FUNK]: I do not, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So, Trial Counsel, what I'm going 17 to have you do is accomplish that redaction during the recess -- the 18 extended recess overnight and provide it to the court reporter. That redacted version is going to be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 34. 19 20 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep, I appreciate you 22 had no objections to Prosecution Exhibit 25 for identification. But appreciating that redactions are going to occur, it's going to be 23

1107

reidentified -- it's going to be identified with those redactions as 1 Prosecution Exhibit 34 for identification. 2 3 Do you have any objections? LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We do not, sir. 4 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 5 6 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Just for clarity of the record, Defense 7 Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, any additional objections to the 8 government -- the prosecution exhibits for identification we've been 9 discussing? 10 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. 11 I will direct your attention to last week when we were 12 indicating to the court that we would have an objection to people 13 reading other people's statements. 14 Given this understanding of the pretrial agreement, we will 15 not be objecting to foundation. We will not be objecting to 16 witnesses reading other -- excuse me -- witnesses reading other 17 victim family member statements. 18 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: I appreciate that, Defense Counsel. Hold 19 on to that. I'm getting to that next. I'm going to step through 20 this topically as best I can to make sure that the record is as clear 21 as possible. 22 So we will come to that next. But absent that, any objection to what -- the prosecution exhibits that have been 23

1108

1 identified?

2 CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you. 3 Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 4 5 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No objection. 6 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you. 7 Okay. So based upon lack of objection and the commission's review of the prosecution exhibits, Prosecution Exhibits 5 through 8 24, 36 through 39, 32 -- I'm sorry, 26 through 29, 32, and 34 for 9 10 identification will be admitted as Prosecution Exhibits 5 through 24, 11 26 through 29, 32, and 34.

12 Trial Counsel, I appreciate that you may have an updated 13 exhibit that you -- or I'm sorry -- index that you may want to mark. 14 We can take that up first thing tomorrow morning to address that 15 particular exhibit. Please ensure that it's marked before we go on 16 the record and ensure that opposing counsel have seen it before we 17 move to admit that, please.

18 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, do you want the exhibit list 19 itself to be made a prosecution exhibit?

20 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: If the prosecution plans on publishing it 21 to the panel, yes.

22 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Okay. Then, we'll also be offering a 23 Prosecution Exhibit 35, which will be the list.

1109

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So we don't have that right now. I'm

1

2	guessing you're probably going to have to make some adjustments to
3	it. So I'm going to identify that, and then take that up for
4	admission tomorrow morning when we actually have the document, and
5	opposing counsel have the opportunity to review that document so that
6	they can object if they feel appropriate.
7	TC [COL KRAEHE]: We will sort that out, Your Honor.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
9	The last matter I wanted to take up, then, has to do with
10	the testifying government witnesses who are testifying. The
11	commission has come to appreciate through the parties that there may
12	be a request and the government, I think you raised this initially
13	during an R.C.M. 802 conference, that there may be a request to have
14	the victims make unsworn-type statements, or something to that
15	effect.
16	I want to ensure that the record is clear as to what the
17	government is requesting to do so that we can take that matter up
18	now, hopefully sort it before we have the panel here tomorrow
19	mornings.
20	So, Trial Counsel, I guess the easiest place to start would
21	be what witnesses do you plan on calling? Because I don't believe
22	the witness list that the commission has is completely accurate,
23	given the names you provided this morning during voir dire.

1110

1	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, the names that we provided
2	through voir dire this morning are the only witnesses that the
3	government intends to call. They are all victim family members.
4	They are: Matthew Arnold, Frank Heffernan, Bonnie Hall
5	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, I apologize. I'm going to have
6	you slow down.
7	TC [COL KRAEHE]: I'm sorry.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: That's all right.
9	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Susanna Miller.
10	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Please start over. Matthew
11	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Matthew Arnold.
12	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
13	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Frank Heffernan, Bonnie Hall, Susanna
14	Miller, Solomon Miller, Chris Snodgrass, Susan Snodgrass, Piers
15	Gambrini, Maggie Stevens, Eleni Panagoulas, and Mary Panagoulas.
16	Your Honor, each of these victim family members have written
17	a victim impact statement. Those victim impact statements have been
18	admitted into evidence. And it is our request, Your Honor, that they
19	each be able to read their victim impact statements to the panel,
20	largely in lieu of a question-and-answer format, although I will be
21	providing some context for these victim impact statements through
22	question and answer.
23	I will also give them an opportunity, just as kind of a

1111

1 closing statement, to add anything at the end of their victim impact 2 statement to give these victim impact statements further context. 3 The reasons we're asking for this is because, first of all, these victim impact statements will be in evidence, and I think for 4 5 that reason alone, it is proper for them to be able to read them to 6 the panel. And because reading them to the panel in the limited 7 question-and-answer format does give the victim impact statement some context that they don't have just in and of themselves. 8 It is extremely difficult for a victim of the crimes that we 9 10 are here to sentence, Your Honor, very difficult for them to write a 11 victim impact statement. It makes them relive the experiences they'd

12 rather forget. And nonetheless, it is a lot easier for them to read 13 a victim impact statement than it would be to undergo a full-blown 14 question-and-answer examination about their loss and about the impact 15 that it's had on their lives for the last 20 years.

So in large respect, we're asking that the victims be allowed to read their victim impact statements because it's easier on them, and they deserve that as victims.

19

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

TC [COL KRAEHE]: Also, we believe that allowing them to read their victim impact statements limits undue disruption. These are very emotional matters that they will be addressing.

23 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, what disruption?

1112

1 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Disruption that would come from just the 2 emotions. These people are very angry about what happened to their 3 loved ones. By having a victim impact statement for them to read, it 4 focuses them on reading that.

5 It also limits undue surprise. We know what's in these 6 victim impact statements. These victim impact statements have been 7 shared with the defense. In fact, the defense has pointed out parts 8 of the victim impact statements they find objectionable, and we have 9 thus been able to take -- modify the victim impact statements to 10 address the objections that the defense has.

In a question-and-answer session, there is more room -- the victims would be given more leeway to stray off course and to get into areas that might not be permissible under the rules, and would get into areas that would be more prejudicial to the defense. It would be less easy to control.

16 So by allowing the victims to read their victim impact 17 statements, we are actually limiting the opportunity for prejudice to 18 the defense.

19 It also creates efficiencies, Your Honor. The victim impact 20 statements are concise. It will take only 15 to 20 minutes, 21 probably, for each victim to read their victim impact statement; 22 whereas, a full examination and possible cross-examination of each of 23 the witnesses -- and I want to say these witnesses do not deserve to

1113

be examined like a witness. They do not deserve to be cross-examined
 like a witness.

They deserve to have their say in the manner in which they want to say it. And we have assured that the manner in which they want to make their victim impact statements will not cause prejudice, it will not cause disruption, and it will be efficient.

If there's any concern that them reading their victim impact statement would somehow be cumulative, we believe it would not be cumulative. These victims -- there's only 11 of them, 11 victims representing, I think, eight or nine of the 202 people who were killed.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Doesn't that address the other 403 factor, though, the time -- the time needed to present the evidence versus a cumulative?

15 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Well, Your Honor, what would be cumulative 16 is having victim family members for each -- I don't even think this 17 would be cumulative. It would not be cumulative to have a victim 18 family member for each of the 202 murdered or each of the hundreds 19 who were injured. That would not be cumulative.

20 What we have here is the opposite of cumulative. We have 21 only 11 people for hundreds of murdered victims, for hundreds of 22 injured victims, and for thousands of people who have been impacted 23 by this crime; only 11 people to speak for them.

1114

And so there is no danger of cumulative evidence here. It's quite the opposite. The panel is not going to get anywhere near the full picture of the impact that this has had on the victims. Nowhere near.

And so allowing these victims the courtesy of reading their own statements, which they wrote through tears reliving these awful memories, is the least we can do. And it is consistent with the rules, and I think it is consistent with just common decency to allow them to read their victim impact statements.

10 And the defense doesn't object to this. I think they see 11 the merit in our arguments, and they see that this is going to be 12 efficient, and it's not going to prejudice their clients.

In fact, it's going to prejudice their clients far less, and it's going to be far more efficient, and it's going to be far less disruptive than if we went through a full direct and

16 cross-examination.

17 So that's the reason, Your Honor, that we want and we're 18 requesting that the victims be able to read their victim impact 19 statements.

20 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

21 TC [COL KRAEHE]: We still allow cross-examination, Your 22 Honor. They have agreed to waive it, but that's their choice, Your 23 Honor. And we think that that is a fair resolution of this issue,

1115

1 and it's a decent one.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So, Counsel, to break this apart here, are you requesting the witness -- the victim witnesses be unsworn or sworn? And I may have missed that.

5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, I believe the rules require them 6 to be sworn.

7 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Well, I would concur with that, and that's 8 why I'm asking the question.

9 So appreciating the mode of questioning of witnesses, as I 10 read the rules, defense counsel would be entitled to 11 cross-examination. Whether they choose to exercise that is within 12 their prerogative. But I think it's inappropriate, and I am not 13 willing to not allow them the opportunity. I think it would 14 violate -- it will violate the rules.

15 So as far as that goes, defense counsel will have that 16 opportunity of any witness called, as is standard in any criminal 17 proceeding that I've ever participated in.

As it relates to reading the statements versus a limited question and answer, that was the part I was a little unclear on, because you had indicated that you will be asking some questions of the witnesses but request that they read their statements.

22 Can you explain to me which you're proposing to do? Because 23 those are two different things.

1116

1 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Well, Your Honor, I'm going to put them on the stand. I'm going to swear them in. I'm going to ask them their 2 I'm going to ask them where they're from. I'm going to let 3 names. them know that they will be offering evidence regarding matters that 4 5 they may find very difficult to relive, to recount, that if they need 6 any -- a break, if they become too emotional to go on, to let me 7 know. I will ask them whether they provided the government any 8 9 photographs of their loved ones. I will show them those photographs. 10 These photographs have been admitted into the evidence. I will ask 11 for those photographs to be published to the panel so they can see for themselves who some of the victims of this murder were. 12 13 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. And I'm going to jump in there. So are we not publishing all the exhibits, then, at the same time to the 14 15 panel? 16 TC [COL KRAEHE]: There's no need to, Your Honor. We can 17 publish them as they come in, and then there will be some exhibits 18 that need to be published to the panel as --MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Because we talked about this before 19 20 the recess, and I thought at that time we were going to publish all 21 the exhibits at the same time to the panel and all the parties were 22 on board with that. 23 TC [COL KRAEHE]: I believe Ms. Funk objected to that unless

1117

1 she were able to publish all her exhibits to the panel at the same 2 time.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Correct, all the admitted exhibits being published at the same time. Are you -- and it's fine. How you present your case is a tactical decision to each side. I'm just trying to make sure I understand what the proposal is here from the government so that I know how to anticipate we move forward tomorrow with publishing things. Does the government desire to publish its exhibits -- some

10 of its exhibits, at least, with the witness? You have the witness on 11 the standard. Did you provide some photos previously marked as 12 Prosecution Exhibit 3? Show them, publish them. Is that what you 13 are ----

14 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Exactly, Your Honor.

15 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: ---- you were discussing?

16 Okay.

17 TC [COL KRAEHE]: And then I will ask them whether they were 18 asked to write a victim impact statement. They will say yes. I will 19 show them the victim impact statement. Is this the victim impact 20 statement that you wrote? Yes, it is. Could you please read that to 21 the panel?

I suppose I could at that time publish the victim impact statement to the panel so that they can read along. They will finish

1118

1 reading the victim impact statement.

After that I will ask them whether there is anything they would like to add regarding the matters that they addressed in their victim impact statement and whether the trauma that they suffered as addressed in the victim impact statement is ongoing.

6 And that will be my examination, Your Honor.

7

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.

8 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Very short, centered on the victim impact 9 statement, really for no other purpose other than to frame the 10 reading of the victim impact statement.

11 If they are reading the victim impact statement of another 12 person or showing photos of another victim, then that will be the 13 same process for that as well.

14 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So some of these witnesses will 15 read statements of individuals that are not themselves?

16 TC [COL KRAEHE]: That's correct, Your Honor, relatives who 17 are unable to be here. We have a limited capacity to accommodate all 18 the victims. And so these victims, as I said, are speaking for not 19 only their own family members, but for all the other victims that are 20 unable to be here.

21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel, I'm confused on the "the 22 government has a limited capacity to accommodate victims." 23 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, there's just so much room for

1119

1 all of them.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel, thank you for walking me through it. That helps me understand exactly what you're trying to do, and that's what I need to understand to appropriately determine what the commission is going to permit.

6 The final question I believe I have at this time is: What 7 rule or law is the government relying upon? Because, generally 8 speaking, when we talk about examination of witnesses, the strong, 9 strong preference is that witnesses testify from memory.

10 There are, of course, exceptions to that. Refreshing 11 recollection, prior statements, under certain circumstances that can 12 be admitted as substantive evidence.

What authority are you relying upon for the commission to authorize the reading of a statement in military commission? And I don't necessarily need to address the third-party piece right now, but just generally.

17 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, they're adopting a prior 18 statement.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. But that's different than reading it in court, though. You can adopt a statement and not read it in court.

22 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Well, Your Honor, it would be no different 23 than if a witness wrote a letter and the letter comes into evidence,

1120

probably through the witness. Once it's in evidence, you ask the witness to read the letter so that it is published to the jury, or the panel. And then offer some context for when the letter was written, why it was written, and what it means, the significance of that letter to the witness -- or a text, or an e-mail, or maybe a business document, same thing.

7 It comes under the rule for adopted statements, Your Honor.8 And no rule prohibits it, Your Honor.

9 And, Your Honor, we believe that allowing this is consistent 10 with the purposes for allowing victim impact statements, to begin 11 with.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: How so? And I want -- I want counsel to use 1001, as that is where a victim statement comes in under the manual.

I appreciate that it's a matter of evidence in aggravation. And I'm looking at 1001 Subsection (b) subparagraph (2). In addition, evidence in aggravation may include evidence that the accused intentionally selected a victim -- actually, it's not the correct provision I'm looking for.

There we go. Evidence in aggravation includes, but is not limited to: Evidence of financial, social, psychological, medical impact on, or cost to any person or entity who was the victim of an offense.

1 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor ----

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: So I appreciate it's evidence in aggravation. I'm 100 percent understanding that, that portion of your argument.

5 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor. And writing a victim 6 impact statement is itself traumatic.

7 Having to come into court and read it in front of the people who committed the crimes is, in itself, traumatic. Far less 8 traumatic than being subject to a direct examination and possible 9 10 cross-examination. But even so, there is -- the evidence of just 11 reading it is evidence of aggravation, because you can see for yourself in the demeanor, and in the reaction, and in the emotions of 12 13 the witness, how this crime has caused them and continues to cause 14 them emotional, psychological impact.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Well, Counsel, to some extent I think you're conflating weight with evidence in aggravation.

I appreciate what you're saying, but some of that goes to weight that the sentencing authority or a fact-finder would use to assess the weight they want to give particular evidence.

20 What I'm trying to determine here is what rules permit the 21 mode of interrogation, or lack thereof -- and I use the word 22 "interrogation" because that's what the rules use -- for a witness. 23 And specifically here we're talking about victims who are presenting

1122

1 evidence in aggravation under 1001(b)(2).

2 That's a question that the commission has to answer.
3 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Your Honor, I believe it is answered by
4 Rule 1001(b)(2).

```
5 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay.
```

6 TC [COL KRAEHE]: And I believe it is -- there are also 7 regulations on victim impact statements and -- that give victims the 8 right to participate in these proceedings. I do not have the cite 9 for you at this time, but the purpose of these regulations, I would 10 venture to guess, are to allow the victims to have a voice in these 11 proceedings.

Victims have rights, too, and one of those rights is to participate in a proceeding. I think exercise of those rights are only meaningful if they are construed in a way that limits further emotional or psychological impact on the witness.

Really, we're just asking for an accommodation that is not objected to, that is not inconsistent with the rules or common sense; that does minimize the emotional impact of having a victim come and have their say in a proceeding that involves crimes that resulted in the death and suffering of a loved one. That's all we're asking for, Your Honor.

22 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Trial Counsel.

23 Defense Counsel, you've had the benefit of hearing the

1123

1	process in which trial counsel's proposing that these victim
2	statements be provided into evidence, largely through a narrative
3	form of testimony, I guess would be the way I would describe it, to
4	include the victim reading the statement into evidence, be that a
5	statement of their own or a statement of a third party.
6	Do you have an objection to the approach proposed by trial
7	counsel to the commission?
8	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Your Honor, not only do I not have an
9	objection, I support the government's plan. Grief is really hard.
10	And sometimes everything you plan to say just flies out of your head.
11	And I've read these victim statements, and it is clear that they have
12	sat down and thought about what they wanted to say.
13	I think the best way for them to be able to convey what they
14	want to say is to read a prewritten statement. Thank you.
15	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
16	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We have not yet objected to any mode or
17	content of victim testimony, and we do not object now.
18	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Thank you, Counsel.
19	Counsel, I am going to take a recess to examine 1001 to
20	determine what confines this commission operates within as it
21	pertains to the government's proposed way ahead, as it pertains to
22	both actual adopted statements of witnesses, as well as statements of
23	third parties, because I think those are two different things that

1124

1 will need to both be answered, and hopefully give the trial counsel a
2 way ahead so that tomorrow, if adjustment is needed, you have time to
3 do that overnight.

Before we do that, Trial Counsel, have you been able to identify any of the regulations that you cited or the authority regarding your proposed way ahead with regard to the victim statements? I know you didn't have them when were you arguing, but I was hoping maybe during the break somebody at the table was able to identify those.

10 TC [COL KRAEHE]: If I can identify that authority, and it's 11 such a short time, Your Honor, I will.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. If you could just send word back to the bailiff that you have that information for me, I can come out with the benefit of all the parties, and we can review that information together so everyone's on the same page.

16 TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Is there anything else we can take up, then, before I recess this commission?

19 Trial Counsel?

20 TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor.

21 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?

22 CDC [MS. FUNK]: One moment, Your Honor.

23 [Counsel conferred.]

1125

1 CDC [MS. FUNK]: We have nothing further, Your Honor. Thank 2 you. 3 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Thank you, Counsel. Counsel for Mr. bin Lep? 4 5 LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Nothing from us, sir. MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well. This commission's in recess. 6 7 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1643, 23 January 2024.] [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1721, 8 9 23 January 2024.] 10 MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: This commission will come to order. 11 Parties are present. Members are absent. 12 The commission's been asked by the government to permit 13 victims of a crime to read statements both of their own and of third parties that relate to the financial, social, psychological, and 14 15 physical harm suffered by victims. 16 The commission first notes that no party here has been able 17 to squarely identify rules that expressly permit such an approach. 18 The commission also acknowledges that while it has been invited to explore a lack of prohibition as an approach, the commission's not 19 20 inclined to do that outside of the normal confines of the law. 21 The commission appreciates the gravity of the decision it's 22 been asked to make and, more importantly, how that decision will impact victims of the crimes to which the accused stand convicted. 23

1126

The commission, though, has an obligation to comply with the Rules
 for Military Commissions as they exist.

3 While the parties have provided the commission arguments, 4 some good argument based in policy on their positions, this 5 commission does not enjoy the benefit of applying the law as it may 6 want to see it or what the commission may think best applies to this 7 particular situation.

8 The commission is not a legislative authority, nor is it 9 appropriate for the commission to write new rules from the bench. 10 The commission applies the rules as they exist at this time to this 11 proceeding based upon the facts provided to it.

12 In coming to its decision today, the commission did review 13 Chapter 16 provided to it, which discusses the Victim and Witness 14 Assistance Program. The commission notes within that information, 15 which I'm going to have marked as the next appellate exhibit in line, 16 paragraph 16-6, part (d) (13) states that: Notification to victims of 17 the opportunity to be present to the court at sentencing in 18 compliance with applicable law and regulations, as well as a statement to the impact -- the victim has a right to make a statement 19 of the impact of the crime of the victim -- of the crime on the 20 victim, excuse me, including the financial, social, psychological, 21 22 and physical harm suffered by the victim.

23

The commission notes that the context of that statement

1127

deals explicitly with rights provided by trial counsel or designee to victims and witnesses. The commission does not find that this document in and of itself gives the commission authority to otherwise change standing rules of interrogation of witnesses or presentation of evidence.

6 The commission also finds that, based upon 1001, as we've 7 been discussing, victims do have the right to prevent -- to present 8 matters in aggravation through trial counsel as part of trial 9 counsel's sentencing case.

10 The commission does find in reviewing the Military 11 Commission Rules of Evidence that it does have the authority to 12 control and exercise discretion on the control of the interrogation 13 of witnesses. See M.C.R.E. 611.

In this instance, considering the position of the parties articulated on the record, the nature of the evidence involved, and the availability of the proponent to be available for

17 cross-examination, the commission will permit witnesses under oath to 18 testify and, as part of that testimony, read their own statements as 19 part of the greater examination by counsel.

In reaching this conclusion, the commission notes that the statements to be read are already matters admitted into evidence, will be available to the members as they deliberate as evidence, and are matters that the witness has personal knowledge of.

1128

1	Additionally, the commission notes that that personal
2	knowledge can be tested as any other evidence of testimony is
3	presented at a criminal proceeding, specifically through the crucible
4	of cross-examination, if so desired by opposing counsel. That
5	ensures the commission that the reliability of the evidence necessary
6	under the Military Commission Rules of Evidence will be satisfied and
7	that that evidence would be admissible and appropriate under the
8	circumstances.
9	The commission will not permit witnesses to read impact
10	statements written by other witnesses or third parties. This is
11	because the author of those statements are not available for
12	cross-examination or examination by the commission, and the
13	recitation is being done by individuals who would lack sufficient
14	personal knowledge as to the underlying statements.
15	Permitting such statements to be read during sworn testimony
16	would be inconsistent with the protections just described and
17	contained throughout the Military Commission Rules of Evidence.
18	Additionally, see, generally, Military Commission Rules of Evidence
19	602.
20	So based upon the commission's interpretation of the rules,
21	applying them to the facts at bar, the commission finds that it will
22	permit victims to read their own statements as part of a greater
23	cross-examination or a greater examination by trial counsel. That

1129

1	will be done under oath. Those witnesses will be available for any
2	cross-examination that opposing counsel may want to participate in.
3	Parties will not be witnesses will not be allowed,
4	however, to read statements of third parties. Those statements will
5	still be admitted as evidence, have been admitted as evidence, and
6	will be available to the panel for sentencing deliberations as
7	evidence. The parties are free to use them in that fashion.
8	I believe that resolves the issue presented to the
9	commission by the parties.
10	Trial Counsel, do you concur?
11	TC [COL KRAEHE]: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin, do you
13	have questions or do you believe this resolves the matter?
14	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Your Honor, I just want to make sure that the
15	record is clear. We have withdrawn our request to prohibit
16	third-party statements to be read.
17	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: And that is understood by the commission
18	in reaching its determination.
19	CDC [MS. FUNK]: Okay. Thank you.
20	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
21	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: We understand that this does resolve the
22	issue, yes, sir.
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Are there any other matters, then,

1130

1	we need to take up before we recess for the evening?
2	Trial Counsel?
3	TC [COL KRAEHE]: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
4	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
5	CDC [MS. FUNK]: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
7	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: No, sir. Thank you.
8	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Okay. So my intent is to recess until
9	0845 tomorrow morning to give us an opportunity to resolve the at
10	least the index that trial counsel is going to mark and admit at that
11	time, as well as take care of any other matters that may arise
12	overnight.
13	It is the intent of the commission to then move on to the
14	record at 0900 to begin the taking of evidence as part of the
15	government's case in presentencing.
16	Is that amenable to the parties?
17	Trial Counsel?
18	TC [COL KRAEHE]: Yes, Your Honor.
19	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Amin?
20	CDC [MS. FUNK]: It is, Your Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Counsel for Mr. bin Lep?
22	LDC [MR. BOUFFARD]: Yes, Your Honor.
23	MJ [Lt Col BRAUN]: Very well, then. This commission's in

1131

1 recess until 0845 tomorrow morning.

3

2 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1730, 23 January 2024.]

[END OF PAGE]