| 1  | [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1022, 08 May 2025.]   |
|----|--|
| 2  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: The commission is called to order.              |
| 3  | Government, can you account for the members of the                   |
| 4  | prosecution?   |
| 5  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yes, Your Honor. All members of the               |
| 6  | prosecution team are present and accounted for in the same locations |
| 7  | as they were at the last session, including Lieutenant Colonel       |
| 8  | Garrett up at the RHR. Over.   |
| 9  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you.                                      |
| 10 | Defense?   |
| 11 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir. All personnel are present           |
| 12 | and accounted accountable as we have previously reported during      |
| 13 | the previous days of this session between here and the RHR. With the |
| 14 | exception of resource counsel. I apologize, Your Honor.              |
| 15 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: No, that's fine. What I'm trying to             |
| 16 | remember I think we had an open session we closed with an open       |
| 17 | session yesterday, I believe.  |
| 18 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir.                                     |
| 19 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And Mr. Nashiri had elected to attend           |
| 20 | that from a remote location, but Mr. Nashiri is now present in the   |
| 21 | hearing room today.  |
| 22 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, he is, sir.                              |
| 23 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay, thanks. I just wanted to account          |
|    |  |

31023

1 for that.

Very well. So I'll knock out the easy things first.
One, 802 summary. We briefly had a discussion about the
fact that I'm having a transition in my office, and my senior staff
attorney is departing close to the end of June, and there will be a
transition and one of the other staff attorneys will assume the
leadership mantle, and I gave the parties some general instruction
and guidance.

9 And, again, I thanked my senior staff attorney, and I hope 10 the parties will reach out and also thank him and appreciate him for 11 the fine service he's done and being a resource to you and a conduit 12 between the parties and the trial judiciary, which is probably one of 13 the most critical functions he serves on behalf of the commission. 14 So I gave the guidance on that.

Any need for either party to summarize more than what I said about that?

17 TC [CAPT STINSON]: No additions to that portion of the 18 summary, Your Honor.

19 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: No, sir. We concur.

20 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you.

The next thing is -- and I -- again, I repeat that I'm not looking for substantive information, but I have a motion to abate marked as Appellate Exhibit 622, and a motion to dismiss marked as

31024

| 1  | Appellate Exhibit 621 that are still in the pleading cycle. I don't  |
|----|--|
| 2  | recall the dates the responses are due from the government.          |
| 3  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: It's the 13th and 14th, Your Honor.               |
| 4  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. So next week?                             |
| 5  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yeah, that's correct, Your Honor.                 |
| 6  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Here's what I'd like to know.             |
| 7  | Obviously, since I've canceled the July and August hearings, is      |
| 8  | either party anticipating that they were going to call witnesses and |
| 9  | need a hearing for either of those motions?                          |
| 10 | Defense, they're your motions, so let me start with you. Is          |
| 11 | that Ms. Miller?   |
| 12 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir. Tell me again. I'm sorry, which          |
| 13 | two motions?   |
| 14 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: The motion to abate, which I involves           |
| 15 | the chief defense counsel, that question. And then the motion to     |
| 16 | dismiss, I believe, was about the panel venire. If I'm wrong, please |
| 17 | correct me, but that's I don't think I got those inversed.           |
| 18 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: I do not anticipate calling witnesses for          |
| 19 | either motion.   |
| 20 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Government?                               |
| 21 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: The government doesn't anticipate                 |
| 22 | witnesses being needed and believes it will be able to rule on the   |
| 23 | papers, the commission may be able to rule on the papers on those.   |
|    | 31025  |

1 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I appreciate that. I just, again, 2 wanted to know if we needed to allot time for that, again, knowing 3 that we likely wouldn't have a hearing until November. So thank you 4 for that information.

5 LDC [MS. MILLER]: And I believe we had agreed that the 6 commission could rule on the papers on the motion for abatement 7 related to the acting chief defense -- purported acting chief defense 8 counsel, and I believe Lieutenant Colonel Nettinga is handling what I 9 call the quorum motion. And I believe he did request oral argument 10 on that motion. But again, not anticipating witnesses.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Thank you. And, again, I realize I'm jumping the gun, but I'm just trying to anticipate procedurally how we're going to go forward. So probably that question is more for the government since they -- their responses aren't due. I think I have that information that's going to be helpful at least for planning purposes for the commission and the judiciary. So thank you for that.

18 The next thing I'd like to do is -- I've already told the 19 parties there's a forthcoming pretrial order, and I've given some 20 rough sketch guidelines, and I'm going to give a little bit more so 21 maybe it's helpful. Again, just for expectation management.

As I stated, Government, your notices are due at the end of June so that it can facilitate the defense understanding what motions

## 31026

1 they need to file based on notices. All motions are due...

2 [Pause.]

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Sorry. Sorry, let me make sure I'm clear. 30 June 2025 is when the government notices are due. Motions are due 6 October 2025, which will allow the pleading cycle to complete by the end of October. And we will take up all those matters in the November and December hearings.

8 I'm well aware the first thing probably people are thinking 9 is, well, what if we don't conclude them? There will be some 10 opportunities for hearings most likely in February, March, April, and 11 May.

So we have time built into 2026. But what I would do is ask the parties to ensure that any witnesses they intend to call in their motions, tell them they need to make themselves available at the dates we have scheduled for hearings or consider alternate forms of testimony if they can't present live testimony.

As the parties are seasoned litigators, I'm sure you're already thinking through all the things that are due to the parties, including the commission, that I haven't spoken to. I'm only mostly speaking to motions.

But one other thing I'd like the parties to contemplate over the summer, because it will take some conferencing, is a likely date that joint questions to the members will be due 1 December 2025. My

31027

intent is to provide them to the convening authority at the earliest possible date for incorporation into whatever announcement is made to selected members: "You've been selected. Here are the questionnaires," so they can have as much time to answer those questionnaires to get them back to the parties in time for you to fashion your voir dire and prepare for trial and prepare for challenges.

8 So, again, if you could add that to the things you discuss 9 in your pretrial planning and conferences through the summer and into 10 the winter so that you can provide a complete set of questionnaire 11 questions by 1 December, it would be greatly appreciated.

I don't interface with the convening authority. I just will provide her the questionnaires I would like her to submit on behalf of the commission.

I would also like the parties to interface -- I know the government has informed the commission multiple times they intend to put on a case that isn't classified. But that notwithstanding, I'd like the parties to continue their discussion about things they think would come up in a classified setting at trial, meaning exhibits and witnesses and things like that.

I'm not setting any deadlines, but I think everybody knows that can be an incredibly cumbersome process and involves parties outside the parties before the commission. So the more you can be of

## 31028

1 assistance to each other to overcome as many impediments as you can 2 prior to you receiving my pretrial order, it would be greatly 3 appreciated and will facilitate the members not being impeded in 4 their fact-finding mission.

And I think that's what all the parties want, right? We want the fact-finders to be satisfied in a timely fashion that they are getting the full presentation from the parties. So again, just facilitate that dialogue, and that's my request to you at that time until you get the order.

10 The next thing I'd like to say, and it won't be in the pretrial order, but you can expect what I'm going to call an exhibit 11 management order. I've decided to pull out matters related to the 12 13 exhibits from the pretrial order, because I think exhibits is going 14 to be a cumbersome process on its own as I contemplate it, and I 15 haven't even heard from the parties on it yet, is I know you have to 16 make it available for inspection. I know it has to be secured and 17 marked by the court reporters. I know that we have a SC/DRT process 18 that has to be conducted.

19 So that's why you'll get a separate exhibit management 20 order, so that you understand the expectations of the court of how to 21 ensure all those internal processes happen. And once you receive 22 that exhibit management order, if you tell me you need something 23 different, please do so as soon as you get that order and have had

31029

some time to talk to each other, and then inform the court of how you
 would like some adjustments.

I understand we don't have a lot of precedent or archived data on how to handle that process in the most effective way. You guys may have more information than I do from your respective offices. So please certainly share that with the commission.

7 And then the last thing is, I will talk about in the pretrial order, is I intend to conduct a pretrial management 8 conference on 6 April 2026. You will get a separate pretrial 9 10 management conference order of all the things I intend to discuss 11 with the parties knowing that we are 60 days out, roughly two months 12 out from trial in order to facilitate anything the parties 13 individually need or jointly need or any concerns we can address, 14 again to ensure that once the fact-finders are assembled and 15 challenges are done and they're empaneled that we can move in an 16 orderly fashion.

The other things I'd like the parties again to contemplate individually and jointly is -- everybody's familiar with how that process will transpire. We will assemble the members. We will conduct challenges. And once that is done, we are empaneled.

So one thing I'd like to know is how soon after empanelment the government anticipates opening statements, as well as the defense, opening statements, if they choose not to defer for the

31030

1 defense, after empanelment. So I have my guesses, but I don't want 2 it based on my guess. I want you to tell me, you know, what you 3 anticipate doing.

And same with when the government rests and the defense begins to put on its case-in-chief, I'd like to hear from the defense what they anticipate doing.

I don't need that information now. But again, just start thinking about it. Because my pretrial order won't set dates. They may set expectations, but not dates. And then obviously if we have to take up presentencing -- and we don't know that now, obviously -- but when would that commence?

And I will tell you my normal practice is I always ask the defense first how they would like to proceed, knowing that it's a significant pivot for the defense, less so for the government. So anticipate I'm going to ask those questions of the time between those key events.

That -- I don't need to address if we need to take any recesses of a lengthy nature during a party's case-in-chief. That will be obviously circumstance dependent. I'm just talking about the things that we know will happen. Eventually the prosecution will rest. Eventually the defense will rest. Eventually there'll be findings and a possible presentencing proceedings.

23 Give that some thought. Share those thoughts with the

31031

1 commission so that we can proceed with some regularity as best we 2 can.

And again, I offer all that to you now because the next time 3 we will all be together will -- and be here on island for hearings 4 5 is, right now, November. And when I say "right now," I'm not 6 anticipating calling anybody down here this summer. I'm trying to 7 give the parties the entire summer to do all their preparation work unimpeded by the judiciary. I think that's important. I think you 8 9 need that time. I think you need that time to build in a 10 decompression time for each of your team members as well. And the 11 summer is probably the most opportune time to do that, especially for 12 those with children and things of that nature.

13 That concludes the expectation management portion of my 14 discussion, but are there any questions from the parties based on 15 that?

16 Government, I'll let you start.

TC [CAPT STINSON]: A few questions, Your Honor. We have had in the past in the AE 440 series certain deadlines. The government has filed at least an initial witness and exhibit lists and the hearsay notices.

21 So the 30 June deadline, is that related to those, or are 22 those -- I guess I'm just trying to find out exactly from the "all 23 notices due 30 June," what exactly that looks like from the

31032

1 government's perspective, Your Honor.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: So I think the benefit -- for the 2 benefit of both the commission itself -- meaning me -- and for the 3 defense who's had a change in learned counsel and may have other 4 5 change in counsel, I'm asking the government to complete any notices 6 that are outstanding and then to recertify any previous notices so that we have an absolute -- well, I think for the 7 defense -- right? -- so they have confidence that they understand 8 9 that there are no more notices due and that any previous notices 10 given are still as previously noticed.

11 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And obviously that would include if you need to amend a previous notice. It's not the time for adding to any previous notices that were given, but if you need to amend it for any reason, I'm trying to give that flexibility.

But, again, it's more for the defense to have confidence that's it, we've got the final notices, we can spend the summer preparing based on those notices.

19 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yes, Your Honor. And because we were 20 planning for trial for 6 October, we have sort of an anticipated 21 witness list that would have laid out in a little bit more 22 granularity sort of -- because our earlier notice was so far out, it 23 was very, very broad.

## 31033

| 1  | So we we do have we're still going to be roughly 11                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | months out. So I didn't know if there would also be an opportunity    |
| 3  | later in time, because I'm not sure when the defense witness list is  |
| 4  | due that we would have an exchange of sort of the final witness list, |
| 5  | if that makes sense.  |
| 6  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And those will be accounted in the               |
| 7  | final pretrial order that you do receive, it will lay that out for    |
| 8  | you, I think, in the most fair and equitable manner                   |
| 9  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Understood.  |
| 10 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: but I understand your point.                     |
| 11 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Understood. And then the last                      |
| 12 | question  |
| 13 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: But let me also be clear. If any other           |
| 14 | notices I would act, just what I think most prosecution teams do      |
| 15 | is act in an abundance of caution when you give your notices. But     |
| 16 | once that notice date is past, it's the standard you have to have     |
| 17 | good cause, and then explain to the court why you're giving late      |
| 18 | notice.   |
| 19 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Understood.  |
| 20 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: So and sometimes good cause happens.             |
| 21 | I understand that in a case of this nature, magnitude, the voluminous |
| 22 | records. Just simply tell me you need good cause after 30 June.       |
| 23 |   |

## 31034

| 1 | And I appreciate the reference to an exhibit management            |
|---|--|
| 2 | order. We do currently have a broad number of exhibits listed, and |
| 3 | so the as we're planning forward for trial, we may I just want     |
| 4 | to flag this seek relief regarding the current list, because       |
| 5 | that's developed over, you know, a long, long time, and that order |
| 6 | may confuse the members.   |

7 So I think we'll wait the exhibit management order, but there may be an opportunity for us to sort of approach and request 8 9 permission to sort of redesignate some of those numbers just because 10 over time that's built out. I think we're at 1,036, or something 11 like that, prosecution exhibits. And based on our order of witnesses, we may be starting in the -- you know, at the end of that 12 13 with the first witness, and I'm mindful I don't want to confuse the 14 members, so...

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. And I understand, when you're saying you're referring to the prosecution exhibit list by the numbers you've established, those are not the ones designated by the commission.

19 TC [CAPT STINSON]: No. They are the ones designated by the 20 commission. It's for identification.

21 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. You've already done that? 22 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yeah. Yeah, they've been marked and we 23 have a PE 1 through 1036 for identification. Some of those had been

31035

admitted and the vacated orders affected that, and I understand the commission's ruling in relation to the preadmit, we're going to do these at trial. It's just that as we're planning out our 10 week, you know, week by week, witness by witness, that seems like that may be confusing or cumbersome just based on how that list has already developed. So I just wanted to make sure ----

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I appreciate that insight. Thank you.
Can I ask the defense, have you pre -- have you submitted
your exhibits for premarking? And I hope I didn't sound like a
scold. I'm just curious.

11 LDC [MS. MILLER]: No, sir.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. So -- and that's the difference 12 13 between the prosecution and the defense. And I think where the 14 exhibit management comes into effect from the commission is 15 understanding the government's already done that, and now I better 16 understand it. They won't necessarily follow a sequence for your 17 witnesses in the way that they're marked. And the defense will have 18 an opportunity to mark theirs later in the sequence they desire. But I understand that now. 19

But what's going to be helpful is when we take up objections on them, pretrial motions on anything related to exhibits, that we'd be able to refer to them by their number or their nomenclature.

23 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Sure.

## 31036

1 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Thank you. Anything else? TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yeah, just one last question? 2 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Yes, sir. 3 TC [CAPT STINSON]: I think I understand the answer to this. 4 5 So the trial date starting 1 June, that would be the anticipated date when members would start in voir dire, but not the first witness? I 6 7 just wanted to be understood. MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Correct. So the very first thing I have 8 9 at trial is ----10 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Understood. 11 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: ---- we seat that panel. And here's my 12 expectation on things maybe the parties are going to be able to reach 13 resolution on and jointly agree that can come in as full evidence and 14 not for identification, is then we would take that up after we've 15 empaneled if the -- again, if the parties can reach an agreement on 16 those things. 17 Once that is concluded, that's where I'm asking, 18 "Government, are you ready to begin your opening statement," or -- and the defense, I'd like your input as well. If you don't 19 intend to defer opening statement is -- so I can understand if we're 20 21 going to take a longer recess than a day or a longer recess than a 22 week where, for example, I would send the members home and then have them come back at a later date. Those are the kind of things that it 23

31037

would be helpful for the commission to understand what the parties
 are contemplating.

3 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yeah. The government would contemplate 4 moving right into opening statements. But we can ----

5 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.

6 TC [CAPT STINSON]: ---- we can address that later on.

7 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. All right. Thank you.

8 TC [CAPT STINSON]: All right. Thank you.

### 9 [Pause.]

10 TC [CAPT STINSON]: The only other point in relation to the 11 deadlines for the finals, some of our anticipated witnesses and our 12 evidence will obviously depend on some of the rulings that 13 are -- that are currently outstanding regarding the -- you know, the 14 statements that are, the government believes, admissible and that's 15 going to depend on sponsoring witnesses and the like.

16 So that's why some of that is still a little bit uncertain 17 from, you know, when we're going to submit our anticipated witness 18 list. That may change depending on some of the outcomes of those.

19 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Understood. Thank you, sir.

20 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Thank you.

21 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Defense? Ms. Miller, or do you ----

22 LDC [MS. MILLER]: No questions, sir.

23 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Do you want me to ask the team here as

31038

1 well, Ms. Miller?

2 LDC [MS. MILLER]: Not really.

3 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. They appeared to not have any4 questions.

5 [Pause.]

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: The next thing I'd like to bring up is -- and I can't remember the exact appellate exhibit number, but Ms. Miller, she wanted to inform the commission that she was requesting extension of time for -- is it a response?

10 Am I accurate, Ms. Miller? Maybe I'll just have you tell me 11 what you're requesting.

LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir. The commission had set a deadline related to -- I believe it's the eighth hearsay notice the government has provided. Other than -- obviously, the government carries the burden to demonstrate the statement's reliability, but if we had additional objections to any of that hearsay evidence, the commission had set a deadline for 12 May to file any motions related to that notice.

We anticipate filing five classified motions. And so we are moving, without opposition from the government, for the deadline to be pushed from 12 May to Wednesday, 14 May, please.

22 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Ah, thank you.

23 Government, is that accurate, that you had no objection?

31039

TC [CAPT STINSON]: That is correct. No objection to the move 1 2 until Wednesday, Your Honor. 3 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Very well. That request is granted on those five -- you said five motions? Am I accurate? 4 5 LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir. And thank you, sir. 6 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. 7 [Pause.] MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I informed the parties during the 802 8 9 that the next guidepost would be anything that the parties 10 anticipated taking up in the July or August session dates that are 11 now canceled that I had either not called for myself or could not 12 have anticipated. 13 So, Government, I'll start with you. Was there anything 14 that... 15 TC [CAPT STINSON]: The only, I think, outstanding matter that 16 we were trying to resolve was the AE 588 and whether or not the 17 defense was going to call an expert that was approved by the 18 commission. So I had anticipated that being resolved in the -- because it's a jurisdictional challenge, in the July time 19 20 frame. 21 I think that was the only -- the only one that is, to 22 my -- you know, other than the ones they're going to file now in 621 and 622, the only motion series that were still outstanding that we 23

## 31040

| 1  | were trying to knock out before the 6 October trial date. Over.       |
|----|---|
| 2  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you.                                       |
| 3  | Defense?  |
| 4  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: We're fine addressing that in November.             |
| 5  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. You're referencing the Appellate           |
| 6  | Exhibit 588?  |
| 7  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir. I was looking at Lieutenant               |
| 8  | Colonel Nettinga. He's handling that.                                 |
| 9  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| 10 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Just wanted to clarify, but we are fine             |
| 11 | addressing that at the next session in November.                      |
| 12 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Anything else from the defense             |
| 13 | that you had anticipated taking up this summer that you would like to |
| 14 | bring to the commission's attention?                                  |
| 15 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Nothing from the RHR. And on this one, I            |
| 16 | do not have an objection to the commission asking the group in Naval  |
| 17 | Station Guantanamo Bay if they had any difference of opinion.         |
| 18 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.                           |
| 19 | Commander Bartholomaus?   |
| 20 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: No, sir. We have nothing here.                 |
| 21 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Very well.                                 |
| 22 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Your Honor, just if I and I apologize              |
| 23 | for not raising this earlier. But obviously with the defense filing   |
|    |   |

## 31041

| 1   | five motions on Wednesday that are additional matters that we would   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | have intended to resolve prior to the 6 October trial date, that will |
| 3   | now be on, you know, the November docket, so.                         |
| 4   | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I concur. So I think there will be five          |
| 5   | motions that will complete its pleading cycle this summer some point  |
| 6   | before the October deadline, but we're not taking those up until      |
| 7   | November, December. Is that   |
| 8   | LDC [MS. MILLER]: To be sorry. To be clear, I anticipate              |
| 9   | us filing additional motions beyond the five. Those are just          |
| 10  | responsive  |
| 11  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Oh.  |
| 12  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: to the government's hearsay notice.                 |
| 13  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| 14  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: I think there's going to be other things            |
| 15  | beyond what's already been filed, what we intend to file Wednesday.   |
| 16  | There will be additional pleadings that need to be addressed in       |
| 17  | November and December.  |
| 18  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. But I think to answer Captain              |
| 19  | Stinson's question, you want to make sure you're including that, that |
| 20  | you anticipated maybe being able to take those up this summer but now |
| 21  | they'll be taken up in November?                                      |
| 22  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: That's right, Your Honor.                          |
| ~ ~ |   |

23 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.

## 31042

| 1  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: And understood. I think we'll have the             |
|----|---|
| 2  | timing there. Obviously, the government had the duty to advise the    |
| 3  | survivors and the family members that the trial has been moved from 6 |
| 4  | October to 1 June. So that's one of the least favorite things we      |
| 5  | have to do, is we have to tell the family and the survivor members    |
| 6  | about that delay. I certainly wouldn't want to do that again.         |
| 7  | And so just making sure that we're going to be able to get            |
| 8  | everything done and, again, get ready to trial and not lose that      |
| 9  | date, the 1 June date. Over.  |
| 10 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you, sir.                                  |
| 11 | And I think the last thing I need to take up is Appellate             |
| 12 | Exhibit 339EEE, but that's where I intended to conclude, but I        |
| 13 | should ask: Is there anything else from the government you think      |
| 14 | we're going to take up in this session?                               |
| 15 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Not in the open session, Your Honor. I             |
| 16 | think that was the 339EEE was the last thing on the docket for us.    |
| 17 | Yes, Your Honor.  |
| 18 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Defense?                                   |
| 19 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Nothing from the defense, sir.                      |
| 20 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| 21 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: One moment, Your Honor.                        |
| 22 | [Counsel conferred.]  |
| 23 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Sir, you had mentioned before that you         |

## 31043

1 had some questions with regards to 505(g) notices to the defense. 2 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Bear with me one second. 3 [The military judge conferred with courtroom personnel.] MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thanks, Commander Bartholomaus. 4 I think 5 the way you phrased it, you thought I had some questions. I don't 6 have any questions, but what I wanted to reiterate is -- I have to 7 ask the parties. I think I may have said in 802, but not on the 8 record. 9 So I just want to repeat, I would ask the parties to scrupulously comply with 505(q), reverse engineer, backwards plan 10 11 from the known trial date so that we can resolve those issues well 12 before. 13 Again, I think it's a little different than the pretrial motions practice that's been going on for all these years, is we now 14 15 have a stop date in order to complete as many tasks as we can, 16 because it is my belief the parties want to present to the 17 fact-finders a clear and efficient exposition of the evidence as they 18 see it. So that's the only point I wanted to make. 19 I think the 20 parties do -- I'm not trying to intimate that the parties delay or 21 obfuscate. I'm just asking the parties. That's probably one of the 22 biggest and most cumbersome rocks in your ruck sack. So if you could help each other facilitate that, knowing you want to give the members 23

31044

an efficient and timely exposition of evidence, please help each
 other to do so.

3 That was it. So thank you for reminding me. I did say that 4 in the 802, but not here.

5 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Thank you, sir.

6 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you.

7 LDC [MS. MILLER]: Just add one thing.

8 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Yes, ma'am.

9 LDC [MS. MILLER]: Just to be clear, we still have the 10 outstanding offer for pretrial agreement that ----

11 [No audio feed.]

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I absolutely understand that. I'm hoping -- I don't speak to anybody but the parties in this case and the public who can hear this. They're the only people I can speak to. So I have no role in a submitted form of alternate disposition.

16 So I appreciate you reminding me that, but I'm hoping now 17 that all parties know the deadlines that it may help to get 18 resolution on that matter forthwith. That's all I can tell you, 19 Ms. Miller.

LDC [MS. MILLER]: Understood. I just wanted to -- to the victim family members who are present, that that would still include, even if that was accepted, a presentencing presentation where evidence would be presented, victim family members would be allowed

31045

1 to be heard. And so even if we resolved the case in such a manner, 2 there will still be a presentencing presentation that would need to 3 be scheduled and obviously would include the testimony of victim 4 family members.

5 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Understood. And I think you've provided 6 them that information. There's nothing more I can say. So I just 7 want to be clear, I don't have anything to add to it and I appreciate 8 that you want that information shared in a public forum.

9 And I think the government and I concur with you that if 10 that resolution is favorable to the defense that it will change the 11 dynamics of how this commission proceeds, and I will change my order 12 to comport with that.

13 Is that what you were also asking about?

14 LDC [MS. MILLER]: [Microphone button not pushed; no
15 audio] ---- yes, sir. And I understand the commission's position.
16 Excuse me.

17 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Thank you.

18 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Your Honor, just on that point -- and 19 obviously we understand the current timing schedule is November and 20 December, but if something were to happen, we would talk with the 21 defense and maybe come back and see if we could get something 22 scheduled at an earlier time. And we understand that that wouldn't 23 be precluded. We just want to make sure that's clear.

31046

| 1  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: If I receive different marching orders,         |
|----|--|
| 2  | I adjust accordingly. As best I can phrase it, that's what I would   |
| 3  | receive. I would receive very different marching orders, and then    |
| 4  | from there the orders would come down to you.                        |
| 5  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: I understand.                                     |
| 6  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I would certainly want your input               |
| 7  | collectively and individually as to how you would like those orders  |
| 8  | from me to look and the timing and sequence. So the best information |
| 9  | you can give me, I'm aware those type of procedures are more party   |
| 10 | driven than court driven, because the court is now helping the       |
| 11 | parties manifest an agreement versus an adversarial process.         |
| 12 | So I'd just tell you to ask for expectation management. The          |
| 13 | more you can help me facilitate that, it would be greatly            |
| 14 | appreciated.   |
| 15 | Thank you, sir.  |
| 16 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.                |
| 17 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: If there's nothing else, I'd like to            |
| 18 | take up 339EE EEE. Sorry, three echos.                               |
| 19 | Defense, this is more of a discussion for you. Are you               |
| 20 | prepared?  |
| 21 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir.  |
| 22 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I don't need a recess, but either party         |
| 23 | want a recess? Again, this is the last thing, so                     |
|    |  |

## 31047

TC [CAPT STINSON]: The government's fine with pushing 1 2 through, Your Honor. 3 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Same with the defense? LDC [MS. MILLER]: We're fine pushing through, sir. 4 5 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: All right. I received last night after 6 we concluded our hearings Appellate Exhibit 339EEE, an application to 7 withdraw as detailed defense counsel concerning Mr. Padilla, Mr. Joaquin Padilla, Mr. Nashiri's defense counsel. 8 I've had a chance to read it. And as I understand it -- and 9 10 please tell me if I am wrong -- that Mr. Padilla had a term 11 expiring -- hasn't expired yet, but will expire in September 2025. It was not his intent to extend that term, but instead seek gainful 12 13 employment in a nonterm capacity. 14 Is that an accurate way of stating that, Mr. Padilla? 15 DC [MR. PADILLA]: Yes, Judge. 16 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: All right. And since then, 17 Mr. Padilla's received a formal offer of employment that aligns with 18 the expectation of when he would complete his term of service. And the defense asserts in the pleading that they have informed 19 Mr. Nashiri, Mr. al Nashiri, of this pending termination of 20 21 employment and the acceptance of the offer for full employment 22 subsequent to that. 23 And also that, as I understand, Mr. Padilla, you've informed

31048

| 1  | him that not only is that the path forward you're looking at but that |
|----|---|
| 2  | Mr. Nashiri has a right to object to your termination and withdrawal  |
| 3  | from this case, and that Mr. Nashiri Mr. al Nashiri has no            |
| 4  | objection to this court granting your motion to withdraw.             |
| 5  | Is that an accurate summary of  |
| 6  | DC [MR. PADILLA]: It is, Judge.                                       |
| 7  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Also, that Ms. Miller as learned counsel         |
| 8  | was informed of his decision, and also that Mr. Nashiri understands   |
| 9  | his right to object and doesn't object, and I note this this          |
| 10 | motion is dated 7 May 2025, and makes reference to the trial date,    |
| 11 | not of October 2025, but of June of 2026. So the date for trial had   |
| 12 | been enlarged from I imagine when, Mr. Padilla, you had contemplated  |
| 13 | leaving this case, and also receiving a full offer of employment.     |
| 14 | But, Ms. Miller, so my question for you is: With all that,            |
| 15 | it's your understanding that with the full panoply of attorneys and   |
| 16 | resource counsel and resource personnel, analysts, paralegals, and    |
| 17 | any other assistance, that you are fully prepared to continue towards |
| 18 | that trial date in the absence of Mr. Padilla?                        |
| 19 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: With tremendous sadness, yes.                       |
| 20 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Oh, I absolutely understand that.                |
| 21 | So and I take that Mr. Padilla's portfolio of matters is being        |
| 22 | handled by other attorneys on your team?                              |
|    |   |

31049

1 **audio.]** ---- excuse me. We are in the process of designating 2 everything that Mr. Padilla was handing to other members and soliciting applications to onboard potentially a new lawyer. 3 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. And then so by -- if I were to 4 grant the withdrawal of Mr. Padilla, then you are still contemplating 5 6 your team to be fully resourced and prepared for trial starting 1 7 June 2026? 8 LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes, sir. 9 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Can I ask, because your -- well, 10 I don't know, you can certainly defer, Mr. Padilla -- your request 11 for withdrawal indicates that in support of that request, that the defense is, I think the word was, "onboarding" a new attorney in a 12 13 GS -- oh, here it is. "The defense team is currently in the process 14 of onboarding an additional GS-15 lawyer with extensive experience 15 defending capital cases." Can either of you speak to what the phrase "currently in the 16 17 process of onboarding a GS-15" -- are they ----DC [MR. PADILLA]: I certainly cannot answer that, but perhaps 18 Ms. Miller or Commander Bartholomaus could answer that. 19 LDC [MS. MILLER]: We had a GS-15 lawyer who had been issued a 20 21 temporary job offer. We had an extra billet beyond Mr. Padilla's for 22 a GS-15 civilian attorney. We had an attorney who had been offered a temporary job offer when the hiring freeze took effect. And so that 23

31050

1 process ostensibly stopped at that point. 2 We have been given indication that the MCDO is now exempt 3 from the hiring freeze and we can re-begin that process, but it's not like a switch turning it on and off. And so, yes, Commander 4 5 Bartholomaus would certainly have more information as our 6 administrative team lead but we are -- when we say "in the process," 7 turning back on the hiring process has not been an easy feed, but we're working on it. 8 9 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Are you deferring to Commander 10 Bartholomaus, then? 11 LDC [MS. MILLER]: If there's any additional information he 12 has. 13 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. So where are you at in this? There's a lot of things we can call the onboarding process as 14 15 a -- you have to reinitiate, are you back to square one, or has the 16 person accepted the offer? 17 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: The -- Your Honor, the person has accepted the offer. The problem is, as Ms. Miller indicated, it's 18 not just a system that turns on and off. This is definitely a 19 20 process that's in process; this change, an exemption from the hiring 21 freeze, which is information that we only received within the last 10 22 days.

23 Needless to say, Your Honor, I can assure you that we are

31051

pressing the system as hard as we possibly can to get not only the prospective applicant and candidate into the position, but as well as filling two other open positions that we have on our team through this process.

5 However, at this point I have no further information to 6 provide the commission where we are at in that process, because 7 unfortunately we don't manage the process as a team.

8 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Sure. Well, I think there's some things 9 you can help me with. Is -- has the person accepted the offer? Are 10 you at that stage?

11 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Oh, yes, sir.

12 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.

DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: He's accepted the offer. Has started the clearance process, et cetera. But everything was put on pause once the hiring freeze hit in February. Therefore, restarting the process, it takes an amount of time that we're not prepared to represent to the court right now because we simply don't know.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. So they've accepted the offer, but -- and as part of the onboarding, they -- it appears what you're saying, they currently don't hold a security clearance?

DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: That's correct, sir. The way the process works is that ----

23 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: No. I understand how the process ----

31052

1 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Okay.

2 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: ----- we're also talking about multiple 3 processes.

4

DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Understood.

5 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: So what you're telling me is the 6 person says -- I'm going to speak just more plainly. "Yes, I want to 7 come work for your team." They've already said that.

8

DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir.

9 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: All right. One of provisoes is you have 10 to have a security clearance. And they've told you, "Yes I'm going 11 to put myself through the PSIP process to get a security clearance? 12 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And they've given you some assurances they don't think there's anything that would prevent them from getting that security clearance?

16 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Correct, sir.

17 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And you've already determined they're 18 fully qualified otherwise, a member in good standing with the bar?

19 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir.

20 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: With qualified capital experience.

21 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir.

22 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: So now we're just talking about the 23 general onboarding process once somebody's accepted the offer.

31053

DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: That's correct, sir. 1 2 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. I understand the machinations that none of us ever want to talk about, especially on a public 3 record, about the process you are now referring to. 4 5 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Yes, sir. 6 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I would just ask you, just like you have 7 done in the past with Ms. Miller and, I believe, one of your other 8 consultants that you've given me updates as to where we are at with 9 the security clearance. The government has repeatedly told me they 10 can't move that process any faster. I will probably still press them 11 to use soft diplomacy as best they can to encourage that process to 12 get completed timely. 13 But what I am hearing, wherever we're at in this process, your knowledge of this person, capabilities and the processes 14 15 administratively they have to go through, that they'll be full and 16 ready for trial when they come onboard six -- they'll be ready for 17 trial on 6 June 2025, unless you -- unless an impediment affects you 18 otherwise and you need to inform the commission. 19 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Correct, sir. 20 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. 21 TC [CAPT STINSON]: Your Honor, just minor corrections. 1 22 June, not 6 June. I hate to ----23 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I keep saying "6 June." I appreciate

## 31054

1 that. Thank you. The trial date is 1 June. So I have not amended 2 that trial date. Thank you for clarifying that.

3 [Pause.]

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: The other thing I want to point out is I noticed, Mr. Padilla, in your pleading you refer to 339QQ, which was -- I think predates my being detailed to this case. So I had to go back and contemplate that, because what you mention is this commission found no prejudice with the withdrawal of another counsel preflected in 339QQ.

I just want to make sure the defense is -- will acknowledge that we have a trial date in 12 months, and so I would not use 339QQ, or if I were to grant the withdrawal request in 339EEE as necessarily precedent how I would treat any further requests for withdrawal.

At this point we should have the full panoply of lawyers who are representing their side's interests ready for trial in a year. So I am going to grant the request to withdraw for Mr. Padilla. I don't want to create any shock or concern.

But I do want to be clear, and I want to put this on the record, that neither this withdrawal grant or any previous withdrawal grant are necessary precedent I would rely on going forward knowing that we are 13 months out from trial.

22 So please inform the commission soon if you think anybody 23 else intends to withdraw from representation. But I intend to keep

## 31055

| 1  | the trial date I have set and the pretrial practice and move on to   |
|----|--|
| 2  | the full adversarial proceeding in front of members as docketed.     |
| 3  | So, Mr. Padilla, I think I thought I had a question for you,         |
| 4  | but I don't. I think I was just referring to you because you're the  |
| 5  | signatory to that document. But I probably should pose that question |
| 6  | now that I've told you I'm going to grant that request.              |
| 7  | But anything from you, Ms. Miller, in regard to what I have          |
| 8  | just said?   |
| 9  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: No. I understand the commission's                  |
| 10 | position.  |
| 11 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Thank you.                                |
| 12 | And with that, your request is granted, Mr. Padilla, and we          |
| 13 | wish you well in your future endeavors.                              |
| 14 | DC [MR. PADILLA]: Thank you, Judge.                                  |
| 15 | [The military judge conferred with courtroom personnel.]             |
| 16 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you. I think through that                 |
| 17 | discussion with the defense I probably failed to do one thing.       |
| 18 | And, Ms. Miller, I'm just going to ask                               |
| 19 | Mr. Nashiri Mr. al Nashiri. I note that there's an assertion that    |
| 20 | Mr. al Nashiri does not object to the withdrawal as an assertion for |
| 21 | Mr. Padilla. But I'm just going to ask Mr. al Nashiri if that is, in |
| 22 | fact, accurate, that he doesn't object. I know I've granted it, but  |
| 23 | I just want to confirm that on the record. So                        |

31056

| 1  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Understood.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you.                                      |
| 3  | Mr. al Nashiri, I think I've said good morning to you, but           |
| 4  | if I haven't, good morning.  |
| 5  | ACC [MR. AL NASHIRI]: Good morning.                                  |
| 6  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: I have been informed I've been                  |
| 7  | informed that you were made aware that Mr. Padilla would be leaving  |
| 8  | your defense team for other employment and that Mr. Padilla has, and |
| 9  | your defense team, have informed you you can object to his           |
| 10 | withdrawal, and they've told me you don't object to his withdrawal.  |
| 11 | Is that accurate?  |
| 12 | ACC [MR. AL NASHIRI]: Yes. Correct.                                  |
| 13 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Thank you.                                |
| 14 | And I think that resolves that issue. And thank you for              |
| 15 | allowing me to come back and make sure we had that on the record.    |
| 16 | Anything else from the parties?                                      |
| 17 | MATC [MR. WELLS]: Yes, Your Honor.                                   |
| 18 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Mr. Wells.                                      |
| 19 | MATC [MR. WELLS]: May we be heard? Just one thing.                   |
| 20 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: You may.  |
| 21 | MATC [MR. WELLS]: Thank you.   |
| 22 | Sir, good morning.   |
| 23 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Good morning.                                   |

# 31057

1 MATC [MR. WELLS]: I don't intend that this would countermand 2 or disrupt your decision already to release Mr. Padilla. He's a fine 3 attorney. He's represented Mr. Nashiri well and we appreciate his 4 professionalism.

5 You already answered one question that we had about his 6 replacement counsel and the onboarding with detail, and we understand 7 that an exemption has been granted and that's in process.

8 However, between now and June of 2026, for the complete 9 composition of the defense team, you also have military counsel which 10 was contemplated in the filing. And I know that you've offered to 11 the parties to inform you if you have any changes, but I would ask 12 that you press the defense more firmly: Do we anticipate any loss of 13 military counsel this cycle, either in the summer or in the fall, out 14 of cycle?

And looking forward in 2026, is it contemplated that we would lose other counsel? For example, Lieutenant Colonel Nettinga, who's been with us for awhile.

18 Those type of things are of concern to the prosecution to 19 make sure that the defense is fully resourced with competent counsel 20 who have been on the case, and that would certainly have substantial 21 prejudice, we think, to their team.

22 So that's the only thing that we would ask, that you ask the 23 defense if they anticipate at this time if they can disclose

### 31058

1 projected military losses 2025 and 2026, sir. 2 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Very well. 3 MATC [MR. WELLS]: Thank you. MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Ms. Miller, do you believe you can 4 5 answer that question now? LDC [MS. MILLER]: I would like to defer to Lieutenant Colonel 6 7 Nettinga, if that's okay with the commission. MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: You may. Thank you. 8 9 Good morning. 10 DDC [Lt Col NETTINGA]: Yeah, so I certainly appreciate 11 Mr. Wells' concern. Obviously, I cannot speak on behalf of United States Air Force JAG Corps, United States Navy JAG Corps. 12 13 We do not anticipate losing anybody in the summer of 2025, 14 this summer. I have not been informed that anyone will be moving as 15 of 2026, but that is all that I can say. 16 Speaking for myself personally, I have no desire to move 17 from this case, and I have made those desires as clear as possible to 18 the detailing authority for the United States Air Force JAG Corps that it is my intent to remain a part of this case until its 19 20 resolution, whenever that may be. 21 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you. 22 DDC [Lt Col NETTINGA]: That's all that I can say. MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Well, let me just ask. I know you're 23

31059

speaking for you, yourself. Can you speak for Commander Bartholomaus or Lieutenant Shaver? Or do you want them to make their own representations or can they?

DDC [Lt Col NETTINGA]: I think whatever personal desires they have are certainly things that they can share, if that matters to the commission. And not that their personal desires don't matter to us, but we are beholden to something larger than ourselves, and so I think that our personal desires aren't necessarily the things that dictate, as we well know.

10 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Sure. I -- so thank you, Colonel
11 Nettinga.

So I'll just address this to Commander Bartholomaus and Lieutenant Shaver, that I -- being a defense attorney is an important role, so I don't necessarily need your personal desires. You're here. You're doing fine work. You've never given me any reason to doubt that you're here because you think it's important to be here as an attorney.

But what I would ask is -- because I don't understand the Navy hiring -- not "hiring," the Navy orders process and assignments process and how it's done, but will you be informing the people who decide Navy JAG Corps assignments for your particular grade and where you're at in your career, will you be informing them that the court has granted a defense continuance in part and docketed this case for

31060

1 1 June for their consideration in your assignments? 2 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: If so required, sir, I can do that. 3 But I can also represent to the commission that my orders currently have a projected rotation date of August of 2027. So subject to the 4 5 needs of the Navy, this is my billet ----6 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Very well. 7 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: ---- until that time. 8 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And can you speak for Lieutenant Shaver 9 or does he have to speak for his own circumstances? 10 DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: With regards to Lieutenant Shaver, he 11 and I have discussed this in some respects, but at this point I would 12 have to defer to him for the issue. The Navy right now is currently 13 looking at doing their detailing process for the summer of 2026. As 14 I understand it, we generally move ahead of other services in that 15 regard. But as far as his personal desires, et cetera, I would have 16 to defer to him. MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Lieutenant Shaver, do you wish to 17 be heard? 18 DC [LT SHAVER]: Sure, sir. So right now I'm going through 19 20 the process of figuring all that out. I am either on two- or 21 three-year orders. 22 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And when did those -- when are those set 23 to expire at the earliest?

## 31061

| 1  | DC [LT SHAVER]: The earliest would be summer of 2026, sir.            |
|----|---|
| 2  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. But with potential until the               |
| 3  | summer of 2027 I think is also what you're saying?                    |
| 4  | DC [LT SHAVER]: Yes, sir.   |
| 5  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Is there a way you can also                |
| 6  | inform the assignment folks that we have docketed this trial for the  |
| 7  | summer of 2026 for their consideration?                               |
| 8  | DC [LT SHAVER]: Yes, sir, there is a way. And with the                |
| 9  | continuance, I think that's more details about that, but I'll have to |
| 10 | work through that, sir.   |
| 11 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| 12 | Mr. Wells, does that satisfy your request? I don't want to            |
| 13 | press the defense any further. They don't have a written order, a     |
| 14 | written ruling. So I think they've been very forthcoming with         |
| 15 | information.  |
| 16 | Is that helpful?  |
| 17 | MATC [MR. WELLS]: Yes, sir, it is. And I hope that's helpful          |
| 18 | to the commission, because they did specify in their filing from      |
| 19 | Mr. Padilla that the composition of the team is not expected to       |
| 20 | change and that they would be prepared.                               |
| 21 | I was reminded by a co-counsel, though, that they also                |
| 22 | mentioned that there's one additional civilian attorney on the team   |
| 23 | that's experienced in capital litigation. I hesitate, but it may be   |
|    | 21062   |

Ms. Carmon. Also, it's been advertised that Ms. Carmon has a term of employment, and I just wonder if we're going to get another request voluntarily from her, if she receives another job, to also depart the team now that trial has been extended to 1 June 2026.

5 I think it's important for the commission, at this point at 6 least, to make some inquiry. And what the prosecution is looking for 7 is a firm commitment that the team will not be disassembled by 8 voluntary absences or requests in 2026, heading to the June trial 9 date, sir.

10 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Very well.

11 LDC [MS. MILLER]: And, Judge, at this point I'm going to 12 object. I don't think -- the commission has indicated we have been 13 forthcoming. There is no reason to discount what has been 14 represented.

The attorney with the detailed capital experience is Jessica Manuele. All of the civilians are term employees, and whether their terms will be extended or not is a matter for the Department of Defense or the federal government at this point.

But I think any further inquiry about each individual on this team is inappropriate. We're not asking the same of the government. And so I'm -- I'm objecting at this point.

22 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Your objection is noted. And prior to 23 your objection I was intending to inform Mr. Wells I'm not going to

31063

1 make further inquiry.

Here's what I know. The person you're speaking to, Ms. Carmon, has been zealously representing her client. She is a trial attorney. I have no reason to believe she doesn't want to be a trial attorney at trial in this case, and I've been given no indication of that.

I don't want to have her make an affirmation or confirmation and then believe this commission is going to hold her to that at a future date when circumstances may change. I think everybody on this defense team and the prosecution team I presume will be there.

11 I certainly did appreciate you wanting to check on the military folks because orders are exactly what they say they are; 12 13 they are orders. So I did the best I could to ensure that they 14 informed handlers of detailing, that it's important that these folks 15 be given significant consideration to be on this team in the summer 16 of 2026 to override any desire to remove them from the team for other 17 assignments. And I think that's what I understood from both 18 Commander Bartholomaus and Lieutenant Shaver.

19 They're not -- they're not trying to leave this team, and 20 they're -- I would hope they're not encouraging detailing orders that 21 remove them from this team. That's a risk for them to take, but 22 if -- I would hope they're not.

23

Again, I'm not going to ask Ms. Carmon, because I think

### 31064

1 that's the person we're speaking about, her intent other than to 2 continue to zealously represent her client as she has done thus far. 3 MATC [MR. WELLS]: Yes, sir. Thank you very much. I do 4 understand that.

5 On behalf of the government and the prosecution, I would 6 like to express to the commission on the record that this request by 7 Mr. Padilla is not because he's been denied an extension of his term 8 of employment, but this is a voluntary request where he's decided not 9 to request renewal. The U.S. Government has not prevented him, as 10 Ms. Miller just advertised, that that is the main impediment to 11 continued term employment.

So for Ms. -- Ms. Carmon in her representation, you've already set the bar in your expectation that if you're going to do a voluntary request not to continue to represent the accused, that you inform the commission as soon as possible.

I would also like to point out that between the prosecution and the defense, the defense has a heightened responsibility to the accused, and other obligations, ethical obligations to represent the accused in a capital case that are not imposed on the prosecution.

20 So that's all, sir. Thank you.

MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Thank you. I appreciate that,Mr. Wells.

23 Again, I think how I let the defense know of my -- my

31065

| 1  | concerns and the way I'd like to go forward is I was clear that I am  |
|----|---|
| 2  | not considering this withdrawal or any previous withdrawal as a       |
| 3  | precedent for any future requests for withdrawal, noting that we have |
| 4  | a very specific trial schedule in the forthcoming 14 months.          |
| 5  | So I think the defense has received my comments as the as             |
| 6  | the warning it intended to serve.                                     |
| 7  | Is that how you received it, Ms. Miller?                              |
| 8  | LDC [MS. MILLER]: Yes. I understood the commission                    |
| 9  | perfectly.  |
| 10 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| 11 | So I thank you. Again, I appreciate everybody helping the             |
| 12 | commission move through these processes, and they're all necessary    |
| 13 | and we've made a record of everything.                                |
| 14 | If there's nothing further, this is there anything                    |
| 15 | further? Government?  |
| 16 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: There's nothing further from the                   |
| 17 | government in open session. I think that's it for the government.     |
| 18 | Over.   |
| 19 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Anything from the defense?                       |
| 20 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: One moment, Your Honor.                        |
| 21 | LDC [MS. MILLER]: And just a thank-you to Mr. Powell on               |
| 22 | behalf of the defense.  |
| 23 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: With leave of the commission, I have           |
|    | 31066   |

# 31066

| just some comments to make for about two minutes if that's okay?      |
|---|
| MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay.  |
| Captain Stinson, did you have something you wanted to say             |
| first? You stood up. Did you have an alibi?                           |
| TC [CAPT STINSON]: It was an alibi. It was an administrative          |
| matter, because the prosecution has two two members that likely is    |
| going to be their last their last time here before the commission     |
| because they are rolling.   |
| MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Do you mind if I let him comment on his          |
| alibi, then we'll turn  |
| TC [CAPT STINSON]: Yes, Your Honor.                                   |
| DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: We were going to do the same thing,            |
| Your Honor.   |
| MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. I'll let the government go first.          |
| TC [CAPT STINSON]: So for the government, Major Danielczyk            |
| and Lieutenant Huston, this will likely be their last time before the |
| commission because we're out until November. We'll make sure the      |
| detailing are up-to-date when that occurs, but we just wanted to      |
| inform the commission because we've had a lot of that disclosure      |
| today. So that's all.   |
| MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. I'm glad, then, that Lieutenant            |
| Huston had the opportunity to be the lead advocate in front of the    |
| commission.   |
|   |

| 1  | Lieutenant Huston, your service has been commendable and             |
|----|--|
| 2  | appreciated, and we wish you I don't remember the Navy term          |
| 3  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Fair winds and following seas, Your Honor.        |
| 4  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Fair winds and following seas. Thank            |
| 5  | you. The Army just tells you to keep rolling along, so it's a little |
| 6  | different. But thank you.  |
| 7  | ATC [LT HUSTON]: Thank you, Your Honor.                              |
| 8  | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And that was it for the government?             |
| 9  | TC [CAPT STINSON]: Major Danielczyk as well, Your Honor.             |
| 10 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Oh, I'm sorry.                                  |
| 11 | TC [CAPT STINSON]: I'm not honestly sure what the Air Force          |
| 12 | term is. "Fly high" or something.                                    |
| 13 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: "Aim high"?                                     |
| 14 | ATC [Capt DANIELCZYK]: We'll go with that, sir.                      |
| 15 | MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: Okay. Again, and Major Danielczyk,              |
| 16 | thank you to your service to the commissions. It's been valuable.    |
| 17 | And I hope you leave here knowing that, and "aim high."              |
| 18 | ATC [Capt DANIELCZYK]: Thank you, Your Honor.                        |
| 19 | DC [CDR BARTHOLOMAUS]: Your Honor, I just wanted to mention          |
| 20 | that by the next time that we meet in session, there's an additional |
| 21 | team member that we will not have here, though. He has not           |
| 22 | necessarily been on the record before you. That's Legalman First     |
| 23 | Class Petty Officer Geoffrey Wood who after more than 20 years of    |

31068

1 honorable service will be retiring at the end of the year going on terminal leave in September. He's been an absolutely critical member 2 of our team and a bedrock that we've all relied on behind the scenes. 3 You've seen him a lot. I just wanted to mention that to Your Honor. 4 5 And thank you, Geoff. I appreciate it. 6 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: And I'll speak on behalf of my team as 7 well that, you know, although we have some other folks leaving, 8 retirement is an especially important event in a military 9 serviceman's life, because it doesn't happen as often as, I think, 10 people think it does. 11 I'm going to badly quote -- I'm not even going to try to 12 quote it, but Adlai Stevenson once said that, you know, patriotism is 13 not short bursts of action. It's a long slog. That's my version of 14 it. 15 And that's what a 20-year or longer service represents. 16 It's been a long slog of patriotism. And I think on behalf of the 17 nation and this commission and the Department of Defense, as best I 18 can I wish you fair winds and following seas as well. And thank you for your service. 19 20 Is that it? 21 TC [CAPT STINSON]: That's it from the government, Your Honor. 22 MJ [COL FITZGERALD]: All right. Thank you. 23 Then this commission is in recess until further order of the

31069

1 commission.

3

# 2 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1129, 08 May 2025.]

[END OF PAGE]