- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1003,
- 2 6 March 2019.]
- 3 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: This commission is called to order.
- 4 All parties present when the commission last recessed are
- 5 again present including the accused. He is represented today
- 6 by Ms. Hensler, Lieutenant Askar, and Lieutenant Ball, all of
- 7 whom are present and were present at the last session.
- 8 I will also note that Captain Corey Squires, whose
- 9 detailing is memorialized in Appellate Exhibit 003K, is also
- 10 present and has joined the prosecution team. Captain Squires
- 11 stated his legal qualification and status for the commission
- 12 during the M.C.R.E. 505(h) session held yesterday, ahead of
- 13 today's motions litigation. He was also sworn at that time.
- 14 Trial Counsel, would you please note for the record
- 15 where the proceedings are being transmitted to by
- 16 closed-circuit television.
- 17 TC [CDR SHORT]: Yes, sir, Your Honor. These proceedings
- 18 are being transmitted stateside via CCTV to remote viewing
- 19 sites at Fort Meade, Maryland and Fort Devens, Massachusetts,
- 20 pursuant to the commission's order Appellate Exhibit 005I.
- 21 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Thank you very much.
- 22 Before moving on to the substantive matters that
- 23 we're going to take up, I'll briefly describe the occurrences

- 1 since last session.
- 2 A Rule for Military Commission 802 conference was
- 3 held at 1300 on 14 January 2019. The military judge and both
- 4 parties were present. The accused was not present.
- **5** Due to the health status of the accused at that time,
- 6 the commission recessed prior to hearing oral argument on
- 7 Appellate Exhibits 019, 024 and 085. The commission directed
- 8 the parties to file supplemental briefs in lieu of oral
- 9 arguments on these motions. Those supplemental briefs have
- 10 been filed since the last session.
- 11 The commission also discussed scheduling -- the
- 12 scheduling of future hearings with both parties in order to
- 13 take into consideration Ms. Hensler's pregnancy. Ms. Hensler
- 14 informed the commission that the first week of the scheduled
- 15 March 2019 session was preferable, as opposed to the second
- 16 week, which this commission took into consideration when it
- 17 modified this week's session.
- 18 Commission also discussed other changes to the
- 19 calendar year scheduling order. It has since cancelled the
- 20 April and May 2019 session and has made modifications to the
- 21 July session. It also extended the August 2019 session, all
- 22 based on discussions during that R.M.C. 802 conference.
- Ms. Hensler indicated the defense intended to submit

- 1 a motion to continue hearings in the near future, outlining
- 2 conflicts and justifying proposed adjustments to both the
- 3 litigation schedule and the scheduling order. That motion has
- 4 since been filed and will be taken up during the course of
- 5 litigation today.
- **6** A second Rule for Military Commission 802 conference
- 7 was held at 1700 on 4 March 2019. The military judge and both
- 8 parties were again present. The accused was not present.
- **9** The government informed the commission that the
- 10 accommodations for the accused described in AE 131V are in
- 11 place; that the accused had declined to be moved that evening,
- 12 but said he would be present for the session on 5 March. In
- 13 fact, the commission did not come to order on 5 March, and we
- **14** are here today.
- 15 The defense also wanted an opportunity to view those
- 16 accommodations and may raise an issue on the record with
- 17 respect to them. The commission directed the defense to work
- 18 with the government counsel to coordinate the opportunity to
- 19 view those accommodations.
- The commission also directed both parties to submit
- 21 supplemental M.C.R.E. 505 notices by 1000 yesterday in order
- 22 to provide the commission a better understanding of the
- 23 classified information sought to be disclosed during argument

- 1 on the various motions, and to allow the government the
- 2 opportunity to exercise its options under M.C.R.E. 505.
- **3** Following the submission of these supplemental
- 4 filings, the commission conducted an M.C.R.E. 505 session on
- 5 5 March, yesterday afternoon. We also discussed the remaining
- **6** schedule for the week, to include this session today and the
- 7 potential for a closed session tomorrow depending on the
- 8 matters that we address today and any outstanding matters that
- **9** involve classified information.
- **10** Finally, a third R.M.C. 802 conference was held this
- 11 morning pursuant to the commission's order in Appellate
- 12 Exhibit 143R. During this session the parties and the
- 13 military judge briefly discussed the 505 measures that the
- 14 government potentially would be requesting, as well as their
- 15 position on the 505 process as it currently stands, in light
- 16 of the commission's order in 143R.
- 17 The military judge also informed the parties of
- 18 the -- of its intent in proceeding today, specifically that we
- 19 will take the matters up in numerical order with few
- 20 exceptions that I will explain on the record in a moment.
- 21 That was based on a discussion and a request by the defense
- 22 that they requested more time before litigating and moving on
- 23 to Appellate Exhibits 135 and 141, which the commission notes

- 1 and has informed the parties that it will be taking them up
- 2 later today after an extended recess.
- 3 Finally, Ms. Hensler notified the military judge of a
- 4 concern of hers with the accused's health status this morning,
- 5 explaining that there was some delay in the accused's both
- 6 arriving here at the Expeditionary Legal Center as well as a
- 7 delay in their opportunity to meet with the accused this
- 8 morning.
- **9** She also explained that he was on various medications
- 10 that in some way cloud his ability to understand things that
- 11 are being said to some level, although she did inform the
- 12 military judge that she was able to hold a conversation with
- 13 him such that he was able to understand and follow what she
- 14 was saying and discuss the -- this morning's events with her.
- 15 She did inform the military judge upon inquiry that
- 16 she had a concern with proceeding in light of his medications
- 17 this morning, and requested that the military judge conduct a
- 18 brief voir dire or discussion with Mr. Hadi in order to
- 19 ascertain for the commission's own purposes whether or not we
- 20 should proceed this morning or delay.
- 21 Counsel for either side have anything to add or
- 22 correct based on the commission's R.M.C. 802 conferences since
- 23 the last session?

- 1 TC [CDR SHORT]: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
- 2 DDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, I'd like to add two items.
- 3 With respect to the January 14th, 2019, 802 session, it's
- 4 worth noting that the court was open to my request to shorten
- 5 this hearing and to cancel the April/May hearing; however, the
- 6 government proposed that the court compel Mr. Adam
- 7 Thurschwell, former counsel on this case, to appear, since the
- 8 court had not yet ruled on his excusal. And the court made
- 9 adjustments to schedule anyway.
- I'd also like to note that I -- this morning at our
- 11 802 session -- specifically informed the court that
- 12 Mr. al-Tamir this morning has taken -- has been administered
- 13 Valium, Flexeril and Percocet, and he's in a great deal of
- 14 pain after being restrained in the van outside of the
- 15 courtroom for approximately 35 minutes.
- 16 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Thank you, Ms. Hensler. We might
- 17 take up that matter this afternoon after we have an
- 18 opportunity to potentially hear from various witnesses
- 19 involved in that issue, but for the time being we will
- 20 proceed.
- 21 Mr. Hadi, good morning. I have -- I understand that
- 22 you had some time, albeit a bit more limited than I had hoped
- 23 for, to meet with your counsel this morning to discuss the

1

23

- matters that we'll be taking up today. 2 However, before we get there, I want to advise you, 3 as I have done in previous sessions, that you have the right 4 to be present during all sessions of this commission. If you 5 request to be absent from any session, your absence must be 6 voluntary and of your own free will. 7 Your voluntary absence from any session of the 8 commission is an unequivocal waiver of your right to be 9 present during that session. Your absence from any session 10 may negatively affect the presentation of the defense in your 11 case, and your failure to meet with and cooperate with your 12 defense counsel may also negatively affect the presentation of 13 your case. 14 Under certain circumstances your attendance at a 15 session can be compelled, regardless of your personal desire 16 not to be present. Regardless of your voluntary waiver to
- 17 attend a particular session of the commission, you have the 18 right at any time to decide to attend any subsequent session. 19 Finally, I will note, as I have in the past, your 20 medical providers have routinely advised that the most 21 effective way to minimize the risk of back spasms and pain 22 from occurring is to not remain in a static position for an

extended period of time. That means adjusting your position,

- 1 standing up, stretching or lying down. In light of your
- 2 condition and the recommendations of your medical providers,
- 3 it will not be considered disrespectful in any way, even if
- 4 you do so in the middle of the sessions on the record. I am
- 5 encouraging you to do so.
- 6 In fact, if you fail to do so and you experience back
- 7 spasms as a result that prevent your attendance at a session
- 8 of court today or perhaps even tomorrow, it may be considered
- 9 in any determination that I have to make in whether your
- **10** absence is voluntary.
- 11 It is my sincere goal for you to be present at every
- 12 session of this commission, and certain accommodations have
- 13 been afforded to you to that end. But ultimately it is up to
- 14 you to take advantage of those accommodations and I sincerely
- 15 encourage you to do so.
- Do you understand what I have just explained to you?
- 17 ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes. I understood, Your Honor.
- 18 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Now, Mr. Hadi, Ms. Hensler has
- 19 explained to me that you have taken some medications this
- 20 morning, specifically Percocet and Valium, I believe, that
- 21 have in the past been explained to me to make you drowsy.
- Are you feeling drowsy this morning?
- ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes.

- **1** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Notwithstanding your feeling of
- 2 drowsy, you did understand the extended advisement that I just
- **3** provided to you, correct?
- 4 ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes, I understood the advisement, because
- 5 it is repeated every session.
- **6** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: And you understand the conversation
- 7 that we're having now?
- **8** ACC [MR. HADI]: Simple matters I would understand, but if
- 9 things become complicated, I will not be able to understand
- **10** it.
- 11 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Hadi.
- 12 And if during the course of the litigation today, if at any
- 13 time you needed a moment to speak with your counsel for them
- 14 to further explain any matters that we're addressing, just let
- 15 them know, and we can -- I will afford them the opportunity to
- **16** discuss those matters with you. Do you understand?
- ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes.
- 18 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Given the nature of the motions that
- 19 we will be taking up today, as well as the commission's
- 20 understanding of the effects that the medications he is
- 21 currently on have on him, based on medical testimony that the
- 22 commission has received in the past, the military commission
- 23 is confident that we can proceed today with the understanding

- 1 that if at any time Mr. Hadi would like to discuss the matters
- 2 in further detail or go over them with counsel at any time
- 3 during today's session, I will afford him the opportunity to
- **4** do so.
- **5** DDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, the defense notes an
- 6 objection to moving forward this morning.
- 7 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: I'm sorry?
- 8 DDC [MS. HENSLER]: The defense notes an objection to
- **9** moving forward this morning.
- 10 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. It's noted for the record.
- **11** AE 143 and AE 143N, the original and admitted
- 12 docketing orders for this hearing list 13 motions and
- 13 appellate exhibits we will be addressing this week.
- 14 In AE 143B, filed 22 February 2019, the defense
- 15 submitted a motion requesting a Military Commission Rule of
- 16 Evidence hearing 505(h) with respect to several motions. In
- 17 AE 143N, the defense filed additional notices for the AE 135,
- **18** 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, and 141 series.
- 19 In AE 143A, filed 27 February, the government
- 20 submitted its own motion requesting a Military Commission Rule
- 21 of Evidence 505(h) hearing be held prior to taking
- 22 unclassified oral argument on the docketed motions, as nearly
- 23 all of the docketed motions involve either directly or

- 1 tangentially classified information. The commission granted
- 2 each party's request for a 505(h) hearing in the amended
- 3 docketing order AE 143N.
- 4 Per the M.C.R.E. 802 session held on 4 March, and as
- **5** explained during the 802 summary a moment ago, both parties
- 6 filed supplemental notices, after which the commission held
- 7 that 505 hearing yesterday to determine the use, relevance,
- 8 and admissibility. The commission's findings in that regard
- 9 are outlined in AE 143R.
- As discussed during this morning's R.M.C. 802
- 11 conference, the commission plans to take up the outstanding
- 12 motions in numerical order with a few exceptions, including
- 13 110, 135, and 141, that would be reserved for litigating later
- **14** on today.
- 15 Before moving on to taking up these motions, and
- 16 notwithstanding the commission's findings in AE 143R
- 17 pertaining to the classified evidence, the defense sought to
- 18 rely upon for its motions, the commission notes, as the
- 19 government has, that certain motions may nonetheless implicate
- 20 classified information during argument separate and apart from
- 21 the evidence presented to the commission in AE 137.
- 22 Accordingly, we will take up each of the requested motions,
- 23 most of which can be taken up in their totality during this

- 1 open session. 2 If either party believes that further argument, which 3 includes classified information, is necessary for the 4 commission to fully understand the respective parties' 5 position, they're directed to inform the commission at the 6 close of your arguments on each motion before taking a seat. 7 Finally, I advised the parties that it is unnecessary 8 to cover the same arguments provided in their pleadings. 9 With that, moving on to the first motion to take up 10 this morning, AE 079. AE 079 is a defense request for the 11 commission to compel production of Defense Sixteenth 12 Supplemental Discovery Request, dated 25 January 2017. 13 In AE 079A, the government requested the commission 14 deny the defense motion as it believes, in pertinent part, 15 that it has already produced all discoverable information 16 either directly to the defense or to the military judge, and 17 subsequently disclosed via the M.C.R.E. 505 process. 18 The defense replied in AE 079B. 19 Of note, the commission originally heard oral 20 argument on 25 April 2017 and subsequently issued AE 079D, 21 which deferred a final ruling because there was still ongoing
- Nothing had been addressed with 079 until recently

discovery pending, the M.C.R.E. 505 review process.

22

- 1 when the commission sought clarity by issuing 079E, ordering
- 2 the parties to articulate their views as to the status of the
- 3 discovery requested in the original AE 079.
- 4 In AE 079F, the defense stated the government has not
- 5 provided a written response to the Sixteenth Supplemental
- 6 Request for Discovery, dated January 17.
- 7 In AE 079G, the government stated it had reviewed its
- 8 records and provided all information determined to be
- 9 discoverable either via the M.C.R.E. 505 summary and
- 10 substitution process or otherwise.
- 11 Does the defense wish to be heard further on the 079
- 12 series?
- 13 DC [LT ASKAR]: Yes, Your Honor.
- **14** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You may proceed.
- 15 DC [LT ASKAR]: Lieutenant Askar on behalf of
- **16** Mr. al-Tamir, Your Honor.
- 17 In AE 079D, this commission's ruling, the commission
- 18 acknowledged that the al Qaeda command structure, the
- 19 terrorist training camps, the guesthouse, and the structure of
- 20 the al Qaeda Senior Advisory Council, to include policies and
- 21 objectives, were discoverable material. The commission agreed
- 22 that to the extent that information exists, it would be
- 23 discoverable.

1 I think one of the things that is going to focus our 2 inquiry today, Your Honor, is something that you acknowledged 3 in your introduction of this motion, and that's that to date, 4 the government still has not provided a response to the 5 Sixteenth Supplemental Discovery Request. 6 Now, the reason that's important, Your Honor, is 7 in -- I believe it's AE 079G, the government's most recent 8 response, they claimed that they've reviewed, they searched, 9 and they believe that some of the information that we 10 requested is discoverable and some of it is not discoverable. 11 Well, Your Honor, that lack of specificity, that lack of 12 particularity, is what's going to make this inquiry today more 13 difficult. 14 The issue that we run into in AE 079 -- and you're 15 going to see this issue, Your Honor, in AE 080, you're going 16 to see this issue in AE 140, you're going to see this issue in 17 a number of motions to compel discovery -- is that the 18 government refuses to make an explicit decision with respect 19 to discovery and disclosure. They refuse to make an explicit 20 decision as to what they are not turning over. They refuse to 21 acknowledge to this commission and to the defense what 22 information may be in their possession that they do not 23 believe is discoverable.

- 1 And where we run into an issue here, Your Honor, is
- 2 in terms of pure practicality. The mechanics of this process
- 3 are such that they demand more of a showing from the
- 4 government. The government makes a number of claims,
- 5 essentially accusing the defense of requesting that they
- 6 provide us with the information to a point of particularity
- 7 such that they -- we want them to in some way do our jobs.
- 8 And that's simply not the case, Your Honor.
- **9** The defense has conducted a thorough review of the
- 10 discovery presented. And it is our position in front of this
- 11 commission that the items specifically requested in the
- 12 Sixteenth Supplemental Discovery Requests and in a number of
- 13 the motions to compel discovery that you're going to hear
- 14 today, that the government has not complied ----
- **15** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: With all of them?
- 16 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor, we can go into the specifics
- 17 of each one, if you would like.
- 18 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: What I would like you to do, because
- 19 it was not included in the motion, is specifically identify
- 20 what it is that you believe the government has not provided
- **21** you discovery of.
- DC [LT ASKAR]: Yes, Your Honor. I'm happy to go point by
- 23 point through the discovery request if Your Honor would find

1 that to be helpful. 2 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Well, I need to know what it is that 3 you're specifically requesting this commission order 4 production of and the articulable basis to believe that it 5 exists. 6 DC [LT ASKAR]: Yes, Your Honor. 7 So I can go -- and I will pull the -- I have the 8 Sixteenth Supplemental Discovery Request, which is included as 9 an attachment to the original AE 079 series. I believe it is 10 Attachment B. Within that, Your Honor -- Your Honor, may I 11 have one moment? 12 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You may. 13 DC [LT ASKAR]: I appreciate it. 14 [Pause.] 15 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor, so to take the first example 16 presented, all memoranda, correspondence, cables, e-mails, 17 notes or other documents, to include photographs, charts, 18 sketches or drawings in the possession of the Office of the 19 Chief Prosecutor, the Office of the Convening Authority, the 20 Central Intelligence Agency, and the Federal Bureau of 21 Investigation, the Department of Justice, and the Department 22 of Defense or any other government agency that depict the

al Qaeda command structure, to include, but not limited to,

23

- 1 how to join al Qaeda, the advancement within al Qaeda, taking
- 2 command within al Qaeda, or being chosen -- as is referred to
- 3 in some of the charging documentation -- an Emir within
- 4 al Qaeda.
- 5 Your Honor, I think the government's response is
- 6 actually extremely revealing on this point. Now, it is our
- 7 position that we have not been provided information that may
- 8 be within the possession of any of these agencies with respect
- 9 to the command structure, how to join al Qaeda, the
- 10 advancement -- how someone is chosen for advancement.
- 11 The reason these things are material, Your Honor, was
- 12 discussed in AE 079 in the initial, and I can certainly go
- 13 into greater detail today. But as we look at the government's
- 14 response, Your Honor, what we see is -- you know, they refer
- 15 to documents, they go, well, you have statements that were
- 16 provided during the course of the 505 process, so summaries of
- 17 statements, and that those should be sufficient.
- Those responses, Your Honor, are directly -- those
- 19 responses very clearly do not satisfy the contemplated
- 20 material. They very clearly do not satisfy the requested
- 21 material.
- 22 And if the government's position is going to be
- 23 consistently, Your Honor, that they have provided over 100,000

- 1 pages of discovery and it's in there somewhere, well, then,
- 2 Your Honor, if they had an AE 080, I think the thing that
- 3 needs to happen is a formal response that lays out what they
- 4 believe is discoverable and is not discoverable. And then we
- 5 can talk with particularity, Your Honor, about, hey, here's
- 6 what hasn't been provided.
- 7 And if the government disagrees with us, then they
- 8 can say for themselves, well, these are the documents -- as
- 9 they did with respect to K in the discovery request -- these
- 10 are the documents we believe satisfy that request, and we can
- 11 point out, Your Honor, how they haven't.
- 12 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. That point is well taken.
- Are you aware of anything contained within your 079
- 14 discovery request where you have received some form of
- 15 evidence that suggests other evidence exists that you have not
- 16 received?
- 17 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor, so I can point to some things.
- 18 I'm a little concerned about doing so in an open session.
- **19** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay.
- 20 DC [LT ASKAR]: I can point to -- I can direct the court
- 21 to certain numbers ----
- 22 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You have not -- you have not alerted
- 23 this commission to those items?

- 1 DC [LT ASKAR]: I have not, Your Honor, and I -- I believe
- 2 I can refer to some things. But pursuant to the court's
- 3 question as to whether or not I believe some of those things
- 4 exist, I do. Pursuant to whether or not I can discuss them in
- 5 this session, I am not certain, Your Honor. And I just want
- 6 to make sure, in an abundance of caution, that I am not
- 7 causing any sort of information spill.
- 8 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. I understand your concern and
- 9 the issues. I want to hear from the government briefly before
- 10 we even entertain the idea of doing that.
- 11 DC [LT ASKAR]: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Trial Counsel, who will be taking up
- **13** this matter?
- **14** DTC [CDR FLYNN]: I will, Your Honor.
- 15 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Bear with me just a moment.
- 16 I'd like you to first comment on the defense's point
- 17 that the lack of a formal government response complicates the
- 18 commission's inquiry as to whether or not the government has
- **19** satisfied its discovery obligations.
- 20 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: Your Honor, good morning. Commander
- **21** Kevin Flynn for the government.
- The government probably should have, back when this
- 23 was first received, answered these requests. However, we've

- 1 discussed this -- even before you were here, we talked about
- 2 this. This motion was argued, you know, at a previous
- 3 hearing.
- 4 We can go through every single one of these, if
- 5 that's what you want to do, and I'm prepared to do that. The
- **6** issue the ----
- 7 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Let me ask you this: If we were to
- 8 do that sitting here today, you would be able to articulate
- 9 what evidence that you've produced, either by way of direct
- 10 evidence or a 505 summary, since this was initiated before
- 11 that process had been complete, what information you've
- 12 disclosed for each of those information, or an assertion that
- 13 it doesn't exist, or an assertion that it is not discoverable?
- 14 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: I'll answer your question this way:
- 15 First of all, Your Honor, there's absolutely no requirement
- 16 for the government to pinpoint favorable information in
- 17 discovery that has been turned over to the defense.
- 18 It's the defense's obligation to go through the
- 19 discovery that's been provided and determine what specifically
- 20 they think they are missing, number one. Number two, I --
- 21 well, as a member of the court go -- be able to state that
- 22 we -- we have gone through every single one of those requests.
- 23 Some of that information has been deemed discoverable. That

- 1 information has been turned over, Your Honor. I can say on
- 2 the record as an officer of the court that that has occurred.
- 3 There is absolutely no obligation, and there's case
- 4 law that we've cited in our response, for us to show the
- 5 defense, to basically do their job, Your Honor. Go through
- 6 the discovery. Don't send us a supplemental discovery
- 7 request, and don't state in that that we still have not turned
- 8 over any of that information.
- 9 Now, in the response we -- and we didn't have to do
- 10 this, Your Honor -- but we pinpointed for the defense -- I
- 11 just gave you just an example of they're saying that we didn't
- 12 turn over this information and we absolutely did. The
- 13 specific request I believe was 10.k., 10.Kilo., they wanted
- 14 information on the accused's membership on certain councils.
- 15 We -- just reading what's been turned over with
- 16 respect to the accused's own statements, if they just would
- 17 have read those, that's evidence he himself tells us that he
- 18 was on these various councils.
- 19 Other statements that have been turned over to the
- 20 defense, other statements by other individuals, have said that
- 21 the accused was a member of this -- these various councils.
- 22 The accused's own drawings maps out that he was a member of
- 23 these various councils.

1 So to say in a pleading that the government has not 2 turned -- has still not turned over any of this information is 3 just not -- that's not an accurate statement. 4 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: To your point, Commander Flynn, 5 regarding the government's lack of responsibility to identify 6 favorable information -- and that point is not lost on me; 7 however, I will point to 080 where the government has not 8 pointed out favorable information, but articulated what 9 evidence has been produced with respect to each of the various 10 requests contained therein. 11 Some of it is a bit contradictory internally to 12 itself where, for instance, 4.a., the first request, says, 13 "This request is denied, is not relevant, material, and 14 helpful to the preparation of the defense," but then 15 immediately lists evidence that was responsive to the request 16 that has been disclosed. 17 So there's sort of two built-in questions there. The 18 first is understanding the government has no duty and 19 obligation to sort of categorize and/or identify with any 20 specificity what the evidence that they're turning over is, 21 but isn't there a responsibility, if for no other reason than 22 to facilitate the discovery process and avoid any unnecessary 23 ambiguity in litigation, for the government to identify what

- 1 evidence it is that is responsive to each of the requests?
- 2 Major Rudy, when I'm talking to the person, do not
- 3 stand up and have a conversation with him.
- 4 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Yes, sir.
- 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: If he needs a moment to discuss
- 6 matters with you, then it can wait until after I'm done with
- 7 my point.
- 8 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Understood, Your Honor.
- **9** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Commander Flynn.
- 10 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: It's a fair question, Your Honor. And I
- 11 just want to make sure you understand the government's
- **12** position.
- 13 Obviously, it's our position that we have no
- 14 obligation to point out information or try to organize things
- 15 for the defense, but we've done that anyways, just -- I mean,
- 16 we've included, and I think my -- one of my attachments is an
- 17 example for you, Your Honor, where we -- when we turn over a
- 18 production -- and we've turned over 182 productions to the
- 19 defense -- we attach in most, if not all of those, a
- 20 spreadsheet which points -- tells them what we're turning over
- 21 generally. I mean, it doesn't go into specifics, but it
- 22 should give them an idea of what is being turned over. So I
- 23 think that answers your first question.

1 And I believe the reason Major Rudy got up, Your 2 Honor, was because he's actually the one handling AE 080. 3 In 079 I could have gone through every single one of 4 the 70 or so, whatever -- 50 requests and pinpointed exactly 5 what's been turned over that answers that request. The 6 government doesn't have to do that. 7 What I wanted to do is to show you, Your Honor, that 8 we've complied with our discovery obligations. And I just 9 pick that one out because it was easy. There has been so much 10 evidence that has been turned over that answers that question. 11 So again, the government's position with respect to 12 DR-16 is that the great -- some of that information is not 13 discoverable. And I'm saying that as an officer of the court. 14 We have gone through 16 on more than one occasion, 15 and made that determination. There's obviously information in 16 DR-16 that is discoverable. That information has been turned 17 over. 18 The reason this was put on hold before you were here, 19 Your Honor, was because there was a lot of information in the 20 pipeline, so to speak, with respect to 505 that the defense 21 had not received. Most, if not all, of our 505 information --22 let me say it this way: The great majority of that 23 information was turned over by the end of December 2017.

1 The issue here is the defense can't come in here and 2 just generally say there's things that we haven't turned over. 3 What is that? I mean, we've turned over everything 4 that we've determined is discoverable in DR-16. And that's --5 that's the government's position. 6 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. And there's a distinction 7 that you're making there; that distinction being we've turned 8 over everything that we believe is discoverable. And with 9 that -- whether or not I agree with that government position, 10 whether or not it's, as you argue in the motions, an 11 unreviewable decision by the government, unreviewable by this 12 commission as to what is or what is not discoverable, putting 13 that matter aside. 14 In light of the fact that the government hasn't 15 provided any level of detail with respect to a response and 16 what it is that -- so, in other words, there's however many 17 requests contained within the Sixteenth Discovery Request, and 18 if the government's position is that their obligation to 19 respond to that is a dump of 128 various different discovery 20 dumps, however many thousands of pages, and then says there 21 are stuff that we reviewed that is nondiscoverable, how in the 22 world can we ever meaningfully litigate that issue if that is 23 the status of the discovery process?

- 1 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: Well, a couple of responses. I don't
- 2 believe it's our position, Your Honor, that you can't
- 3 review -- you can't -- I mean, you're the ultimate -- the
- 4 decision-maker.
- I mean, the prosecution, it's our duty to go through
- 6 all of these hundreds of thousands of pages or documents and
- 7 make a discovery call. I don't believe -- and I can consult
- 8 with my counsel, but I do not believe that's the government's
- 9 position, that you have no role in the discovery process. So
- 10 that answers, I think, your first question.
- I don't -- I think I already -- I thought I already
- 12 answered the issue about this information. The government
- 13 has to -- the government goes through discovery requests, and
- 14 the government -- and we went through every single one of
- 15 these. And most of these, Your Honor, for your information,
- 16 basically just track the charge sheet, or a lot of them do.
- 17 You know, they looked at the charge sheet and they wanted
- 18 information with respect to the charge sheet. That's fine.
- 19 That's something that a competent defense counsel would do.
- That information was turned over probably in our
- 21 initial -- you know -- let me put it this way, very early in
- 22 the discovery process.
- One of the issues that we have with this motion to

- 1 compel or this -- I guess it's a continuation of the motion to
- 2 compel, is the defense, again, in that motion is saying that
- 3 we haven't turned over these things, and a simple review of
- 4 the information would let them know that that's a false
- **5** statement.
- 6 And again, our 10.k., the reason why we did it that
- 7 way is because it was very -- it was just -- there was so much
- 8 information that had been turned over to the defense with
- 9 respect to that specific question, the accused's own
- 10 statements. I mean, go through your discovery, determine what
- 11 you think you need after you -- I mean, it's -- they're --
- 12 we -- our obligation is to make discoverable calls.
- 13 We turn that information over to the defense. The
- 14 defense goes through the discovery, the information that we've
- 15 turned back over to them, and if they think there's something
- 16 that we're not turning over or that we're missing, let us know
- 17 that. Don't make a statement in a pleading that we have not
- 18 turned over any of the information that the requests are
- **19** asking for.
- 20 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Thank you, Commander Flynn.
- 21 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: Your Honor, I would like to make one
- 22 more point.
- 23 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Go ahead.

- 1 DTC [CDR FLYNN]: And this is basically -- since I'm going
- 2 first, I get to make it for the rest of the motions, so I
- 3 guess I'm the lucky guy.
- 4 The point is -- that I want to make is -- and this, I
- 5 think, applies to all of our answers, all of our responses in
- 6 the motions that you're going to hear.
- 7 The defense filed its initial request for discovery
- 8 in June of 2013, almost six years ago. And as of today's date
- 9 the government has turned over approximately 105 [sic] pages
- 10 of discovery in 182 productions. And we've also turned over
- 11 4.5 terabytes of information to the defense. The last major
- 12 production to the defense, excluding Jencks materials, Jencks
- 13 statements, was in approximately December of 2017.
- And I'm bringing this up, Your Honor, because it's
- 15 the government's position that it has completed all
- 16 affirmative pretrial discovery, including the introduction --
- 17 or the production of our case-in-chief evidence.
- 18 It's the government's position that it has fulfilled
- 19 its responsibilities and obligations under the controlling
- 20 statute, the MCA, under the rules, and under the case law with
- 21 respect to the discovery process.
- 22 And I think, Your Honor, just to wrap this up, I
- 23 think our position can probably be best described by an

- 1 example. When I came to this team in the spring of 2014, I
- **2** was a discovery attorney. That was the reason why I was
- 3 brought on to this case. Almost all of the attorneys at that
- 4 point were 100 percent involved in the discovery process.
- **5** That was our mission.
- **6** I myself, as a discovery attorney, have reviewed
- 7 hundreds of thousands of pages and made discovery calls. And
- 8 at that -- at that point in time, that was our mission.
- 9 That's -- discovery is what we were focusing on.
- We're not in that posture now, Your Honor. We are in
- 11 a trial posture. We are meeting your deadlines -- trying to
- 12 meet your deadlines. We are preparing for pretrial motion
- 13 practice, and we are preparing for trial on the merits. And
- 14 that's what the government means when it says discovery has
- 15 been complete.
- 16 Obviously, that doesn't mean we're not going to be
- 17 turning over information in the future. We will continue to
- 18 turn over discoverable information. We understand our
- 19 obligations with respect to R.M.C. 701(b)(2), our Giglio
- 20 obligations, our <u>Jencks</u> obligations. We understand all of
- 21 that, Your Honor.
- So I just wanted to lay that out there. And unless
- 23 there's other questions you may have, that would conclude my

1 presentation. 2 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: There are not. Thank you. I 3 understand both parties' positions on AE 079. 4 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor? 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Yes. 6 DC [LT ASKAR]: May I briefly respond? 7 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: To what extent? 8 DC [LT ASKAR]: Specifically ----9 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: I understand your position that the 10 government, number one, hasn't articulated what responsive 11 materials have been provided, and I understand that -- even 12 notwithstanding their lack of response -- that you believe 13 more is out there, generally speaking, without any specificity 14 as to why you believe that. Is that a fair characterization 15 of your position? 16 DC [LT ASKAR]: Yes, Your Honor. The only -- my response 17 would be cabined specifically to the government's assertions with respect to 10.k. of the Discovery Request, and 18 19 information they provided in their AE 79G response. 20 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Briefly. 21 DC [LT ASKAR]: I appreciate it. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 The government made a number of assertions with

respect to 10.k. of the Discovery Request. 10.k. asks for all

23

- 1 memoranda, correspondence, cables, e-mails, notes, or other
- 2 documents designating or appointing Abd al Hadi al-Iraqi, to
- 3 include any and all aliases that the government associates
- 4 with Abd al Hadi al-Iraqi to al Qaeda's senior advisory
- 5 council, to include dates appointed or designated in all time
- 6 periods.
- 7 The only thing mentioned in the government's response
- 8 before this commission and the only thing mentioned in AE 079G
- 9 are statements taken by -- statements of Mr. al-Tamir, the
- 10 subject of which will be certainly the subject of further
- 11 litigation with respect to their veracity and the
- 12 circumstances under which those statements were taken, and the
- 13 statements of another -- of the -- of another potential person
- 14 in the 505 process.
- Your Honor, there's -- there's a reason that we
- 16 requested this information. It was clearly discoverable. And
- 17 information that is in the possession of the government --
- 18 that we have reason to believe is in the possession of the
- 19 government is far more expansive in 10.k. of the discovery
- 20 request than in the government's response. It's not as though
- 21 these statements cover what we're asking for. And I think
- 22 that's part of the disconnect that we're going to have to deal
- **23** with.

1 That's all I have, Your Honor, pending your 2 questions. 3 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You say you have reason to 4 believe and that -- to the government's point in their 5 response and their argument today -- you have reason to 6 believe that other matters exist that you have not been 7 provided. But neither in the original discovery request or a 8 supplement or the motion to this commission did you identify 9 with any level of specificity either the specific documents or 10 the reason that you believe they exist. 11 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor, part of that issue is going to 12 be in some way the government identified Mr. al-Tamir, 13 rightfully or wrongfully, as someone who they believed in 14 their charging documents deserved all of the allegations that 15 they've made against him. 16 If the only thing the government has with respect to 17 that belief, the only thing that informed the government's 18 decision, is the statements which are going to certainly be 19 the subject of further litigation, then we need the government 20 to affirmatively say that. Otherwise, Your Honor, there's 21 reason to believe that additional information exists and that 22 we have a reason -- that information is clearly discoverable,

23

and we have a need for it.

- 1 Pending your questions, Your Honor, that's all I 2 have. 3 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: So your reason is to believe that 4 the government couldn't possibly only be relying upon the 5 limited information that was disclosed to you? 6 DC [LT ASKAR]: Or if they are, Your Honor, we need to 7 know that. MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Are they required to identify that, 8 9 even if it is? 10 DC [LT ASKAR]: Your Honor, while they are not required to 11 identify it, while they are not -- Commander Flynn made the 12 point a number of times that it's not their job to pinpoint 13 discovery. I think Your Honor articulated exactly what the 14 defense's position on this is going to be, which is 15 ultimately, Your Honor, all we can do -- the only way this 16 process can work practically is we do our discovery review, 17 which we have done and will continue to do. And when we do 18 not believe that things exist that we need, we request them as 19 we have in the past. 20 The government's response cannot be, well, we've
- 23 we can provide the court with the 105,000 pages of discovery

provided you everything we think is discoverable. Because

ultimately, Your Honor, we are left at an impasse where then

21

22

- 1 and say we didn't -- we've looked at it, we don't think it's
- 2 here. It's not here. We need the additional discovery. Or
- 3 the government can point to what they think is responsive and
- 4 we can argue about the sufficiency of it, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. I understand your position.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 DC [LT ASKAR]: Thank you, Your Honor.
- **8** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Moving on to -- Ms. Hensler?
- **9** DDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, may we take a 10- or
- **10** 15-minute comfort break?
- 11 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: We will take 10 minutes. This
- 12 commission is in recess.
- 13 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1052, 6 March 2019.]
- 14 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1126,
- 15 6 March 2019.]
- 16 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: This commission will come back to
- 17 order. All parties present when the commission recessed are
- **18** again present.
- 19 Moving on to AE 080, the defense requests the
- 20 commission to compel production of Defense Seventeenth
- 21 Supplemental Discovery Request, dated 30 January 2017. Much
- 22 like AE 079, the commission ordered clarity as to the parties'
- 23 position as to the status of that motion in light of the

- 1 commission originally deferring until after the 505 process
- 2 was complete.
- 3 Having not received any updates since that time, the
- 4 commission ordered the parties to brief the issue, which we
- 5 will take up at this time.
- 6 Defense?
- 7 DC [LT BALL]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- **8** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Good morning.
- **9** DC [LT BALL]: I think the best way for me to approach
- 10 this is -- following on Lieutenant Askar's heels -- is to
- 11 direct your attention or invite your attention to AE 080F, the
- 12 Attachment B, which is the government's response to our
- 13 request, our initial request. Now, this response came in
- 14 May of 2017. This way, I can just simply address any issues
- 15 and focus your attention and let you know what we're asking
- **16** for in this motion to compel.
- **17** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Go ahead.
- **18** DC [LT BALL]: Okay.
- So in the first request, we had requested all
- 20 memoranda, correspondence, cables, e-mails, et cetera, and
- 21 this all comes down to something referred to as the Shkin
- 22 Meeting Letter. Now, the Shkin Meeting Letter comes out in
- 23 Appellate Exhibit 043, Attachment B. It also comes out in the

- 1 government's list of exhibits, AE 110G, specifically number
- **2** 248.
- 3 That Shkin Meeting Letter has our client's name on
- 4 it, but we have no context as to where it came from. We don't
- 5 understand why his name is on the letter. So the purpose of
- 6 this discovery request was to ask for more information
- 7 surrounding this letter.
- 8 If I just go through each of the requests, the -- and
- 9 how I -- actually, if I could just digress for a moment here,
- 10 how I responded in your request to us was I broke it down into
- 11 four parts. I responded to the sections that the government
- 12 denied as not relevant, that they objected to as overbroad,
- 13 denied outright without giving a reason, and then the fourth
- 14 way was where they said they will provide information going
- 15 forward, but we haven't seen anything since then.
- So if I could go through each of these line by line,
- 17 I'd be happy to kind of expand and let you know what we're
- **18** looking for.
- 19 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Well, what I would like you to do is
- 20 identify for the commission what, with specificity, it is that
- 21 you're looking for, why you believe it exists, and why you
- 22 believe that the government's response, both in the discovery
- 23 that they have provided you to date, as well as their response

- 1 to the original motion, does not satisfy the defense's
- 2 request.
- 3 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir.
- 4 If I could show, for example, invite your attention
- 5 to the first request. The government does deny it as not
- 6 being relevant; however, they do then specify a number of
- 7 documents by Bates numbers.
- 8 So, for example, in that first request, when we ask
- 9 for all -- we ask for the universe, essentially. They reply
- 10 with reports by -- a C-T-I-F [sic] report, a Criminal
- 11 Investigation Task Force report, a report by a chief warrant
- 12 officer.
- 13 They reply with photographs of the evidence tags and
- 14 the custody -- the chain-of-custody documents, but we don't
- 15 have any of the memoranda, the correspondence. We don't have
- 16 any maps, anything like that, photographs, charts.
- 17 So we don't have any detailed information as to what
- 18 we're asking for, which is where did this Shkin Meeting Letter
- 19 come from.
- 20 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Well, isn't that answered in 4.b.?
- 21 DC [LT BALL]: Well, in 4.b., sir, they simply refer back
- 22 to the same -- to the same group of documents that they
- 23 provided, which is the C-T-I-F reports, the tags, the evidence

- 1 custody and the chief warrant officer report.
- 2 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. And are you aware of any
- 3 additional evidence outside of that to which they have pointed
- 4 to in -- notwithstanding, again, as I previously sort of
- 5 pointed out, the contradictory nature of their response,
- 6 putting that aside for a moment.
- 7 DC [LT BALL]: Sure.
- 8 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Do you have any basis to believe,
- 9 and basis -- I mean, evidence that you're relying upon, and
- 10 can point the commission to, to believe that additional
- 11 evidence outside of those matters exist for this? I mean,
- 12 you're requesting the commission compel production of
- 13 something.
- 14 DC [LT BALL]: Sure.
- 15 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: What is the something that you're
- 16 asking the commission to compel that you know exists based on
- 17 other discovery that has been provided? Or is this simply a
- 18 matter of the defense requesting the commission have the
- 19 government stand up and say, "Have you completed your
- 20 discovery obligations with respect to the letter A?" Them
- 21 standing up and saying, "Yes, Your Honor, we have."
- Is that the -- is that the outcome that the defense
- 23 is requesting today?

1 DC [LT BALL]: No, sir. So if I could direct your 2 attention to c., for example. We know that this is a report 3 by a chief warrant officer. Within that report, he refers to 4 certain lists, black, white, and gray lists. We don't have 5 any information as to what that is about. So certainly ----6 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: When did you -- when did you request 7 that? DC [LT BALL]: We have not, Your Honor, no, sir. That, we 8 9 believe, would be part of the underlying request in c., which 10 is the unredacted list, spreadsheets, et cetera. 11 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. And you see the difficulty 12 with which we place ourselves in now ----13 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir. 14 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: ---- when based on the blanket --15 and I believe you used the phrase "asking for the world." 16 DC [LT BALL]: Sure. 17 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: When we ask for the world and don't 18 perhaps file a supplemental request identifying with 19 specificity the materials that we believe exist that we have 20 not received, we're in a position now where you're basically 21 requesting the commission to facilitate discovery that should 22 be directed towards the government. You would agree? 23 DC [LT BALL]: I do. I would agree with that, Your Honor.

- 1 Now, if I could -- we know, for example -- if we just take
- 2 this slightly off, there are 72 requests within this discovery
- 3 request.
- 4 There's a lot of things that we're asking for. A
- 5 number of those are simply we will respond at a later date, so
- 6 we would still like to see that information come. And I've
- 7 outlined which one those are within our response.
- **8** Broadly speaking, his name is on the list. We know
- 9 that the government has identified him as a member of al Qaeda
- 10 through this Shkin Meeting Letter, through other documents.
- 11 What we're asking for, Your Honor, is the reports.
- 12 We know that task forces were stood up by the CIA to
- 13 investigate Usama bin Laden, for example, after the 9/11
- 14 events. We know this because intelligence analysts on our
- 15 team, investigators on our team have been involved or know
- **16** about these.
- 17 Those -- those task forces, those teams, would have
- 18 produced some type of work product. They would have produced
- 19 a broader picture as to where we're going, the command
- 20 structure, for example -- and we're getting into other
- 21 arguments, which I'll get into hopefully later today. But the
- 22 command structure, where he fits in, what -- why is his name
- 23 on this list?

1 We have no information, other than -- from what was 2 responded here, we know that it was -- that a list exists and 3 they picked it up somewhere in -- and that, I don't think, is 4 even clear, I can't remember, but ----5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: So just to set expectations and 6 perhaps to inform the commission's understanding of what the 7 defense believes is required of the government, if there's a 8 piece of evidence that is seized, is it the government's 9 obligation in the discovery process to explain the meaning of 10 that evidence, whatever the evidence may be? 11 DC [LT BALL]: It is not. No, sir. 12 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. 13 DC [LT BALL]: But what we're asking for in this is the 14 context as to where it came from, and that's the purpose of 15 this discovery request. 16 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: The context. 17 DC [LT BALL]: Correct. 18 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: An explanation. 19 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir. So they produced the evidence to 20 They discovered this to us, this letter to us. We are us. 21 now in a position where we're asking for more information, the 22 charts, the maps, the structure, all this other information

23

that surrounds this.

1 So we know the discovery exists. Within that 2 discovery, we recognize and realize that there's more out 3 there that we need to ask for, and that's the purpose of this 4 Discovery Request Number 17. 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. 6 So if I understand correctly, there are certain --7 and I will refer to the original motion and your notice in 8 080H, that you identify categories of information that you're 9 requesting clarifying information about. Is that a fair 10 characterization? 11 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir. I suppose what we were trying to 12 do was preserve our position, our legal position, not letting 13 the objections or the rejections stand, but to note that we 14 are seeking further information clarity. 15 And if the information doesn't exist, simply -- for 16 example, photographs that we're requesting, if they don't 17 exist, then let us know, you know, that those photographs do 18 not exist or have been destroyed. 19 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Thank you. Anything else? 20 DC [LT BALL]: I believe that's all. Thank you, sir. 21 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Thank you.

Trial Counsel, who will be taking this matter up?

22

23

Major Rudy.

- 1 ATC [Maj RUDY]: May I proceed, Your Honor?
- **2** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You may.
- **3** ATC [Maj RUDY]: Your Honor, simply put, stated in the
- 4 government's response, AE 080I, there's nothing left to
- 5 produce, Your Honor. The government has produced everything
- 6 in its possession that's at all related to the discovery,
- 7 capture, however we want to clarify it, to the Shkin Meeting
- 8 Letter. The defense has it all, Your Honor. There's nothing
- 9 that the government is aware of in its possession that the
- 10 defense does not have.
- 11 We've complied with our discovery obligation. We've
- 12 responded to their discovery requests. This has already been
- 13 litigated previously before the commission. I believe that
- 14 the military judge deferred ruling because of pending 505
- 15 discovery production. However, again, after further review of
- 16 the -- what was pending at the time, I don't believe that any
- **17** of it actually addresses the Shkin Meeting Letter.
- So at this point the defense has been in possession
- 19 of, again, all of the information that the government has for
- 20 years now.
- 21 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: All right. Bear with me for just a
- 22 moment.
- 23 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Yes, sir.

1 [Pause.] 2 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Can you -- just so -- again, sort of 3 the same question or type of question I asked the defense. To 4 understand and inform the commissions as to the government's 5 position as to the discovery process in general, can you just explain to me what I've characterized twice now as an internal 6 7 inconsistency with the government's response, and by way of 8 example, 4.a., where it says, "The request is denied as not 9 relevant, material, and helpful to the preparation of the 10 defense." 11 That would suggest that either, one, you've looked at 12 material that would be responsive and have determined 13 yourselves that it is not relevant, material, and helpful, 14 because it doesn't say it doesn't exist. In fact, you 15 reference several items of discovery that were turned over. 16 So what does this mean? 17 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Your Honor, those, say, preamble 18 responses were in response to what the government considered 19 the request generally, the defense's request generally, to not 20 be a sufficient request for discovery. 21 However, read in context of what's already been 22 produced, the government believed it appropriate, based on the

limited scope of what the defense was looking at, i.e., one

23

- 1 document, that we could simply provide them with a list of
- 2 Bates numbers of what is responsive to the whole document.
- 3 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: So these materials that are listed
- 4 in paragraph 4.a. are responsive to the defense's request?
- 5 ATC [Maj RUDY]: They are what the government believed the
- **6** defense was asking for. I'm not sure if they would be
- 7 responsive to -- I think it would be a more limited set of
- 8 what the defense thought exists or thinks exists. But that is
- 9 what exists, what is listed in the government response.
- 10 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. The only other question I
- 11 have for you, Major Rudy, is on page 4 of your notice you
- 12 reference to AE 029B on information related to witnesses.
- How does the AE 029, the substance of it, relate to
- 14 production of information pertaining to witnesses, as opposed
- 15 to access to witnesses and the process by -- which must take
- **16** place for that to happen?
- 17 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Your Honor, as we -- as we read, or as we
- 18 read the defense's discovery request as it related to
- 19 witnesses, it was -- it is the government's position that what
- 20 they're truly asking for is just access to the witness, not
- 21 necessarily merely information about the witness.
- Again, Your Honor, the government has produced
- 23 reports about -- summarizing statements of witnesses. Those

- 1 are in the defense's possession. If they want to speak to the
- 2 witness, they can just simply put in a witness request.
- 3 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: So it says the government denied
- 4 many of the defense requests because they were actually
- 5 requests for witness information, not for discovery. And
- 6 witness information is -- does not go through the discovery
- 7 request process.
- 8 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Yes, sir. Again, if there -- if the
- 9 defense is seeking something like witness discovery before
- 10 they testify, the government will certainly produce any -- any
- 11 information it that has an obligation to produce for a
- 12 testifying witness at the appropriate time, if that is, in
- 13 fact, what the defense was requesting in those paragraphs.
- 14 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: You'll have to bear with me a little
- 15 bit because you-all know the case and the evidence far better
- 16 than I. But my understanding is that there are names listed
- 17 on this evidence that the defense's request pertains to and
- 18 information surrounding those witnesses or other people.
- **19** ATC [Maj RUDY]: Correct, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: So -- and my understanding is that
- 21 this witness somehow links the accused to an organization and,
- 22 thus, is responsible for the -- in part, the charged offenses.
- 23 ATC [Maj RUDY]: The document is -- will be used to link

- 1 the accused to al Qaeda; however, the witnesses are merely
- 2 foundation for how the government came -- came into possession
- 3 of the document.
- 4 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. I understand your position.
- 5 Thank you, Major Rudy.
- **6** ATC [Maj RUDY]: Yes, sir.
- 7 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Lieutenant Ball, very briefly, I'd
- 8 like to revisit a question with you.
- **9** The government laid out in their initial response,
- 10 for instance, with paragraph 4.a. certain material that they
- 11 believed in the general sense at least was responsive to your
- 12 request.
- 13 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir.
- 14 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: And I understand that the current
- 15 defense team has diligently been reviewing the evidence. I'm
- 16 curious to know whether or not there's some sort of mechanism
- 17 that has been put in place such that you now can identify
- 18 certain evidence in your possession with your own requests,
- 19 and not your own requests individually, but collectively as
- 20 the defense team.
- In other words, when you filed your motion, your
- 22 notice to the commission a few weeks ago and stated that
- 23 certain things were denied and/or we don't have evidence of

- 1 them, clearly, you were on notice that the government believed
- 2 certain material that they had provided you was responsive to
- 3 your request.
- **4** DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir.
- 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Were you able to identify those and
- 6 review them?
- 7 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir. We were. We were. Now, if I
- 8 may, the specific document that I had referred that we don't
- 9 have, it was -- the Bates stamp -- so within -- sorry.
- 10 So within our system, we do have a way to search each
- 11 of the -- the files that we have that have been discovered.
- 12 When the production came in -- I forget the number. Is it --
- 13 when this -- when this discovery came in, the file names don't
- 14 match up to the Bates stamps. So we have to go through and
- 15 search what the Bates stamp number is.
- 16 When I search for the Bates stamps in 6502, for
- 17 example, that was the one that was missing. So that's -- I
- 18 just notified -- noticed that to the commission that that was
- 19 missing. The government has replied to say that they had
- 20 given that to us and they will give that to us again. So we
- 21 are working our way through that. It was only the one
- 22 document that I couldn't find, sir.
- 23 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. And just to again inform the

- 1 commission as to the parties' understandings as it relates to
- 2 the discovery process, when you identified prior to filing
- 3 this motion that you apparently were without 6502 ----
- **4** DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir.
- 5 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: ---- did you file a request either
- 6 formally or informally with the government before it ended up
- 7 in this motion?
- 8 DC [LT BALL]: Within the notice, Your Honor, the way we
- 9 read it, it was we were giving you an update as to where we
- 10 were. So through that notice, the government replied to our
- 11 notice. That's how it came about, sir.
- **12** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Fair enough.
- 13 DC [LT BALL]: Yes, sir. But going forward ----
- 14 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Thank you. Go ahead.
- 15 DC [LT BALL]: I was just going to say going forward with
- 16 the team on board, we're asking for supplemental discovery
- 17 requests now, as we are ongoing in this process as you -- as
- 18 is going to be later heard.
- 19 But if we were not to receive something, then of
- 20 course we would reach out to them informally ----
- 21 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Okay. Thank you.
- 22 DC [LT BALL]: ---- on our way through that, sir.
- Yes, sir.

- 1 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: And just to be clear, Major Rudy, it
- 2 is the government's position that the discovery logs
- 3 identified in your original response completed discovery with
- 4 respect to this 080 motion?
- 5 ATC [Maj RUDY]: Yes, sir.
- **6** MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Thank you.
- 7 Moving on then to Appellate Exhibit 120, the
- 8 government motion to compel production of discovery pursuant
- **9** to R.M.C. 701(g).
- 10 DDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, the defense would ask
- 11 before we move on to argument to that motion that Lieutenant
- 12 Askar be able to briefly advise the court on Mr. al-Tamir's
- 13 current medical condition.
- 14 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Is it a matter that we need to take
- **15** a recess for?
- 16 DC [LT ASKAR]: It may be, Your Honor. Mr. al-Tamir at
- 17 this point is having a great deal of trouble focusing. He's
- 18 in a great deal of pain. His answers in both Arabic and in
- 19 English are not really following some of the questions and are
- 20 coming a great deal slower.
- 21 MJ [LtCol LIBRETTO]: Bear with me a moment. All right.
- The commission is going to take a 10-minute recess,
- 23 and in light of the hour may be taking an extended recess. I

1	will	1et	you	ı kno	ow in	aboı	ut five	min	utes.			
2	Commission is in recess.											
3	[The	R.M.	.С.	803	sessi	on 1	recesse	d at	1150,	6	March	2019.]
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
0												
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
20												
21												
22												
) 2												