1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0936,

2 13 June 2022.]

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission is called to order.
All parties present at our last open session held on
June 2022 are again present both here in the courtroom and
in the Remote Hearing Room. I also note that the accused is
present.

8 Trial Counsel, would you please note for the record9 where these proceedings are being transmitted by

10 closed-circuit television?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. These proceedings are,
again, being transmitted stateside via CCTV to remote viewing
sites at Fort Meade, Maryland, The Pentagon, and Fort Devens,
Massachusetts, Your Honor.

15 I would like to note that Mr. Michael Hayes is not16 present and Ms. Samantha Brown is present, Your Honor.

17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that.

18 Defense Counsel, did I accurately reflect who on the 19 defense team is present or not present?

20 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning, first21 of all.

22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Good morning.

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Today everyone who was present on

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Tuesday is still present with the addition of Ms. Meghan 2 Skelton, who I believe wasn't in the Remote Hearing Room on 3 Tuesday. It's also my understanding that the chief defense 4 counsel and the deputy chief defense counsel are in the Remote 5 Hearing Room today. 6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And you referenced Tuesday, 7 Ms. Hensler. Do you mean Friday? 8 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Excuse me, yes, I do mean Friday. 9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that. 10 Before we move along, I would like to summarize some 11 developments over the weekend. 12 First, the commission, consistent with its promise 13 that was made on the record last Friday, issued Appellate 14 Exhibit 217D, a notice to the parties' discussion of the 15 pretrial agreement and stipulation of fact, again, dated 16 11 June 2022, as was forecast. As well, over the weekend, 17 there have been provided updates through my staff and then 18 this morning I've been handed copies of some additional 19 filings related to the pretrial agreement and the stipulation 20 of fact that we had discussed last week. Those will be 21 attached to the record as well. They are reflected in the 22 Appellate Exhibit 217 series and the prosecution exhibit 23 series.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

I understand there have been some pen-and-ink changes
 to what we had discussed on Friday, Prosecution Exhibit 37 for
 identification as well, and that will be covered here on the
 record.

Last, I'll note there were no R.M.C. 802 conferences
requested by the parties and none were conducted over the
weekend.

8 Trial Counsel, anything to add, change, or amend to my
9 description of developments since we were last in an open
10 session on 10 June 2022?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

13 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Nothing to add, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. We are starting a little bit later than expected because of a series of requests from the parties for additional time to put together the materials I had just mentioned. All of those requests were granted, through my staff.

19 Defense Counsel, is the accused prepared to enter20 pleas?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. You're standing up,Lieutenant Commander? Okay, sorry.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

I'm not going to go right into it. I have a couple of
 questions for you, but I am trying to reset the bench, since I
 am going to be focused on you today, Mr. Hadi.

4 Mr. Hadi, I expect that we may be in for a long day in 5 the courtroom. Though the nature of our discussion may limit 6 your ability to move away from your position at the defense 7 table without interrupting the flow of the proceedings, I want to remind you that you are nevertheless authorized to take 8 9 advantage of certain accommodations with respect to your 10 health and ability to physically participate in these 11 proceedings.

12 These accommodations include that, as a general rule, 13 you may adjust your position in your seat or stand up. You 14 may leave your seat and move about the defense area of the 15 courtroom during sessions on the record, though for today I 16 ask you that -- I ask that you request my permission before 17 doing so. You may also access approved medical devices or 18 other items that are available for you. And then, finally, 19 you may, of course, and as always, inform your counsel if at 20 any time you would like to utilize any of these additional 21 accommodations.

Do you understand what I have explained to you?
 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] I understand, Your

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Honor.

2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. The parties are familiar 3 with the procedural history in this case, which includes a 4 decision from the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit dated 9 April 2021. This decision has been a 5 6 central focus in this commission since it was delivered and 7 throughout the entirety of my time as the detailed military 8 The citation is available in the record, but I note judge. 9 the following language for the purposes of questions I have 10 for the parties and you, Mr. Hadi.

11 "Although the disqualifying conflict in this case 12 arose far earlier in the proceedings, we are convinced, for 13 the reasons described above, that the government's de novo 14 reconsideration remedy rather than a more draconian approach 15 suffices to scrub the case of judicial bias. In sum, Al-Tamir 16 has, at least with respect to Judge Waits' conflict, an 17 adequate alternative remedy to mandamus."

18 Counsel, understanding the relief promised by the 19 government and the relief directed by a Superior Court, do you 20 agree that the accused may properly enter pleas of guilty 21 today?

22 TC [MR. SHORT]: Absolutely, Your Honor.

23 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel? Oh.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes.

2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And that was a yes as3 well.

4 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did you have something to add,6 Ms. -- no. Negative response. Thanks.

7 Defense Counsel, regarding the extent to which the 8 accused will waive the relief directed by the D.C. Circuit by 9 entering a plea of guilty today, if it is accepted, and the 10 extent to which he will waive relief directed by the 11 D.C. Circuit in accordance with any relevant terms of the 12 pretrial agreement, if it is accepted, have you had sufficient 13 time and opportunity to discuss these matters with vour 14 client?

15 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: To the extent such waivers will
17 occur if we proceed as planned today, does the defense enter
18 those waivers?

19 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, have you had enough time and opportunity to discuss these matters, including the timing of today's proceeding, set against the relief directed by your appeal to the D.C. Circuit, with your Defense Counsel?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, have you, in fact, 3 consulted fully with your defense counsel and received the 4 full benefit of their advice? 5 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you satisfied that your defense counsel's advice on this matter has been in your best 7 8 interest?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you wish to proceed with a guilty
 11 plea today?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: It has been some time since all of
these questions were posed to Mr. Hadi on the record,
particularly in one place, so I would like to confirm a few
matters before proceeding.

Mr. Hadi, you are currently represented by the four
individuals sitting next to you: Ms. Hensler, Lieutenant
Commander Meusch, Captain Casciola, and Ms. Kissiah, as well
as Major Engling, who is joining us from the RHR.
Lieutenant Ball remains your detailed counsel, too, though you

22 previously agreed to excuse him throughout this session of the 23 commission.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Understanding what we are planning to discuss today,
2	do you still consent to Lieutenant Ball's absence?
3	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
4	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you wish to be represented by the
5	ladies and gentlemen I mentioned and by them alone?
6	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
7	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And, finally, understanding that
8	Major Engling is in the RHR and Lieutenant Ball is not
9	present, are you comfortable proceeding today?
10	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
11	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
12	Trial Counsel, as it has been some time since this was
13	stated on the record, would you please announce the general
14	nature of the charges in this case.
15	TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. The accused, Your
16	Honor, and his coconspirators are accused of participating in
17	a common plan and agreement and aiding, abetting, counseling,
18	commanding, and procuring the commission of offenses subject
19	to the military commissions, Your Honor.
20	The accused is a person subject to trial by military

The accused is a person subject to trial by military commissions as an alien unprivileged enemy belligerent between 1996 and 1 November 2006 in multiple locations, conspired to commit terrorism, denying quarter, using treachery or perfidy,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

murder of protected persons, attacking protected property,
 attacking civilians, attacking civilian objects, and employing
 poison or similar weapons.

Specifically, Your Honor, the accused is charged with
law of war violation 10 U.S.C. 950t(6), denying quarter,
Charge I; violation of 10 U.S.C. 954, attacking protected
property; 1050 [sic] 950t(17), using treachery or perfidy and
three specifications thereunder, Your Honor; and 10 U.S.C.
950t(28), attempted use of treachery or perfidy; as well as
950t(29), conspiracy, Your Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you, Trial Counsel.

12 Defense Counsel, does the accused want the charges13 read?

LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor. He waives reading.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And the reading may be
 omitted.

17 Defense Counsel, are you prepared to enter pleas?18 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Mr. Hadi, this is one of
those times where I would request that you stand and all
defense counsel, if you would rise as well. I'll give you a
moment.

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, may Lieutenant

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Commander Meusch approach the podium? 2 MJ [Lt Co] ROSENOW]: Yes. 3 Mr. Hadi, at this time how do you plead? I advise you 4 that any motion addressed under Rule for Military Commissions 5 905(b) must be made prior to the entry of pleas. Your defense 6 counsel will speak for you. 7 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: Your Honor, Mr. Al-Tamir pleads as 8 follows: 9 To Charge I and its specification, not guilty; 10 To Charge II and its specification, guilty except to 11 the words "knew and," to the excepted words "not quilty," to 12 the charge as excepted, guilty; 13 To Charge III, Specification 1, guilty; 14 To Charge III, Specification 2, guilty; 15 To Charge III, Specification 3, guilty; 16 To Charge III, guilty: 17 To Charge IV and its specification, guilty; 18 To Charge V and its specification, guilty except the 19 words, "to commit the following substantive offenses triable 20 by military commission: Terrorism, denying guarter, using 21 treachery or perfidy, murder of protected persons, attacking 22 protected property, attacking civilians, attacking civilian 23 objects, and employing poison or similar weapons in order to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 force the United States, its allies, and non-Muslims out of 2 the Arabian Peninsula, Afghanistan, and Iraq." Substituting, 3 therefore, the words, "to commit the following substantive 4 offenses triable by military commission using treachery or 5 perfidy, attacking protected property, and attacking civilian 6 objects in order to force the United States and its allies out 7 of Afghanistan and Iraq," to the excepted words "not guilty," to the substituted words, "guilty," to the charge, "guilty." 8 9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you, Defense Counsel.

10 Mr. Hadi and Defense Counsel, you can be seated.

11 Trial Counsel, were you oriented to the change, I will
12 call it, in the anticipated pleas of the accused entered in a
13 moment ago?

14 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you have anything in writing -or maybe I should ask the defense if they have anything in
writing that reflects the pleas? Do you, Defense Counsel?
LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood.

20 Government, I want to look over the horizon just a 21 moment here. The pretrial agreement requires the accused to 22 enter into a plea of guilty to the two charges where I've 23 heard a plea of guilty with exceptions, or guilty with

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 exceptions and substitutions. What does that mean with
2 respect to Appellate Exhibit 217 and any of the follow-on
3 filings?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, per 217, the not guilty
Charge I will ripen upon acceptance -- or upon the sentencing,
as will the exceptions and substitutions, Your Honor.

7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I'm asking a more fundamental 8 question than that. The convening authority has negotiated 9 pleas that were not entered. The pleas that were entered a 10 moment ago do not match the language inside of Appellate 11 Exhibit 217. And inside the negotiated deal, under 12 paragraph 5.a. -- and I know we are going out of order here, 13 but I want to make sure that we're going out of order in a 14 deliberate way -- 5.a., if we got to the point of talking 15 about this agreement states, "refusal of the military judge to 16 accept my plea of guilty as set forth above or modification of 17 the plea."

This, combined with the preceding introductory
paragraph in 5., which holds that any such agreement will also
be canceled and of no effect if any of the following occurs,
would, on a plain reading, tend to suggest that these pleas
are not in compliance or accord with the negotiated pleas of
the pretrial agreement. And that's absolutely fine if the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	accused is pleading guilty without this agreement. But if
2	it's within the context of this agreement, it's something to
3	be sorted out before he starts answering my questions.
4	TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. And the addendum to the
5	pretrial agreement, I believe.
6	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Can you orient me?
7	TC [MR. SHORT]: Just in the
8	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: This is Appellate Exhibit 217E
9	TC [MR. SHORT]: 217E.
10	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: that we got this morning. Go
11	ahead.
12	TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor. I'm trying to find my copy
13	of it, Your Honor. And I'm not sure this is as specific as
14	Your Honor would like; however it doesn't go into it
15	specifically, Your Honor, but it is, I believe, addressed
16	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Right.
17	TC [MR. SHORT]: and it was the intent of the
18	parties, Your Honor.
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Okay. It's really less about
20	parties' intent, right, and it's more about convening
21	authority's intent with respect to the addendum to the
22	pretrial agreement. And what I'm reading on page 1 of
23	Appellate Exhibit 217 is a restatement of 5.a. without change,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 which says, as I had described before, modification of the
2 plea ----

TC [MR. SHORT]: I think we lost audio, Your Honor.
Your Honor, the pretrial agreement as a whole with the
addendum incorporates the stipulation of facts. The
stipulation of facts reflects the changes and substitutions,
the exceptions and substitutions as put on the record. And it
is silent as to those words, Your Honor.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: First, can I get a confirmation from
10 someone that we are recording? I'm getting a confirmation
11 from many people. Thank you.

12 Second, besides a quantum, I would say one of the --13 one of the most central terms that exists inside of a 14 negotiated pretrial or plea agreement is what will the accused 15 plead to. And in this instance, I'm reading the plain 16 language which says he will plead guilty to Charges II, III, 17 IV, and V, and I've just heard a plea that is not that. I've 18 heard a plea that is in part not guilty to charges that I just 19 mentioned.

If the convening authority agrees that the plea just entered meets the requirements of the negotiated pleas to be entered in 217, if that's the case, it is not reflected on the document. Is that the case? Can you represent on behalf of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the convening authority ----

2 TC [MR. SHORT]: That is the case, Your Honor. 3 That is the case. Very well. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: 4 I need two things before we can proceed forward, as 5 this was not expected based on the military judge's review of 6 the pretrial agreement's language, most recently affirmed as of the amendment entered this morning. 7 8 The first is some indication in writing of the 9 representation that was just provided by the government. That 10 can be affixed to the record, but I need some indication in 11 writing that the convening authority supports the pleas just 12 entered as meeting the demands of the negotiated pretrial 13 agreement. 14 I also need a written copy of the pleas entered by the 15 defense. Now, it's possible that stenographers working with

16 us can do that very quickly and turn it to me or the defense 17 can do it, but I need that plea written down so that I can 18 adjust the questions that I have to surround that plea and so 19 that I can define the elements of the offenses more carefully 20 and closely to the excepted language that's been entered and 21 the substituted language that's been provided. I believe I 22 can do this very rapidly. It's just a matter of getting that 23 information.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Now, in terms of ordering events, if the defense is
2	inclined to proceed, understanding that that representation
3	from the convening authority is inbound but it's not certain
4	until it's certain, we can do that without waiting on that
5	response from the convening authority. That's a
6	have-to-have-it-today thing, and before we turn towards the
7	pretrial agreement inquiry, which, as you know, is at the end
8	of today's work.
9	But before I can turn to the stipulation of fact, I do
10	need to see and understand precisely the plea that was entered
11	so that I understand what, if anything, in my questioning
12	needs to be adjusted.
13	Does that make sense to you, Trial Counsel?
14	TC [MR. SHORT]: It does, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
16	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor requested
17	a copy of the plea that was entered. We have a paper copy we
18	can provide to the court right now.
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I will welcome that, please. Thank
20	you.
21	Is this, Lieutenant Commander Meusch, precisely what
22	you had just read to me?
23	DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Is there anything else on that,other than what you had read to me?

3 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: There's -- at the beginning there's
4 projected script language, but the pleas appear after that
5 verbatim as I read them.

6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

7 [Counsel conferred.]

8 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I have the original of what I
9 understand will be included in the record as Appellate
10 Exhibit 217G with me here. I believe we can proceed a little
11 bit further without requiring a break at this point.

Mr. Hadi, you have entered a plea of guilty to Charges II, III, IV, and V as well as all of their specifications, with the exceptions and the exceptions and substitutions noted when Lieutenant Commander Meusch entered your plea for you. Your plea of guilty will not be accepted by me unless you understand both its meaning and effect.

I am going to discuss your plea of guilty with you.
You may consult with your defense counsel prior to answering
any of my questions. If you have questions, feel free to ask
them.

Do you understand what I have said so far?
 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: A plea of guilty is equivalent to a 2 conviction. It is the strongest form of proof known to the 3 law. On your plea alone, and without receiving any evidence, 4 this court can find you quilty of the offenses to which you 5 have pled guilty. Your plea will not be accepted unless you 6 realize that, by your plea, you admit every act or omission 7 and every element of the offenses to which you have pled 8 guilty.

9 Do you understand what I have said so far?
10 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
Your Honor, I understand.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. In addition, your plea will not be accepted unless I am convinced that you are pleading guilty because you actually are, in fact, guilty under United States law. If you do not think you are guilty under United States law, then you should not plead guilty.

In addition, I cannot and will not accept your plea unless, after making my inquiry, I am satisfied that either there is a factual basis for the plea or that you voluntarily agree that, having viewed the evidence the government intends to introduce against you, you are personally convinced that the prosecution could prove your guilt of the offenses to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 which you are pleading guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

2

Do you understand this?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If at any time during this inquiry
process you are confused or have any questions, please stop me
and I will give you a chance to talk things over with your
attorneys. That can be done here in the courtroom or we can
take a break. Do you understand that?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
10 Thank you.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: To be clear, there is no time limit for how long this process will take. It is critical that you understand what you are doing with respect to your guilty plea, the pretrial agreement, and the stipulation of fact. For that reason we will take as long as we need.

Mr. Hadi, by your plea of guilty, you waive or, in other words, you give up certain important rights. First of all, you give up the right against self-incrimination; that is, the right to say nothing at all about these offenses. Do you understand that you have this right?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Second, you give up the right to a
 trial of the facts by the military commission; that is, your

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

right to have the commission decide whether or not you are
 guilty based on evidence presented by the government and, if
 you choose to do so, by the defense. Do you understand that
 you have this right?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Third, you give up the right to
confront the witnesses called against you and to call
witnesses on your behalf in the findings portion of the trial.
Do you understand this right as well?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If you plead guilty, there will not be a trial of any kind as to the offenses to which you are pleading guilty; and by pleading guilty you will give up those three rights that I just described with respect to those offenses. Do you understand that as well?

16 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Even if you believe you are quilty, 18 you still have a legal and a moral right to enter a plea of 19 not guilty and to require the government to prove its case 20 against you, if it can, by legal and competent evidence beyond 21 a reasonable doubt. If you were to plead not guilty, then you 22 would be presumed under the law to be innocent, and only by 23 introducing evidence and proving your guilt beyond a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 reasonable doubt could the government overcome this 2 presumption of innocence. Do you understand that? 3 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 4 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, have you discussed all of 5 this with your Defense Counsel? 6 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree to give up these rights 8 with regard to the offenses to which you have pled guilty and 9 to answer my questions concerning your plea? 10 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If you continue with your quilty 12 plea, you will be placed under oath and I will question you to 13 determine whether your plea is accurate. That is to say, 14 there is either a factual basis for your plea or you 15 voluntarily agree that, having viewed the evidence the 16 government intends to introduce against you, you are 17 personally convinced the government could prove you guilty of 18 the offenses to which you are pleading guilty beyond a 19 reasonable doubt. Do you understand that? 20 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: You should also understand that if 22 you tell me anything that is untrue, you may be charged with

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

perjury or making false statements, and your statements may be

23

used against you in any resulting prosecution. Do you
 understand that.

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, if you would, please
place the accused under oath. Mr. Hadi, we will need you to
stand for this as well.

7 INT: Your Honor, I can't hear what the prosecutor is8 saying.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for the note.

10 [Accused was sworn.]

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you, Mr. Hadi. You can please12 be seated.

13 Trial Counsel, I understand there is a stipulation of 14 fact in this case and that the version of the stipulation that 15 we will be discussing today is Prosecution Exhibit 39 for 16 identification and that's the proposed final form; is that 17 correct?

18 TC [MR. SHORT]: Correct, Your Honor.

19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you agree?

20 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Correct, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I understand an Arabic translation
of Prosecution Exhibit 39 for identification has also been
accomplished. Trial Counsel, is that document also in its

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 proposed final form, and if so, how has it been marked for 2 identification?

3 TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, it is in its final form. It
4 has been provided to the court reporters. I don't know if we
5 have a prosecution exhibit, but I assume it's Prosecution
6 Exhibit 40 for identification, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Defense Counsel, do you
agree that Prosecution Exhibit 40 for identification provides
an accurate translation of Prosecution Exhibit 39 for
identification?

11 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Mr. Hadi, if you would,
please, look at page 18 of Prosecution Exhibit 39 for
identification, a stipulation of fact. My question for you,
when you've been oriented to that page, is: Did you sign this
stipulation?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did you read this document or its
 Arabic translation thoroughly before you signed it?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for both sides agree to
 the stipulation and that your signatures appear on the
 document?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. There are also some
2	initialled paragraphs, Your Honor.
3	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
4	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
6	Mr. Hadi, prior to signing this stipulation, did you
7	read it and discuss it with your attorneys?
8	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you understand everything
10	contained within this stipulation of fact?
11	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
12	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, at this point we are going
13	to discuss the stipulation of fact to ensure that you
14	understand it and agree to its uses. A stipulation of fact is
15	an agreement between the trial counsel, the defense counsel,
16	and you, that the contents of the stipulation are the
17	uncontradicted facts in this case.
18	You have the right not to enter into this stipulation,
19	and this stipulation will not be accepted, without your
20	consent. You should enter into this stipulation only if you
21	truly want to do so. Do you understand this?
22	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
23	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, this stipulation contains

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 an introductory paragraph and 124 numbered paragraphs in 21
2 pages. Have you thoroughly reviewed this stipulation with
3 your counsel?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you voluntarily entering into
6 this stipulation?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, do you think it is in your
9 best interest to enter into this stipulation?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Having reviewed the document, is
 there any part to which you do not wish to consent?

13 ACC [MR. HADI]: **[Speaking in English]** No, Your Honor.

14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Has anyone forced or threatened you15 to enter into this stipulation?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If I admit this stipulation into evidence, it will be used in two ways: First, I will use it to determine if you are guilty of the offenses to which you have pled guilty; second, the trial counsel may read it to the court members and they will have it with them when they decide your sentence. Do you understand this?

23 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree to those uses?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do both counsel also agree to these
uses?

5 TC [MR. SHORT]: The government agrees, Your Honor.

6 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: The defense agrees, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Ordinarily a stipulation of fact
cannot be contradicted or questioned after it has been
admitted into evidence; that is, after it has been admitted
into evidence you cannot later argue that the information
contained in the stipulation is false. Do you understand
this?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If this stipulation should be questioned or contradicted after I have accepted your guilty plea, I will reopen this inquiry into your pleas. This means you need to let me know at this point if there is anything at all that you disagree with or anything that you feel is untrue in the stipulation. Do you understand that?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: At this time I want you to take a
 moment to review the stipulation and ensure it is precisely
 what you signed and what you agreed to submit. When you have

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 accomplished that review, you can let me know or your defense2 counsel can let me know.

3 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes, Judge. These are the modifications
5 I reviewed this morning and that I have signed.

6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. As the parties are 7 aware, the commission issued Appellate Exhibit 217D, a notice 8 to the parties that highlighted potential areas of inquiry and 9 questions the military judge may have as applied to what was 10 then the focus of the potential stipulation of fact inquiry, 11 Prosecution Exhibit 37 for identification, which was filed on 12 10 June 2022.

I've had the opportunity this morning to review
Prosecution Exhibit 39 for identification which resolves some
of those questions such that I don't have any follow-up
inquiry. There are a handful of follow-up questions I have
for the counsel and Mr. Hadi.

First, as I had put on page 4 of Appellate
Exhibit 217D, and understanding that we had a bit of
conversation on this matter last Friday, Trial Counsel, is the
conflict between the sum stated in paragraph 24 of the common
allegations included with the charges in paragraph 72 of the
stipulation of fact reconcilable within the rules directing

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

guilty plea inquiries and the meaning of a stipulation under
 Rule for Military Commission 811? And if the answer is yes,
 please explain.

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, the answer is yes. Your
Honor, the parties are in a general agreement that the
government basically charges in the conjunctive and proves in
the disjunctive.

8 The common allegations do not need to be proven in 9 their entirety, Your Honor. There are some minor changes 10 pursuant to R.M.C. 603 that could be made, will be made; but 11 for that purpose, Your Honor, it is our understanding that the 12 accused agrees with the common allegations and the stipulation 13 of facts and the reconciliation that is required between them, 14 Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: When you say minor changes could be made, will be made, we've -- we've had pleas entered. So if there were changes after this, there's going to be follow-on procedures that will be required or at least clarification put on the record.

Let me ask you, has there been a pen-and-ink change to the original charge sheet on Common Allegation 24 to line out one of those zeros and make it 10,000? Is there going to be? And there's no recommendation one way or the another from the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 commission.

TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor, the -- we'll line out
one of the zeros to make it \$10,000 vice \$100,000, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Is that something that can be

5 accomplished at the next break and you can confirm for me that 6 the charge sheet has been so amended?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, the only -- I can absolutely
do that, Your Honor. It's just I'm not -- I believe the court
9 reporters are in possession of the original charge sheet,

10 so I ----

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Is that here?

12 TC [MR. SHORT]: I'm going to double-check that. That's13 what I want to double-check, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood. So give me an update
when we come back, please, on whether or not that has
happened.

17 Defense Counsel, in the event the government does wish 18 to line out one of those zeros, as I put it, or line out the 19 entirety and write instead a lesser sum of 10,000 instead of 20 100,000 U.S. dollars in Common Allegation 24, would there be 21 any objection from the defense?

22 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: No, Your Honor.

23 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Additionally, Defense Counsel, do

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

you believe there is any requirement for the accused to
 announce his pleas again, given that change, which the
 government is pointing out would be, in their measure, a minor
 change after the entry of pleas?

5 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: Your Honor, may I go to the podium, 6 please?

No, Your Honor. We do not believe that pleas need to
8 be entered again. In part, that understanding is that the
9 common allegations, with the exception of the overt act in
10 Charge V, are not elements, they're a theory of liability. So
11 it explains -- we stated the plea as to the charge, and beyond
12 that there's a theory of liability.

In terms of the factual basis for Your Honor's inquiry 13 14 and finding a sufficient factual basis, Mr. Al-Tamir's 15 position is that there is a sufficient factual basis based on 16 the stipulation of fact, but he does not agree to anything 17 beyond the stipulation of fact. So that where there is a 18 difference between the two, he's not agreeing that the 19 government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt the 20 difference between the two.

21 Does that make sense, Your Honor?

22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I -- I hear what you're explaining
23 as, we are not pleading guilty to the second paragraphs under

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Charges II, III, and IV, which include the explanation of "as
 asserted." That's what I hear back from that in terms of
 drawing a line between what the stipulation says and what the
 common allegations allege and then connecting that with the
 pleas entered. But we can cross all of these things as we get
 to them. I have specific questions on that.

7 The immediate question is: Would the defense require, 8 such that there might be a right that you would waive, the 9 reentry of pleas to avoid any ambiguity, given the expected 10 change that the government has promised will happen here 11 shortly on Common Allegation 24?

12 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And to the extent that there would be any concern with this, do you waive any concern with this order of events?

16 DDC [LCDR MEUSCH]: We waive, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that clarification.
That will be something that the government will provide an
update on and will be something we'll need to clarify as we
move along today.

I would note that in Prosecution Exhibit 30 [sic] for
 identification, there has been a pen-and-ink change that's
 noted on page 2 in paragraph 5 that reflects offenses. That

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

satisfies the concerns of the commission with respect to 2.
 Similarly, if I don't cover any of these questions, the
 commission has been satisfied as to its concerns that are
 reflected in Appellate Exhibit 217D.

I had asked the parties, is the conspiracy mentioned
in paragraph 118 of the stipulation of fact the same one
alleged in the specification of Charge V? Government?

8 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, is that correct?
10 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And then I had asked a question in subparagraph 10 of 3.d. regarding the omission of language inside the stipulation of fact that did exist inside the allegations in this case I understand based on the pleas that were entered here and can resolve any apparent conflict or, put better, the meaning of that omission.

Mr. Hadi, we've had a few clarifications here about
Prosecution Exhibit 39 for identification. With those
clarifications as to the meaning of terms provided, is
everything in the stipulation of fact true?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And similarly, with
 those clarifications provided, is there anything in the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 stipulation of fact reflected in Prosecution Exhibit 39 for 2 identification that you do not wish to admit is true? 3 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. 4 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: With those clarifications included, 5 do you agree and admit that the information contained in the 6 stipulation is true and correct to the best of your knowledge 7 and belief? 8 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Is there anything in the stipulation 10 that you do not wish to admit is true? 11 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. 12 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, with those 13 clarifications noted for the record, do you have any objection 14 to Prosecution Exhibit 39 for identification? 15 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Prosecution Exhibit 39 for 17 identification, the stipulation of fact, is admitted into 18 evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 39, subject to my acceptance 19 of the accused's guilty plea. 20 Defense Counsel, with those clarifications that have 21 been noted in the English version of the stipulation of fact 22 included in the record, do you have any objection to 23 Prosecution Exhibit 40 for identification?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Prosecution Exhibit 40 for
identification, the stipulation of fact written in Arabic, is
admitted into evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 40, subject to
my acceptance of the accused's guilty plea.

I want to go a little bit longer, if I can, with
everyone, understanding that in about ten or so minutes we'll
likely require a comfort break.

9 Mr. Hadi, do you have a copy of the charge sheet in10 front of you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor, I
have.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I recommend that you keep that document with you as well as the stipulation of fact during the next portion of the trial so that you can easily refer to the charges against you as we proceed through the care inquiry -- excuse me, the plea inquiry.

Mr. Hadi, I am going to cover the offenses to which you have entered a plea of guilty in the order they are written on the charge sheet. That means that the first offense we will cover in depth will be Charge II. Even though I will separate my questions for you into different sections, I would like to rely on your answers to all of my questions

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

today in determining whether or not you are guilty of each
 offense, regardless of when those questions are posed. For
 instance, I might ask you questions while we are focused on
 Charge II that are relevant to determining whether you are
 guilty of Charge V.

6 Do you agree to my consideration of all of your
7 responses today in determining whether you are guilty of any
8 offense to which you have entered a plea of guilty?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I am going to explain the elements
11 of the offenses to which you have pled guilty. And when I use
12 the term "elements," I mean those facts which the prosecution
13 would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt before you could
14 be found guilty if you had pled not guilty.

When I state each element, ask yourself two things:
First, is the element true; and, second, do you wish to admit
that it is true? After I list the elements for you, we will
have a discussion regarding the circumstances surrounding
these offenses.

20 Do you have any questions about this process as I have 21 described it?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: There is an element common to all

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the offenses to which you are pleading guilty. That element
2 is that you are a person subject to trial by military
3 commission as an alien unprivileged enemy belligerent.

An alien is an individual who is not a citizen of the5 United States.

6 An unprivileged enemy belligerent is an individual 7 other than a privileged belligerent who, A, has engaged in 8 hostilities against the United States or its coalition 9 partners; B, has purposefully and materially supported 10 hostilities against the United States or its coalition 11 partners; or C, was a part of al-Qaeda at the time of the 12 alleged offense under Chapter 47(a) of Title 10, United States 13 Code.

14 Trial Counsel, any objection to those definitions?
15 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

17 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, do you understand these19 definitions?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you understand this element and
its terms are common to all the charges and specifications to
which you are pleading guilty?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT
ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you admit and agree that within
 the context of this military commission these terms and their
 definitions describe who you are?

5 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Let's look at the provisions in the 7 stipulation of fact which address this jurisdictional issue. 8 Mr. Hadi, please look at paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of the 9 stipulation. These paragraph describe and explain how you fit 10 within the definition of an alien unprivileged enemy 11 belligerent. Once again, that particular element, being an 12 alien unprivileged enemy belligerent, is an element of all the 13 offenses, so we are only going to discuss this particular 14 element this one time, recognizing it applies to each offense 15 to which you are pleading guilty.

16 Now, based on your agreement that the stipulation of 17 fact is true and the explanation I have provided, do you admit 18 that you meet the definition of an alien unprivileged enemy 19 belligerent?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree and admit that the
 military commission has jurisdiction both over you and all of
 the offenses to which you have entered a plea of guilty?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
2	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that.
3	The commission would like to take a 15-minute comfort
4	break. Please return to the courtroom on time. That's a
5	15-minute comfort break with the understanding that because of
6	some scheduling issues associated with travel to and from
7	Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, there will likely be a lengthy
8	break starting around 11:30 until we come back from lunch and
9	everyone being able to accommodate those things. So our next
10	session should last just about that long.
11	Anything from the government?
12	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
14	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission is in recess.
16	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1039, 13 June 2022.]
17	[The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1103,
18	13 June 2022.]
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The military commission is called to
20	order. Same parties who were present before the recess are
21	again present. Mr. Hadi remains with us here present in the
22	courtroom.
23	Mr. Hadi, I would like to discuss with you now

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 liability. In Charges II, III, and IV, and their 2 specifications, you are charged with liability for substantive 3 criminal acts committed by a person other than yourself. Are 4 you aware of this? 5 [Accused conferred with counse].] 6 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for your patience. 8 Do you understand, Mr. Hadi, that you may be held 9 criminally responsible for acts of others on a theory of 10 vicarious liability? 11 [Accused conferred with counsel.] 12 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor, I 13 understand. 14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Under the Military Commissions Act 15 there are two forms of vicarious liability: One, the law of 16 principals; and, two, conspiratorial liability. I will first 17 discuss with you the law of principals. After we have 18 discussed the law of principals, I will then discuss 19 conspiratorial liability.

20 Under a theory of principal liability for the acts of
21 another, you may be held criminally responsible if you, one,
22 commit, aid, abet, counsel, command, or procure the commission
23 of an offense punishable under the Military Commissions Act;

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	two, cause an act to be done which, if it were directly
2	performed by you, would be punishable under the Military
3	Commissions Act; or, three, are a superior commander who, with
4	regard to acts punishable under the Military Commission Act,
5	knew, had reason to know, or should have known that a
6	subordinate was about to commit such acts, or had done so, and
7	you failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to
8	prevent such acts or to punish the perpetrators thereof.
9	Do you understand this?
10	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir.
11	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Have you discussed these legal
12	concepts with your defense counsel?
13	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir, I do.
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Mr. Hadi, the first
15	theory of principal liability involving vicarious liability
16	applies to one who aids, abets, counsels, commands, or
17	procures the commission of a substantive criminal act. I will
18	discuss aiding and abetting at the start.
19	Any person who actually commits an offense is a
20	principal. Anyone who knowingly and willfully aids or abets
21	another in committing an offense is also a principal and
22	equally guilty of the offense. An aider or abettor must
23	knowingly and willfully participate in the commission of the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

crime as something he wishes to bring about and must aid,
 encourage, or incite the person to commit the criminal act.

Presence at the scene of the crime is not enough, nor is failure to prevent the commission of an offense. There must be an intent to aid or encourage the person or persons who commit the crime. Also, if he witnessed the commission of the crime and had a duty to interfere but did not because he wanted to protect or encourage the actual perpetrator, he is a principal.

Although you must consciously share in the actual perpetrator's criminal intent to be an aider or abettor, there is no requirement that you agree with or even have knowledge of the means by which the perpetrator is to carry out that criminal intent.

15

Do you understand this concept?

16 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir. 17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If the finder of fact were satisfied 18 beyond a reasonable doubt that you aided or abetted the 19 commission of Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and all of 20 their specifications and that you specifically intended the 21 commission of the underlying offenses in each instance, you 22 could be found guilty of the underlying offenses even though 23 you were not the person who actually committed the crimes.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1

Do you understand this?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I will next discuss principal
liability with respect to one who counsels, commands, or
procures another to commit an offense.

6 As I have explained, any person who commits an offense 7 is a principal. Any person who knowingly and willfully 8 counsels, commands, or procures another to commit an offense 9 is also a principal and is just as guilty as the person who 10 actually committed the offense. Again, presence at the scene 11 of the crime is not required.

Counsel means to advise, recommend, or encourage.
Command means an order given by one person to another who,
because of the relationship of the parties, is under an
obligation or sense of duty to obey the order. Procure means
to bring about or cause.

17 If the offense is committed, even if it is
18 accomplished in a different manner from that counseled,
19 commanded, or procured, the person who counseled, commanded,
20 or procured the commission of the offense is guilty of the
21 offense. Once the act counseled, commanded, or procured by a
22 person is done, he is criminally responsible for all the
23 likely results that may occur from the doing of that act.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Do you understand this concept?

2 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir. 3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. The second theory of 4 principal liability involving vicarious liability applies to 5 one who causes an act to be done. As I have explained, any 6 person who commits an offense is a principal. Anyone who 7 willfully causes an act to be done, which, if actually 8 performed by him, would be a criminal offense, is a principal 9 and is just as quilty of the offense as if he had done the act 10 himself.

Once an act is done, a principal is criminally
responsible for all the likely results that may occur from the
doing of that act.

14

1

Do you understand this?

ACC [MR. HADI]: **[Speaking in English]** Yes, sir. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I have one additional explanation for you with regard to vicarious liability under principal liability. When an offense charged requires proof of a specific intent or particular state of mind as an element, the evidence must also prove that you had that intent or state of mind, whether you're charged as a perpetrator or an other

22 party to the crime.

23

Do you understand this?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir. 2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The third theory of principal 3 liability involving vicarious liability is command liability. 4 Under this theory, a superior commander is liable for offenses 5 committed by his subordinates if that commander knew, had 6 reason to know, or should have known that a subordinate was 7 about to commit the underlying offense or had done so and 8 under the circumstances where the superior commander failed to 9 take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent the 10 underlying offense or to punish the actual perpetrators 11 thereof. 12 Do you understand this? 13 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir. 14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: One may be criminally responsible as 15 a principal even if the actual perpetrator is not identified, 16 is not prosecuted, or is acquitted of the underlying offense.

17 Do you understand this and everything else I have18 described concerning principal liability?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, sir.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Counsel, do you agree with the
definitions and explanations of principal liability that I
have provided?

23 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

3 Mr. Hadi, now I will discuss conspiratorial liability
4 with you. This is also referred to as the rule of
5 coconspirators.

6 The rule of coconspirators allows conviction of an 7 accused for a substantive offense upon a showing that he was a member of an unlawful conspiracy and that, while he continued 8 9 to be a member of that conspiracy, the offense charged was 10 committed in furtherance of the conspiracy or was an object of 11 the conspiracy. A conspiracy may also be referred to as a 12 common plan or agreement. While an accused need not be 13 formally charged with conspiracy, the existence of the 14 conspiracy must be shown before the accused may be convicted 15 of a substantive offense under this theory.

16 Unlike the law of principals, a person need not play 17 any role in the commission of the substantive offense, nor 18 must he have any particular state of mind regarding the 19 offense, nor must he be aware of the commission of the 20 offense.

Although I will provide these explanations again later
when we discuss the specific crimes to which you have pled
guilty, you are advised that the agreement in a conspiracy

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 does not have to be in any particular form or expressed in
2 formal words. It is sufficient if the minds of the parties
3 reach a common understanding to accomplish the object of the
4 conspiracy, and this may be proved by the conduct of the
5 parties. The agreement does not have to express the manner in
6 which the conspiracy is to be carried out or what part each
7 conspirator is to play.

8

Do you understand this?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: **[Speaking in English]** Yes, sir.

10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

To be clear then, a member of a conspiracy is criminally responsible under the law for any offense which was committed by any member of the conspiracy, in furtherance of the conspiracy or as an object of the conspiracy, even if he was neither a principal nor an aider and abettor in the offense.

17 Do you understand that as well?

18 ACC [MR. HADI]: **[Speaking in English]** Yes, sir.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Finally, before you could be found guilty of Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and any of their specifications under the rule of coconspirators, the finder of fact must also be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt either, one, that the substantive offense was committed in furtherance

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

of the conspiracy; or two, that the substantive offense was an
 object of the conspiracy.

3 If the finder of fact were satisfied beyond a 4 reasonable doubt that, at the time of the substantive offense 5 being committed, you had entered into and continued to be a 6 member of an unlawful conspiracy, as I have defined that term, and if the finder of fact determined beyond a reasonable doubt 7 8 that the substantive offense was committed while the 9 conspiracy continued to exist and in furtherance of that 10 unlawful conspiracy or was an object of that conspiracy. then 11 the finder of fact would be able to find you guilty of this 12 offense as a coconspirator.

This is true even though, under these circumstances, you were not the person who actually committed the criminal offense, that is, a principal, and even though you were not an aider and abettor of the person who committed the substantive offense.

However, if the finder of fact were not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that you were a continuing member of an unlawful conspiracy or that this substantive offense was committed in furtherance of an unlawful conspiracy or was an object of that conspiracy, then the finder of fact would be required to find you not guilty under the rule of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

coconspirators. That said, the finder of fact could still
 find beyond a reasonable doubt that you were an aider and
 abettor or a principal as I have otherwise defined that term
 and, therefore, criminally responsible.

Do you understand these concepts, Mr. Hadi?
ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Next, I would like to
explain to you the affirmative defense of withdrawal as it
applies to conspiratorial liability.

As I have previously explained, each conspirator is liable for all offenses committed pursuant to or in furtherance of the conspiracy by any of the coconspirators after such conspirator has joined the conspiracy and while the conspiracy continues and such conspirator remains a party to it.

16 A party to a conspiracy who withdraws from or abandons 17 the agreement before the commission of the underlying offense 18 by any conspirator is not guilty under the rule of 19 coconspirators. An effective withdrawal or abandonment must 20 consist of affirmative conduct that is wholly inconsistent 21 with adherence to the unlawful agreement or common criminal 22 purpose and that shows that the party has severed all 23 connection with the conspiracy.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

A conspirator who effectively withdraws from or abandons the conspiracy after the performance of an overt act by one of the conspirators remains guilty of conspiracy and of any offenses committed pursuant to the conspiracy up to the time of the withdrawal or abandonment. The withdrawal of a conspirator from a conspiracy does not affect the status of the remaining members.

8 Last, the finder of fact could only find you guilty of
9 an underlying offense through the rule of coconspirators if it
10 was proven beyond a reasonable doubt that you did not abandon
11 or withdraw from the conspiracy before the commission of the
12 underlying offense by any of your coconspirators.

Do you understand these requirements for the
affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies to
conspiratorial liability?

16 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you request
further explanation of the concepts that I have addressed so
far with the accused?

21 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, do you have any questions
for me at this time, understanding that you will be provided
the opportunity to ask questions along the way as well?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor, I
haven't.

6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that.

7 Counsel, we're a few minutes ahead of when I had
8 planned on a break, but this might be the time for such a
9 break in the proceedings.

10 Trial Counsel, I had asked over the break you to 11 pursue, if you decided to do so, the course you had described 12 involving the potential modification to a common allegation. 13 Can you provide an update on that process?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, in the 15-minute break, I was able to send out an e-mail, and I do know that somebody that was observing in The Pentagon had beaten me to it anyway. So it's in the works to get some kind of written affirmation as to the intent of the parties on that, Your Honor.

19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. So ----

20 TC [MR. SHORT]: Intent of the convening authority, Your21 Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood. I asked a slightlydifferent question, but I appreciate the update on that.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	I want to be plain again, that written representation
2	of these pleas as answering the plain requirements of the
3	pretrial agreement, that must be provided prior to me being
4	able to pursue any kind of investigation or inquiry involving
5	the pretrial agreement. It does not preclude us from moving
6	through the rest of this process so long as the defense agrees
7	to move forward with this process.
8	TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, we provided the convening
9	authority with a copy of the pleas as they were entered.
10	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that.
11	Now, the question that I had originally posed related
12	to this division between approximately 10,000 and
13	approximately 100,000. Recommending again and discouraging
14	again no specific course, I had heard that the government
15	intended to make a pen-and-ink change to Common Allegation 24
16	so that it was recorded as approximately \$10,000.
17	TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. After consulting with
18	the court administration, we are trying to locate the original
19	charge sheet, which may not be on island. I believe the
20	course of action is to make all the necessary ink on a
21	new on a charge sheet that we all agree is a reflects
22	the original charge sheet, make those pen-and-ink changes,
23	Your Honor, as we go forward, and either, you know, make those

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

corrections on the original, when located, or enter that into
 the court as Appellate Exhibit 008 series.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. It's something we'll
need to come back to before I talk through any of the
definitions and elements involving the substantive offenses.
6 So that will be something when -- I believe we're planning to
7 come back at 1330 once we go into a break. Is that right,
8 Government?

9 TC [MR. SHORT]: That's my understanding, Your Honor.
 10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Does that work for you as well,
 11 Defense Counsel?

12 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, thank you.

13 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Okay. So over that break that would14 be something to examine.

I would also orient the parties, based on what
Lieutenant Commander Meusch had provided to me a moment ago,
to what I had said to you on Friday with regard to how this
commission interprets the charge sheet that the government has
used in the prosecution of this case since preferral in 2014.

What I would orient you to, Government, is that secondparagraph on Charge II, on Charge III, and on Charge IV.

I am not orienting you to that paragraph, which is aslightly different paragraph on Charge V. One of the reasons

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 being the charged language at the bottom of the first
2 paragraph involving Charge V, which ends with "purpose of the
3 agreement," has above that, "commit one or more of the
4 following overt acts." That qualifier of "one or more," at
5 least as an intellectual matter, permits this commission to
6 accept the plea with exceptions and substitutions as entered
7 by Mr. Hadi if the legal standards are otherwise met.

8 I am focusing you on Charges II, III, IV, and their 9 second paragraph because my interpretation of this language is 10 different than I believe the representation I received from 11 Lieutenant Commander Meusch, which I also interpreted as 12 indicating the government's consensus on that point. That's 13 how I heard it.

14

Is that right?

15 TC [MR. SHORT]: That is correct, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: All right. So the parties have made 17 manifest, both in the pleadings leading up to Friday, the 18 positions that were staked on Friday, and the consistency of 19 the positions that were staked on Friday leading into today, 20 Monday, through the weekend, these including supplements to 21 the pretrial agreement, these including modifications or 22 updates to the stipulation of fact that this is an agreement 23 both parties are interested in maintaining.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

At the same time, this court must be forever conscious
 of abandoning its role as not an advocate for or against
 negotiations between the parties reaching any particular
 result or never reaching any particular result and, instead,
 allowing these matters to be adjudicated within a litigated
 setting.

7 That said, I want to highlight that if the language in
8 those second paragraphs of Charges II, III, and IV remains in
9 place, I have concerns about accepting the plea as described
10 by the accused for the following reasons:

This language reads, "The accused is liable for the above-alleged offense as a principal, a coconspirator, and a participant in a common plan as set forth in the section entitled Common Allegations, which is hereby realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein."

16 There are several ways in which that second clause 17 communicate to this commission and any reviewing authority 18 that if the accused were found guilty as he has entered a plea 19 of guilty with one exception and no substitution to Charge II 20 and its specification, that would mean the commission is 21 finding him guilty of all of the common allegations, too. 22 I do not believe you could be plainer if you had

23 attempted to be plainer, government, in writing as set forth,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

one; in the section entitled Common Allegations, which is
 hereby realleged, two; and incorporated by reference, three;
 as is set forth fully herein, four. That clause is what
 drives this commission's concern about accepting that plea as
 entered.

Now, there are any number of ways in which different
pleas could be entered or modifications could be made to the
charge sheet or pleas could be withdrawn, so on and so forth.

9 Without ruling, suggesting, ordering, directing, 10 procuring, anything, I would note that if the second clause 11 "as set forth" continuing through "fully herein" were lined 12 out, on the second paragraph of Charge II, on the second 13 paragraph, if you want to call it that, but really it falls 14 under all the specifications on Charge III, and on the second 15 paragraph under Charge IV -- that clause were lined out, it 16 would not drive the same concerns. In fact, they would be 17 avoided and I would understand the position of the parties as 18 not being in conflict or disagreement with the language that's 19 been preferred against the accused, referred against the 20 accused, and now that we've had a plea entered addressing.

21 Trial Counsel, before we go into this about two-hour
22 recess for people to respond to some of the things that I have
23 asked for involving the pretrial agreement, notice from the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	convening authority involving potential pen-and-ink changes to
2	the charge sheet, do you have any questions for the commission
3	with respect to the matter I've explained on the record, here
4	again, or any other matter?
5	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
6	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
7	Defense Counsel, same?
8	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor, we understand.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And then, Ms. Hensler,
10	if you can just confirm for me that 1330 works for Mr. Hadi as
11	well based on his needs?
12	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, we appreciate your consideration
13	of his needs. Thank you.
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Anything else,
15	Government, to take up until this commission is in recess
16	until 1330?
17	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
18	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
19	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.
20	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Commission is in recess.
21	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1131, 13 June 2022.]
22	[END OF PAGE]
23	

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1410,

2 13 June 2022.]

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: This commission is called to order.
The same parties are present as were present before. Mr. Hadi
is with us as well. We're getting started a little bit later
through a combination of some time that the commission
required and some of the time that was requested by some of
our support staff.

9 I was provided over the break Appellate Exhibit 217H
10 and Appellate Exhibit 217I. The former is an unredacted
11 version of the latter. And the purpose of these exhibits, as
12 I understand it, is to answer the commission's questions
13 regarding the position of the convening authority on whether
14 or not the accused's pleas as entered satisfy terms of the
15 pretrial agreement that say something different.

And what I take this to mean and what I understand it to mean is that the parties have reached an agreement in writing that the pleas as earlier entered into meet each and every condition and requirement of the pretrial agreement, its appendix, such that that treats these things, as well as its addendum.

Trial Counsel, is that correct?
TC [MR. SHORT]: That is correct. Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you agree?
 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. I think I have the
4 originals of these two documents, so I'm going to hand them
5 down to the court reporter.

6 Trial Counsel, were there any revisions elected to be 7 made by the parties on the charge sheet during that break? 8 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor, and I have a request 9 with the commission's indulgence. So Your Honor had --10 without ruling, had indicated that the words as beginning with 11 "as set forth" in the section entitled Common Allegations and 12 that the language within that full -- full sentence provides 13 the commission with concern that it incorporates in totem the 14 allegation -- you know, the common allegations.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Can we come right back to that and just answer the question first. Were there any changes to any portion of the charge sheet, just yes or no first?

18 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes. I mean, with the court's19 indulgence, I'd like ----

20 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Go ahead, please.

21 TC [MR. SHORT]: So I am authorized to change the charge
22 sheet. And so I suggest, however, after the word "plan" and
23 beginning with the word "as" that we don't strike the entirety

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 of that second clause, that we just strike after the word
2 "as," strike the words "set forth," leaving all the way to
3 "common allegations," basically putting the period there and
4 striking the rest of it, in other words, removing the language
5 that Your Honor pointed out as making it so inclusive of the
6 common allegations.

7 And I think that that would get us to the point 8 where -- where it is kind of telling us where the common plan is, so in case, you know, the future appellate courts or 9 10 higher authority needs to look and say, well, where is this 11 common plan, they can see that that is part of -- it is -- the 12 63 overt acts is the common plan as alleged, Your Honor. 13 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that, Trial Counsel. 14 Could you read out loud the proposed modification as that 15 section would now read, starting with "The accused is liable"? 16 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. It would read: The 17 accused is liable for the above -- I'm sorry -- the 18 above-alleged offense as a principal, comma, a coconspirator, 19 comma, and a participant in a common plan, comma, as in the 20 section entitled Common Allegations, period.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Again, making no ruling and ordering
no particular course from the parties, that's a proposal,
Defense Counsel, that I have heard from the trial counsel as

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	to a potential modification of this language under Charge II,
2	Charge III, and Charge IV, as I understand the government's
3	position. We are, of course, after arraignment, as we have
4	been for some time, but we're also after the entry of pleas.
5	Is there any objection to the pen-and-ink changes that
6	have been proposed by the government?
7	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, based on the concern that
8	you put on the record earlier, we think it more prudent to
9	strike the sentence after the word the rest of the sentence
10	after the word the phrase "common plan."
11	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: So the defense's counter position or
12	counterproposal for the government which, again, I have not
13	ruled. There is an ongoing I won't say jockeying, but an
14	ongoing conversation about positions that everyone's taking,
15	and I'm not directing anything and I'm not ruling against or
16	for anyone.
17	Government, back over to you. There's a
18	counterproposal as I hear it.
19	TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, the government's position is,
20	is that the way I've read the complete sentence with the
21	strikethroughs alleviates the commission's concern. I will
22	say we want to move forward. We're not you know, I will
23	leave Your Honor with the either/or. I can I can I have

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

authority to knock it all out, and just make sure that we - you know, the intent of that -- that paragraph, there was an
 intent to the paragraph, and I think it loses the intent by
 losing the antecedent -- or the second phrase.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Let me ask you this, Government, and I'm going a little bit into the history of the case. Are you familiar with the positions taken by the United States back in the Appellate Exhibit 019 series where there was earlier litigation about striking the common allegations?

10 TC [MR. SHORT]: I am -- I am familiar with it, Your
11 Honor. I couldn't cite it chapter and verse.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood. I've had the benefit of
being oriented to those filings and paying some attention to
them.

15 One of the other observations that might be useful is 16 across those filings it seemed like the government had taken 17 positions that were consistent with the common allegations 18 being integrated as elements essentially because they're overt 19 acts on Charge V and its specification. So that would be a 20 reason why, of course, the common allegations, the 21 government's argument went, could not be struck and should 22 not.

23

And there was some division drawn in the government's

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

position about what the meaning or effect of this language was
 on the earlier charges. Now we're narrowing down to
 Charge II, III, and IV. The defense had raised different
 arguments and concerns along the way. I just mention that in
 case that bears on the position that you take.

6 What I will let you all know is, from the commission's 7 perspective, having reviewed the statute again during the 8 break, having reviewed the Manual for Military Commissions and the Regulation for Trial by Military Commissions, and 9 10 understanding as well how this case has developed across time, including filings, the way that I understand remedying the 11 12 concerns that I have, directing no course, is either to strike 13 after the word "common plan" the remainder, or there be an 14 agreement between the parties.

Not that this is surplusage, but it's almost like that three-line paragraph or section is a bill of particulars in a way that's been combined as part of the 458. That's somewhat consistent with some of the earlier positions that were taken and reaches out to international tribunal settings and maybe these being more common approaches inside of a federal court setting as compared to a military court-martial setting.

Those are the two approaches, Trial Counsel, thatwould allow me to go forward without further inspection of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 this issue. Again, not ruling yet, but understanding that 2 those two choices make it so that I fully understand the 3 accused's pleas, I can explain back to him how he's pled, and 4 I can enter into findings potentially consistent with his 5 pleas. It will be a different conversation, depending on how 6 these things develop, about what goes to the members and in what form, if there is ever a sentencing proceeding in this 7 8 case.

9 I'll give you time, if you need it, Trial Counsel, if
10 you want to consult; or if you already have your position
11 known, we can move in that direction as well.

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, as I noted, we do have the --I do have the authority from the convening authority to strike the rest of that paragraph. And I didn't mean to raise, you know, an alternative plan and enter into litigation. So I think we'll strike that language, pen and ink it from the word-- after the word "common plan," Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Is that going to be the same for19 Charge III as well as Charge IV?

20 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, II, III, and IV, Your Honor.
 21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Any objection from the defense if
 22 that's the government's goal?

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Would the defense agree again that, 2 even with that modification, the accused's pleas have been 3 properly entered and can be determined by the commission? 4 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, any other pen-and-ink 6 changes since we last spoke? 7 TC [MR. SHORT]: Well, we have the -- the zero on the 8 10,000. Depending on Your Honor's colloguy regarding Charge V 9 and how you treat that, there may be some pen and ink, but as 10 to II, III, and IV, no pen and ink. 11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. To recapitulate, has it 12 happened or has it not happened that there's been a line 13 through and an initial on what we're going to call the 14 original charge sheet and which will be included in the record 15 on Common Allegation 24? 16 TC [MR. SHORT]: It has not happened, Your Honor. I've 17 been advised by the court reporter that she's going to allow 18 us to use her copy now. She's also got a copy of the Arabic 19 version, and we can -- we can kind of follow along. If you 20 would like that to happen now, maybe at the next break, 21 but ----

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: If there is agreement between theparties, we can proceed. And the agreement I'm looking for

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

is, one, the government will make that pen-and-ink change
 without objection from the defense; and, two, the government
 will make the three pen-and-ink changes without objection from
 the defense. That's not an order. That's an observation of
 where we would need agreement to be able to proceed.

And I appreciate that, during one of these comfort
7 breaks, those pen-and-ink changes can be made as long as I
8 know the left and right limits and Mr. Hadi knows the left and
9 right limits of what his plea is and what the government's
10 alleging.

11 Does that work for the government?

TC [MR. SHORT]: That works for the government, Your
Honor. We can proceed as though those have been pen and inked
and deleted -- or pen and inked.

15 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you, Trial Counsel.

16 Defense Counsel, does that work for you as well?

17 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

Mr. Hadi, are you prepared to proceed in the inquiry Ihave for you regarding Charge II and its allegations?

21 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor,
I'm ready.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. In the specification of 2 Charge II, you are charged with the offense of attacking 3 protected property in violation of 10 United States Code 4 Section 950t(4). By pleading quilty to this offense under the 5 theory of principal liability, you are admitting that the 6 following elements are true and accurately describe what you 7 did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt: 8

9 One, that on or about 29 September 2003 at or near 10 Shkin, Afghanistan, the actual perpetrators engaged in an 11 attack by intentionally firing at a military medical 12 helicopter as it attempted to evacuate a United States 13 military casualty from the battlefield;

14 Two, that the object of the attack was protected
15 property under the laws of war, to wit, a military medical
16 helicopter bearing the emblem and distinctive sign of the
17 medical service of armed forces, particularly the red cross on
18 a white ground;

19 Three, that the actual perpetrators intended such20 protected property to be an object of the attack;

21 Four, that the actual perpetrators should have known 22 of the factual circumstances that established the property's 23 protected status;

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Five, that the attack took place in the context of and
 was associated with hostilities and either;

Six, that you aided, abetted, counseled, commanded,
procured, or caused the actual perpetrators to commit the
offense of attacking protected property by the means described
in the stipulation of fact, or;

Seven, that as a superior commander who had reason to
know or should have known that a subordinate was about to
commit the offense of attacking protected property by the
means described in the stipulation of fact or had done so, you
failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to
prevent such acts or to punish the actual perpetrators.

13 The first through fifth elements above describe the
14 underlying offense and come from the Manual for Military
15 Commissions. The sixth and seventh elements address the types
16 of vicarious liability applying to a principal within the
17 facts of this case.

18 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have 19 defined them for the specification of Charge II under the 20 theory of principal liability?

- 21 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
- 22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
- **23** LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

Mr. Hadi, as I previously explained, the government has also alleged that you are separately liable for the underlying offense in the specification of Charge II based on the rule of coconspirators. By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of conspiratorial liability, you are admitting again the first through fifth elements I provided a moment ago.

9 You are further admitting that the following elements
10 are true and accurately describe what you did and that you
11 were personally convinced that the government could prove
12 beyond a reasonable doubt:

One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about
One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about
29 September 2003 you entered into an agreement with the
individuals named in Charge V and its specification to commit
the substantive offenses triable by military commission named
in your plea to Charge V and its specification;

18 Two, that while the agreement continued to exist and 19 while you remained a party to the agreement, you or another 20 individual named in Charge V and its specification performed 21 one or more overt acts stated in the common allegations for 22 the purpose of bringing about the object of the agreement; 23 Three, that the actual perpetrators of the attack on

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	protected property were members of the conspiracy, and;
2	Four, that the underlying offense was committed in
3	furtherance of the conspiracy or that the underlying offense
4	was an object of the conspiracy.
5	Trial Counsel, do you have any objection to the
6	elements as I have defined them for the specification of
7	Charge II under the theory of conspiratorial liability?
8	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
10	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor, but may I
11	have a moment to speak with my client?
12	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Certainly.
13	[Accused conferred with counsel.]
14	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, I understand,
15	Your Honor.
16	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
17	In order to ensure you fully understand the elements,
18	I will provide the following definitions:
19	"Hostilities" means any conflict subject to the laws
20	of war.
21	"In the context of and associated with hostilities"
22	requires that there be a connection or nexus between
23	hostilities and the conduct at issue. This connection or

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

nexus may be determined in a number of ways and could involve,
 but is not limited to, time, location, or purpose of the
 conduct at issue in relation to hostilities. Mere closeness
 of conduct in time or location to hostilities does not satisfy
 the necessary nexus.

6 For example, murder committed between members of the 7 same armed force for reasons of personal gain unrelated to 8 hostilities, even if associated with hostilities in time and 9 location, is not in the context of hostilities; however, 10 conduct that is undertaken or organized with knowledge or 11 intent to contribute to hostilities to include by advantaging 12 or disadvantaging a party to hostilities with respect to that 13 party's position in hostilities would satisfy the nexus 14 requirement.

15 The term "protected property" means any property 16 specifically protected by the law of war, including buildings 17 dedicated to religion, education, art, science, or charitable 18 purposes, historic monuments, hospitals, and places where the 19 sick and wounded are collected, but only if and to the extent 20 such property is not being used for military purposes or is 21 not otherwise a military objective. The term includes objects 22 properly identified by one of the distinctive emblems of the 23 Geneva conventions, but does not include civilian property

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 that is a military objective.

2 Do you understand all of the definitions that I have 3 just read to you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you understand that these
elements and definitions constitute the offense of attacking
protected property?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I want you to take one
 moment to reread the specification of Charge II and tell me or
 have your counsel tell me when you are done rereading it.

12 [Did as directed.]

13 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, he's done.

14

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you, Defense Counsel.

Mr. Hadi, after reviewing the specification of Charge II again, do you still admit that the elements are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt with the exception of the language, quote, knew and, end quote?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understanding that you have admitted
 every element we have discussed regarding this offense, I have

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 a few more specific questions for you.

2 Do you agree that you are criminally responsible for
3 the attack on protected property alleged in the specification
4 of Charge II?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. I had asked you before
to keep a copy of the stipulation of fact with you. I would
ask you to turn your attention to the stipulation of fact, and
I will orient you to paragraphs 95, 96, 97, as well as 119.

Earlier you indicated that you agreed with each paragraph of the stipulation of fact. Once you've had a moment to review paragraphs 95, 96, 97, and 119, I ask you: Is that still true, that you agree with each of those paragraphs.

15 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

16 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] I completed it,17 yes.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And are those paragraphs19 still admitted by you to be true?

20 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

We also previously discussed the affirmative defenseof withdrawal as it applies to conspiratorial liability and,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT
1 in turn, how it connects to Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV,
2 and all of their specifications.

3 Do you request that I provide you these definitions4 again?

5 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. I
6 understand.

7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

8 Do you still understand, then, that this is an9 affirmative defense as I have explained it to you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree and admit that you did
 not effectively withdraw from the conspiracy before the
 offense described in Charge II and its specification was
 committed?

15 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

16 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

18 Do counsel for either side believe that any further19 inquiry is required with regard to Charge II and its

20 specification? Defense Counsel?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel?

23 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 2 In Specification 1 of Charge III, you are charged with 3 the offense of using treachery or perfidy in violation of 4 10 United States Code Section 950t(17). By pleading guilty to 5 this offense under the theory of principal liability, you are 6 admitting that the following elements are true and accurately 7 describe what you did and that you are personally convinced 8 that the government could prove, beyond a reasonable doubt: 9 One, that on or about 7 June 2003 at or near Kabul, 10 Afghanistan, the actual perpetrators invited the confidence 11 and belief of at least one person that a vehicle appearing to 12 be a civilian vehicle was entitled to protection under the law 13 of war; 14 Two, that the actual perpetrators intended to use and 15 betray that confidence and belief; 16 Three, that the actual perpetrators killed and injured at least one German military member; 17 18 Four, that the actual perpetrators made use of that 19 confidence and belief in killing and injuring such person or 20 persons by detonating explosives in said vehicle, thereby 21 attacking a bus carrying members of the German military; 22 Five, that the conduct took place in the context of, 23 and was associated with, hostilities and, either;

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Six, that you aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, 2 procured, or caused the actual perpetrators to commit the 3 offense of using treachery or perfidy by the means described 4 in the stipulation of fact; or that as a superior commander 5 who knew, had reason to know, or should have known that a 6 subordinate was about to commit the offense of using treachery 7 or perfidy by the means described in the stipulation of fact, 8 or had done so, you failed to take the necessary and 9 reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the 10 actual perpetrators.

11 The first through fifth elements above describe the 12 underlying offense and come from the Manual for Military 13 Commissions. The sixth and seventh elements address the types 14 of vicarious liability applying to a principal within the 15 facts of this case.

16 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have 17 defined them for Specification 1 of Charge III under the 18 theory of principal liability?

19 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

20 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As I previously explained, Mr. Hadi,the government has also alleged that you are separately liable

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

for the underlying offense in Specification 1 of Charge III
 based on the rule of coconspirators. By pleading guilty to
 this offense under the theory of conspiratorial liability, you
 are admitting again the first through fifth elements I
 provided a moment ago.

6 You are further admitting that the following elements
7 are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are
8 personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a
9 reasonable doubt:

10 One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about 11 7 June 2003, you entered into an agreement with the 12 individuals named in Charge V and its specification to commit 13 the substantive offenses triable by military commission named 14 in your plea to Charge V and its specification;

Two, that while the agreement continued to exist and while you remained a party to the agreement, you or another individual named in Charge V and its specification performed one or more overt acts stated in the common allegations for the purpose of bringing about the object of the agreement;

20 Three, that the actual perpetrators of the use of
21 treachery or perfidy were members of the conspiracy, and;

Four, that the underlying offense was committed infurtherance of the conspiracy or that the underlying offense

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 was an object of the conspiracy.

2 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have 3 defined them for Specification 1 of Charge III under the 4 theory of conspiratorial liability? 5 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor. 6 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 8 Mr. Hadi, in order to ensure you fully understand the elements, I will provide the following definitions: 9 10 "Hostilities" and "in the context of and associated 11 with hostilities" have the same meaning as I previously 12 explained. 13 Ruses of war are legitimate so long as they do not 14 involve treachery or perfidy on the part of the belligerent 15 resorting to them. They are, however, forbidden if they 16 contravene any generally accepted rule. The line of 17 demarcation between legitimate ruses and forbidden acts of 18 perfidy is sometimes indistinct, but the following examples 19 indicate the correct principles:

It would be improper practice to secure an advantage of the enemy by deliberate lying or misleading conduct which involves a breach of faith or when there is a moral obligation to speak truth. For example, it is improper to feign

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

surrender so as to secure an advantage over the opposing
 belligerent thereby; so similarly, to broadcast to the enemy
 that an armistice had been agreed upon when such is not the
 case would be treacherous.

On the other hand, it is perfectly -- it is a
perfectly proper ruse to summon a force to surrender on the
ground that is surrounded and thereby induce such surrender
with a small force.

9 Treacherous or perfidious conduct in war is forbidden
10 because it destroys the basis for a restoration of peace short
11 of the complete annihilation of one belligerent by the other.

12 One may commit an act of treachery or perfidy by, for 13 example, feigning an intent to negotiate under a flag of truce 14 or a surrender or feigning incapacitation by wounds or 15 sickness or feigning a civilian noncombatant status or 16 feigning a protected status by the use of signs, emblems or 17 uniforms of the United Nations or a neutral state or a state 18 not party to the conflict.

19 Do you understand all of the definitions that I have 20 just read to you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you understand that these
 elements and definitions constitute the offense of using

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 treachery or perfidy?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I'd like you to take a
moment to reread Specification 1 of Charge III, and when
you're done reviewing it, you can let me know or your counsel
can let me know.

7 [Did as directed.]

8 ACC [MR. HADI]: I read it, Your Honor.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Having reviewed
10 Specification 1 of Charge III again, do you still admit that
11 the elements are true and accurately describe what you did and
12 that you are personally convinced that the government could
13 prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Let's look now at some of the
provisions in the stipulation of fact which address this
offense. If you would, turn your attention to paragraphs 103
and 120 of the stipulation of fact.

Earlier you indicated that you agreed with each
paragraph of the stipulation of fact. After reviewing
paragraphs 103 and 120, please let me know if that is still
true.

23 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. HADI]: Yes, I have read it, Your Honor. 2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 3 And do you still agree that those paragraphs are true? 4 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for either side believe 6 that any further inquiry is required with regard to 7 Specification 1 of Charge III, understanding I will separately 8 cover the affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies to 9 conspiratorial liability at the end of my discussion of 10 Charge III?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Nothing further is necessary, Your
 Honor.

14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

15 In Specification 2 of Charge III, you are charged with 16 the offense of using treachery or perfidy in violation of 10 United States Code, Section 950t(17). By pleading guilty 17 18 to this offense under the theory of principal liability, you 19 are admitting that the following elements are true and 20 accurately describe what you did and that you are personally 21 convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable 22 doubt:

23

One, that on or about 27 January 2004 at or near

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Kabul, Afghanistan, the actual perpetrators invited the 2 confidence and belief of at least one person that an 3 individual appearing to be a noncombatant civilian was 4 entitled to protection under the law of war; 5 Two, that the actual perpetrators intended to use and 6 betray that confidence and belief; Three. that the actual perpetrators killed and injured 7 8 at least one Canadian military member: 9 Four, that the actual perpetrators made use of that 10 confidence and belief in killing and injuring such person or 11 persons by detonating explosives concealed beneath said 12 individual's civilian clothing, thereby attacking a coalition 13 convoy carrying members of the Canadian military; 14 Five, that the conduct took place in the context of, 15 and was associated with, hostilities, and, either; 16 Six, that you aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, 17 procured, or caused the actual perpetrators to commit the 18 offense of using treachery or perfidy by the means described 19 in the stipulation of fact or that as a superior commander who 20 knew, had reason to know, or should have known that a 21 subordinate was about to commit the offense of using treachery 22 or perfidy by the means described in the stipulation of fact 23 or had done so, you failed to take the necessary and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the
 actual perpetrators.

3 The first through fifth elements above describe the 4 underlying offense and come from the Manual for Military 5 Commissions. The sixth and seventh elements address the types 6 of vicarious liability applying to a principle within the 7 facts of this case.

8 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have
9 defined them for Specification 2 of Charge III under the
10 theory of principal liability?

11 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

12 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

13 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, as I previously explained,
the government has also alleged that you are separately liable
for the underlying offense in Specification 2 of Charge III
based on the rule of coconspirators.

By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of conspiratorial liability, you are admitting again the first through fifth elements I provided a moment ago. You are further admitting that the following elements are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 doubt:

One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about
27 January 2004 you entered into an agreement with the
individuals named in Charge V and its specification to commit
the substantive offenses triable by military commission named
in your plea to Charge V and its specification;

7 Two, that while the agreement continued to exist and 8 while you remained a party to the agreement, you or another 9 individual named in Charge V and its specification performed 10 one or more overt acts stated in the common allegations for 11 the purpose of bringing about the object of the agreement;

12 Three, that the actual perpetrators of the use of13 treachery or perfidy were members of the conspiracy, and;

Four, that the underlying offense was committed in
furtherance of the conspiracy or that the underlying offense
was an object of the conspiracy.

17 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have
18 defined them for Specification 2 of Charge III under the
19 theory of conspiratorial liability?

20 TC [MR. SHORT]: No objections, Your Honor.

21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

22 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objections.

23 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, all relevant definitions

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	involving Specification 2 of Charge III were covered with my
2	discussion of Specification 1 of Charge III. Would you like
3	me to provide them again?
4	ACC [MR. HADI]: It's not necessary, Your Honor.
5	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
6	To confirm, then, is it correct that you still
7	understand all of the definitions relevant to this offense?
8	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you understand that these
10	elements and definitions constitute the offense of using
11	treachery or perfidy?
12	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I would like you to reread
14	Specification 2 of Charge III; and when you're done reading,
15	either let me know or your counsel can let me know.
16	[Did as directed.]
17	ACC [MR. HADI]: I have read it, Your Honor.
18	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
19	Having reviewed Specification 2 of Charge III once
20	again, do you still admit that the elements are true and
21	accurately describe what you did and that you are personally
22	convinced that the government could prove your guilt beyond a
23	reasonable doubt?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
2	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Let's turn our attention now to some
3	of the provisions in the stipulation of fact which address
4	this offense. If you would, please take a moment and review
5	paragraphs 104, 105, 107, and 121.
6	Earlier you indicated that you agreed with each
7	paragraph of the stipulation of fact. After reviewing
8	paragraphs 104, 105, 107, and 121, let me know if that is
9	still true.
10	[Accused conferred with counsel.]
11	ACC [MR. HADI]: I've read it, Your Honor.
12	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
13	Do you still agree that each of those paragraphs is
14	true?
15	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
16	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for either side believe
17	that any further inquiry is required with regard to
18	Specification 2 of Charge III, understanding I will separately
19	cover the affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies to
20	conspiratorial liability at the end of my discussion of
21	Charge III?
22	TC [MR. SHORT]: Not from the government, Your Honor.
23	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 2 My preference would be to cover Specification 3 of 3 Charge III and then poll the parties and see whether or not we 4 could also cover Charge IV. And if not, we would take a break 5 at that point. 6 Does that work as a way ahead for the United States? TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel? If you need a 8 9 comfort break now, that's why I'm polling you, just in case. 10 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: May I consult with my client briefly? 11 [Accused conferred with counsel.] 12 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, would it be possible to do 13 a comfort break now? He needs to take some medication. 14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Does 15 minutes suffice? 15 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, that would be fine. Thank you. 16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: For the United States, does that 17 work as well? 18 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission will be in recess for 20 15 minutes. 21 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1505, 13 June 2022.] 22 [END OF PAGE] 23

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1530,

2 13 June 2022.]

3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission is called to order.
4 All parties who were present before the last recess are here
5 again present, including the accused.

6 Over the break I was permitted a bit more time with 7 Appellate Exhibits 217G, 217H, and 217I. There appears to be 8 a typographical error on page 2 of Appellate Exhibit 217G indicating the excepting of language like "attacking civilian 9 10 objections" rather than "attacking civilian objects." That 11 typographical error was carried over into the memorandum that 12 was accomplished by the convening authority in both 217H as 13 well as 217I.

My staff polled the court reporter. We believe that it was -- properly announced the plea as attacking civilian objects, but it is indicated there as something slightly different. I wanted to make that plain for the record.

Government, any concerns before moving ahead?
TC [MR. SHORT]: I think that covers it, Your Honor. And,
Your Honor, if I may, also, we have made the line-outs in the
charge sheet, both the English and Arabic, the three,
Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, as well as the zero in the
\$100,000.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that. Is that with2 the court reporter?

3 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

4 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Could I take a moment and review?5 [Pause.]

6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. Returning the charge7 sheet.

8 Defense Counsel, any concerns about how the pleas were9 entered, given the note that I just made for the record?

10 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No concern, Your Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that.

Mr. Hadi, we will turn our attention now to
Specification 3 of Charge III. In Specification 3 of
Charge III you are charged with the offense of using treachery
or perfidy in violation of 10 United States Code,
Section 950t(17).

By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of principal liability, you are admitting that the following elements are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

22 One, that on or about 28 January 2004, at or near23 Kabul, Afghanistan, the actual perpetrators invited the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

confidence and belief of at least one person that a vehicle,
 appearing to be a civilian vehicle, was entitled to protection
 under the law of war;

Two, that the actual perpetrators intended to
betray -- excuse me, to use and betray that confidence and
belief;

7 Three, that the actual perpetrators killed and injured
8 at least one military member of either the British or Estonian
9 militaries;

Four, that the actual perpetrators made use of that confidence and belief in killing and injuring such person or persons by detonating explosives in said vehicle, thereby attacking a coalition convoy carrying members of the British and Estonian militaries;

15 Five, that the conduct took place in the context of,16 and was associated with, hostilities, and either;

Six, that you aided, abetted, counseled, commanded,
procured, or caused the actual perpetrators to commit the
offense of using treachery or perfidy by the means described
in the stipulation of fact, or;

Seven, that as a superior commander who knew, had
reason to know, or should have known that a subordinate was
about to commit the offense of using treachery or perfidy by

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	the means described in the stipulation of fact or had done so,
2	you failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to
3	prevent such acts or to punish the actual perpetrators.
4	The first through fifth elements above describe the
5	underlying offense and come from the Manual for Military
6	Commissions. The sixth and seventh elements address the types
7	of vicarious liability applying to a principal within the
8	facts of this case.
9	Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have
10	defined them for Specification 3 of Charge III under the
11	theory of principal liability?
12	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
13	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
14	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As I previously explained, the
16	government has also alleged that you are separately liable for
17	the underlying offense in Specification 3 of Charge III based
18	on the rule of coconspirators.
19	By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of
20	conspiratorial liability, you are admitting again the first
21	through fifth elements I provided you a moment ago. You are
22	further admitting that the following elements are true and
23	accurately describe what you did and that you are personally

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable 2 doubt:

One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about
28 January 2004 you entered into an agreement with the
5 individuals named in Charge V and its specification to commit
6 the substantive offenses triable by military commission named
7 in your plea to Charge V and its specification;

8 Two, that while the agreement continued to exist and 9 while you remained a party to the agreement, you or another 10 individual named in Charge V and its specification performed 11 one or more overt acts stated in the common allegations for 12 the purpose of bringing about the object of the agreement;

13 Three, that the actual perpetrators of the use of14 treachery or perfidy were members of the conspiracy, and;

Four, that the underlying offense was committed in
furtherance of the conspiracy or that the underlying offense
was an object of the conspiracy.

18 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have 19 defined them for Specification 3 of Charge III under the 20 theory of conspiratorial liability?

21 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, all relevant definitions
2	involving Specification 3 of Charge III were covered with my
3	discussion of Specification 1 of Charge III. Would you like
4	me to provide them again?
5	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
6	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you still understand all the
7	definitions relevant to this offense?
8	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
10	And do you understand that these elements and
11	definitions constitute the offense of using treachery or
12	perfidy?
13	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I want you to please
15	reread Specification 3 of Charge III and tell me when you're
16	done reading it or your counsel can inform me.
17	[Did as directed.]
18	ACC [MR. HADI]: I read it, Your Honor.
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
20	Having reviewed it again, do you still admit that the
21	elements are true and accurately describe what you did and
22	that you are personally convinced that the government could
23	prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Let's turn our attention to some of
 the provisions in the stipulation of fact which address this
 offense. If you would, please review paragraphs 104, 106,
 107, and 122.

6 Earlier you indicated that you agreed with each
7 paragraph of the stipulation of fact. After reviewing
8 paragraphs 104, 106, 107, and 122, let me know if that is
9 still true.

10 ACC [MR. HADI]: I have read it, Your Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you agree that those12 paragraphs are true?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, we previously discussed
the affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies to
conspiratorial liability and, in turn, how it connects to
Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and all of their
specifications.

Do you request that I provide these definitions again?
 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you understand this affirmative
 defense as I have explained it to you?

23 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree and admit that you did not effectively withdraw from the conspiracy before the offenses described in Charge III and its specifications were committed?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for either side believe
that any further inquiry is required with regard to any of the
specifications of Charge III?

9 TC [MR. SHORT]: Nothing further from the government, Your10 Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

12 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

13 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

In the specification of Charge IV, you are charged
with the offense of attempted use of treachery or perfidy in
violation of 10 United States Code, Section 950t(28).

By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of principal liability, you are admitting that the following elements are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

22 One, that on or about 29 March 2004 at or near
23 Jalalabad, Afghanistan, you did a certain overt act; that is,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 providing a suicide bomber to attack coalition forces; 2 Two, that the act was done with specific intent to 3 commit the offense of treachery or perfidy; 4 Three, that the act amounted to more than mere 5 preparation; that is, it was a substantial step and a direct 6 movement toward the commission of the intended offense, and; 7 Four, that the act apparently tended to bring about 8 the commission of the offense of use of treachery or perfidy; 9 that is, the act apparently would have resulted in the actual 10 commission of the offense of use of treachery or perfidy 11 except for an unexpected intervening circumstance that 12 prevented completion of that offense. 13 Mr. Hadi, I advise you that preparation consists of 14 devising or arranging the means or measures necessary for the 15 commission of the attempted offense. To find you guilty of 16 this offense, the finder of fact must find, beyond a 17 reasonable doubt, that you went beyond preparatory steps and 18 your act amounted to a substantial step and a direct movement 19 toward the commission of the intended offense. A substantial 20 step is one that is strongly corroborative of your criminal 21 intent and is indicative of your resolve to commit the 22 offense.

23

Proof that the offense of use of treachery or perfidy

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 actually occurred or was completed is not required; however, 2 it must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at the time 3 of the overt act you intended every element of the offense. 4 The elements of the attempted offense which was not 5 completed are: 6 One, that on or about 29 March 2004 at or near Jalalabad, Afghanistan, the actual perpetrators invited the 7 8 confidence and belief of at least one person that a vehicle 9 appearing to be a civilian vehicle was entitled to protection 10 under the law of war; 11 Two, that the actual perpetrators intended to use and 12 betray that confidence and belief: 13 Three, that the actual perpetrators killed and injured 14 at least one person; 15 Four, that the actual perpetrators made use of that 16 confidence and belief in killing and injuring such person or 17 persons by detonating explosives in said vehicle, thereby

18 attacking a convoy carrying United States military members;

19 Five, that the conduct took place in the context of,20 and was associated with, hostilities, and either;

Six, that you aided, abetted, counseled, commanded,
procured, or caused the actual perpetrators to commit the
offense of using treachery or perfidy by the means described

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 in the stipulation of fact, or;

Seven, that as a superior commander who knew, had reason to know, or should have known that a subordinate was about to commit the offense of using treachery or perfidy by the means described in the stipulation of fact or had done so, you failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the actual perpetrators.

8 The first through fifth elements above describe the 9 underlying offense, which was not completed, and come from the 10 Manual for Military Commissions. The sixth and seventh 11 elements address the types of vicarious liability applying to 12 a principal within the facts of this case.

Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have
defined them or the definitions so far provided for the
specification of Charge IV under the theory of principal
liability?

17 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

19 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, as I previously explained, the government has also alleged that you are separately liable for the underlying offense in the specification of Charge IV based on the rule of coconspirators.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

By pleading guilty to this offense under the theory of
 conspiratorial liability, you are admitting again the elements
 of an attempted offense under 10 United States Code,
 Section 950t(28) I provided to you a moment ago.

5 You are further admitting that the following elements 6 are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are 7 personally convinced that the government could prove beyond a 8 reasonable doubt:

9 One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about
10 29 March 2004 you entered into an agreement with the
11 individuals named in Charge V and its specification to commit
12 the substantive offenses triable by military commission named
13 in your plea to Charge V and its specification;

14 Two, that while the agreement continued to exist and 15 while you remained a party to the agreement, you and another 16 individual named in Charge V and its specification performed 17 one or more overt acts stated in the common allegations for 18 the purpose of bringing about the object of the agreement;

19 Three, that the actual perpetrators of the attempted
20 use of treachery or perfidy were members of the conspiracy,
21 and;

Four, that the underlying offense was committed infurtherance of the conspiracy or that the underlying offense

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 was an object of the conspiracy.

2	Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements as I have
3	defined them for the specification of Charge IV under the
4	theory of conspiratorial liability?
5	TC [MR. SHORT]: No objection, Your Honor.
6	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor.
7	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
8	All relevant definitions involving the specification
9	of Charge IV have been provided above or were covered with my
10	discussion of Specification 1 of Charge III.
11	Mr. Hadi, would you like me to provide any definitions
12	again?
13	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you still understand all of the
15	definitions relevant to this offense?
16	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
17	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you understand that these
18	elements and definitions constitute the offense of attempted
19	use of treachery or perfidy?
20	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Please take a moment and reread the
22	specification of Charge IV, and when you're done reviewing,
23	either let me know or your counsel can let me know.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Thank you.

1 [Did as directed.]

2 ACC [MR. HADI]: I read it, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]:

3

After reviewing specification of Charge IV again, do you still admit that the elements are true and accurately describe what you did and that you are personally convinced that the government could prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I'd ask you to look now at
11 paragraphs 108 and 123 of the stipulation of fact. You had
12 earlier indicated that you agreed with each paragraph of the
13 stipulation of fact. After reviewing paragraphs 108 and 123,
14 let me know if that is still true.

15 ACC [MR. HADI]: I have read it, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Would you agree that those17 paragraphs are true?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: We previously discussed the
affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies to
conspiratorial liability and, in turn, how it connects to
Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and all of their
specifications.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Do you request that I provide these definitions again?
 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
 Thank you.

4 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, do you understand this5 affirmative defense as I have explained it to you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree and admit that you did
not effectively withdraw from the conspiracy before the
offense described in Charge IV and its specification was
committed?

11 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for either side believe
that any further inquiry is required with regard to Charge IV
and its specification?

16 TC [MR. SHORT]: Nothing further from the government, Your17 Honor.

18 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: In the specification of Charge V,
you are charged with the offense of conspiracy in violation of
10 United States Code, Section 950t(29).

By pleading guilty to this offense, you are admittingthat the following elements are true and accurately describe

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 what you did and that you are personally convinced that the2 government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

3 One, that from in or about 1996 to on or about 4 1 November 2006 at multiple locations in and around 5 Afghanistan, Pakistan, Irag, Turkey, and elsewhere in the 6 context of and associated with hostilities you entered into an 7 agreement with Usama bin Laden, Ayman al Zawahiri, Mohammed 8 Atef, Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, and other individuals, known and 9 unknown, to commit the following substantive offenses triable 10 by military commission; to wit, using treachery or perfidy, 11 attacking protected property, and attacking civilian objects;

12 Two, that this was done with the purpose of forcing13 the United States and its allies out of Afghanistan and Iraq;

14 Three, that you knew the unlawful objectives and 15 purposes of the agreement and joined willfully; that is, with 16 the intent to further the unlawful objectives and purposes, 17 and;

Four, that thereafter you knowingly committed an overt
act in order to accomplish some objective or purpose of the
agreement.

21 Counsel, given the accused's pleas, any objection to
22 the elements as I have defined them for the specification of
23 Charge V?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

C	$M_{1} = [1 + C_{0}] = PO(C_{1}) (M_{1}) = Po(C_{0}) (M_{1}) (M_{1}) = Po(C_{1}) (M_{1}) (M_{$
2	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
3	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.
4	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The law requires me to define the
5	elements of each offense which you are charged with conspiracy
6	to commit as well. Because these underlying offenses are
7	discussed within the common allegations, I will provide only
8	the general elements of each offense and related definitions.
9	First, though, I have a few questions about the common
10	allegations.
11	Mr. Hadi, are you familiar with the section of the
12	charge sheet titled Common Allegations?
13	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Have you read it and discussed it
15	with your attorneys?
16	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
17	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
18	I will now return to explaining the elements of each
19	offense which you are charged with conspiracy to commit and
20	that you have entered a plea of guilty concerning.
21	Regarding the offense of using treachery or perfidy in
22	violation of 10 United States Code Section, 950t(17), the
23	elements and related definitions of the offense follow those I

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

have previously described in discussing the specifications of
 Charge III and the specification of Charge IV.

Regarding the offense of attacking protected property,
in violation of 10 United States Code, Section 950t(4), the
elements and related definitions of the offense follow those I
have previously described in discussing the specification of
Charge II.

8 Regarding the offense of attacking civilian objects,
9 in violation of 10 United States Code, Section 950t(3), the
10 elements of the offense are as follows:

11 One, an accused engaged in an attack;

12 Two, the object of the attack was civilian property;13 that is, property that was not a military objective;

14 Three, that accused intended such civilian property to15 be an object of the attack;

16 Four, that accused knew or should have known that such17 property was not a military objective, and;

18 Five, the attack took place in the context of and was19 associated with hostilities.

20 Trial Counsel, any objection to the elements that I
21 have defined or the definitions provided regarding the
22 underlying offenses alleged in the specification of Charge V
23 and that the accused has entered a guilty plea concerning?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

3 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, do you understand all of
the elements and definitions that I have provided to you or
referenced?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I'm returning now to the offense of
conspiracy as alleged in the specification of Charge V, and in
order to ensure that you fully understand these elements, I
will provide the following definitions:

Two or more persons are required in order to have a conspiracy. Knowledge of the identity of coconspirators and their particular connection with the agreement need not be established. A person may be guilty of conspiracy although incapable of committing the intended offense.

17 The joining of another conspirator after the
18 conspiracy has been established does not create a new
19 conspiracy or affect the status of the other conspirators.

20 The agreement or common criminal purpose in a
21 conspiracy need not be in any particular form or manifested in
22 any formal words. The agreement must, at least in part,
23 involve the commission or intended commission of one or more

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 substantive offenses triable by military commission. 2 A single conspiracy may embrace multiple criminal 3 objectives. 4 The agreement need not include knowledge that any relevant offense is, in fact, triable by military commission. 5 6 Although the accused must be subject to the Military 7 Commissions Act, other coconspirators need not be. 8 The overt act must be done by the accused, and it must be done to effectuate the object of the conspiracy or in 9 10 furtherance of the common criminal purpose. 11 Given the charging language in the specification of 12 Charge V, the overt act must have been done following the 13 agreement. 14 The overt act need not be in itself criminal, but it 15 must advance the purpose of the conspiracy. Although 16 committing the intended offense may constitute the overt act, 17 it is not essential that the object offense be committed. Ιt 18 is not essential that any substantive offense, including the

19 object offense, be committed.

Each conspirator is liable for all offenses committed pursuant to or in furtherance of the conspiracy by any of the coconspirators after such conspirator has joined the conspiracy and while the conspiracy continues and such

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 conspirator remains a party to it.

That the object of the conspiracy was impossible to
effect is not a defense to this offense.
Mr. Hadi, do you understand all of the definitions
that I have just read to you?
ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And do you understand that these
elements and definitions constitute the offense of conspiracy?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I'd like you to reread the
11 specification of Charge V as well as, if you have it next to
12 you, any of those exhibits I just referenced, Appellate
13 Exhibit 217G, 217H, or 217I.

And when you've had the opportunity to review both the specification of Charge V and those exhibits which record the plea that was entered, please let me know or your counsel can let me know.

18 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

19 ACC [MR. HADI]: I read it, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Having reviewed those materials
again, do you still admit that the elements are true and
accurately describe what you did and that you are personally
convinced that the government could prove your guilt beyond a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 reasonable doubt with the exceptions and substitutions you 2 have made in your guilty plea? 3 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 4 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understanding that you have admitted 5 the elements as I defined them. I have some additional and 6 specific questions for you: 7 First, do you agree that you entered into the 8 agreement as alleged? 9 [Accused conferred with counse].] 10 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you admit that you knew the 12 unlawful objectives and purposes of the agreement and joined 13 willfully; that is, with the intent to further those unlawful 14 objectives and purposes? 15 [Accused conferred with counsel.] 16 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 18 Do you agree that you knowingly committed one or more 19 of the overt acts stated in the common allegations in order to 20 accomplish some objective or purpose of the agreement? 21 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I would like to cover three in 23 particular. As an example, paragraph 9 of the common

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT
1 allegations states, quote, beginning in or about 1999 you 2 served as an al-Qaeda liaison to the Taliban, end quote. 3 Do you agree that this accurately reflects an overt 4 act that you committed after joining the conspiracy? 5 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As another example, paragraph 35 of 7 the common allegations states, quote, in or about 8 September 2003 you organized and planned an attack on U.S. 9 forces located at or near a U.S. military installation at or 10 near Shkin, Afghanistan. 11 Do you agree that this accurately reflects an overt 12 act that you committed after joining the conspiracy? 13 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As a final example, paragraph 61 of 15 the common allegation states, quote, on or about 27 16 October 2006 in an effort to continue to travel undetected to Iraq to advise and assist al-Qaeda in Iraq with its 17 18 insurgency, you filed a letter of objection to the denial of 19 your fraudulent request for asylum in which you continued to 20 use the false name Abdulrahman Yar Mohammed and made false 21 statements.

22 Do you agree that this accurately reflects an overt23 act that you committed after joining the conspiracy?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, I need to interject with
 respect to this common allegation.

3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Go ahead.

4 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: The stipulation of fact does address 5 that allegation; however, Mr. Al-Tamir does not agree that the 6 request for asylum was fraudulent, and he does not agree that 7 the name used was false. Other than that, that common 8 allegation is reflected in the stipulation of fact.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As reflected in paragraph 117 of 10 Prosecution Exhibit 39, on or about 27 October 2006, in an 11 effort to continue to travel undetected to Iraq to advise and 12 assist al-Qaeda in Iraq with its insurgency, the accused filed 13 a letter of objection to the denial of his request for asylum 14 in which he continued to use the name that we've mentioned and 15 made a -- false statements.

So the clarification that you're providing me is that it was not a fraudulent request for asylum. There was false information inside what you would characterize as a legitimate request for asylum?

LDC [MS. HENSLER]: It was a legitimate request for
asylum, and the name used was not false. It's the name -it's Mr. Al-Tamir's Afghan name. He is an Iraqi citizen and
also an Afghan citizen, and his Afghan citizenship is under

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the name listed in that paragraph.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, would you concede that with those clarifications, paragraph 61 of the common allegations still constitutes an overt act within the meaning of the definitions I have provided as they relate to Charge V and its specification?

7 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

8 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you agree with9 that as well?

10 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.

As the parties are aware, the allegation is one ormore, so we've covered a few here.

I'd like to move along to some of the provisions that
are contained in the stipulation of fact which addressed this
offense.

Mr. Hadi, if you could turn your attention to
paragraphs 118 and 124 of the stipulation of fact. You had
earlier indicated that you agreed with each paragraph of the
stipulation of fact. Once you review paragraphs 118 and 124,
please let me know or your counsel can let me know.

22 ACC [MR. HADI]: I've read it, Your Honor.

23 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree that those paragraphs

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 are true?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, we had previously
discussed the affirmative defense of withdrawal as it applies
to conspiratorial liability and, in turn, how it connects to
Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and all of their
specifications. We will now discuss how this affirmative
defense applies to Charge V and its specification.

9 A party to a conspiracy who withdraws from or abandons 10 the agreement or enterprise before the commission of an overt 11 act by any conspirator is not guilty of conspiracy. An 12 effective withdrawal or abandonment must consist of 13 affirmative conduct that is wholly inconsistent with adherence 14 to the unlawful agreement or common criminal purpose and it 15 shows that the party has severed all connection with the 16 conspiracy.

A conspirator who effectively withdraws from or abandons the conspiracy after the performance of an overt act by one of the conspirators remains guilty of conspiracy and of any offenses committed pursuant to the conspiracy up to the time of the withdrawal or abandonment. The withdrawal of a conspirator from the conspiracy does not affect the status of the remaining members.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Do you understand this affirmative defense as I haveexplained it to you?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you agree and admit that you did
not effectively withdraw from the conspiracy described in
Charge V and its specification?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for either side believe
 9 that any further inquiry is required with regard to Charge V
 10 and its specification?

11 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

12 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I will now address the maximum punishment. Mr. Hadi, do you understand that under the Manual for Military Commissions, setting aside any limitations from Appendix A of your pretrial agreement, the maximum punishment for the offenses to which you have entered a plea of guilty is confinement for life and a fine?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, setting aside the
 separate conversation about whether or not if the accused were
 found guilty a fine might be appropriate, do you agree in the
 commission's statement of the maximum punishment for the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 offenses to which the accused has entered a plea of guilty? 2 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel? 4 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: As discussed last Friday and earlier 6 today, I understand there is a pretrial agreement in this 7 case. The pretrial agreement, or PTA, is marked as Appellate Exhibit 217 and Appendix A as Appellate Exhibit 217A. 8 The 9 Arabic translation of the PTA is marked as Appellate 10 Exhibit 217B and the Arabic translation of Appendix A as 11 Appellate Exhibit 217C. There is also an addendum to the 12 pretrial agreement which has been marked as Appellate 13 Exhibit 217E with the Arabic translation marked as Appellate 14 Exhibit 217F. 15 Defense Counsel, do you have a copy of the PTA, 16 appendix, and addendum with you? 17 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you agree that 19 Appellate Exhibits 217B, 217C, and 217F are accurate 20 translations of the PTA and associated documents? 21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 22 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, would you please look at 23 page 7 of the pretrial agreement, page 1 of Appendix A, and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

page 4 of the addendum. I am orienting you to what purports
 to be your signature.

3 And my question is: Are these your signatures on4 these pages?

5 [Accused conferred with counsel.]

6 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor,
7 I'm looking on these pages.

8 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did your counsel thoroughly explain
9 all of these documents to you before you signed them, and do
10 you understand the contents of your pretrial agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did your counsel thoroughly explain
the addendum to the pretrial agreement before you signed it,
and do you understand its impact on the contents of your
pretrial agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did anyone threaten or force you in
 any way to enter into this agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Does this agreement with its
addendum contain all the understandings and agreements that
you have made with the convening authority in this case?
ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Has anyone made any promises to you 2 that are not written into this agreement in an attempt to get 3 you to plead guilty? 4 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. 5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, are these three 6 appellate exhibits, Appellate Exhibits 217, 217A, and 217E, 7 the full and complete agreements in this case, and are you 8 satisfied that there are no other agreements? 9 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel? 11 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 12 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, as a general rule, in a 13 pretrial agreement, you agree to enter pleas of guilty and in 14 return the convening authority agrees to take some favorable 15 action in your case, usually in the form of limiting the 16 sentence that will be approved. Do you understand this? 17 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The law requires that I discuss the 19 conditions of your pretrial agreement with you. Take a look 20 at the very first paragraph on page 1 of your pretrial 21 agreement. In relevant part, it states the following: 22 That you are the accused under military commission 23 charges dated 3 February 2014;

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

That you have read the charges against you;
 That your counsel have explained them to you, and;
 That you understand the charges and that you are aware
 you have a legal right to plead not guilty and to require the
 government to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by

6 admissible and competent evidence.

7 Do you understand and agree that all of these things8 are true?

9 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: In the following paragraph the 11 pretrial agreement states that in consideration of the 12 agreement by the convening authority to approve a sentence in 13 accord with the limitations set forth in Appendix A, as well 14 as other conditions within the agreement, you offer to plead 15 guilty to Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, and Charge V and 16 all of their specifications. This was not the plea that was 17 entered for you earlier today by your defense counsel.

However, since then, we have been provided, and it's been referenced on the record previously, Appellate Exhibits 217H and 217I, which confirm under the convening authority's hand in writing that the pleas that were entered by you through your counsel meet this requirement and all requirements relating to pleas of the pretrial agreement as

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 amended.

2

Do you understand that?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you believe that
is an accurate statement of the convening authority in his
view?

7 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

8 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, can you confirm9 that that is an accurate understanding of the accused?

10 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

11 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: In the third paragraph, in relevant 12 part, the pretrial agreement states that acceptance by the 13 convening authority constitutes a binding agreement. It also 14 includes the assertion by you that you are, in fact, guilty of 15 the offenses to which you have offered to plead guilty and in 16 the manner that you have offered to plead guilty.

17 Do you understand these provisions?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, is it true that you are, in fact, guilty of Charge II, Charge III, Charge IV, Charge V, and all of their specifications with the exceptions to Charge II and the exceptions and substitutions to Charge V that we have already discussed?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I do not intend to cover each 3 remaining paragraph of the pretrial agreement in total because 4 you have already had the time and opportunity to discuss these 5 matters in detail with your counsel; however, if after the 6 additional questions I have you would like to discuss any 7 other portion of your pretrial agreement, we will do so. You 8 can let me know, either directly or through counsel, if that 9 is vour wish.

10

Do you understand our way ahead?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: One more point and as a reminder:
When I poll the government for its position regarding
interpretation of any term, trial counsel is speaking on
behalf of the convening authority. Defense counsel, of
course, speaks on behalf of the accused.

As we had discussed when we took up the stipulation of fact earlier today, there were remarks offered to the parties in Appellate Exhibit 217D from the court provided over the weekend. The response that has been returned in the form of the addendum to the pretrial agreement clarifies many of these matters, but I do have a few follow-up questions that I would pose to the counsel at this time.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Trial Counsel, with respect to the timing until any
2	sentencing proceedings in this case, it appears that that
3	ambiguity, such that it existed before, has been resolved.
4	Could you succinctly state what you understand the effect of
5	this agreement is if the military judge agrees what is the
6	inbound request?
7	TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, you're referring to the
8	sentencing in two years
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Delay, yes.
10	TC [MR. SHORT]: the two-year delay?
11	Your Honor, yes, that's for the parties to conduct
12	some business and ensure that the effect of the agreement is
13	taking place, Your Honor, and that we would request that it be
14	delayed for two years.
15	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: So the presentencing proceedings
16	will be delayed until that time, if granted by a military
17	judge, me being the military judge at this time.
18	TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes.
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: What counts, for the purposes of two
20	years from today, as presentencing? Is it members? Is it
21	something else?
22	TC [MR. SHORT]: That would be the members, Your Honor.
23	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: So is it the process of member

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 selection -- essentially, we would have members sitting in the 2 room who would be navigating voir dire? That would constitute 3 the event that is going to take place on the timeline that's 4 been negotiated under the terms of the amended pretrial 5 agreement?

6 TC [MR. SHORT]: I think that's the event that would take 7 place, given that -- you know, at times members take a couple 8 days, I mean, but we're talking about two years. But that was 9 the intent, Your Honor, absolutely.

10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: So, Defense Counsel, if on the 11 timeline that's been negotiated at the time that you expect, 12 the event that is experienced is members walking into this 13 courtroom or a different one to navigate member selection, 14 does that meet the terms?

15 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood. And it appears that17 there is a meeting of the minds on that point.

18 Next. Trial Counsel, by what time, if any, is the
19 convening authority required to make the recommendations that
20 have been promised within paragraphs 19 and 27?

21 TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, both of those are as soon as 22 practicable and reasonable, Your Honor. So it would be very 23 soon.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, does that suffice? 2 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 4 Moving on to page 3 of Appellate Exhibit 217D and 5 looking at the portion involving the stipulation of fact. 6 almost all of my questions have been answered, and the one 7 remaining has been by implication answered, but I want to make 8 it explicit. 9 Does this pretrial agreement permit the parties to 10 later modify or supplement the stipulation of fact, which was 11 accepted as Prosecution Exhibits 39 and 40 today, if they so 12 choose? 13 TC [MR. SHORT]: And, Your Honor, just to be clear, do you 14 mean unilaterally or by agreement? 15 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: By agreement. 16 TC [MR. SHORT]: I think by agreement we can do that, 17 absolutely. 18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you agree? 19 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor, as long as it's in 20 writing and signed by both parties. 21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 22 Following up now on the issue of sentencing evidence, 23 I will ask the direct question: Is the Accused, Trial

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Counsel, permitted to testify during the presentencing2 hearing?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, per the rules, the accused can provide a sworn or unsworn statement. If he provides a sworn statement, he would be subjected to cross-examination, which I believe has the same effect as testify. But per the rule, I think the terminology is sworn or unsworn statement. And that's why it was used in that context, Your Honor.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I'm not sure I read 1001 the same 10 way, but what I'm hearing from you is, to the extent 11 paragraph 22 and other related paragraphs would, perhaps, 12 suggest that the accused could not testify in his own defense 13 during sentencing, that is not the intent; he could provide an 14 unsworn statement or he could testify under oath subject to 15 cross-examination. And if we call that testimony, it is not 16 precluded by this pretrial agreement.

17 Is that correct, Trial Counsel?

18 TC [MR. SHORT]: That would be correct, Your Honor.

19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you agree?

20 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, that's right, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, what is the immediate
effect of the pretrial agreement, if any, on currently pending
litigation before the commission?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, most, if not all, are mooted.
 I believe defense counsel will be withdrawing certain pending
 litigation currently, but it is the effect that certain
 administrative type of things that will be the province of
 this commission should be able to go forward, including the
 health of the accused and counsel issues, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: When you say mooted, I don't hear
waived or withdrawn necessarily. I also don't see inside the
pretrial agreement or its supplement any requirement for
waiver.

Am I right to understand that reply back that, in practice, we expect many of these things that were of interest to be no longer of interest, but there is no requirement for the defense counsel to make that decision?

15 TC [MR. SHORT]: That is correct, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, could you provide, please, some additional clarity on the record at this point as to how you interpret this term; but then also, in direct response to my question, what's the immediate effect on pending litigation?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: So, Your Honor, with respect to your 22 second question, the defense gave notice in AE 215M that we 23 will -- if the plea is accepted, we will move to withdraw

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 AE 207 and AE 208 immediately.

With the guilty plea, if it's accepted, we will waive
all motions related to guilt. We'll also waive motions
related to a number of the other substantive areas which are
explicitly identified in paragraph 11 of the agreement.

6 We -- we do not waive litigation relating to
7 sentencing mitigation and foundational issues like fairness
8 and impartiality of the commission.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. And to make sure that 10 everyone is in alignment here, I take those proposed or 11 intended waivers as not generosity, but also not the opposite, 12 not a requirement. So these still remain at the defense's 13 option to litigate against whatever other professional 14 responsibilities you have to not pursue frivolous litigation 15 when things are no longer properly before a commission.

16 Am I right in understanding all of that?

17 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Additionally, would you agree with me that this is not a condition of the pretrial agreement that is not included in the appellate exhibits that I've already mentioned; this is a different thing?

22 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, this is not a condition of the
23 pretrial agreement, although the waiver provision does address

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 some of it. This is a different thing.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you referring to the waiver
regarding discovery or a different waiver inside of the
pretrial agreement?

5 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: In paragraph 11?

6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Yes.

7 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: In the middle of the paragraph?

8 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Yep.

9 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: It says, "I further agree to withdraw
10 or dismiss, without prejudice, any pending litigation
11 regarding my capture, detention, confinement conditions,
12 structure, jurisdiction, or lawfulness of the military
13 commission, or alien unlawful enemy combatant or alien
14 unlawful enemy belligerent status."

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And then we have -- past that, you
gave me examples of -- and a ready one would be 207.

17 Is 207 in that series that I'm missing? Would you say
18 any of these terms reach Appellate Exhibit 207 ----

19 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No ----

20 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: ---- or is that outside of it?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor, I think that falls

22 outside of the bounds of the waiver. It's more of a

23 practical -- a practical response to the fact that the defense

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

will no longer be seeking dismissal on that basis, given that
 we've reached a pretrial agreement.

3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I believe I understand the defense's4 position as stated.

Government, speaking on behalf of the convening authority, is there any other clarity on this point of the rimmediate effect of the pretrial agreement on currently pending litigation before the commission that you'd like to record for the record today?

10 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Following up, is the accused's
guilty plea a conditional plea within the meaning of
R.M.C. 910(a)(2), yes or no, Government?

14 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

15 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

16 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I will ask the, perhaps, obvious
follow-up: Is this then an unconditional plea outside of any
limits that have been placed within the pretrial agreement?

- 20 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.
- **21** MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
- **22** LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.
- **23** MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And then returning to the last

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

subparagraph on page 4 of Appellate Exhibit 217D addressing
 the pretrial agreement, the first question has been clarified
 in my mind per the language that was provided in the
 supplement -- excuse me, addendum available at Appellate
 Exhibit 217E. But, Government, what of the next questions?

6 Is the relief that was provided by the D.C. Circuit 7 waived as a part of this pretrial agreement or obviated and 8 set aside in a way because of the practical reality of moving 9 toward a sentencing proceeding rather than dismissal or 10 something else?

11 TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, I think it's -- it's kind of 12 a smash of all those, right? And so I think defense counsel 13 put it on the record earlier regarding the position of the 14 parties in the addendum which accurately reflects that. I do 15 think it's mostly obviated, but I believe some things are 16 waived, Your Honor, if you want to even look at it that way. 17 I think the -- you know, it's more obviated than anything 18 else, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And I want to follow up on that, because page 2 of Appellate Exhibit 217E includes the following -- and you all know it well, you drafted it, but to make sure some of the members of the public who are following along understand, too, it adds the following language:

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	I acknowledge that the ruling by the United States
2	Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in this case
3	bars neither my entry into this pretrial agreement nor the
4	military judge's acceptance of my plea and entry of findings.

I see that as a slightly different thing than the
relief that was promised by government counsel in that
appellate setting during the oral argument and that was
directed by the D.C. Circuit as being waived or obviated.
Those are different things, certainly.

10 Let me go to the defense, then, and see if they have a 11 clarification available for both myself and the trial counsel. 12 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: So, Your Honor, with respect to the 13 specific question that's put forth in 217D, the parties agree 14 that the D.C. Circuit opinion does not bar entry of the 15 agreement and Your Honor's acceptance of the agreement, and 16 that has been adduced [sic] to writing in the addendum to the agreement, as Your Honor pointed out. 17

But with respect to the second and third questions on page 4 of Your Honor's notice, one is the relief -- is that relief waived as part of this pretrial agreement, that relief being the offer of de novo reconsideration made by the government? No. That has not been waived as part of this agreement, though as Mr. Short said, the practical

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 implications of the plea will -- we will cover some of the
2 same territory. So that may be the effect, but that's not the
3 waiver.

The next question is: If so, does that waiver serve as a substitute for de novo reconsideration and thereby itself scrub the case of judicial bias to provide the accused an apparently fair proceeding? No is the answer to that question.

9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understanding that many, maybe most, 10 items that were in the queue for de novo reconsideration are, 11 perhaps, going to move out of that category, what of, as an 12 example, de novo reconsideration within the 505 process, is 13 that something that the defense would expect will continue 14 unimpacted by the acceptance of the accused's guilty plea and 15 this pretrial agreement?

16 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor, that's a good 17 example. 505 litigation -- relitigation -- excuse me, de novo 18 reconsideration of the protective orders. There's a witness 19 order in the AE 030 series. Those sorts of things are not 20 waived as part of the pretrial agreement and, therefore, are 21 subject to de novo reconsideration, notwithstanding this plea 22 agreement.

23

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, is this all sounding

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 like you expected and do you believe, speaking on behalf of
2 the convening authority, that this was the intent of the
3 parties in managing this pretrial negotiation?

TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, with regard to 505,
particularly 505(f), I think in discovery, you know, it's
949p-4, I think some of those things will be waived by the
nature of the agreement explicitly in the agreement.

8 I think that the -- you know, I think somebody put it best that, you know, it obviates the things that it obviates, 9 10 and some of the things it's not going to obviate. It's -- as 11 simple and naive as that may seem, there's obviously some 12 litigation to go down the road. There will be some things 13 that the defense has to continue to litigate. I think -- I 14 think it is exactly what I expected, Your Honor, from the 15 convening authority's perspective, yeah.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And, Trial Counsel, of course and always, until a case is no longer being tried, there is litigation. What I'm doing my level best and what I believe the rules require me to do is avoid litigation over whether or not that litigation is permitted in the wake of the pretrial agreement. So that's why we're having this conversation now. Is there any other item that you would like me to

23 surface in a conversation with the defense counsel so as to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

make it clear what they believe is the type of ongoing
 litigation that will continue to go on, or are you satisfied
 that they've clarified the point sufficiently for the
 convening authority?

5 And I will tell you before you answer, my inclination 6 and, I think, the requirement will be to resolve any ambiguity 7 in favor of the accused. So to the extent something is not 8 explicitly waived inside of this agreement, the interpretation 9 of this commission will be in the favor of ensuring litigation 10 occurs. It doesn't mean relief is provided, but it means they 11 get to have their hearing and they get to litigate the matter.

With that in mind, over to you, Trial Counsel, if13 there is anything else that you'd ask me to surface.

TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. I think that is our
belief as well, that -- that would be the nature of an
agreement of this magnitude and nature.

However, the 505(f) litigation, I think -- I'm not sure if the defense was, you know, stating that the protective orders or that -- what 505 -- there's a lot of litigation about 505, not necessarily the particulars of what's inside 505. So maybe we just need to clarify that just fairly quickly.

23 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, what I meant was the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

process, the procedures, rather than the individual 505 notice
 sort of related litigation. Obviously, a lot of that will
 become -- is OBE at this point.

4 TC [MR. SHORT]: That satisfies the government, Your5 Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I'd like to move everyone's
attention to Appendix A available at Appellate Exhibit 217A, a
very straightforward question, but one I'd like to confirm on
the record.

May the convening authority grant the accused greater
relief from any adjudged sentence beyond the relief required
by the pretrial agreement?

13 TC [MR. SHORT]: That is in within -- I'm sorry, Your
14 Honor. That's within the discretion of the convening
15 authority, absolutely.

16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, you agree?

17 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you for that. And we are very
close to a comfort break, everyone, if that's what you're
thinking. I have a couple more things, though, I did want to
cover in this block.

If the parties look at page 3 of Appellate
Exhibit 217E, there's a paragraph 9. Paragraph 9 refers back

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 to Appellate Exhibit 217, the pretrial agreement, at 2 paragraph 22. The convention in the addendum is that the 3 changes are in bold, and what's not in bold is extant before. 4 I would note that a portion of the language that is 5 not in bold in the addendum does not appear within 6 paragraph 22. There's been a change from the notion of not 7 objecting to the military judge relaxing the rules for the 8 government's case in rebuttal in Appellate Exhibit 217 to not 9 objecting to the government relaxing the rules in their case 10 in rebuttal.

11 The effect is understood. There don't appear to be 12 any ambiguities to resolve. But to the extent that this is 13 not a direct transfer over and it's not in bold, I want to 14 confirm with you, Government, it's still, on behalf of the 15 convening authority, your intent to include paragraph 9 of 16 Appellate Exhibit 217E as an amendment to paragraph 22 of 17 Appellate Exhibit 217, the pretrial agreement?

18 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, is that theaccused's intent as well?

LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. The part of the
sentence which is not bolded -- this is sort of a track
changes issue -- was in the original provision, and so,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 therefore, we did not bold it.

2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Understood. And thank you for that3 clarification.

That is the only remaining clarification that I had
involving the pretrial agreement, its appendix, and the
addendum.

With those clarifications in place, I want to turn to
you, Mr. Hadi, for a few questions involving Appendix A. This
is contained in Appellate Exhibit 217A.

As part of your offer, there is an appendix which sets 11 out additional requirements and limitations for both you and 12 the convening authority. Do you have a copy of that document 13 with you, Mr. Hadi?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you have any questions at all as
to the terms that Appendix A contains?

17 ACC [MR. HADI]: **[Speaking in English]** No, Your Honor.

18 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you understand and agree to this19 provision of your pretrial agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, have you fully
 explained the terms of Appendix A to Mr. Hadi and are you
 satisfied that he fully understands the terms of that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 document?

2 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, he understands.

3 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you also believe the terms 4 contained in Appendix A are in your client's best interest? 5 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, they are in his best interest. 6 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you agree the 7 addendum has had no impact on the terms contained in 8 Appendix A? 9 TC [MR. SHORT]: I agree, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel? 11 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: I agree.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, are you satisfied with the advice provided by your defense counsel concerning this pretrial agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Did you enter this agreement of your
 own free will?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Has anyone threatened or otherwise
 tried to force you to enter into this agreement?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you have any questions about your
 pretrial agreement?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor. 2 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you fully understand all the 3 terms of your pretrial agreement, all the terms of Appendix A, 4 all the terms of the addendum, and all of the clarifications 5 that have been given and how those things affect your case? 6 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, I ask you, are you 8 pleading guilty not just because you hope to receive a lighter 9 sentence, but because you are convinced that you are, in fact, 10 quilty under United States law of the offenses to which you 11 have pled guilty? 12 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Separate from that acknowledgment and having reviewed the evidence in this case, are you personally convinced that the government could prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, your guilt of the offenses to which you have pled guilty?

ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do counsel for both sides agree with
 the commission's interpretation of the pretrial agreement?

21 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.

22 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.

23 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And last, do counsel for both sides

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 believe that all substantial terms in the pretrial agreement 2 have been properly clarified, to the extent clarification is 3 required, and that there has been a meeting of the minds 4 between the accused and the convening authority? 5 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 6 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 8 What I have remaining, Counsel, is a closing inquiry 9 with the accused prior to potentially accepting his pleas. Is 10 15 minutes sufficient for the defense, understanding that I 11 will next turn my attention to those series of questions -- or 12 that series of questions? 13 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, it is. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Does that work for the government as 15 well? 16 TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission will be in recess for 18 15 minutes. 19 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1700, 13 June 2022.] 20 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1720, 21 13 June 2022.7 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: The commission is called to order. 22 23 The same parties are present as were present before, including

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the accused.

2 Defense Counsel, have you had enough time and 3 opportunity to discuss this entire case with the accused? 4 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor. 5 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, have you had enough time 6 and opportunity to discuss not just the pretrial agreement, 7 but your entire case with your defense counsel? 8 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Have you, in fact, consulted fully 10 with your defense counsel and received the full benefit of 11 their advice? 12 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 13 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you satisfied that your defense 14 counsel's advice has been in your best interest? 15 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 16 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you satisfied with your defense 17 counsel? 18 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. 19 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily? 20 [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor. ACC [MR. HADI]: 21 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Mr. Hadi, are you pleading guilty of 22 your own free will? 23 ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Has anyone made any threat or tried
2	to force you in any way to plead guilty?
3	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
4	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you have any questions at all as
5	to the meaning and effect of your pleas of guilty?
6	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
7	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Do you still wish to plead guilty?
8	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] Yes, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, do you have need of
10	any more time to consult with your client?
11	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.
12	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: And, Mr. Hadi, do you have any more
13	questions for your defense counsel or for the commission?
14	ACC [MR. HADI]: [Speaking in English] No, Your Honor.
15	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I find that your pleas of guilty
16	have been made voluntarily with full knowledge of their
17	meaning and effect. I further find that you have knowingly,
18	intelligently, and consciously waived your rights against
19	self-incrimination, that you've waived your rights to a trial
20	of the facts by a military commission, and you've waived your
21	rights to be confronted by the witnesses called against you in
22	the findings portion of your commission. Accordingly, your
23	pleas of guilty are provident and I accept them.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	You may request to withdraw from your guilty plea at
2	any time before sentence is announced; and if you have a good
3	reason for your request, I will grant it.
4	Mr. Hadi, this is a time that I'm going to require you
5	to stand. If the defense counsel would rise as well.
6	Mr. Hadi, in accordance with your pleas of guilty,
7	this commission finds you:
8	Of the specification of Charge II guilty except the
9	words "knew and," of the excepted words not guilty;
10	Of Charge II, guilty;
11	Of Specification 1 of Charge III, guilty;
12	Of Specification 2 of Charge III, guilty;
13	Of Specification 3 of Charge III, guilty;
14	Of Charge III, guilty;
15	Of the specification of Charge IV, guilty;
16	Of Charge IV, guilty;
17	Of the specification of Charge V, guilty except the
18	words "to commit the following substantive offenses triable by
19	military commission: Terrorism, denying quarter, using
20	treachery or perfidy, murder of protected persons, attacking
21	protected property, attacking civilians, attacking civilian
22	objects, and employing poison or similar weapons in order to
23	force the United States, its allies, and non-Muslims out of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

the Arabian Peninsula, Afghanistan, and Iraq"; substituting,
therefore, the words "to commit the following substantive
offenses triable by military commission using treachery or
perfidy, attacking protected property, and attacking civilian
objects, in order to force the United States and its allies
out of Afghanistan and Iraq," of the excepted words, not
guilty;
Of the substituted words, guilty;
Of Charge V, guilty.
You can be seated, please.
Defense counsel as well.
Trial Counsel, do you agree that was a proper
announcement of the findings with respect
TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: to the pleas entered?
TC [MR. SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Yes, Your Honor.
MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: I do intend to reserve what I
believe is Appellate Exhibit 217J, which should be next in
line. I will write out precisely what the findings of the
commission were as within the portions that were accepted and
substituted. There's a fair bit of punctuation, and to the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	extent that the parties might be looking into the future,
2	things like fliers that would be presented to members, I want
3	to make sure that you have precisely what I found here today
4	reflected in writing.
5	Any objection to that way ahead, Trial Counsel?
6	TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.
7	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?
8	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection.
9	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you have a motion
10	to raise with respect to Charge I and its specification at
11	this time?
12	TC [MR. SHORT]: Your Honor, at this time the
13	government
14	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: We don't have audio, Mr. Short.
15	TC [MR. SHORT]: At this time the government moves to
16	dismiss Charge I without prejudice to ripen into prejudice
17	upon timely and appropriate filing of the military
18	commission Form 2330, Your Honor.
19	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel, any objection?
20	LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No objection, Your Honor.
21	MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you.
22	The government motion to withdraw and dismiss Charge I
23	and its specification without prejudice but to ripen into

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 prejudice upon the timely and appropriate filing of the 2 military commission Form 2330 is granted. 3 Defense Counsel, at this time are you prepared to 4 state any motions that you are withdrawing, or is that 5 something that could be put forward in a written filing with 6 the commission? LDC [MS. HENSLER]: Your Honor, at this time we will be 7 8 withdrawing AE 207 and AE 208. 9 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. 10 Trial Counsel, were you expecting anything else at 11 this point? 12 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor. 13 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Closing up here, given the 14 agreed-upon delay of up to two years in the presentencing 15 hearing in this case, I am not going to set a litigation 16 schedule for that event at this point. The parties are free 17 to individually or jointly submit a request for a litigation 18 schedule in the future. 19 I would like to confirm that we will hold our 20 August 2022 setting to handle matters that will be identified 21 by the commission and at the invitation to you all by you all 22 as well in response to the commission. 23 I would like to also, besides confirming that we

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

should plan on being together in August 2022, signal to you
 that the settings that were put forward in Appellate
 Exhibit 197, I believe, have a couple more meetings here at
 Guantanamo.

5 And one of the questions that I will have for the 6 parties leading into the August 2022 setting is: Are all of 7 those still required in the view of the parties based on what 8 the litigation schedule will look like going forward?

9 Certainly, hold on to those dates as we have so far,
10 but that's something that you might want to start thinking
11 about as you respond back to the commission with what could be
12 taken up in August or the next time after.

Any questions about that way ahead, Trial Counsel?
TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

15 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Anything from the defense?

16 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor.

17 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Trial Counsel, do you have anything

18 else to take up before this commission goes into recess?

19 TC [MR. SHORT]: No, Your Honor.

20 MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Defense Counsel?

21 LDC [MS. HENSLER]: No, Your Honor, thank you.

MJ [Lt Col ROSENOW]: Thank you. I'd be remiss not tonote that I appreciate the parties' flexibility in scheduling

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	this hearing today, and I hope the personal matters involving
2	defense counsel that supported moving these inquiries forward
3	are quickly and safely resolved.
4	Unless modified by an order prior to that session, the
5	commission is in recess until 0830 on 15 August 2022.
6	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1730, 13 June 2022.]
7	[END OF PAGE]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT