1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1406,
2 17 July 2018.]

3 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Please be seated. This military4 commission is called to order.

- **5** TC [CAPT KEETON]: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- **6** MJ [COL WATKINS]: Good afternoon.

7 TC [CAPT KEETON]: This commission is convened by
8 Convening Order 12-01 dated 15 February 2012. Copies of this
9 have been furnished to the military judge, counsel, and the
10 accused. It will be attached to the record of trial.

The accused and the following personnel detailed to
this commission are present: Colonel Douglas K. Watkins,
Military Judge; Captain Timothy F. Keeton, trial counsel;
Lieutenant Colonel Joy Primoli, assistant trial counsel;
Master Sergeant Taclibon and Tech Sergeant Lazzaro,
prosecution paralegals. Mr. Chuck Zelnis, deputy chief
prosecutor, is present, but not detailed to the prosecution.

For the defense we have Lieutenant Commander Jared
Hernandez, detailed defense counsel; and J. Wells Dixon,
Civilian Defense Counsel; Peter Lang, Defense Intel Analyst;
LN1 Martin, a defense paralegal. Colonel Aaron, the deputy
chief defense counsel, is present, but not detailed to the
defense.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

The members are absent. The accused is present.
 In addition, Mr. William Schneider, Major David
 Abdalla, Captain Matt Hracho are no longer detailed to this
 case for the government. Major Chris James is also detailed
 to the case as assistant trial counsel but is absent from this
 hearing. Lieutenant Colonel Retired Jackson, Ms. Katya Jestin
 and Ms. Natalie Orpett are absent from the defense.

8 All trial counsel have been detailed to this military
9 commission by the chief prosecutor. All members of the
10 prosecution are qualified under R.M.C. 502 and have previously
11 been sworn in accordance with R.M.C. 807.

No member of the prosecution has acted in any manner that might tend to disqualify us in this proceeding. The detailing document has been marked as Appellate Exhibit 003C. MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you, Captain Keeton. Are these proceedings being transmitted by closed-circuit television to CONUS in accordance with the commission's order in Appellate Exhibit 006A?

19 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor, they are.

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Khan.

21 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Good morning.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Mr. Khan, at previous sessions you haveindicated that you are fluent in English and you are

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 comfortable with going forward without an interpreter; is that
2 correct?

3 ACC [MR. KHAN]: That is correct.

4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Are you able to understand and speak5 English?

6 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir, pretty much.

7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Do you consent to these proceedings8 continuing without the service of an interpreter?

9 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you very much. Mr. Khan, you
were also previously advised about your rights to counsel, but
it has been a while and there have been several changes so I
would like to cover your rights to counsel with you again.

Pursuant to Manual for Military Commissions, you are
represented by Lieutenant Commander Jared A. Hernandez, your
detailed defense counsel. Do you understand this?

17 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

18 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Detailed defense counsel is provided to19 you free of charge; do you understand this?

20 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: In addition to a detailed defense
counsel, you may be represented by qualified civilian lawyers.
A civilian lawyer would represent you at no expense to the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 government. To be qualified he or she must be a U.S. citizen 2 admitted to the practice of law in a state, district, 3 territory, or possession of the United States or a federal 4 court and not have been the subject of disgualifying action by 5 a bar or other competent authority; be eligible for a Secret 6 clearance or higher, as required; and agree in writing to 7 comply with the orders, rules, and regulations of these 8 military commissions. Do you understand this?

9

ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: The civilian lawyer who represents you, your detailed defense counsel, will continue to represent you as well, unless you specifically waive the right to be represented by detailed defense counsel. Do you understand what I've just told you?

15 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Do you have any questions about your17 right to counsel before this commission?

ACC [MR. KHAN]: I just want to say, Your Honor, over the last -- past six years, I've been -- you know, I've been struggling with this whole process and the whole military commission system is pretty stagnant. I call it "cluster covfefe." And obviously that frustration builds up with my attorneys too, and I feel like they have been a little bit

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 complacent and relaxing at time.

For their defense, you know, the system is so, as I
said, stagnant, and so I just -- at times I feel like there
could be more, like, how you guys say, adroit Philadelphia
lawyers, you know. Other than that, I -- I am -- I am a
little frustrated, but I am not, like, to the point where I
have to relieve them from their duties.
As of right now, I still -- I'm really hoping that

9 they will be more advocate for me and work with the
10 prosecutors and achieve -- especially I -- I prefer an
11 amicable milieu and work together. So far that's not the
12 atmosphere that I am in right now. I hear a lot of ominous
13 language from prosecutors all the time, so I don't know where
14 I am standing. I would rather like stand on, like,
15 La Tierra Firme, so -- I think I am rambling.

Yes, I am satisfied for right now with my attorney, but I don't know how that's going to process -- process work in future. But I will highly recommend my attorney to work hard and try to work with the prosecutor and resolve this issue. Does that make any sense, Your Honor?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Mr. Khan, thank you very much for that.
I understand your frustration. I am sure it's shared by
others. And since you do have a pretrial agreement, I think

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 all of the parties -- and I have conferenced with the
2 attorneys for both sides -- I think all of the parties are
3 working very diligently to get this resolved to everyone's
4 satisfaction.

But I will assure you of this: I'm going to do my
best to make sure that you're treated fairly and that this is
resolved as quickly as possible while protecting your rights,
okay?

9 ACC [MR. KHAN]: That's all I am asking. I just want to 10 make sure that some of -- the prosecutor is not berefting me 11 of my rights; they are given to me, like the witness and all 12 that, if they want to go that route. I really appreciate it 13 if you just allow me to have a due process, as transparent as 14 possible, sir. Thank you.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I will do my best to work towards that.
Now, any other complaints you have about the system, work
through your counsel, and they can bring it to my attention
through motions and things like that. But I am working
towards your goals of not being frustrated and getting this
resolved.

I will ask you this again, just so it is clear on the
 record. I explained your rights to counsel to you. Did you
 understand all those rights?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir. Yeah. I prefer these lawyers2 for right now.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Right. Well, based on what you told me
today and based on your prior representations, is it your
desire to be represented by Lieutenant Commander Hernandez,
Ms. Jestin, Mr. Dixon, and Ms. Orpett?

7 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, all of these guys. And plus Jon
8 Jackson, that we have a motion coming and ----

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. And by those people alone? Just10 those -- that group of people?

11 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

12 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay.

ACC [MR. KHAN]: And plus one more lawyer that we areexpecting, Jon Jackson, right?

15 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Khan is16 referring to the pending motion.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Right. Okay. So I listed all those people, and you added Retired Colonel Jackson, and he is the subject of litigation in this hearing. And that team that I listed and that you added to, is that who you want to be represented by?

22 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir. Most definitely.

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. And Lieutenant Commander

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Hernandez, this is your first time on the record, correct?
 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor.

3 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Could you please announce your
4 detailing qualifications and whether you have been previously
5 sworn?

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon.
I am Lieutenant Commander Jared Hernandez, JAG Corps, United
States Navy. I have been detailed to the military commissions
by the Chief Defense Counsel of the Military Commissions
Defense Organization. My detailing letter is Appellate
Exhibit 007D, as in Delta.

I am qualified and certified under Article 27(b) and sworn under Article 42(a). I am qualified under Rule for Military Commission 502 and 503. I have not been previously sworn pursuant to Rule 807, and I have not acted in any way that would tend to disqualify me from these proceedings. I have read all relevant protective orders and signed all relevant memorandums of understanding, sir.

19 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. Please raise your right20 hand.

21 [Lieutenant Commander Hernandez was sworn.]

22 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. Please be seated.

23

I am in receipt of Appellate Exhibits 009B and 009C

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	requesting that I authorize the absence of Ms. Orpett and
2	Ms. Jestin from this hearing. Absent objection from the
3	government, and based on the representation from those counsel
4	that Mr. Khan would consent to their absence, I granted that
5	request in Appellate Exhibit 009D.
6	Defense, did you secure consent from your client with
7	regard to Ms. Orpett's and Ms. Jestin's absence?
8	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, we did, Your Honor.
9	MJ [COL WATKINS]: And I believe those have been marked as
10	Appellate Exhibit 009E and 009F.
11	Mr. Khan
12	ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, I concur.
13	MJ [COL WATKINS]: do you have copies of these
14	documents?
15	ACC [MR. KHAN]: No, but I concur. I just signed it.
16	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. That's your signature on these
17	consent forms?
18	ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.
19	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. And you do consent to those two
20	ladies or
21	ACC [MR. KHAN]: Your Honor, I concur, thank you, and
22	consent.
23	MJ [COL WATKINS]: And them being absent for this hearing
	UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 only; is that correct?

2	ACC [MR. KHAN]: That yes, sir.
3	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. Thank you.
4	ACC [MR. KHAN]: Thank you.
5	MJ [COL WATKINS]: I believe the government announced that
6	Lieutenant Commander Suplizio is absent. Appellate
7	Exhibit 007B was a motion for Lieutenant Commander Tia
8	R. Suplizio, JAG Corps, United States Navy, to be excused and
9	withdraw from representation. That was filed with the
10	commission on 30 March 2017. That motion was granted by
11	Appellate Exhibit 007C dated 17 April 2017.

Mr. Khan, Attachment B to Lieutenant Commander Suplizio's motion to withdraw from representing you was a memorandum from Brigadier General Baker, the Chief Defense Counsel. In that memorandum, General Baker indicated that you did not object to Lieutenant Commander Suplizio's request to withdraw from your case. Is that statement accurate?

18 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Defense, am I correct that the record
does not contain a similar motion to withdraw with relation to
Lieutenant Colonel Jackson?

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: That is correct, Your Honor, for the23 reasons that we have identified and explained in Appellate

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Exhibit 024A.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Am I also correct in stating that the
first notice to this commission that Lieutenant Colonel
Jackson had purportedly been excused was in Appellate
Exhibit 016R, dated 10 May 2018, your response to the
government's request for a trial scheduling order?

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: I believe that is correct, Your Honor,8 yes.

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: And in Attachment C of Appellate
10 Exhibit 024A, Lieutenant Colonel Jackson was purportedly
11 excused on 18 October 2017. Does that sound accurate?
12 CDC [MR. DIXON]: By the chief defense counsel, yes,
13 Your Honor.

14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes.

So, Mr. Khan, in Attachment C and Attachment C of
Attachment C in Appellate Exhibit 024A, General Baker
indicated that you did not object to Lieutenant Colonel
Jackson's request to withdraw from your case. Were those
statements accurate?

20 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Without commenting on the purported
 authority of the chief defense counsel to unilaterally excuse
 counsel who have made an appearance before the commission, it

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 is in direct contravention of Rules for Court 4.2.a.(3) and
2 4.4.b, and in contravention of the prior course of conduct of
3 the parties to this commission. I find that based on Colonel
4 Jackson's retirement from active duty, in reliance upon that
5 purported excusal and with the assent of the accused, the
6 cause does exist for Lieutenant Colonel Jackson's excusal from
7 further representation of the accused.

Accordingly, I excuse Lieutenant Colonel Jackson,
notwithstanding the defense's failure to comply with Rules of
Court 4.2.a.(3) and 4.4.b. I will also note that defense has
asserted that this excusal is as detailed counsel and they
have reserved the right to move to have him appointed, which
is the subject of litigation later this afternoon. All right.

Mr. Khan, we've kind of resolved all the prior lawyers and the present lawyers in your case. In the event that counsel might disagree on a matter concerning your representation, I need you to designate the lead defense counsel who will speak for you and the defense team. Whom do you designate as lead counsel?

20 ACC [MR. KHAN]: I'm designating Mr. Wells Dixon.

21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm sorry?

22 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Mr. Wells Dixon.

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Mr. Dixon. Thank you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Do counsel for the defense understand and agree with 2 that designation? 3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor. 4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: And Colonel Hernandez? 5 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. 6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Lieutenant Commander, I'm sorry. 7 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Not at all, sir. Yes, sir. 8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. 9 The commission also notes that the following person 10 present at the last session is no longer present, Colonel Tara 11 Osborn, Military Judge, who I have replaced. 12 Trial Counsel, can you address the swearing of the 13 court reporter and court security officer? 14 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, the court reporter has 15 previously been sworn and the security officer as well, I 16 believe, has previously been sworn. 17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Both detailed to this military 18 commission? 19 TC [CAPT KEETON]: They are, Your Honor. 20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. I have been detailed to 21 this case by the Chief Judge of the Military Commissions Trial 22 Judiciary pursuant to R.M.C. 503. Appellate Exhibit 001C is 23 my detailing memorandum dated 26 April 2018.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	I am certified and qualified in accordance with
2	Articles 26(b) and (c) of the Uniform Code of Military
3	Justice, as well as R.M.C. 502 and 503; and I've been
4	previously sworn under Article 42(a) of the Uniform Code of
5	Military Justice and R.M.C. 807.
6	All right. I will now provide the parties an
7	opportunity for voir dire. I'm not aware of any grounds for
8	challenge against me. I have previously provided counsel for
9	both sides a summarized biography that has been marked as
10	Appellate Exhibit 001D.
11	Do counsel for either side desire to question or
12	challenge me?
13	TC [CAPT KEETON]: The government does not, Your Honor.
14	MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Defense?
15	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, we do, Your Honor.
16	MJ [COL WATKINS]: You may proceed.
17	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
18	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Good afternoon.
19	CDC [MR. DIXON]: The defense has received a copy of your
20	biography marked as Appellate Exhibit 001D. I want to ask you
21	some questions about that and some related questions about
22	your background. Before I do that, I will begin by asking
23	whether you know anyone involved in this case.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I have been involved in a prior 2 commission with Captain Keeton. Are you talking about counsel 3 or witnesses or ----4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Counsel, Your Honor. 5 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I know Captain Keeton from a prior 6 commission. 7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Is that the <u>al Darbi</u> case? 8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes, it is. 9 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And have you spoken with Captain 10 Keeton or anyone on the prosecution team about this case? 11 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I have not, except in R.M.C. 802 12 conferences. 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And have you had or are you aware 14 of any ex parte communications between the trial judiciary and 15 the prosecution in this case? 16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not. I'm not aware of that 17 occurring at all. CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. Your Honor, from the 802 18 19 conference, it's my understanding that your legal advisor was 20 a direct supervisor of one of the prosecutors detailed to this 21 case during a deployment overseas in 2014; is that correct? 22 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That's what's been represented. I 23 don't have independent information of that. But my legal

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 advisor did inform me of that, and then my understanding, the2 government informed you of that as well.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And could Your Honor explain for
4 the defense what the legal advisor's role is with respect to
5 this commission?

6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. There are several legal advisors 7 in trial judiciary, and the person we are speaking about now 8 is assigned to a few commissions cases, not just to this one. 9 Their function is to provide research capability and legal 10 advice to the military judge on a commission, much like a law 11 clerk would for a federal district court judge. And then a 12 big part of their job is to provide logistical and planning 13 assistance for the judiciary.

14 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor, in terms of providing
15 legal advice, would that include recommended rulings on
16 disputed motions or issues before the commission in this case?

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes.

18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor, did -- your legal
19 advisor, as I understand it, served on a deployment overseas.
20 Did that deployment involve detainee operations, to your
21 knowledge?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't have personal knowledge, but I
believe that's correct.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Can you -- do you have any further 2 information or any further idea of what sort of detainee 3 operations this individual was involved in? 4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, I can't say. I'm positive it had to do with detainee operations, and that's the extent of any 5 6 knowledge I have about that. 7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And, Your Honor, was this in 8 Afghanistan? 9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And do you know where in Afghanistan? 11 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 12 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Was this at Bagram? 13 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't know. 14 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, do you believe that the fact 15 that your legal advisor served overseas, was involved in 16 detainee operations, and directly supervised one of the 17 prosecutors assigned to this case creates a conflict of 18 interest or a potential conflict of interest? 19 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I do not believe it creates an actual 20 conflict of interest because I'm not aware of any effect any 21 of that would have on my legal advisor's role to me; and I'm 22 not aware of any ex parte communications with the government, 23 and I would be shocked if they occurred. Knowing my legal

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

advisor, he's going to perform his functions with the utmost
 integrity and character; that's my personal belief.

I am also -- a potential conflict of interest? I can
see why you're asking that, but knowing my legal advisor, I
don't think that's possible. I do not know the assistant
trial counsel who, I believe, worked under my legal advisor.

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, our questions are designed 8 to get at this issue specifically. Detainee operations in 9 Afghanistan, as I understand it, frequently involved actual or 10 suspected members of al Qaeda, and depending upon what those 11 detainee operations were or what they might have involved or 12 which agencies may have been involved, the concern is that may 13 create an actual or potential conflict of interest with 14 respect to Mr. Khan, who has pled guilty to a number of 15 offenses, including conspiracy, with respect to al Qaeda.

So my question for you is: In light of those
concerns, is there a remedy or a solution, other than
Your Honor's disqualification from this case, that would
address that concern?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Are you asking for an advisory opinion?
CDC [MR. DIXON]: I am asking for your opinion,
Your Honor. You've indicated, I think, that there may be a
potential or an apparent conflict, potentially, if I

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 understood Your Honor correctly. What I am asking you is if2 you see a solution to that.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I guess I need to clarify my comment.
There's always potential for conflict in any case, given the
individuals participating in it, but that's completely
theoretical. And that's the way I intended that remark to be
taken.

8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay.

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not personally aware of any
10 conflict or potential conflict in this case, given the
11 relationship of the legal advisor and the assistant trial
12 counsel.

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: All right. Your Honor, I have one more
14 question with respect to this topic and then I will move on.
15 My question is: Did your legal advisor work for the Central
16 Intelligence Agency?

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I have no knowledge of that.

18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. Moving on, prior to being19 detailed to this case ----

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Are you moving to a different topic?
21 CDC [MR. DIXON]: To a different topic.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I will state that in the 802 -- I'm
getting ahead of myself a little bit -- but since this was

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	just disclosed to the defense, I advised the defense that if a
2	challenge was made today and denied, that I would allow
3	further questioning at a later date once you've had an
4	opportunity to develop more information about this issue.
5	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	Prior to being detailed to this case, had Your Honor
7	ever heard of the Center for Constitutional Rights or
8	Jenner & Block, a law firm?
9	MJ [COL WATKINS]: What was the last thing?
10	CDC [MR. DIXON]: The law firm Jenner & Block.
11	MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, sir.
12	CDC [MR. DIXON]: And prior
13	MJ [COL WATKINS]: The Center for Constitutional Rights
14	sounds vaguely familiar, but I don't know where I heard that
15	term.
16	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And prior to being detailed in
17	this case, had you ever heard of Mr. Khan?
18	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. In discussions with the chief
19	trial judge about detailing decisions, I'm sure the name
20	"Khan" came up, but I didn't have any substantive discussions
21	about him.
22	CDC [MR. DIXON]: And since you've been detailed to this
23	case, have you done any research or background reading or

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 investigation concerning Mr. Khan? 2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Only the appellate exhibits that have 3 been filed in the case. 4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Have you read any news reports about 5 Mr. Khan or Googled his name? 6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And are you otherwise aware that 8 Mr. Khan is from Baltimore, Maryland? 9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I believe I read that in a pleading. 10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And are you otherwise aware that 11 Mr. Khan has legal status in the United States? 12 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And are you aware that Mr. Khan was held 14 by the Central Intelligence Agency prior to his arrival at 15 Guantanamo in September 2006? 16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I believe there was a reference to that 17 in a pleading. That would be my only knowledge. 18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Have you ever litigated or presided over 19 a case in which the Central Intelligence Agency has been 20 involved? 21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: As a defense counsel, I super- -- as a 22 senior defense counsel, I supervised defense counsel involved 23 in an Article 32 investigation with witnesses -- well, I can't

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 even speak intelligently to that because that Article 32 2 investigation was closed, but I believe there may have been 3 some involvement by that agency, but I wasn't present in the 4 closed sessions. 5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did that agency control that 6 investigation in any way? 7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not that I'm aware. 8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And does the CIA have any control or 9 influence over Your Honor or these proceedings? 10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not at all. 11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Are you aware that while Mr. Khan was in 12 CIA custody that he was tortured? 13 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not personally aware of that. 14 You've alleged it in pleadings, I believe. 15 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Has Your Honor read the Senate 16 Intelligence Committee's study of the CIA program? 17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Have you read the executive summary to 19 that that was publicly disclosed in 2014? 20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 21 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Has Your Honor ever been involved in a 22 case, in any fashion, where the accused was beaten or sleep 23 deprived or stripped naked, waterboarded, or sexually

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 assaulted?

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not that I'm aware of.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: In your opinion, do those things that I
4 have described individually, or in combination, constitute
5 torture under U.S. law?

6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: My opinion?

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: In your opinion.

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not sure that that matters. U.S.9 law is U.S. law.

10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Well, if you have a preset notion about
11 whether those things, individually or in combination,

12 constitute torture, that could potentially be an issue in this13 case.

14 Do you have a preset notion about whether that15 constitutes torture or not?

16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I haven't thought about it.

17 CDC [MR. DIXON]: As a lawyer, Your Honor, did you ever18 represent a cooperating witness?

19 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes.

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: A cooperating witness who cooperated
21 with the United States Government for a period of more than
22 seven years?

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And as a judge, have you presided over a 2 case involving a cooperating witness, someone who has 3 cooperated over a period of many years? 4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Which case was that? MJ [COL WATKINS]: Al Darbi. 6 7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Are you aware that Mr. Khan's case and 8 Mr. Darbi's case are different in many important respects? 9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I can assume that. 10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Are you aware that Mr. Khan intends to 11 call experts and witnesses pursuant to the terms of his 12 pretrial agreement that may include CIA officials by name, 13 including those individuals who authorized or carried out his 14 torture? 15 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not aware of that. I'm aware of 16 the PTA provisions. 17 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Would you have any hesitation in 18 ordering a witness to appear and testify in this case based on 19 his or her military rank or government position? 20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, that depends. If there is the 21 requisite showings for them to appear, I would not hesitate. 22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And if the requisite showings were made 23 for an individual to appear, would you have any hesitation to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 require a senior CIA official or officials to appear and 2 testify even if those officials had or once had covert or 3 covered status? 4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, have you ever worked for or 6 with the CIA? 7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, have you ever deployed 9 overseas to Iraq or Afghanistan? 10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And where did you deploy? 12 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Taji, Iraq. 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And when was that? 14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was there for the calendar year of 15 2006. I believe I arrived in Kuwait in 2000 -- December 2005 16 and probably left Kuwait in January of 2007. 17 CDC [MR. DIXON]: When you were deployed to Iraq, were you 18 involved at all in detainee operations? 19 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And what was that involvement? 21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was a brigade judge advocate, which 22 is the primary legal advisor to a brigade combat team and its 23 commanders. That brigade conducted detainee operations. Μv

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

office, under my supervision, cataloged detainees and their
 statuses and then responded to a joint task force release
 directive, and I advised my commander on his ability to
 comment on those release directives to try to override them.
 CDC [MR. DIXON]: You mentioned release directives. Does
 that mean that Your Honor was involved in decisions whether to
 detain or release captured individuals?

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. No, these are persons who were in 9 detention status and then -- I'm sorry, I can't remember the 10 name of the joint task force, but it would state that on such 11 and such date the following detainees were going to be 12 released, and it provided the capturing units to comment on 13 that release and to try to change that from happening.

14 CDC [MR. DIXON]: So did you have a role in determining
15 whether or not an individual who was in detention would be
16 released?

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: An advice role.

18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: An advisory role? And when you provided
19 advice concerning that issue, did you consider evidence or
20 intelligence from the Central Intelligence Agency?

21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not that I'm aware of.

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you ever help military commanders23 shape their detention packages in order to avoid releasing an

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 individual?

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm not sure what you're implying3 there.

4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you ever help commanders frame their 5 arguments or their presentation into evidence in such a way as 6 to ensure that the detainee was not released from custody?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I hesitate, because I'm trying to even
remember those times. I believe -- I'm trying to remember
what would be packaged in a response from the brigade
commander. Our fires -- fire and effects officer may have put
together an intelligence package for that response.

12 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Would that package have contained13 information from the CIA or other intelligence agencies?

14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not that I recall. This was locally15 procured intelligence.

16 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you ever encounter ----

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: The JTF would have had national-level18 intelligence.

19 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you ever encounter ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I can't honestly answer that. I really
don't remember. I remember my role as routing those through
the levels of command to the brigade commander. I certainly
didn't have discussions with any of those commanders about

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 intelligence, that was not my role, but I really can't recall2 any of the details of that.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you ever encounter a situation where
4 you became aware that a detainee in custody had been tortured?
5 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes, by Iragi officials.

6 CDC [MR. DIXON]: What about by U.S. officials?

7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't recall any.

8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. Your Honor, do you recall ----

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Are you speaking of my time in Iraq?

10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Correct.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't know of any instance of torture
by U.S. personnel. I went to several Iraqi detention
facilities and -- because of reports of abuse, and I also
received reports of abuse in my role as a brigade judge
advocate.

16 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Were you able to substantiate those17 reports of abuse?

18 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That abuse occurred, absolutely.

19 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you, Your Honor.

Do you recall doing an interview with the Operational
 Leadership Experience Project of the Combat Studies Institute
 of Fort Leavenworth?

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I do. I cannot remember a single word

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 I said during that interview, but I recall that.

2 CDC [MR. DIXON]: There are two sentences from that3 interview I want to draw to Your Honor's attention.

4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right.

5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Do you recall saying, and I quote, that 6 it's much easier to get emotionally attached to a detention 7 when you own the battle space, end quote? And the second 8 sentence is, detention operations, quote, got pretty emotional 9 with battalion commanders and their S2s, end quote. Do you 10 remember those statements?

11 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not specifically, but I don't doubt12 their accuracy.

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: What did you mean by that? Talking14 about emotional attachment, what does that mean?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, first of all, I did not get emotionally attached. I was speaking of the troops on the ground and their commanders who were in a very, very difficult fight and how they could become emotionally invested in the detention operations, and that's just the human experience.

20 My brigade had an inordinate amount of KIAs, so the 21 troops on the ground would become emotionally invested in 22 detention operations. I tried to be the honest broker in 23 advising those commanders, and enforce the rule of law. I was

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 not emotionally invested in detention operations. I found it
2 to take up a lot of my time and be very technical and was not
3 emotionally invested at all.

4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor mentioned KIAs. I want to
5 ask you one question about that. Did you investigate the
6 deaths of U.S. servicemembers?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I managed Army Regulation 15-6
investigations. In the Navy, that would be the equivalent of
a JAGMAN, I believe, but they are command level
investigations. I would be involved in the appointment
process, which was by a roster, generally speaking.

Each KIA was investigated by a field grade officer,
and I ensured that it was done in a timely manner and that the
investigating officer had legal advice and support, but I did
not conduct any of the investigations.

16 Once it was complete, I processed it through chain of 17 command when required for recommendations and briefed the 18 brigade commander for decision or for transmittal to a higher 19 commander; and, actually, all the KIAs were to a higher 20 command.

CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor, these servicemembers
who were killed, were they killed in -- by actual or suspected
members of al Qaeda?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I would assume so. I don't remember2 any details.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And given your experience with detention
4 operations generally and with those investigations
5 specifically, does that impact or shape in any way your views
6 of Mr. Khan or the way in which you would approach this
7 military commission case?

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Absolutely not. I take my role as a
9 military judge very seriously, and I am going to ensure, like
10 I told Mr. Khan, that he is going to get a fair commission,
11 which includes a fair judge.

12 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Moving on from this, Your Honor, are you 13 aware that Mr. Khan has pled guilty to offenses that include 14 involvement in the bombing of the JW Marriott Hotel in 15 Indonesia in 2003?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: To be honest, I haven't studied the
offenses to which he has pled guilty. That sounds vaguely
familiar. I have been more concerned, leading up to this
session, with procedural issues.

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, have you ever witnessed a
 21 terrorist attack, such as a bombing, or the immediate
 22 aftermath of such an attack?

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes, the -- a long time ago in the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

late '80s I was the NCOIC of security aboard the duty train
 that traveled each night from Berlin to Frankfurt, and a
 German terrorist organization had detonated an explosive
 device on our track that caused some damage, very little
 damage, but it disrupted operations, so I dealt with securing
 the passengers and to the extent there was any aftermath.

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor ----

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: And this occurred in West Germany, not
 9 in East Germany, so the German Polizei handled all of the
 10 investigation.

11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor ----

12 MJ [COL WATKINS]: There is one more, but go ahead.

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did you indicate who committed that14 terrorist act? I am not sure I heard, I'm sorry.

15 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I believe it was Baader-Meinhof.

16 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Baader-Meinhof.

When you were stationed in Berlin, were you aware of
another terrorist bombing in April of -- I believe it was 1986
of a discotheque in West Berlin?

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: La Belle. I was the -- I believe I was
21 the second MP to respond to that.

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Can you describe what happened in that23 attack?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, the bomb detonated 45 to 60
 seconds before I arrived, so I didn't witness it. My
 understanding is that Libyan nationalists planted a bomb under
 a raised part of the dance floor, and my understanding is that
 because of significant overcrowding, that most of the blast
 was absorbed by a relatively small group of people because
 they were so jam-packed.

And a Turkish woman was killed immediately, and a
servicemember was killed. Another one died quite a while
later from complications. When I arrived, the club had pretty
much emptied out, but there were a lot of people running
around with eardrum injuries, missing clothing, blood,
confusion, drunkenness.

14 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, that was -- am I correct
15 that that was an attack that targeted U.S. service personnel
16 in Berlin?

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. Yes.

18 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And that two U.S. service personnel19 died, as you indicated?

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes; one immediately, and one after
21 some amputations because of infections.

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And more than 70 U.S. service personnel23 were injured in that bombing; is that correct?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That sounds right.

2 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, how did that experience3 impact you?

4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, I've pretty much put it out of my5 mind until you raised it again today.

6 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Did it have any impact on your approach
7 to Mr. Khan or your approach to this case, given that there
8 are serious allegations of terrorist bombing in this case?

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, absolutely not. I'm -- I'm
10 committed to my role in this case, and I will not let any
11 personal feelings, to the extent I have any about that, affect
12 my impartiality or fairness.

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, have you -- as a consequence
14 of your experience in Berlin, have you been consulted by
15 others in the military or others in the government as an
16 expert on terrorism or as someone who is knowledgeable about
17 terrorism from the time prior to 9/11?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. I was a staff sergeant with -- I
can't even remember if I had a security clearance. I had an
investigation for one, but no, I was very -- I was a patrolman
when La Belle happened.

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you. And did you receive any23 awards or decorations or anything like that for your

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 involvement in the response to the La Belle bombing?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: It may have played a role, but my award
was an end-of-tour award for a meritorious service medal for
three years in Berlin.

5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And I see you also -- from your
6 biography, you also were awarded a Bronze Star. Can you
7 explain what that was for?

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That was not for valor and not for an
9 individual action, but for the performance of my duties over
10 the course of the Europe deployment.

11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you. Moving on to my final series 12 of questions, it looks from your biography as if you have been 13 in the military for quite a number of years. Have you had a 14 break in service at any point?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was enlisted on active duty from 1981
to 1988. I was in the Texas Army National Guard from 1989 to
17 1995. I received a direct commission back onto active duty I
think in December of '95, but I actually reported in January
of '96.

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And given your length of service, are21 you currently eligible for retirement?

22 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes.

23 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And are you contemplating retirement?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Not in the near term, but within
probably two -- at least two years from now.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, if this case were to
4 continue for another two years or so, are you committed to
5 seeing the case through to its conclusion?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I will obey the orders of my detailing
from the chief trial judge, but -- well, I'll tell you, I'm
committed to resolving this well before two years are up,
because I believe that's what Mr. Khan wants and that's in his
best interests.

11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And if the situation should change and 12 Mr. Khan should seek to delay his sentencing for some reason, 13 you know, hypothetically with the content -- with the consent 14 of the government, would that -- would you continue to preside 15 over this case?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I can't make that promise, and I don't
know what the future holds in two years for me or this
commission or my health or whatever. I can't promise you
that. I want to resolve this commission.

CDC [MR. DIXON]: What I'm -- what I'm interested in,
 Your Honor, is whether Your Honor would approach this case,
 potentially speed this case or make decisions in this
 case ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Oh, I see what you're saying.

2 CDC [MR. DIXON]: ---- in order to resolve it prior to
3 your retirement date.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, absolutely not. If that's not the
right thing to do, I am not going to do it for personal
reasons.

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And, Your Honor, have you explored or
8 applied for any post-retirement jobs, specifically jobs with
9 the United States Government or in government-related service?
10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was hoping to avoid any
11 post-retirement employment, but we'll see how that goes. No,
12 I have not contemplated it or looked into it.

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Okay. And do you have any concern, 14 Your Honor, that -- that if you did decide at some point that 15 you wanted to apply for such a position, government-related 16 position, that a ruling in a case like this in favor of 17 Mr. Khan that maybe goes against the government, that that 18 would have any adverse effect on your post-retirement job 19 opportunities?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I've never let my personal wants or
desires or needs interfere in my role as a judge, and I
specifically -- I think about that all the time and I make
sure it doesn't happen.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

CDC [MR. DIXON]: Just a few more questions, Your Honor.
 Do you have any social media accounts open to the
 public?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I am -- I have a Facebook account.
CDC [MR. DIXON]: And do you post or comment on matters
related to this case or Guantanamo or terrorism or the Central
Intelligence Agency?

8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No.

9 CDC [MR. DIXON]: I want to ask you a couple of final
10 questions about an issue that underlies this case, certainly,
11 and underlies all of Guantanamo, and has since the prison
12 opened in January of 2002, and that is Islam.

My question for you is: How do you feel about Islam? MJ [COL WATKINS]: I think it's been maligned. I think it's legitimate and it's historical. But beyond that, I have no strong feelings about it. I'm not -- I'm a Baptist. I'm obviously not a Muslim. But I have no strong feelings about it. I certainly don't think it should be condemned or denigrated to the extent it has been.

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I respect it. There are tenets of it
that I respect, but I don't study it or have strong feelings
about it.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor ----

2 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Hello? Can I ask a couple of questions,
3 please?

4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, Mr. Khan would like to ask a5 question.

6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Why don't you consult with him and then7 we'll see.

8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: All right.

9 [Mr. Dixon conferred with Mr. Khan.]

10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Mr. Dixon, go ahead.

11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: We're ready to proceed, Your Honor.12 Thank you for your indulgence.

We were talking about Islam and your respect for
Islam. My question for you now is: Do you think it is lawful
for the United States of America to operate a prison at
Guantanamo that is in practice, if not in design, exclusively
for Muslims?

18 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I did not know that was the case.19 I'm ----

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor ----

21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I am not privy to all of the detainees22 at GTMO and what their religious affiliations are.

23 CDC [MR. DIXON]: You are not aware that every individual

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 detained at Guantanamo since it opened is Muslim and only 2 Muslim detainees have been held here? 3 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was not aware of that. I mean, it 4 doesn't surprise me, but I wasn't aware of it. 5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Are you aware that the only individuals 6 charged by military commission are Muslim? 7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Do you think that's lawful or 9 appropriate? 10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: It's very dependent on the 11 circumstances of the detentions. I'm not privy to that 12 information. 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Now, Your Honor, did you volunteer for 14 service in the military commissions? 15 MJ [COL WATKINS]: No. 16 CDC [MR. DIXON]: How did your detailing to the military 17 commissions come about? 18 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I was approached by the chief trial 19 judge -- I'm not sure what vetting occurred prior to that --20 asked if I would consider doing it. I said I would and Army 21 TJAG nominated me. 22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: And one more question, Your Honor, 23 concerning the military commissions. Having been through the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 <u>al Darbi</u> case and having been a judge for a number of years
2 and a lawyer a number of years prior to that, do you think
3 it's possible for someone like Mr. Khan to make up for the
4 things that he did nearly 20 years ago? I mean, is the
5 purpose of this commission to simply punish Mr. Khan or is it
6 to try to do some good?

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I think Mr. Darbi's case is an
excellent example of that, where the government joined in the
defense recommendation for the lightest sentence allowable by
the pretrial agreement. I absolutely believe this commission
is not designed to just punish offenses but to sentence
appropriately the accused in light of his offenses ----

13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Do you think that ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: ---- in light of his background and
rehabilitative potential and what are the needs of society and
all of the legitimate sentencing principles that are discussed
in military courts-martial.

I'm committed to that philosophy, and there's been discussion about moving away from that philosophy, and I'm absolutely committed to all of the sentencing principles involved in military courts-martial. So I bring that philosophy with me to the commissions, and I think any panel members that are senior would probably share that philosophy.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Do you think that a decision to 2 cooperate, a decision by Mr. Khan to cooperate, is a 3 significant factor among those principles that you described 4 and adhere to in terms of rehabilitation and ----5 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Mitigation? 6 CDC [MR. DIXON]: ---- mitigation, extenuation. 7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Absolutely. Absolutely. 8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you, Your Honor. I would request 9 a moment to confer with Mr. Khan and co-counsel before ----10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Oh, absolutely. We will do a comfort 11 recess, and if you would like to consult with Mr. Khan, is 15 12 minutes sufficient? 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That's sufficient, Government? 15 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor. 16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: The commission is in recess for 15 17 minutes. 18 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1505, 17 July 2018.] 19 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1525, 20 17 July 2018.] 21 MJ [COL WATKINS]: The commission is called to order. A11 22 parties present when the commission recessed are again 23 present.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

During the recess, I held an R.M.C. 802 session with
 counsel for both sides present. During that session, the
 government brought to my attention some concerns about support
 personnel and shift changes and just wanted me to be aware of
 that so we could plan around it and not have any unnecessary
 interruptions.

7 Defense also brought to my attention a minor
8 typographical error in one of their pleadings, and for the
9 government's benefit as well, and corrected that. It had to
10 do with quotation marks, and the error is apparent from the
11 case that is cited and it's of no major consequence.

12 Counsel, have I accurately characterized and13 summarized that 802 session?

14 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, you have, Your Honor.

15 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor.

16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Do counsel for either side have a17 challenge for cause to the military judge?

18 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, the defense cast a fairly 19 wide net during that voir dire. Nevertheless, the government 20 sees no grounds under R.M.C. 902 for the judge to be 21 disqualified, particularly in light of the fact that the 22 accused has pled guilty to his crimes and he will be sentenced 23 by a military commission, a commission which is further

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 bounded by a pretrial agreement.

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Defense?

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, we -- with respect, we do 4 have one concern and one objection, but that is in relation to 5 Your Honor's legal advisor. Although we certainly do not wish 6 to impugn his integrity or character in any way, we believe 7 that -- we are concerned about an actual or potential conflict 8 of interest, and we don't believe at this particular point in 9 time that we have sufficient information to satisfy our 10 concerns specifically about his involvement in Afghanistan 11 with detainee operations.

Putting that objection and request for that
individual to not participate in this case -- putting that
aside, based on Your Honor's responses to the questions I have
asked today, the defense does not object or seek Your Honor's
disgualification from this case.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Your objection is noted.
And as I previously stated, given that this disclosure about
this relationship was extremely recent -- is that correct?
CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Given there's no challenge for cause, I
am certainly not going to recuse myself, but I will entertain
future challenges if you deem they are appropriate based on

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 any information you can get some more fidelity on about that2 issue.

It may be that the issue becomes moot because of assignments. As I stated before, the legal advisor is not solely working on this case or for me. The legal advisors within judiciary are rotated very often, depending upon circumstances and caseload. The issue may become moot, but I will allow you to raise it at the next session if it is not moot.

10 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, you have noted our 11 objection. We do move now for this individual not to 12 participate in this case specifically for the reasons that 13 we've outlined. We don't feel we have enough information to 14 satisfy our concerns. I mean, given the allegations, the 15 facts to which Mr. Khan pled guilty, and the lack of 16 information, we object.

We do not object or seek Your Honor'sdisqualification. I want to be very clear about that.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. The reason I'm giving you
an opportunity to raise this issue at a future date -- and you
used the term "potential conflict." The law discusses actual
and apparent conflict.

23

I do not believe there's any actual conflict, and I

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 also don't believe there's any apparent conflict, and that's 2 for a couple of reasons. One is the objection presumes that 3 the assistant trial counsel, who was subordinate to the legal 4 advisor on the deployment, has sway over the legal advisor; 5 and then presumes that the legal advisor has sway over me as 6 the military judge and could improperly influence my rulings, 7 apparently, I assume, to the detriment of the accused.

8 I think a member of the public, knowing how the 9 JAG Corps in a joint environment works, would understand that 10 people very often have working relationships and prior jobs, 11 but that does not necessarily mean that there's even a 12 potential to sway the integrity or opinion of other persons 13 once they go into other jobs. And I think this is really 14 irrelevant discussion in the fact that the legal advisor was 15 the supervisor of the assistant trial counsel. If the roles 16 were reversed, there may be more concern. But as of now, I 17 don't see apparent conflict with the information that's been 18 presented to me.

So I note your objection and I overrule it and again
invite you to re-raise the issue at a future hearing if you
believe it merits re-raising or you've further developed the
information involving that relationship.

23 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: You're welcome. 2 As to a few procedural issues, do counsel for both 3 sides understand the provisions of the Manual for Military 4 Commissions concerning safeguarding and securing classified 5 information? 6 TC [CAPT KEETON]: The government does, Your Honor. 7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Defense as well. 8 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Do you understand that you must, as 9 soon as practicable, notify me of any intent to offer evidence 10 involving classified information so that I may consider the 11 need to close the proceedings? 12 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor. 13 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor. 14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: As I'm required by the Manual for 15 Military Commissions to consider the safety of witnesses and 16 others at these proceedings, do counsel for both sides 17 understand that they must notify me of any issues regarding 18 the safety of potential witnesses so that I may determine 19 appropriate ways in which testimony will be received and 20 witnesses protected? 21 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor.

22 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor.

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. I'd like to briefly cover

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	the protective orders that I am aware of. The only protective
2	orders which I'm aware of have been marked as
3	Appellate Exhibit 004A on classified discovery material;
4	Appellate Exhibit 010A, courtroom security; Appellate
5	Exhibit 010B, nondisclosure of commission panel members'
6	identities; Appellate Exhibit 010C, sealing classified CIA
7	declarations; Appellate Exhibit 015D, regarding a letter from
8	Mr. Khan to the previous military judge.
9	Have both sides seen these exhibits?
10	TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor.
11	CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor.
12	MJ [COL WATKINS]: And is either side aware of any
13	protective orders I have not previously mentioned?
14	TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, I believe the defense had
15	filed a motion and received one regarding the SSCI report. I
16	will let defense further amplify on that.
17	MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm sorry, I can't understand you.
18	TC [CAPT KEETON]: The defense had filed a motion
19	regarding a that was a preservation motion, so there was no
20	other protective order, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
21	MJ [COL WATKINS]: Do you agree, Defense?
22	CDC [MR. DIXON]: We concur.
23	MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Thank you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

I know the accused has been advised previously of his
 right to be present, but that was some time ago so I would
 like to address that again.

4 Mr. Khan, you have the right to be present during all 5 sessions of the commission. If you request to absent yourself 6 from any session, such absence must be voluntary and of your 7 own free will. Your voluntary absence from any session of the 8 commission is an unequivocal waiver of the right to be present 9 during that session. Your absence from any session may 10 negatively affect the presentation of the defense in your 11 case.

Your failure to meet with and cooperate with your defense counsel may also negatively affect the presentation of your case. Under certain circumstances, your attendance at a session can be compelled, regardless of your personal desire to not be present.

17 Regardless of your voluntary waiver to attend a
18 particular session of a commission, you have the right at any
19 time to decide to attend any subsequent session. You will be
20 informed of the time and date of each commission session prior
21 to that session to afford you the opportunity to decide
22 whether you wish to attend that session.

23

Mr. Khan, do you understand what I've explained to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 you?

2 ACC [MR. KHAN]: Yes, sir, I do.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Last night I held an R.M.C. 802
conference with counsel for both sides present. During that
session, we discussed some mechanics of putting evidence on
the motions before the commission. We also discussed the
general order of events for today's hearing.

8 Counsel informed me of their intent to go into 9 conference after the 802 conference and then advise me of any 10 new developments today. Defense also informed me of their 11 intent to meet with their client this morning to discuss the 12 excusal of Ms. Orpett and Ms. Jestin, which we've already 13 covered.

Before the beginning session today, I held another
R.M.C. 802 conference with counsel for both sides present.
During that conference, the government stated it intended to
withdraw Appellate Exhibit 016Q and enter a joint request for
a new trial scheduling order within a day with milestones to
follow within about a month.

Government, do you have a motion in that regard?
TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor. The government will
withdraw AE 016Q and will join the defense in a motion for a
trial scheduling order with a proposed sentencing date of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 1 July 2019, with milestones to follow thereafter.

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: And, Defense, based on the government's 3 withdrawal of their motion, do you withdraw 016R? 4 CDC [MR. DIXON]: We do, Your Honor. 5 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Did the government accurately 6 characterize your understanding of the future scheduling in 7 this case? 8 CDC [MR. DIXON]: They have, Your Honor. 9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Now, Government, is it your position 10 that moving the sentencing date to 1 July '19 would still be 11 in compliance with the pretrial agreement? 12 TC [CAPT KEETON]: We do, Your Honor. 13 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Defense, is that your position? 14 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor. 15 MJ [COL WATKINS]: During that 802 session, the government 16 disclosed the relationship between the assistant trial counsel 17 and a legal advisor who is a member of the trial judiciary 18 staff, which has already been discussed on the record. 19 Defense raised for my awareness a delay in posting 20 pleadings and rulings to the public court docket. The 21 government was aware of and acknowledged the problem and 22 stated that OMC was working to resolve that issue. 23 Finally, we had some discussion about Lieutenant

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Colonel Jackson's status. I believe that discussion was
 primarily about defense maintaining their motion to have him
 brought back onto the case despite their not objecting to his
 withdrawal as detailed counsel. I believe all of that can be
 more fully developed in a few minutes when we discuss
 Appellate Exhibit 025.

7 As far as the 802 sessions last night and earlier
8 today, Counsel, have I accurately characterized and summarized
9 those 802 sessions?

10 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, you have, Your Honor.

11 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Appellate Exhibit 024
instructed the parties to be prepared to address Appellate
Exhibit 016Q, the government's request for the issuance of a
trial scheduling order, along with any other motion for which
the briefing cycle has been completed. Based on what just
occurred, Appellate Exhibit 016Q and R have become moot.

18 Note that the commission is also now in receipt of
19 Appellate Exhibit 025, a defense motion to compel/expedite
20 resources, for which the briefing cycle is complete, so we'll
21 take that up today.

Government, are there any additional matters you wantthe commission to consider during this hearing session?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [CAPT KEETON]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Defense, are there any additional
matters you want the commission to consider during this
hearing session?

5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: No, Your Honor.

6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right.

7 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, if I may. Sorry. One of
8 the -- we would -- Your Honor had raised the issue of the
9 attachments to the motions as evidence. We would like to take
10 that up.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yeah, I'll cover that. My question
was: Was there any issues outside of Appellate Exhibit -- any
issues outside of the motion that's before the court?

14 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: No, Your Honor, just the15 attachments to the motion, AE 025.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I got that. We're going to take up
that motion in a minute. Are there any other matters that you
want the commission to consider during this session?

19 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: No, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. For the record, the motion
is Appellate Exhibit 025; 025A is the government response, and
025B is the defense reply.

23

Now, to defense's concern, the pleadings contain

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 enclosures. Do the parties consent to the commission 2 considering the enclosures to the pleadings in deciding this 3 motion? 4 TC [CAPT KEETON]: The government does, Your Honor. 5 CDC [MR. DIXON]: The defense does, Your Honor. 6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Defense, as to Appellate 7 Exhibit 025, you have the burden of proof and persuasion. Do 8 you have any further evidence on this motion other than the 9 enclosures? 10 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: No, Your Honor. 11 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Government, do you have any further 12 evidence? 13 TC [CAPT KEETON]: No, Your Honor. 14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Counsel, I have read the 15 pleadings. Defense, do you wish to be further heard? 16 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: You may proceed. 18 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: May it please the court. Good 19 afternoon, Your Honor. Lieutenant Commander Jared Hernandez, 20 JAG Corps, United States Navy. I, along with Mr. Wells Dixon, 21 represent Guantanamo's only high-value cooperator, Mr. Majid 22 Khan.

23 Your Honor, before I begin argument, Mr. Khan would

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

like me to emphasize that he is committed to continuing to
 work with the government. He has tried to work with the
 government at every stage in this proceeding and will continue
 to do so. Unfortunately, we were not able to come to an
 accord on this particular issue.

6 Your Honor, the court should grant the defense's 7 requested relief for three reasons: One, the resources 8 requested are required for an adequate defense; two, the 9 resources requested are mandated by statute, already approved 10 by the convening authority or uncontested by the government; 11 and three, expediting these resources is in the interest of 12 justice and would avoid further delay.

Your Honor, I will focus my remarks on what appears
to be the only issue in dispute, that of the impending
severance of Mr. Khan's sole statutorily required detailed
defense counsel.

Your Honor, there is no dispute that in accordance
with over half a century of military case law, it is legal
error to sever an attorney-client relationship based on
financial considerations. There is also no dispute that
severing Mr. Khan's attorney-client relationship at this
critical stage in litigation would materially prejudice the
substantial rights of the accused.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 The government's only contention is that its 2 invitation to detailed defense counsel to apply for definite 3 recall orders moots this motion. It does not, Your Honor. 4 As I have indicated in paragraph 21 of my sworn 5 declaration to this court of 13 July, I do not intend to apply for definite recall orders from the United States Navy. Thus, 6 7 unless the military judge intervenes, the government will 8 unlawfully de facto sever Mr. Khan's attorney-client 9 relationship against his objection on or about 28 September. 10 This will result in the following prejudice, 11 Your Honor: Delay; as it stands now, up to a year of 12 additional delay in this case. The inherent -- number two, 13 the inherent prejudice of severing an attorney-client 14 relationship that is already existing and developed; three, a 15 potentially significant stepdown in experience of Mr. Khan's 16 detailed defense counsel. 17 Mr. Khan will have gone from a detailed defense 18 counsel with over 20 years of military justice experience in 19 Lieutenant Colonel Jackson to a detailed defense counsel with 20 over 10 years of military justice experience to potentially,

21 given the detailing of the Military Commissions Defense
22 Organization, to a detailed defense counsel with just over two
23 years of military experience, period, Your Honor.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Who is that?

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, that is not included in
the chief defense counsel's declaration. That is an averment
of counsel entirely. That is not based on the record before
this judge.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: What do you mean, it's an averment?
Have you heard something that somebody is being considered
with two years' experience?

9 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor.

10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay.

11 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: The Navy is currently at 56 percent 12 manning of the Military Commissions Defense Organization. The 13 next naval officer that will report to the Military 14 Commissions Defense Organization is in November. That 15 particular officer is a Navy lieutenant, sir, an 0-3. That 16 particular officer will have just finished his first tour, 17 judge advocate, so he will have spent two years of experience 18 and then be reporting to the Military Commissions Defense 19 Organization.

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Why does it have to be a Navy JAG?
21 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: It does not, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. This is not something you arerepresenting as coming from MCDO but, rather, Navy personnel?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Correct, sir. I am ----

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I just want to be clear on what you're 3 telling me, so ----

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. I, of course, am
not the chief defense counsel, and I do not represent the
Military Commissions Defense Organization. That is separate.
I represent Mr. Khan. So that is merely an averment of
counsel based on my knowledge of the detailing -- the
detailing cycle for the Navy, sir.

10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Okay. Thank you. 11 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. For those 12 reasons, Mr. Khan respectfully requests that the military 13 judge grant the defense's requested relief and order the 14 convening authority to work to extend the involuntary 15 mobilization orders for Lieutenant Commander Jared Hernandez, 16 JAG Corps, United States Navy, until a sentence has been 17 approved in this case, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. I am asking this question
to clarify the pleadings. I can only assume what
Mr. Khan's -- what is in his best interests from what he
stated in court and from reviewing the pretrial agreement and
from your representations and pleadings, and I assume that his
interests are in -- is in complying with the pretrial

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 agreement in as speedy a fashion as possible.

My question is: With regard to representing his
interests, which again appear to be to get the benefit of his
pretrial agreement and finish the commission in 2019, what
does it matter whether mobilization orders are extended or you
volunteer for a period of one year recall to active duty?

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. First, it would
be legal error for this court to consider my rationale.
Instead, in accordance with <u>United States v. Eason</u> and
about -- over half a century of military case law, this court
should look at the government's rationale, which is a clearly
impermissible financial motivation.

Second, Your Honor, it would be just as easy for the
government to extend involuntary mobilization orders,
something that it has done for the last 15 years of this
mission and as recently as last month in

17 United States v. Nashiri.

18 Third, Your Honor, it is a variety of personal and 19 professional reasons, chief among them is my desire not to 20 remain on active duty after Mr. Khan's representation is 21 complete. As the military judge is aware from his many years 22 of service, once an officer submits to definite recall orders, 23 my concern is that the Navy would be able to continue my

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 service against my will, Your Honor.

My intent is to finish active duty and finish
Mr. Khan's representation, but I do not wish to continue on
active duty after Mr. Khan's representation, and so that is of
paramount personal and professional concern, sir.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Has the Navy -- my understanding, the
Navy has invited or authorized an exception to policy to
authorize you to volunteer for only one year of recall; is
that correct?

10 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor, that is correct. 11 What is unclear to detailed defense counsel is whether at the 12 end of that one-year definite recall, whether it is the Navy 13 or the officer that could initiate a continuation of that 14 active duty period of time, and so that is the professional 15 concern.

But again, Your Honor, it would be legal error for this court to consider my rationale; instead, you must consider the government's rationale in making their personnel decision to not extend the involuntary mobilization orders in this case.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: So let's go to <u>U.S. v. Eason</u>. I have
to review that again, but did that have to do -- well, tell me
your understanding of the facts of that case.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. In <u>Eason</u>, the -forgive me, Your Honor.

3 MJ [COL WATKINS]: That's all right.

4 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: I ----

5 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I guess my question is: Is it directly6 on point with the situation we have here?

DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, I believe that it sets
down the legal consideration that if there is a financial
burden associated with providing representation by military
counsel to an accused who has already formed an
attorney-client relationship, that it is the duty and
obligation of the government to shoulder that burden.

So I think that it stands for the principle, and then has been repeated often thereafter in military jurisprudence, that it is the government's obligation to preserve and protect the accused's attorney-client relationship and that that should not be lightly interfered with, nor should it be interfered with for financial considerations.

When you consider, Your Honor, that the entire
expense to come down here to Guantanamo to even hear this
motion is actually probably more than the delta between what
the government has replied between the two sets of orders, it
begins to -- the defense is not alleging structural error; the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

defense is not saying that the government is intentionally
 trying to interfere with the defense composition for Mr. Khan.

What the defense is saying is that the defacto
severance that will occur 28 September will have the effect of
harming the material rights of the accused, Your Honor.

6 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. And another question I had was 7 whether the United States Navy has changed its position with 8 regard to any representations made to you in bringing you back 9 onto active duty through mobilization orders. For instance, 10 did they say orally or in writing that we will extend your 11 mobilization orders after one year?

12 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: No, Your Honor. When I came on 13 active duty, the United States Navy made no promises about 14 definite recall or involuntary mobilization orders. I 15 was ----

16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Did they give you an understanding of 17 what might occur?

18 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: And that was recall for a two- tothree-year period?

21 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. And ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: So you were told we're going to
mobilize you for one year, and I guess if it's necessary to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 continue on this case, that would be continued through recall
2 orders?

3 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. And that 4 representation was that we would continue for two to three 5 I engaged in conversation with the detailers in vears. 6 accordance with my first declaration of 1 June to the court. 7 The detailers made clear that they would not settle for 8 anything less than a two-year mobilization -- or, excuse me, 9 two-year recall orders, and that is why I requested, through 10 the chief defense counsel, in accordance with the mobilization 11 orders that I had, to extend the mobilization orders for a 12 period of one year. It comes down to the fact, sir, that I 13 don't want to remain on active duty longer than Mr. Khan's 14 representation.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Just to clarify that, what if I order mobilization for an additional year and the case is finished in six months? Will you petition the Navy to leave active duty?

19 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, there is a provision in 20 the involuntary mobilization orders that allows the Navy to 21 immediately thereafter sever me from active duty. On both the 22 demobilization and the mobilization orders, the United States 23 Fleet Forces Command has the ability to sever me directly

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 thereafter, sir.

2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. Thank you.

On an unrelated issue, are you aware of any authority where the commission ordered WHS to hire a GS employee -- and I'm aware of what occurred in another commission, but that's trial level. Do you have any appellate or statutory or regulatory authority for a military judge to order a GS hiring decision?

9 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, there is no military 10 commission precedent that the defense is aware of. And the 11 defense's requested relief with respect to Mr. Jackson is to 12 order his hiring, as has already been approved by the 13 convening authority, as a part-time GS attorney; merely that 14 he be permitted to rejoin the defense as a telework employee, 15 Your Honor. But no regulation, statute, or case law from the 16 defense, Your Honor.

17 MJ [COL WATKINS]: So to be clear, they've approved his18 hiring as a part-time GS employee?

19 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, the convening authority
20 has approved the hiring of a GS ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: A GS employee, or him as a GS?
 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: A GS attorney. And I believe the
 chief defense counsel, Your Honor, in one of the declarations

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

attached to this filing, has indicated that he has offered
 Mr. Jackson that position as a part-time GS-15 attorney, and
 we were requesting as this developed, Your Honor, to have him
 as a telework attorney.

5 Unfortunately, the convening authority did not meet 6 with the defense and ultimately took the action to deny that 7 request because it was currently under consideration by this 8 military court -- commission, Your Honor.

9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. Now, I know you have been 10 recently detailed, and I'll let Mr. Dixon speak for himself if 11 he chooses to. But I'm a little concerned that Mr. Khan has 12 been represented continuously by civilian counsel for at least 13 six years, and it appears to me that the defense's position is 14 Mr. Khan cannot get a fair sentencing proceeding without 15 Colonel Jackson present. That concerns me, because there's 16 been continuity of counsel.

And so my question is: Do you have access to Colonel
Jackson's work product and thought processes and strategies
and things that have taken place for the last six years?
Because that seems to be the position that you're taking, that
it's impossible to have a fair trial without Colonel Jackson.
DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, may I cede to lead
defense counsel to speak to that issue?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Please.

2 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Your Honor, I confess I am not entirely
4 clear about Your Honor's last question with respect to Colonel
5 Jackson's mental thought processes. Could Your Honor restate
6 the question?

7 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, do you have access to his work8 product?

9 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay. So -- and I assume over the last several years that the defense -- and I don't want to get into any discussions you've had, but you've collaborated over the last six years about trial strategies and negotiations about the pretrial agreement and strategy for the sentencing phase of the commission?

16 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Correct. And if your questions -- if
17 Your Honor's question is whether the defense can simply swap
18 Colonel Jackson, Mr. Jackson at this point, for ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, no, no. I'm suggesting that
 civilian counsel who have been on the case for several
 years -- for the entirety of the commission, correct?
 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Right, correct.

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: They have responsibilities to Mr. Khan

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 as well in representing him before the commission.

CDC [MR. DIXON]: Yes. But Mr. Jackson has talents and
skills that we do not have. He is perhaps the most
experienced military commission practitioner that I am aware
of and certainly has far more years of military courts-martial
experience and would serve a very unique role in this case.

7 Colonel Jackson, Mr. Jackson now, was a member of the 8 defense team for a long time. As you heard from Mr. Khan 9 earlier today, it has been his desire to bring Mr. Jackson 10 back in a GS capacity. That effort has been ongoing since 11 2015, as we've laid out in our pleadings, because of the 12 unique role that he serves on the team, because of his unique 13 relationship with Mr. Khan, his knowledge of the case and his 14 knowledge of military practice, both in the courts-martial and 15 in the commission systems.

16 With respect to the convening authority, the 17 convening authority has at long last approved our request for the hiring of a GS-15 attorney. There is no dispute about 18 19 whether Mr. Jackson is appropriate to fill that role, and 20 indeed, in the government's opposition brief to our motion, 21 indicate that it's perfectly fine to hire Mr. Jackson in a GS 22 capacity. The government also doesn't seem to have any 23 objection to him working part-time, which is consistent with

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 what we need him for on this case.

The only issue, Your Honor, in dispute here is whether he should be permitted to telework, and I will confess again, I don't understand the opposition to that given that Majid Khan is a cooperator and he and Mr. Jackson have been working with the government for quite a long time. I don't understand the opposition.

8 I don't frankly understand, in part, why we all have
9 to fly to Guantanamo to argue about whether he should be
10 permitted to telework. I mean, I confess it seems somewhat
11 ironic to me. But nonetheless, there really is no dispute
12 about him and about his role on our team or whether he should
13 work part-time. It is strictly an issue of teleworking.

14 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay.

15 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Does Your Honor have further questions16 about that or ----

MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't believe so. I do have another
question for Lieutenant Commander Hernandez. Thank you for
clarifying that for me.

20 CDC [MR. DIXON]: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Lieutenant Commander, I would like to
make sure I understand the latest developments as to an

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 investigator and a DISO. Your position is the convening
2 authority has approved that, appointments for those?
3 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: And I know the timelines are in the
pleadings and everything, but is there any update to the
status of actually hiring those? I am going to ask the
government the same question, so ----

8 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Your Honor, I do not know. I do 9 not believe that the Military Commissions Defense Organization 10 has initiated a hiring action yet for either the DISO, the 11 defense information security officer, or the investigator. I 12 believe that it is contained in Mr. Campbell's declaration, 13 how long he estimated it would take to bring them on board. 14 But I do not believe, to the best of my knowledge, that the 15 Military Commissions Defense Organization has yet initiated a 16 hiring action for either of those.

I also believe, Your Honor, that there may be an
issue of funding of one of the two -- one of the two positions
as being unfunded, approved but unfunded. And forgive me,
Your Honor, I don't know which of those two positions is or
may be unfunded. Forgive me.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: No, I understand. I just wanted to seeif you knew. I have no further questions. Any other comment?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. I would invite 2 the court's attention not only to United States v. Eason but 3 also United States v. Hutchins, Your Honor. This is a 2011 4 CAAF case that dealt with the severance of a detailed defense 5 counsel. Your Honor, you mentioned about the facts of Eason 6 potentially being inapplicable here. Hutchins, Your Honor, 7 does state that it is legal error to sever the detailed 8 defense counsel solely on the basis of their separation from 9 active duty. Hutchins did test for prejudice, which the 10 defense believes should be done here but also believes is 11 manifest and uncontested by the government.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Does the Marine second chair who was on
terminal leave at the last session of -- where it should have
been developed on the record; is that correct?

15 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.
16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I don't have -- do you have the cite
17 for the government?

18 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. That is
19 <u>United States v. Hutchins</u>. That's 69 MJ 478, a 2011 CAAF case
20 that is still good law.

Additionally, Your Honor, in <u>Hutchins</u>, you see that I believe it was a captain, a Marine captain, who was replaced by a senior lieutenant colonel Marine there, so he was going

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 up two pay grades. Obviously we can't guess who may replace 2 me here; however -- or relieve me of my duties as detailed 3 defense counsel, if I am severed; however, in Hutchins as 4 well, they did not have -- Hutchins can be distinguished in 5 that it is a -- this is a military commission case. 6 Your Honor, where the prejudice would be more acute for 7 Mr. Khan to develop an attorney-client relationship with a 8 detailed defense counsel. I am his fourth detailed defense 9 counsel. He would have a fifth if I am relieved, Your Honor. 10 Unlike a military courts-martial where the accused is 11 a member of the military unit and the military society and who 12 would have a greater ability to connect with that counsel, 13 Mr. Khan would have a fifth detailed defense counsel. And he 14 is not a citizen, Your Honor, and the military commissions 15 present a more acute case of prejudice, sir. 16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'll have to reread Hutchins, but I 17 believe the error that was committed is -- was on the military 18 judge for not addressing that captain's absence. I know 19 there's some dicta in the case about severance. 20 Well, the government has the citation and I'll review

20 werr, the government has the citation and i if review 21 that as well.

22 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Government, do you wish to be heard?

2 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, Your Honor.

3 MJ [COL WATKINS]: You may proceed.

4 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, I would begin by reminding
5 all parties that this is a guilty plea, uncontested case,
6 governed by a PTA.

7 The motion to compel is not a mechanism for defense
8 to get whatever they want; it's a mechanism for the defense to
9 get whatever they need. And if you look at the pleadings,
10 Your Honor, if you look at the filings in this case, in large
11 part the government has provided that to the defense.

Initially the ultimate resourcing issue for the defense seemed to be tied to the timing of this commission, when it was going to begin. The government wanted an earlier date than defense had requested. The government has since acceded to that and provided the defense with the date that they requested. The defense relief, granted.

18 The defense requested a GS position, a GS attorney
19 position. Not all military commissions have a GS attorney.
20 <u>U.S. v. Darbi</u>, for example, they were granted that last
21 December. The defense relief, granted.

22 The defense requested a DISO, a defense information23 security officer. Relief granted, Defense.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

The defense requested an investigator. Relief
 granted, Defense. They said that they need more time to hire
 this investigator, 8 to 12 weeks. You provided that today.
 Relief granted, Defense.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Who said they need 8 to 12 weeks?
TC [CAPT KEETON]: That's in the pleadings, Your Honor.
Mr. Campbell, who is the MCDO chief of staff, I think his
title is, said that it required 8 to 12 weeks to get these
people hired.

10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'm just -- maybe your advocacy is too 11 effective. When you said, "Relief granted, defense," I 12 thought -- I mean, this is a function of getting this person 13 to the defense team; it's not their fault that they need 8 to 14 12 weeks, correct?

15 TC [CAPT KEETON]: That's correct, Your Honor. But in 16 their pleadings, they said they would need at least 8 to 12 to 17 get this person hired. And by agreeing to this continuance 18 today, the government has, in essence, given them the 8 to 12 19 weeks that they requested.

20 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Okay.

TC [CAPT KEETON]: With regards to Lieutenant Commander
 Hernandez's orders or his order status, his stated motivation
 for remaining on orders has been his desire to continue

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 representation of his client. Since December of last year, he
2 has had a mechanism available known as recall orders.

3 Then he had stated recall orders were too long. He
4 only wants one-year orders. Well, relief granted to defense
5 again. The government has agreed to provide Lieutenant
6 Commander Hernandez with one-year recall orders.

7 Lieutenant Commander Hernandez also mentioned 8 something regarding organizational trust issues with regards 9 to these recall orders. Well, the government has provided an 10 affidavit from an active duty Navy 0-6, head detailer, head 11 accessions manager, wherein she states, under oath, that the 12 Judge Advocate General of the Navy himself has said Lieutenant 13 Commander Hernandez, if he applies for them, can have these 14 one-year recall orders. That should satisfy organizational 15 trust issues. Again, relief granted.

Four days ago we now learn that the real question The Lieutenant Commander Hernandez has with his orders and his real issue with not taking recall orders is this supposed impermissible motivation by the Navy, a funding issue regarding the kind of orders they're going to give him.

Your Honor, I am a reservist. I started at military
 commissions on one-year mobilization orders. Towards the end
 of that one year I was informed that "You're on full per diem.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Those orders are expensive. If you want to stay around
 military commissions, you're going to have to go on three-year
 recall orders."

4 Reserve Army officers, reserve Air Force officers in 5 my office are not on these full-per-diem kinds of orders. 6 Unlike Lieutenant Commander Hernandez, who has been given, in 7 writing, an option of one-year or two-year or three-year 8 recall orders, I and others were not given that option. So 9 not only is Lieutenant Commander Hernandez being treated the 10 same as other Navy reserve officers, he is actually being 11 treated better.

12 The defense has received nearly all they have asked
13 for, and they have certainly received all they, in fairness,
14 need.

Now, subject to your questions, Your Honor.
MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. When I say "government," I
completely understand that you're an agent for OMC
prosecution, so I understand that you can't control everything
big Government does, but I'm really asking for a status, if
you know it.

TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, these -- this is largely an
ex parte process. Defense does not copy the prosecution on
these requests that they send to the convening authority. If

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 you have a particular question on status, Your Honor, I could2 attempt to answer it for you.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Well, that explains a lot. If they're making requests to the convening authority and he is approving them and they're going to another agency for processing and hiring and things like that, you wouldn't have -- be privy to t, but -- well, here's the reason I am asking. Your pleading sight is silent as to an investigator and a DISO.

9 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, sir.

10 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Are you conceding that those are11 necessary for the defense?

12 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Well, they have been granted, 13 Your Honor. They have already been granted by the convening 14 authority. The defense's only request -- their requested 15 relief in their motions was for that process to be expedited, 16 the hiring, because it would take an additional 4 to 8 -- or 4 17 to 12 weeks. The government's view is that by giving this 18 8- to 12-week continuance, we have essentially mooted that 19 portion of defense's motion.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: Now, this is hypothetical. If that
 were not the case, are you aware of any authority of a
 military commissions judge to order those kinds of employment
 decisions ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [CAPT KEETON]: I am not, Your Honor. 2 MJ [COL WATKINS]: ---- at the trial level? 3 TC [CAPT KEETON]: I am not, Your Honor. 4 MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. 5 TC [CAPT KEETON]: I can explain -- I mean, it's in the 6 pleadings, Your Honor, but the -- some of the convening 7 authority's rationale for decisions they've made, particularly 8 with ----9 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Oh, like telework and stuff? 10 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Yes, sir. 11 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yeah, I -- no, I understand that. A11 12 right. I think that's all I had. Thank you. 13 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Your Honor, may I have a moment to 14 consult with co-counsel? 15 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Yes. 16 TC [CAPT KEETON]: Nothing further from the government, 17 Your Honor. 18 MJ [COL WATKINS]: Thank you. 19 Defense, do you have a brief rebuttal argument? 20 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Yes, Your Honor. The authority 21 that the defense would offer this commission is R.M.C. 906 to 22 avoid undue delay to the proceedings, Your Honor, to be able 23 to expedite other government entities to provide the necessary

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

resources for the defense to conduct an adequate defense of
 Mr. Khan. And so we believe that R.M.C. 906 gives the
 commission the authority to influence and to order other
 entities outside of the military commission system to expedite
 those resources to Mr. Khan, Your Honor.

Additionally, the government's argument, both in
written pleading and oral argument, was directed at relief
that Lieutenant Commander Hernandez had requested. It is
important, Your Honor, to consider that it is not I,
Lieutenant Commander Hernandez, who asked for this relief; it
is Mr. Khan who asked for his attorney-client relationship to
be protected and preserved here, Your Honor.

So it is not personal in any regard whatsoever;
merely it is Mr. Khan's interests who need to be first and
foremost before the court, Your Honor.

16 MJ [COL WATKINS]: As they are. Thank you.

17 DDC [LCDR HERNANDEZ]: Thank you, Your Honor.

MJ [COL WATKINS]: All right. I'm going to deliberate on
the evidence and your arguments. I intend to issue written
findings of fact and conclusions of law in the very near
future.

Is there anything else to take up before thecommission recesses?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

TC [CAPT KEETON]: Nothing from the government,
Your Honor.

CDC [MR. DIXON]: Nor from the defense, Your Honor. MJ [COL WATKINS]: I'd like to thank all the parties for your cooperation and patience. The commission is in recess until a date and time to be determined by my trial scheduling order, which is contingent on receipt of new pleadings in the 016 series. We are in recess. [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1618, 17 July 2018.]