

**MILITARY COMMISSIONS TRIAL JUDICIARY
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA**

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MAJID SHOUKAT KHAN</p>	<p>AE 031</p> <p>DOCKETING ORDER (1-3 April 2019 Hearing)</p> <p>6 March 2019</p>
--	--

1. As directed in AE 016EE¹, a hearing in this case will take place **1 - 3 April 2019**, at the U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The hearing will begin at **1330** hours on **1 April 2019**. The Accused will be present for the beginning of the session and will be reminded of his right to be present during these proceedings.

2. The Commission orders both the Prosecution and the Defense to address the Commission during the session on the status of any outstanding Defense Resourcing Issues related to the AE 025 series.

In addition, the Commission will hear argument, as necessary, associated with the following motions:

- a. AE 027, Defense Motion for Order Requiring the Government to Provide Mr. Khan Access to a Laptop Computer;
- b. AE 028, Defense Motion to Compel Production of *Brady* Material; and,
- c. AE 030, Defense Motion to Compel Production of Witnesses.

If required, the Commission will conduct hearings under the provisions of Military Commission Rule of Evidence (M.C.R.E.) 505(h) during this week. Pursuant to M.C.R.E. 505(h)(1)(C), such hearing will be closed to the public. The Accused will not be present.

3. A Rule for Military Commission (R.M.C.) 802 Conference will be conducted at **1700** hours on Sunday, 31 March 2019, in the Deliberation Room located in AV 34. The parties are encouraged to conference in advance to identify points of agreement and clarify points of disagreement.

¹ AE 016EE, Second Amended Litigation and Trial Scheduling Order, promulgated 6 March 2019.

4. Counsel should be prepared to argue any other motion for which the briefing cycle has been completed. If either side believes other issues should be addressed, they may request the Commission amend this order.

5. The time which transpired since arraignment until the date of the next session (**1 April 2019**) shall be considered excludable delay in accordance with R.M.C. 707(b)(4)(E)(i) and R.M.C. 707(c). I find the interests of justice have been served by granting the continuance for resolution of interlocutory and other pretrial issues and to allow the parties to comply with the Offer for Pretrial Agreement (AE 012) and the Modification to the Pretrial Agreement (AE 012A). Additionally, resolution of these issues and compliance with the Offer for Pretrial Agreement outweighs the interests of the public and the Accused in a prompt trial.

So **ORDERED** this 6th day of March, 2019.

//s//
DOUGLAS K. WATKINS
COL, JA, USA
Military Judge