1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0902, 2 7 December 2017.1 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. 4 Trial Counsel, any changes since we last recessed? 5 CP [BG MARTINS]: No. Your Honor. 6 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Nevin? 7 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: No, Your Honor. 8 MJ [COL POHL]: I will note that none of the accused are 9 currently present. 10 Ms. Bormann, any changes? 11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, Judge. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Harrington? 13 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: No changes, Judge. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell? 15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, on behalf of 16 Mr. al Baluchi are myself, James Connell; Lieutenant Colonel 17 Sterling Thomas; and Major Wareham. Ms. Pradhan remains 18 excused. 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. 20 And Mr. Ruiz? 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No changes. 22 [END OF PAGE]

23

- 1 MAJOR, U.S. ARMY, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
- 2 was reminded of his oath, and testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. SWANN]:
- **5** Q. Are you the same Major who testified yesterday in
- **6** these proceedings?
- 7 A. I am, sir.
- **8** Q. Again, I remind you that you are still under oath.
- **9** A. Yes, sir.
- 10 O. Let's take Khalid Shaikh Mohammad first. What time
- 11 did you advise Mr. Mohammad of his right to attend today's
- **12** proceedings?
- 13 A. I met Mr. Mohammad this morning. I introduced
- 14 myself, advised him that he had a commission this morning. He
- 15 said he understood. I asked him if he would like to come to
- 16 the commission. He indicated he did not. So I then began
- 17 reading the English-only version at 7:08.
- 18 Q. All right. Did you have an interpreter translating
- **19** the English version?
- 20 A. There was an interpreter there with me for
- 21 Mr. Mohammad. Mr. Mohammad just simply asked that I read the
- 22 English version. He did not ask that it be interpreted.
- Q. All right. Did you deviate from the use of this form

- 1 in any way that you have done it 50 to 100 times before?
- 2 A. No, I did not.
- 3 Q. All right. I have in front of me 539G, consisting of
- 4 three pages. The second page has a signature presumably from
- 5 Mohammad. Is that his signature?
- **6** A. That is his signature. He signed that in my
- 7 presence, and then he handed it back to me, and then I signed.
- 8 Q. All right. Let's turn now to Bin'Attash. I see that
- 9 you advised him at 7:16 this morning and that he executed the
- 10 Arabic version of this document. Did you read both the
- 11 English and the Arabic version?
- 12 A. So I read the entire English version after he
- 13 indicated he did not want to come to the commission. He
- 14 followed along with the Arabic version, and then the
- 15 interpreter read the entire Arabic version, and Mr. Bin'Attash
- 16 signed the Arabic version signature form.
- Q. Did you deviate from the use of this form in any way?
- **18** A. I did not.
- 19 Q. With respect to Ramzi Binalshibh, English or Arabic?
- 20 A. He simply asked that the English version be read
- 21 after he advised me that he did not want to attend the
- 22 commissions today.
- Q. Did he sign the second page of this three-page

- 1 document?
- 2 A. He did. After I read the entire English version, I
- 3 asked him if he had any questions; he said he did not. He
- 4 signed the second page of the English version statement of
- 5 understanding.
- **6** Q. With respect to Ali Abdul Aziz Ali, 539J, consisting
- 7 of three pages, English or Arabic?
- 8 A. Again, I only read the English version to him. He
- 9 indicated he did not need it translated. And after he
- 10 indicated he didn't want to come, I read both pages, and then
- 11 he signed the second page in my presence. After I asked him
- 12 if he had any questions, he said he did not have any
- **13** questions.
- 14 Q. And finally, 539K, consisting of three pages, Mustafa
- 15 al Hawsawi. English or Arabic?
- 16 A. Both. So Mr. Hawsawi asked that the document be read
- 17 to him in Arabic as well. So I handed him the Arabic version,
- 18 and he followed along as I read the English version. He
- 19 then -- I then had the translator or the interpreter read the
- 20 Arabic version to Mr. al Hawsawi, and he signed the Arabic
- 21 version in my presence, and then I signed it.
- Q. All right. But there also appears to be a signature
- 23 on the English version as well. Is that his signature?

- **1** A. Right. He signed that one after the Arabic version.
- 2 He asked for this one; I gave it to him. He signed that one,
- 3 so I went ahead and signed that one as well.
- 4 Q. With respect to all five of these men, do you believe
- 5 that they voluntarily waived their right to attend these
- 6 proceedings?
- 7 A. I do believe they voluntarily ----
- **8** Q. Any question in your mind about that?
- **9** A. There is no question in my mind.
- 10 TC [MR. SWANN]: Your Honor, I tender the witness.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Any questions, Mr. Nevin?
- 12 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: No.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann?
- **14** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, Judge.
- **15** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Harrington?
- **16** LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: No question.
- **17** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell?
- 18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: No questions, Your Honor. I continue
- 19 to object to anonymous testimony.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Got it. Mr. Ruiz?
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No questions.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you, Major.
- WIT: Thanks, Judge.

1 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the courtroom.] 2 MJ [COL POHL]: The commission finds that the detainees 3 have knowingly and voluntarily waived their rights to be 4 present today. And if I didn't put that on the record on the 5 previous times, I make a similar finding for the previous 6 times of an absence of any particular accused. 7 Mr. Ruiz, you've submitted a 505(h) -- or 505(g) notice. 8 Is that for cross-examination of the witnesses like the 9 previous one was? 10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes. 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay, here's the way that we're going to 12 do this, is we'll do the unclassified cross-examination of the 13 two witnesses first. Depending how long that takes, we may 14 begin with the testimony of your witness. In any event, we'll 15 take our lunch recess at 1200. At 1300, we will -- this is 16 because of logistics of switching around the court reporters. 17 At 1300, we'll conduct the 505(h) hearing and then we'll do 18 that part of it. If we need more open hearing, we will do 19 that; and if not, we will then do the 806 in the afternoon 20 today. So it's kind of just the general way ahead, and again, 21 a lot of it depends on how long the cross-examination takes, 22 okav?

That being said, who is the first witness?

23

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: Agent Fitzgerald, Your Honor. 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Please recall Agent Fitzgerald. 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, so I don't have to interrupt 4 earlier or later, rather ----5 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure. 6 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- be asking that Mr. Perry, Major 7 Seeger, and Captain Brady are excused at various points during 8 the day. They have other projects to attend to. 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure. As I said yesterday, as long as the 10 learned counsel stays, if you wish to adjust the other 11 attorneys in and out, just go ahead and do it. You don't have 12 to ask permission. 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: All right. Thank you. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: As long as we are in the unclassified 15 setting. 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right, obviously. 17 MJ [COL POHL]: The rules are different if we are in a 18 classified setting. 19 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you. 20 [END OF PAGE] 21 22

23

- 1 SPECIAL AGENT JAMES M. FITZGERALD, civilian, was called as a
- 2 witness for the prosecution, was reminded of his previous
- 3 oath, and testified as follows:
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Agent Fitzgerald, please take a seat
- 7 there. I remind you you are still under oath.
- **8** WIT: Yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: You are the same Agent Fitzgerald who
- 10 testified a couple days ago.
- 11 WIT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, at the outset, I would like to
- 14 submit some exhibits to the commission. I have spoken to the
- 15 prosecution about the submission of these exhibits. They have
- 16 no objection. They have been premarked. They are 502BBB
- 17 (MAH), which is a September 9, 1998 series of 302s in the
- 18 interrogation of Mohammed Rashed Daoud Al-Owhali, who is one
- 19 of the accused in the embassy bombings. You heard some
- 20 testimony about him yesterday. The second exhibit is 502CCC
- 21 (MAH) and is an October 9, 1999 series of 302s as well for
- 22 Khalfan Khamis Mohamed, another one of the men who Agent
- 23 Perkins testified about yesterday in relation to the embassy

- 1 bombings. 502EEE (MAH) is an August 31, 1998 series of 302s
- 2 from Mohammed Saddiq Odeh. And finally, 502DDD is a January
- 3 31, 2001 series of 302s from Fahd Mohammed Ahmed Al-Quso, who
- 4 is an individual that was questioned in relation to the
- **5** bombing of the USS COLE.
- **6** I'd like the commission to consider these.
- **7** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- **8** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: May I approach?
- **9** MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
- 10 Q. Good morning, Agent Fitzgerald.
- **11** A. Good morning.
- 12 Q. Agent Fitzgerald, you testified that in relation to
- 13 the 9/11 investigation, you were well aware of a large part of
- **14** the interrogation, correct?
- 15 A. I don't know if I testified specifically to that two
- **16** days ago, but I'm aware of -- that interrogation occurred.
- 17 Q. In terms of your involvement with the 9/11
- **18** investigation?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. You're aware -- you are well aware of various aspects
- **21** of this investigation, correct?
- **22** A. Yes, I am.
- Q. All right. In fact, you participated in many of the

- 1 aspects of this investigation?
- 2 A. Of the 9/11 investigation by the FBI, yes.
- **3** Q. Correct. I think you testified that in 2001, you
- 4 became -- is when you first became involved in this
- 5 investigation, correct?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And thereafter, if I understood you correctly, the
- 8 primary focus of your involvement -- of your career has been
- 9 with this investigation, meaning the 9/11 investigation,
- **10** correct?
- 11 A. That's fair to say.
- 12 Q. Did I understand you correctly to say that -- so from
- 13 2001 to 2017 this has been your primary assignment?
- 14 A. There have been other assignments in between, as I
- 15 think I mentioned with Mr. Ryan before, from 2001 to 2006, I
- 16 was primarily focused on the prosecution of Zacarius
- 17 Moussaoui. After 2006, I worked other counterterrorism cases,
- 18 but in large part, much of my focus has been on 9/11.
- 19 Q. So in terms of Mr. Moussaoui's investigation, there
- 20 were aspects of that investigation that also were, at least
- 21 based on your investigation, connected to the 9/11
- **22** prosecution?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. So you were, in fact, aware of those
- 2 aspects of this case?
- 3 A. I was aware of other aspects of the 9/11
- 4 investigation as performed by the FBI, yes.
- **5** Q. All right. In terms of your responsibilities on the
- 6 9/11 PENTTBOM team as you've discussed or -- actually, were
- 7 you actually a member of the PENTTBOM team?
- **8** A. Yes, I was.
- **9** Q. In terms of your specific duties and
- 10 responsibilities, did you have a specific assignment?
- 11 A. Initially, my assignment was regarding Flight 11 and
- 12 the hijackers surrounding Flight 11. After that, as the case
- 13 progressed, I took on more responsibility. But in general
- 14 terms, at least as I was initially assigned, it was to
- **15** Flight 11.
- 16 Q. Understand. And can you tell me a little bit about
- 17 what your chain of command was like?
- 18 A. I would have to answer regarding a specific time.
- 19 So, for instance, between, say, 2001-2006, my chain of command
- 20 was to a supervisory special agent from New York typically,
- 21 although there was some variation due to rotation of different
- 22 supervisors, and then from that New York supervisor to a New
- 23 York assistant special agent in charge.

- 1 Q. And your specific title, I heard a number of
- 2 different titles that you may have in the course of an
- 3 investigation. Were you an agent, a supervisory agent?
- **4** A. I was an agent, not a supervisory agent.
- **5** Q. All right. And that's from, let's say, 2001 to 2006,
- 6 since that's kind of the time frame that you've identified,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. That's the time frame that I identified specifically
- 9 for the Moussaoui prosecution, yes.
- 10 Q. I understand. Very well.
- 11 In terms of Mr. al Hawsawi, when did you first become
- 12 aware that he was a person that you wanted to look more into,
- 13 a person of interest?
- 14 A. I would have to guess. I would say sometime in late
- 15 2001. That's speculation on my part. It would be about that
- 16 time. I don't know specifically when, but sometime in 2001.
- 17 Q. Towards the latter part of 2001, I take it?
- 18 A. I -- I don't know. I can think of some of the
- 19 evidence that I was learning about at that time concerning
- 20 Mr. al Hawsawi. So I would say maybe -- again, speculating,
- **21** approximately October-November 2001.
- 22 Q. Okay. All right. I take it that during the course
- 23 of the investigation, you have a way of maintaining a record

- 1 of your investigation?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. And what is that?
- 4 A. It's through the FBI case file system with a series
- 5 of FD-302s, which are investigative reports; and also a
- 6 document called an electronic communication, which has tended
- 7 to be more administrative, although has changed over time.
- **8** Q. So, for instance, if you became aware of a new person
- 9 of interest, such as Mr. al Hawsawi, you would have entered
- 10 that into some type of record-keeping program?
- 11 A. If you are asking would I personally or would that be
- 12 done in general terms, if you could clarify.
- 13 Q. Let's start with you personally, since you are on the
- 14 stand. Did you personally document when you first became
- 15 aware of Mr. al Hawsawi's existence as a person of interest?
- **16** A. I did not.
- 17 Q. All right. Do you know if anybody else did?
- 18 A. The documentation -- speaking for others and
- 19 hypothetically, the documentation would stand on its own. In
- 20 other words, if someone found a piece of evidence, an item of
- 21 interest that concerned Mr. al Hawsawi, that item would stand
- 22 on its own. So as to whether or not he would be -- someone
- 23 would write a special report saying, you know, we now

- 1 designate Mr. al Hawsawi as a person of interest, that's not
- 2 something that I'm aware of. The evidence stands on its own.
- **Q**. Well, let me ask you about sharing of information. I
- 4 understand this was the largest investigation, you would say,
- 5 in the history of the FBI?
- **6** A. Yes.
- **7** Q. All right. And every agent in the FBI was involved?
- **8** A. At some point, early on, I would speculate and say
- **9** yes.
- 10 Q. At least every agent in the New York Office?
- **11** A. Yes. Early on.
- 12 Q. All right. So how would you share information so
- 13 that you would know what the right hand is doing versus the
- **14** left hand?
- 15 A. The information would be available in the case file.
- 16 And then for the investigative team, specifically the PENTTBOM
- 17 team once that formed, there would be briefings or something
- 18 of that nature, like an oral briefing sometime in the morning,
- **19** but that was less formal.
- Q. Now, I understand you may not remember the specific
- 21 entries and specific times, but it is fair to say that from
- 22 2001 to 2017 you recorded your investigative actions that
- 23 you've taken on this case?

- **1** A. Yes.
- Q. Meaning you've documented them?
- **3** A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And the reason you've done that is, number one, it's
- **5** been a very lengthy investigation, correct?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Memory erodes over time?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- **9** Q. And you want to have a clear record of what you did,
- 10 for instance, the latter part of 2001 when you're testifying
- **11** in 2017?
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And, in fact, you have done that throughout the
- 14 course of this investigation?
- **15** A. Yes.
- **16** Q. Where are those documents maintained?
- 17 A. It would be in the FBI case file.
- 18 Q. All right. Did you have to code them with a specific
- 19 agent number so that somebody would be able to pull them based
- 20 on the ones that you prepared?
- **21** A. No.
- Q. All right. Is there any identifying information in
- 23 those 302s that would indicate that Agent Fitzgerald had

- 1 generated this 302?
- **2** A. My name would be at the bottom of the 302.
- **3** Q. All right. So let's go with the first time you
- 4 learned of Mr. al Hawsawi's involvement was the latter part of
- 5 2001 sometime. Not holding you to a specific timeline, but we
- 6 can at least agree that it was early on in the investigation
- 7 and not halfway through?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. So early on in 2001, Mr. al Hawsawi's name pops up in
- 10 terms of a person of interest. What is the next action that
- 11 you recall you taking in relation to Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 12 A. Off the top of my head, I can't recall. As I
- 13 mentioned previously, my initial focus was on Flight 11 and
- 14 the hijackers. As far as Mr. al Hawsawi, I can't recall what
- 15 my next action would have been investigatively regarding him.
- 16 Q. Very good. Do you recall at what point you became
- 17 more involved in Mr. al Hawsawi's investigation or the portion
- 18 that related to him?
- 19 A. Probably after the prosecution of Zacarius Moussaoui.
- **20** Q. So post 2006?
- 21 A. Yes, although I was aware of circumstances concerning
- 22 Mr. al Hawsawi. And as I think back now, there was
- 23 information put out in the -- the Moussaoui case that related

- 1 to Mr. al Hawsawi. I probably focused more on him after 2006.
- 2 So certainly knowledge of him and knowledge of the evidence
- 3 that concerns Mr. al Hawsawi. I believe I testified regarding
- 4 some phone numbers associated with Mr. al Hawsawi during the
- 5 Moussaoui trial, but I probably looked more closely at
- **6** Mr. al Hawsawi after 2006.
- 7 Q. So prior to 2006, he was not a focus of your
- 8 investigative efforts?
- **9** A. Not mine specifically, correct.
- 10 Q. Were you aware that he was being investigated by
- 11 other agents of the FBI?
- **12** A. Yes.
- **13** Q. Did you communicate with those agents?
- **14** A. Yes.
- **15** Q. Who were they?
- 16 A. Off the top of my head, Agent Perkins and
- **17** Special Agent Adam Drucker.
- 18 Q. Very well. In terms of Mr. al Hawsawi's capture, at
- 19 what point did you become aware that Mr. al Hawsawi had been
- 20 captured?
- 21 A. I would speculate to say sometime within a couple of
- 22 months after his capture. I don't think I knew
- 23 contemporaneous, but I have no specific recollection.

- 1 Q. No specific recollection of the specific date?
- 2 A. Correct. I'm guessing sometime like -- as a
- 3 contrast, when Mr. Binalshibh was captured in 2002, I knew
- 4 almost right away. I don't recall when I learned when
- **5** Mr. al Hawsawi was captured.
- **6** Q. Was it -- I think you said it was maybe a few months
- 7 afterwards?
- 8 A. I'm speculating to say sometime within that time. I
- **9** don't recall exactly when I learned he was captured.
- 10 Q. So we can deal in larger numbers. So was it years?
- **11** A. No.
- 12 Q. Half a year?
- **13** A. Likely less.
- 14 Q. All right. Okay. So at least it wasn't the same
- 15 day, but at least we're not talking about a number of years?
- **16** A. Correct.
- 17 Q. All right. Who did you learn that from?
- **18** A. I don't recall.
- 19 Q. But you were aware that Mr. al Hawsawi had, in fact,
- 20 been rendered in Pakistan?
- 21 A. At some point, yes.
- Q. All right. Do you remember the source of that
- 23 information?

- **1** A. I do not.
- 2 Q. During the course of your investigation and your
- 3 involvement in the 9/11 investigation, have you had the
- 4 opportunity to work and share information with other agencies?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- **6** Q. What are some of those agencies?
- 7 A. I've shared information with Army CID; I've shared
- 8 information with NCIS, Naval Criminal Investigative Service;
- 9 I've shared information with the Central Intelligence Agency.
- 10 I have specific recollections -- I would speculate that some
- 11 of the information that I have written has been disseminated
- 12 to many more agencies, but specifically I recall those
- 13 agencies.
- 14 Q. So some of those agencies were intelligence agencies?
- **15** A. Yes.
- **16** Q. Such as the CIA?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And some were law enforcement agencies as well?
- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I understand. Was there a standard operating
- 21 procedure or memorandum that governed the interagency
- 22 cooperation of the FBI?
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, Your Honor. It's beyond the

- 1 scope.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled. Go ahead.
- **3** A. Can you restate the question, Mr. Ruiz?
- 4 Q. Sure. Was there a governing document that set forth
- 5 the ground rules for how the FBI would share information with
- **6** other agencies or law enforcement or intelligence?
- 7 A. I'm not aware of such a document.
- 8 Q. All right. Were you aware of specific guidelines --
- 9 not necessarily a document, but did you have a specific
- 10 procedure that you would follow in doing that?
- 11 A. The procedure that I would follow at that time would
- 12 be to generate a cable with information that I considered
- 13 relevant to be passed on to another agency such as the CIA.
- **14** Q. Did you have access to the CIA's databases?
- **15** A. I did not.
- 16 Q. Did you ever look at CIA databases in the course of
- 17 your investigation?
- 18 A. I'm trying to recall now. At some point, I have
- 19 looked at a shared system, a shared -- it's a closed system
- 20 that is operated or owned by the CIA. But as far as CIA what
- 21 I will call investigative databases, I do not have access to
- **22** them.
- So I have access to a CIA network -- or I should say

- 1 had access to a closed CIA network where there was historical
- 2 information on there, but I have not had access to a CIA
- 3 formal active system of records.
- 4 Q. When you say it was a closed system, what does that
- 5 mean?
- **6** TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, Your Honor. This is beyond the
- 7 scope of direct.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled.
- **9** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, may I respond to that, because I
- 10 suspect we are going to be getting the same objection a number
- 11 of times. I know you overruled it, but they have submitted
- 12 Mr. Hawsawi's interrogations to the court for consideration.
- 13 This is all going to go towards that testimony.
- 14 TC [MR. RYAN]: And, Your Honor, counsel is correct,
- 15 Judge. This will probably be objected to again. Let me point
- 16 out, sir, we called Agent Fitzgerald to testify primarily
- 17 regarding hijacker activity. No evidence was derived from him
- 18 regarding his LHM statement. Counsel made no move to request
- **19** Agent Fitzgerald as their witness.
- 20 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, Agent Fitzgerald was mentioned in
- 21 Agent Perkins' testimony. He was the second person in the
- 22 room with Mr. al Hawsawi when the interrogations took place,
- 23 and the statements, as I've indicated, are before you in terms

- 1 of reliability assessment. This agent's actions on the
- 2 Pentagon bombing investigation are relevant.
- 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: Then he should have requested him as a
- 4 witness, sir.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Although Agent Fitzgerald himself did not
- 6 testify about the interview of Mr. Hawsawi, Ms. Perkins did,
- 7 and Ms. Perkins indicated that he was there. And so therefore
- 8 it seems to me questions relating to that interview, whether
- 9 to Agent Fitzgerald or to Ms. Perkins, are within the scope of
- 10 the examination; therefore, the objection is overruled.
- 11 Go ahead, Mr. Ruiz.
- 12 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you, Judge.
- 13 Q. Trying to gain a little better understanding of the
- 14 access that you had, and you seem to draw a distinction
- 15 between a record-keeping investigative database and what you
- 16 call a closed system. I'm not familiar with those, so could
- 17 you please explain what you see as the fundamental difference?
- 18 A. Yes. I would -- estimating now circa 2007, I gained
- 19 access to a closed network operated or maintained by the CIA
- 20 which contained historical investigative information from the
- 21 FBI and from other agencies as they related to some of the
- 22 high-value detainees.
- 23 So to the best of my knowledge, the information

- 1 maintained in this closed network was historical in nature,
- 2 although it could have, and I believe was, updated as new
- 3 information was added.
- 4 For instance, let's take Mr. al Hawsawi. In this
- 5 closed network, historical information was in this network as
- 6 it related to Mr. al Hawsawi. If new items were generated
- 7 regarding Mr. al Hawsawi, they may have been added. I don't
- 8 have specific knowledge of that.
- 9 I'm trying to differentiate that from other active
- 10 CIA systems of record where someone, for example, a CIA
- 11 employee, would come in and log on to a CIA system that has
- 12 information from all sorts of sources, all sorts of cases,
- 13 active, ongoing, and enables them to do their daily job. The
- 14 closed network that I'm speaking of is, to the best of my
- 15 knowledge, a closed network. It's not connected to the rest
- 16 of the CIA or, to my knowledge, any other agencies, and was
- 17 designed as a repository of investigative information as they
- 18 related to high-value detainees.
- 19 Q. I understand. So it was narrowly tailored to
- 20 information relevant to high-value detainees such as
- 21 Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 22 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. All right. Can you tell us what timeline was covered

- 1 within that database? So was this a repository of information
- 2 that began from the moment the planes struck the Towers and
- 3 led all the way up until the time before you stepped into the
- 4 interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi? And can you tell us what the
- 5 time range or what the range of information was contained in
- 6 that database?
- 7 A. I am unaware of the time range. To the best of my
- 8 knowledge, any information in there was simply historical,
- 9 investigative information that was placed there concerning
- 10 those detainees. So how far back it went, I don't know.
- 11 Q. Do you recall if you were able to find any
- 12 documentation tracking back to 2003?
- 13 A. I would say yes, there is -- there would be
- 14 documentation certainly going back to 2003 in there.
- 15 Q. Beginning in 2003 towards 2006, would you say that
- 16 the database contained that range, that timeline?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did the database contain any video information or
- **19** access to videos?
- **20** A. Not to my knowledge.
- **21** Q. Did you see any?
- 22 A. I don't recall seeing any. As I'm thinking now, I --
- 23 I don't recall seeing video.

- 1 Q. All right. What about audio, audio files?
- 2 A. I do not recall audio files.
- 3 Q. Can you give us just a description of the types of
- 4 documents and reports that would have been contained in that
- 5 database?
- **6** A. There were what I would call historical FBI
- 7 documents, meaning anything gathered in the FBI's -- speaking
- 8 of Mr. al Hawsawi, anything gathered -- anything that was able
- 9 to be identified and gathered during the 9/11 investigation as
- 10 it related to Mr. al Hawsawi; FBI FD-302s, electronic
- 11 communications, things of that nature were scanned and placed
- 12 into that system.
- 13 There were also CIA documents on the system. There
- 14 were, to the best of my knowledge, other agency documents, INS
- 15 documents, customs documents that would have been gathered
- 16 during the course of the investigation into a person such as
- **17** Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 18 Q. Do I understand your testimony to be that you only
- 19 gained access to that website in 2007? Excuse me, not
- 20 website, to that closed system?
- 21 A. Yes, as near as I can approximate, 2007, that's
- 22 correct.
- Q. All right. So you're not certain of the specific

- 1 date?
- 2 A. It's -- I think it was created around that time, to
- 3 the best of my knowledge. Maybe it was created in 2006. To
- 4 the best of my knowledge, I had access to that circa 2007,
- 5 roughly.
- **6** Q. I understand. Prior to 2007, independent of the
- 7 system you've just described, did you have access to any other
- 8 CIA documents or information.
- **9** A. There were CIA documents there were uploaded at one
- 10 time into the FBI ACS system.
- 11 Q. Can you please indicate what that stands for?
- 12 A. I believe it's called Automated Case File.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 A. So there were CIA documents, some cables loaded into
- 15 the FBI automated case system, system of records. Those
- 16 documents would have to have been at a SECRET//NOFORN level or
- 17 below. That system could not -- it was not authorized to
- 18 contain anything above SECRET//NOFORN.
- 19 So there were CIA documents as well as other -- many
- 20 other agency documents in there. If they were submitted and
- 21 uploaded into the ACS system, they would be available through
- **22** ACS.
- Q. During the course of your investigative efforts, did

- 1 you have opportunity to review those types of documents?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review those types of
- 4 documents as they related to Mr. al Hawsawi's investigation?
- **5** A. To the best of my recollection, I did not see any
- 6 documents as they related to Mr. al Hawsawi. Again, to
- 7 contrast, when Mr. Binalshibh was first captured, there were
- 8 documents that were uploaded into the FBI system of records,
- **9** ACS. At some point that stopped.
- 10 When Mr. al Hawsawi was captured, I do not believe
- 11 that those -- whatever cables that, as they related to
- 12 Mr. al Hawsawi -- to the best of my knowledge, I don't believe
- 13 that they were uploaded into ACS, because I'm of the
- 14 understanding that they were at a classification level higher
- 15 than SECRET//NOFORN. And I have no recollection of seeing any
- 16 CIA cables regarding Mr. al Hawsawi in ACS.
- 17 Q. At some point, you became aware Mr. al Hawsawi had
- **18** been captured?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. You learned that he was being held?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. Without telling me the specific location, did you
- 23 know that -- did you know the location where he was being

- **1** held?
- **2** A. I did not.
- **3** Q. All right. Did you know by whom he was being held?
- **4** TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled. You may answer the question.
- 6 A. I knew in general terms that he had been captured in
- 7 Pakistan. I was unsure as to who was holding him or what the
- 8 circumstances of his detention were. At some point ----
- 9 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, at this time, I would ask the
- 10 commission to instruct the witness that if he feels an answer
- 11 is -- that is called for involves or contains classified
- 12 information, that he should make the commission aware of that.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: You know that limitation, don't you, Agent
- **14** Fitzgerald?
- 15 WIT: Yes, Your Honor. We're approaching that at this
- **16** time.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: This is not your first time testifying.
- 18 But -- we're in an open session here, but if you think you're
- 19 going to go into classified information, stop, and we'll
- 20 address it at that time.
- 21 WIT: Yes. Your Honor.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Just so everyone calms down a little bit,
- 23 I know we're anxious. I don't intend to ask about the

- 1 specific countries ----
- **2** MJ [COL POHL]: I got it.
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- or agencies or the identities, which
- 4 I know they're very worried about. I'm just asking if he
- **5** generally was aware of this ----
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. Keep it general. Go ahead.
- 7 Q. So you knew he had been captured?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. You knew he had been detained against his will?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So he was in custody?
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And I think we were on the part where we were talking
- **14** about did you know by whom.
- **15** A. Yes.
- **16** Q. Did you know by whom?
- 17 A. At some point I became aware that U.S. authorities
- 18 were speaking with him, but I was unclear as to exactly who
- 19 was detaining him or what the circumstances of his detention
- **20** were.
- Q. Did you at any point seek access to Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 22 A. I believe, in general terms, and I'm speaking now in
- 23 the context of being on the PENTTBOM team, that the team

- 1 sought access to pass questions to Mr. al Hawsawi, but I don't
- 2 know that they were ever passed.
- 3 Q. Okay. Let me back that up a little bit. Do you know
- 4 if the FBI, your PENTTBOM team, sought physical access to
- 5 Mr. al Hawsawi; in other words, to see him in person?
- **6** A. That's not something that I was directly involved in.
- 7 When he was captured, I was working different matters. I know
- 8 we had an interest in him, but we did -- like I did not have
- 9 access to him, and to the best of my knowledge ----
- 10 Q. So you personally did not seek access to
- **11** Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **12** A. No, I did not.
- 13 Q. But you were aware that at least some elements of the
- 14 PENTTBOM team had sought access or at least had sought to pass
- 15 questions to the people who had Mr. al Hawsawi in custody,
- 16 correct?
- **17** A. Yes.
- **18** Q. Questions that were of importance to the FBI?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. You personally did not pass any of those questions?
- **21** A. I did not.
- Q. But because of your general involvement in the
- 23 investigation, you had knowledge that that, in fact, was

- 1 something that was done?
- 2 A. I don't know if questions were passed. I know we had
- 3 an interest in trying to find out answers to certain
- 4 investigative questions that we had. But I don't know if
- 5 those questions were passed, and I don't know if answers were
- **6** forthcoming if they were passed.
- 7 Q. By that, do you mean that the questions that were
- **8** sent were actually used?
- **9** A. Yeah. I don't know if questions were sent. I don't
- 10 have specific knowledge of that. And if questions were sent,
- 11 I don't know if answers were received from them.
- 12 Q. All right. Okay. At this time when you learned that
- 13 Mr. al Hawsawi had been captured, it is fair to say that he
- 14 was a person of interest for the FBI?
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the FBI was participating in the law enforcement
- 17 investigation trying to determine who was responsible for the
- 18 attacks?
- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The FBI is, in fact, a law enforcement agency?
- **21** A. Yes, it is.
- **22** Q. And investigates violations of U.S. law?
- A. Yes, it does.

- 1 Q. For prosecution in domestic U.S. courts?
- 2 A. Or prosecution in any court that the Congress
- 3 identifies, ves.
- **4** Q. Federal courts?
- **5** A. Federal courts.
- **6** Q. At this time, and what I mean by that is 2003 or so,
- 7 the focus of the FBI's investigation was decidedly a law
- 8 enforcement investigation, correct?
- **9** A. I'm not sure what you mean by decidedly law
- 10 enforcement investigation. The FBI certainly was interested
- 11 in gathering as much evidence of -- any evidence related to
- 12 9/11. Certainly there is an intelligence component where,
- 13 anything that the FBI finds that might stop another attack,
- 14 any intelligence would be shared as well. So I think it's
- 15 fair to say the FBI would have a dual mission of trying to
- 16 gather evidence necessary for a prosecution, should one become
- 17 apparent, and also to gather any intelligence that could be
- 18 used to stop another attack.
- 19 Q. The primary mission of the FBI is law enforcement,
- 20 however, correct? You're not an intelligence agency.
- 21 A. Oh, the FBI is an intelligence agency; they
- 22 participate in the intelligence community. And certainly the
- 23 most important responsibility would be to stop another attack.

- 1 Q. Are you familiar with the 28 CFR Chapter 1, 28 Code
- 2 of Federal Regulations, which sets forth the FBI's mission?
- **3** A. I have not read that.
- 4 Q. All right. Are you aware of a specific document that
- 5 delineates the FBI's primary function as an intelligence
- 6 agency?
- 7 A. No.
- **8** Q. All right. All right. You testified that you also
- 9 participated in the USS COLE investigation.
- 10 A. My testimony was that I supported investigators in
- 11 Yemen. I was not assigned as a case agent or as an
- 12 investigative agent in that case. My role, as I testified to
- 13 it, was as an agent going there to support the other
- **14** investigators there.
- 15 Q. The other investigators who were there were working
- 16 with local law enforcement agencies as well, correct?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And I think you testified that amongst the various
- 19 duties and responsibilities that the FBI team had was evidence
- 20 collection?
- **21** A. Yes.
- **22** Q. And general law enforcement investigation?
- 23 A. General law enforcement investigation as allowed and

- 1 as available in Yemen, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. If you identified one of the people
- 3 responsible for the USS COLE, and you, yourself, were not
- 4 personally threatened, were you authorized to use deadly
- **5** force?
- 6 A. I ----
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Rephrase that question, please.
- 8 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Sure.
- **9** Q. Other than in a self-defense situation, were you
- 10 authorized to use deadly force?
- 11 A. It was only in self-defense. The FBI rule of
- 12 engagement was the same as it is domestically, to use force if
- 13 there's imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury and
- **14** only as a last resort.
- **15** Q. Did you have arrest powers?
- **16** A. I did not.
- 17 Q. Did other agents have arrest powers?
- **18** A. They did not.
- 19 Q. Did they have the ability to work with local law
- 20 enforcement to arrest individuals identified as being
- 21 connected to the USS COLE bombing?
- 22 A. I have no specific knowledge of anyone having arrest
- 23 powers derived from local law enforcement. Any local law

- 1 enforcement took whatever investigative action they wanted to,
- 2 they were not directed by the FBI.
- **3** Q. The FBI, however, did have access to people who were
- 4 identified as suspects in connection with the USS COLE,
- **5** correct?
- **6** A. To the best of my knowledge, they had access, but it
- 7 was always with a Yemeni investigator.
- **8** Q. And, in fact, certain FBI agents did have an
- 9 opportunity to interrogate suspects connected with the
- **10** USS COLE bombing, correct?
- 11 A. To the best of my knowledge, based upon my presence
- 12 in Yemen at that time, there were interviews that were
- 13 conducted with Yemeni interrogators or Yemeni investigators.
- 14 I do not have any knowledge as to who led any sort of
- 15 interrogation or interview or whether or not FBI agents were
- 16 allowed to specifically direct them. That's outside my scope
- 17 of knowledge.
- 18 Q. Okay. Fast-forwarding to immediately -- immediately
- 19 before 2007, before you interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi ----
- **20** A. Yes.
- Q. ---- when did you first learn that you would be
- 22 involved in his interrogation?
- 23 A. Sometime during the fall of 2006.

- **1** Q. Do you recall how that came about?
- 2 A. I believe I received a call from one of my former New
- 3 York supervisors advising that they were getting agents
- 4 together to try to conduct interviews of high-value detainees
- 5 who either had been or were -- or about to be moved to
- 6 Guantanamo. I'm not exactly sure when that was, but it was
- 7 roughly in the fall of 2006.
- 8 Q. I notice you used the word interview. These were, in
- 9 fact, interrogations, no?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you considered them to be interrogations?
- **12** A. Yes.
- **13** Q. At what point did you travel down to Guantanamo?
- **14** A. January 2007.
- 15 Q. Prior to you traveling down to Guantanamo, did you
- 16 make any efforts in preparation for the eventual
- 17 interrogation?
- **18** A. Yes.
- **19** Q. And what preparation did you take?
- 20 A. Gathering documents about -- mine specifically was
- 21 regarding Ali Abdul Aziz Ali, one of the other co-defendants.
- 22 I did not gather all of the documents related to
- 23 Mr. al Hawsawi. Special Agent Perkins at the time was

- **1** gathering those documents.
- 2 Q. When you say you didn't gather all of them, does that
- 3 mean you gathered some of them?
- 4 A. What I recall is that I gathered the documents and
- 5 information related to Mr. Ali, and Special Agent Perkins
- 6 gathered the documents related to Mr. al Hawsawi. Now, at
- 7 some point, of course, I reviewed those documents so I would
- 8 be familiar with them, but to the best of my knowledge, I did
- 9 not gather the documents as they related to Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 10 interrogation.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Agent Fitzgerald, just to clarify, were
- 12 you going down just to interview Mr. Hawsawi or also Mr. Ali?
- 13 WIT: Your Honor, my primary responsibility was with
- 14 Mr. Ali, but I assisted Special Agent Perkins with
- 15 Mr. al Hawsawi because there was much overlapping information.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Got it.
- 17 Q. So you were assigned specific high-value detainees,
- 18 correct?
- **19** A. I was specifically assigned Mr. Ali.
- Q. I understand. And when you say you gathered the
- 21 documents, what does that mean?
- 22 A. In the case of Mr. Ali, there were flight manifests,
- 23 telephone records, business records, documents gathered from

- 1 searches, things of that nature that I wished to question
- 2 Mr. Ali about. Those are the documents that I'm referring to.
- **Q.** What I mean is actually the location you gathered
- 4 them from; so, for example, did you go into a large room with
- 5 a bunch of boxes and just start grabbing stuff? Did you go
- 6 into a database? Did you go into an FBI -- and I'll break
- 7 that down.
- 8 That's kind of my initial question is: What do you
- 9 mean when you say you gathered these documents? Where did you
- 10 gather the documents from?
- 11 A. From the FBI case file system, which would be -- the
- 12 automated case file system, ACS, and then any -- related to
- 13 ACS there -- back at that time there were paper files. So you
- 14 would have a paper FD-302 as well as an electronic copy. Then
- 15 you would have an attached -- what the FBI calls a 1A envelope
- 16 or an FD-340 envelope; it's the same thing.
- 17 And in that, for instance, if an agent went --
- 18 I'll -- to try to give an example. If an agent went to
- 19 Emirates Airlines and obtained a passenger name record, in
- 20 general terms they would write an FD-302 saying I, James
- 21 Fitzgerald, collected the following flight manifest and
- 22 passenger name record from Emirates Airlines, and then I would
- 23 take that record, enclose it in a 1A, and put that with the

- 1 FD-302 so it's memorialized and upload it into the case file
- 2 system.
- I provided that example because in preparation for
- 4 Mr. Ali's interrogation, I would review the FD-302s, and then
- 5 I would go to the FBI paper file to get copies of that flight
- 6 manifest or copies of that passenger name record with the
- 7 intention of showing those things, in this case, to Mr. Ali,
- 8 to see what he had to say about them.
- **9** Q. And I understand you to have said that you reviewed
- 10 the documents that were gathered by Special Agent Perkins in
- 11 preparation for the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you have specific knowledge as to where she
- 14 gathered these documents from?
- 15 A. Some of them, responding offhand, there were
- 16 documents from Standard Chartered Bank. I know those were a
- 17 large portion of the documents. Those documents were gained
- 18 through banks; specifically, of course, Standard Chartered
- 19 Bank, back circa -- to the best of my knowledge, circa 2002,
- 20 maybe a little bit later, but I think that's about ----
- 21 Q. Let me make my question a better question. Do you
- 22 know what database or what storage system she retrieved those
- 23 documents from?

- **1** A. I don't.
- 2 Q. Very well.
- 3 Did you use any other document storage systems or
- 4 retrieval systems to access those documents? And by that I
- 5 mean things such as the closed system you've described from
- 6 the CIA, or did you stay entirely within the FBI's umbrella?
- 7 A. I stayed entirely within the FBI's umbrella. I'm
- 8 trying to think. I don't -- I don't know if I had access to
- **9** that closed system prior to the interrogation of Mr. Ali.
- 10 I -- I don't recall, but I know certainly the documents that I
- 11 had were from the FBI system.
- 12 Q. Were you aware that that access was impending; in
- 13 other words, that even though you may not have had access at
- 14 the time, that that access was coming?
- 15 A. I have no recollection of that. In the case of
- 16 Mr. Ali, I didn't need it. I -- there were documents gathered
- 17 during the investigation of Mr. Ali that I had identified, and
- 18 I had a good idea as to where they were and how to get them in
- **19** the FBI system.
- 20 Q. I understand. Did you have an opportunity, in
- 21 addition to reviewing the documents Agent Perkins intended to
- 22 use, to sit down with Agent Perkins and discuss the goals of
- **23** Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?

- **1** A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did you do that in the Washington, D.C. area before
- **3** travelling to Guantanamo?
- **4** A. I believe it was in Washington, D.C., but I do recall
- 5 having a conversation with Special Agent Perkins regarding how
- 6 we were going to attempt to interview or interrogate
- **7** Mr. al Hawsawi.
- **8** Q. Do you remember who else, if anybody else, was
- 9 involved in those discussions in terms of setting forth goals,
- **10** objectives of the interrogation?
- **11** A. I don't recall anyone else.
- 12 Q. Just Agent Perkins?
- 13 A. Just Agent Perkins.
- 14 Q. Prior to travelling to Guantanamo, did you meet with
- 15 any other law enforcement agencies or intelligence agencies to
- 16 set forth goals or objectives for the interrogation of
- 17 Mr. al Hawsawi or Mr. Ali, as you've indicated?
- 18 A. I don't, other than -- again, speaking in general
- 19 terms, because I do not have a specific recollection, other
- 20 than gathering the information related to Mr. Ali, in my case,
- 21 from FBI case files, I don't recall receiving specific
- 22 direction or instruction from anybody other than to identify
- 23 the relevant material and see if that could be -- if Mr. Ali

- 1 would identify that or if it would be relevant towards his
- 2 interrogation.
- 3 So -- but I was not -- if I'm -- if I'm answering the
- 4 question that I think you're asking, I was not directed by my
- 5 supervisor to a specific end result. My supervisor looked at
- 6 me at that time as someone who was knowledgeable about Mr. Ali
- 7 and trusted that I would identify relevant material and
- 8 attempt to confront Mr. Ali regarding it.
- **9** Q. I wasn't so much asking about direction as I was
- 10 about participation or involvement, input into the objectives
- 11 or goals. Does that ----
- 12 A. Yeah. I don't recall other agencies or personnel
- 13 providing input as -- the goal to me was self-evident, so I
- 14 don't recall other agencies.
- **15** Q. We're still talking -- we're still talking about
- **16** before you traveled?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- **18** Q. Got you. All right.
- 19 What other types of background information did you
- 20 feel were important prior to interrogating a high-value
- 21 detainee such as Mr. al Hawsawi or, more specifically, as in
- 22 your case, Mr. Ali?
- 23 A. Anything that I could find in the FBI case file

- 1 system, any FD-302s, any electronic communications. I mostly
- 2 concentrated on physical evidence: flight manifests, phone
- 3 records, bank documents, things that were tangible that a
- 4 person would have a tough time explaining away if confronted
- **5** with.
- **6** Q. Was it important to you to understand
- 7 Mr. al Hawsawi's or the high-value detainee's prior detention
- 8 history?
- **9** A. The problem was regarding the -- any sort of prior
- 10 detention, is between that time, between 2003 and 2006, to the
- 11 best of my knowledge, I had no information regarding Mr. Ali.
- 12 Like I don't recall reading information from other agencies
- 13 simply because it was not available.
- 14 Q. Sure. So my question was not so much did you have
- 15 access, it was: In preparing for an interrogation, does that
- 16 type of information matter to you, meaning what kind of
- 17 detention history the person has undergone, what kind of
- 18 treatment they may have received?
- 19 A. In this specific case, it did not matter to me.
- Q. Very well. So you traveled down to Guantanamo ----
- **21** A. Yes.
- 22 Q. ---- in preparation for the interrogation of
- 23 Mr. al Hawsawi -- excuse me, Mr. Ali. At some point, you

- 1 obviously also become involved in Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 2 interrogation. How did that come about?
- **3** A. I don't have a specific recollection in general
- 4 terms, because Mr. Ali and Mr. al Hawsawi were both around
- 5 each other at about the same time and were both facilitating
- 6 people we considered hijackers. There was overlap in
- 7 information. So I think, again, without having specific --
- 8 excuse me, specific recollection as to how it happened, I
- 9 think it was natural because of the overlap in information
- 10 between Mr. Ali and Mr. al Hawsawi that Special Agent Perkins
- 11 and I began to work together.
- 12 Q. So you saw them as being somewhat of a different
- 13 category, for lack of a better term, in terms of their
- 14 involvement. You said -- I think you used the word
- **15** facilitators.
- 16 A. At least in one specific context, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it seemed reasonable to share information
- 18 and participate in both of those interrogations?
- 19 A. Not because they were, quote, facilitators, and not
- 20 to limit my explanation of their role as to a facilitator, but
- 21 simply because some of the information between Mr. Ali
- 22 overlapped with some of the information between
- 23 Mr. al Hawsawi.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, you're in Guantanamo prior to the
- 2 interrogation. What additional preparation do you undertake?
- **3** A. The preparation that I recall was specifically
- 4 talking with Special Agent Perkins over -- about the
- 5 information, how are we going to approach Mr. Ali or
- 6 Mr. al Hawsawi; presenting of the documents, presenting sort
- 7 of the fruits of the investigation about Mr. -- in this case
- 8 Mr. Ali or Mr. al Hawsawi to them, and then trying to confront
- 9 them and find out what they said.
- 10 But -- so other than gathering that information,
- 11 reading FD-302s, reading electronic communications, gathering
- 12 that information and talking it over with Special Agent
- 13 Perkins, to the best of my knowledge, that's all I can recall.
- 14 Q. Did you access the CIA's closed document system that
- 15 we discussed earlier in preparation for your interrogation of
- **16** Mr. al Hawsawi or Mr. Ali?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. All right. At that point, were you aware that you
- 19 did, in fact, have access to that system?
- 20 A. I don't know if I had access to that system. I don't
- 21 know -- it's -- because those things happened around the same
- 22 time, I don't know when the system was actually functional.
- 23 At the time I was assigned to the New York Office, so I was

- 1 going back and forth between New York and Washington, D.C. So
- 2 any -- there's a whole lot of travel around that time frame.
- 3 And to the best of my knowledge, I -- number one, I
- 4 didn't have access. I don't know when I first had access. It
- 5 would have had to have been sometime around January 2007. But
- 6 I have no recollection whatsoever of having any access to it
- 7 before then. I don't ----
- **8** Q. I understand.
- **9** A. I don't recall anticipating it.
- 10 Q. I understand. In terms of your specific access, are
- 11 you saying that you did not access it, or are you saying you
- 12 do not recall accessing it in preparation for the
- **13** interrogation?
- 14 A. I did not access it in preparation for the
- 15 interrogation of Mr. Ali or Mr. al Hawsawi. And I was trying
- 16 to answer your question as to whether or not I anticipated
- 17 access. I just have no recollection of that.
- 18 Q. I understand. Do you have -- well, backtrack here.
- 19 Do you know if Special Agent Perkins accessed the CIA's system
- 20 of records in preparation for Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- Q. One of the purposes of the interrogation was to
- 23 develop evidence for a potential prosecution in military

- 1 commission proceedings; isn't that correct?
- 2 A. That's fair to state, yes.
- 3 Q. All right. Did you receive any briefings prior to
- 4 the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **5** A. I think you'd have to be more specific.
- **6** Q. Sure.
- 7 A. There were lots of meetings around that time.
- **8** Q. All right. I'm specifically referencing meetings
- 9 focusing on the upcoming investigation of Mr. al Hawsawi. Did
- 10 you receive briefings from anyone on Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 11 background?
- **12** A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you receive briefings from anyone on the ground
- 14 rules for asking questions of Mr. al Hawsawi during your
- **15** interrogation?
- 16 A. We received a briefing on how to handle claims of
- 17 mistreatment, claims of torture. That's the briefing that I
- 18 recall. Like how -- if a detainee stated they were treated in
- 19 a certain way, we were briefed as to how that would be
- 20 memorialized.
- Q. When you say treatment, you're referring to claims of
- 22 torture?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And who provided that briefing?
- 2 A. To the best of my knowledge, it was an FBI person.
- **Q.** When you say to the best of my knowledge, is there a
- 4 possibility that it could have been somebody posing as an FBI
- 5 agent?
- **6** A. No.
- **7** Q. No -- not possible?
- 8 A. When I say to the best of my knowledge, like in some
- 9 of these briefings, you know, you have a room full of people.
- 10 No, my knowledge is that my supervisor at the time was
- 11 briefing us, an FBI supervisor, who I had long-term knowledge
- 12 of was saying, hey, if you receive an allegation of
- 13 mistreatment, an allegation of torture, this is how you
- **14** memorialize it.
- **15** Q. Okay. Or not, correct?
- **16** A. I don't know what you mean.
- 17 Q. Sure. So you actually were prohibited from including
- 18 allegations of torture in the LHMs that you prepared; isn't
- 19 that correct?
- A. I think it's more accurate to say that if someone
- 21 claimed that they were mistreated, that we would put it in a
- 22 separate document. So the answer is yes, it was not included
- 23 in the LHM that we prepared, but it was put in a separate

- 1 document.
- **2** Q. Are you familiar with the January 10, 2007 CIA
- **3** grounds rule for FBI interrogations document?
- 4 A. I'm -- I suspect that I have read that, but it's been
- 5 quite some time.
- **6** Q. Okay. And do you remember that document indicating
- 7 that, "Allegations of misconduct will not" -- the "not" being
- 8 underlined -- "be included in the LHM"?
- **9** A. Again, as I've stated, I recall the directions to be,
- 10 if someone makes a claim of mistreatment or torture, it's to
- 11 be put in a separate document. So that is consistent with
- 12 that memo.
- 13 Q. In other words, the memorandum that would go to,
- 14 presumably, the outside world would not include such
- **15** information?
- 16 A. That would likely be the case, yes.
- 17 Q. You would have to prepare a ghost report that would
- 18 include such information relating to the torture and
- 19 mistreatment by the CIA?
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection to the characterization, sir.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: He can answer the question, Judge.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Rephrase the question.
- 23 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Sure.

- 1 Q. You would have to provide a ghost report or a second
- 2 report, correct?
- **3** A. I would not consider it a ghost report because it
- 4 would be available. It's simply a separate report indicating
- 5 the claims of mistreatment by a detainee.
- **6** Q. How would someone know that the second report was
- 7 available if there was nothing to identify it in the first?
- **8** TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, calls for speculation.
- **9** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: He said it would be available. I'm
- 10 asking what that availability would be.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: If you're asking about the distribution of
- 12 these reports, the objection is overruled. Simply, who sees
- 13 these reports?
- 14 WIT: Your Honor, it was a Top Secret document, so I don't
- 15 know how it was uploaded.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That's the second report we're
- 17 talking about?
- 18 WIT: Correct.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: And the first report we're talking about?
- 20 WIT: The first report, at least initially they were Top
- 21 Secret as well. Some of the statements made by the detainees
- 22 were initially considered Top Secret. Since that time, many
- 23 of them have been declassified or reclassified at a lower

- **1** level.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So the distribution would be
- 3 limited by the classification?
- **4** WIT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: So when you say available, it would be
- 6 available to people with the proper clearance and the need to
- 7 know?
- **8** WIT: Yes, that's correct.
- **9** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz.
- 10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- 11 Q. The CIA's ground rules for your interrogations also
- 12 included the fact that you would have to use a CIA laptop,
- 13 correct?
- **14** A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And you would have to type any notes into the CIA's
- **16** laptop?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then they would be transmitted to the CIA for
- **19** their chop?
- **20** A. Yes.
- Q. You would also have a CIA thumb drive for each HVD,
- 22 correct?
- A. Now, when you say their chop, they did not have input

- 1 into the -- like I wrote what I wrote, so they didn't modify
- 2 what I wrote, but they would -- if there was something that
- 3 they considered to be Top Secret, that was their chop. So I
- 4 just want to be clear, they did not and were not able to alter
- 5 the content of it, simply to comment on the classification of
- **6** it.
- 7 Q. They were able to set forth rules for what went into
- 8 or did not go into the document you prepared, correct?
- **9** A. Only as it related to treatment.
- **10** Q. Torture?
- **11** A. Yes.
- **12** Q. By the CIA?
- 13 A. Alleged torture, yes, by the CIA. Allegations of
- 14 mistreatment, that's correct.
- 15 Q. Your personal notes taken during the interrogation
- 16 also had to be submitted by -- to the CIA for their chop?
- 17 A. For their classification review, that's correct.
- 18 Q. So you, when you left that interrogation as an agent
- 19 of the FBI, were not allowed to hold on to your own notes?
- 20 A. I did not have my notes. I don't know if I -- it
- 21 was -- at that point, it was more of a handling issue. We
- 22 still had access to our notes. The issue became they're Top
- 23 Secret documents and how do we move them from Guantanamo up to

- 1 Washington, D.C. So I could get access to my notes. It
- 2 wasn't something that the CIA seized and I had no access to,
- 3 but there was -- they were the mechanics of moving Top Secret
- 4 documents.
- **5** Q. So at the time that you interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi,
- 6 they were still operating under a presumptive classification
- 7 regime, correct?
- **8** A. To the best of my understanding, yes.
- **9** Q. Meaning everything that came out of Mr. al Hawsawi's
- **10** mouth was considered to be Top Secret?
- **11** A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So am I to understand that you had the opportunity to
- 13 interrogate him without having a Top Secret clearance?
- **14** A. No, I had a Top Secret clearance.
- 15 Q. And therefore, you would have had the ability to
- **16** transport that information?
- 17 A. As I mentioned, it was the mechanics of it. So in
- 18 order to move Top Secret information, travel with it on an
- 19 aircraft, requires obviously double-wrapping the information,
- 20 having it -- maintaining it in your own care and custody. So
- 21 it's not that I couldn't do it because I didn't have a Top
- 22 Secret clearance.
- What I'm talking about is physically moving those

- 1 documents in a secure fashion. I had access to my notes if I
- 2 needed access to my notes.
- 3 Q. There was specific guidance, was there not, that your
- 4 notes were to be pouched back to the CIA for classification
- **5** review?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So they had to be submitted to the CIA?
- 8 A. Yes, they had to be submitted to the CIA for
- 9 classification review.
- 10 Q. You were also prohibited from using any prior
- 11 statements of Mr. al Hawsawi or other high-value detainees
- 12 unless that was cleared by the agency in charge, which was the
- 13 CIA, or the prosecutor, who was available for your
- **14** consultation; isn't that correct?
- **15** A. Can you restate that, please?
- 16 Q. Sure. Why don't I just read you the paragraph and
- 17 you tell me if you recall this.
- 18 "No statement made by a detainee while a detainee was
- 19 in the custody of an intelligence agency or any evidence
- 20 obtained as a result of such statement will be used in an
- 21 interview unless approved in advance by the assigned
- 22 prosecutor and the appropriate intelligence agency."
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So you had to vet your questions not only through a
- 2 prosecutor, but also the CIA?
- 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir. Mischaracterization.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Is it -- the objection is overruled.
- **5** Regardless how the question was phrased, do you
- 6 understand?
- 7 WIT: Yes, Your Honor. And to answer the question, I
- 8 asked any question that I needed to of Mr. Ali, and -- in the
- 9 case, when I was in the room with Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: But as I understand what Mr. Ruiz is
- 11 asking you is you had to have permission to ask questions
- 12 based on prior statements of the detainees.
- 13 WIT: Yes.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 15 WIT: As they were in CIA custody, yes.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 17 Q. You had to have the CIA's permission?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Did you ever -- let's just cut to the
- 20 chase. Did you ever review statements made while in CIA
- 21 custody?
- WIT: The only statements that I reviewed, Your Honor,
- 23 were the ones from Ramzi Binalshibh circa 2002 when they were

- 1 uploaded into the FBI system of records, and before those
- 2 records -- before those cables began to be classified at a
- 3 higher level.
- 4 After that classification changed, in the case of
- 5 Mr. Ramzi Binalshibh, I did not review any of those CIA
- 6 cables.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Go ahead.
- **8** Q. Well, all of the information you were going to
- **9** utilize in the interrogation was classified, correct?
- **10** A. No.
- **11** Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Most of it was unclassified.
- 13 Q. All right. Let me just focus on statements, okay?
- **14** A. Okay.
- 15 Q. Statements that Mr. al Hawsawi made during the course
- 16 of this interrogation were considered classified?
- 17 A. Statements made when?
- 18 Q. During the course of your interrogation ----
- **19** A. After classification ----
- **20** Q. ---- after coordination, you interrogated him?
- 21 A. ---- yes. So if Mr. al Hawsawi made a statement, it
- 22 was presumptively classified until a classification review
- 23 could determine whether or not it really was classified or

- 1 not. It had to undergo some sort of review.
- 2 Q. I understand. I know you testified back in 2013, and
- **3** you admitted that Mr. al Hawsawi was not Mirandized?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- **5** Q. And the reason he was not Mirandized now we know is
- 6 because the CIA's ground rules prohibited that, correct?
- 7 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: He can answer the question. Objection
- 9 overruled.
- What was the reason why?
- 11 WIT: The admonitions that I was provided, Your Honor,
- 12 stated that the person speaking to us was voluntary, that the
- 13 person could stop at any time; however, based upon the Rules
- 14 of Military Commissions at that time, because that detainee
- 15 was not charged, they were not entitled to an attorney.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: And where did you get this direction from,
- 17 to use that admonishment in lieu of the normal Miranda
- **18** warnings?
- **19** WIT: From my FBI supervisor.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 21 Mr. Ruiz.
- 22 Q. So the January 2007 ground rules document, in fact,
- 23 says that the detainee will not be given Miranda rights,

- 1 correct?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. This is the same document that includes the other
- 4 ground rules in terms of how the CIA wants you to conduct your
- 5 interrogation?
- **6** A. I would not agree with that characterization. But in
- 7 general terms, yes.
- **8** Q. You would not agree that that information or that
- **9** direction is included in the same memorandum?
- 10 A. No, you said CIA direction. And the CIA did not
- 11 direct me when I interrogated Mr. Ali and when I participated
- 12 in the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 13 Q. So you voluntarily put that information on the CIA
- 14 laptop? That's what you thought was the best way to go about
- **15** doing the interrogation?
- 16 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, argumentative, Your Honor.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled. You may answer the question.
- 18 A. What I'm stating is that I was able to conduct
- 19 that -- the interrogation and ask the questions that I saw
- 20 fit, understanding that any allegations of mistreatment would
- 21 be memorialized on a separate document. And again, as you
- 22 mentioned, there were the admonitions regarding how -- in
- 23 other words, not Miranda, but the fact that a person could

- 1 stop speaking at any time, that they could ----
- 2 Q. I'm sorry, you said that he could stop and speak to a
- 3 lawyer at any time?
- 4 A. No, that they could stop speaking to interrogators at
- 5 any time, that they were under no obligation to speak to us.
- **6** But I don't know that that was a CIA direction. This is where
- 7 I'm going. I don't know that that was a CIA direction or if
- 8 it was lawyers in general terms. I don't know if it was
- 9 Department of Justice or CIA or whatever, but other legal
- **10** advisors.
- 11 So what I'm saying is I don't know if that direction
- 12 was primarily or solely a CIA direction, but they may have
- 13 simply been passing on those admonitions from other people.
- 14 Q. Okay. You don't disagree with me that that direction
- 15 is included in the same memorandum that directs you to ask
- **16** permission before you ask certain questions?
- 17 A. You have to -- directs -- directs me to ask
- 18 permission to ask certain questions if they concerned, and
- 19 only if they concerned, prior statements made under CIA
- 20 custody, yes.
- 21 Q. And, in fact, during the interrogation you did not
- 22 ask any such questions?
- 23 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And if you had, you had -- would have had to ask
- 2 permission?
- **3** A. If there were questions ----
- 4 Q. You would have had to ask permission ----
- **5** A. ---- they were derived from CIA interrogation. Or if
- 6 there was information derived from CIA interrogation, yes, we
- 7 would have had go to the CIA and say, can we use this. We --
- 8 I'm not aware of any of those -- of any information that we
- 9 used from CIA custody, and asked no permission for us to ask
- 10 any questions.
- 11 Q. Okay. Because I think, as you testified, previous
- 12 treatment was not important to you going into that
- **13** interrogation?
- **14** A. I would state that a different way. I was simply
- 15 concentrated on what we knew or what we believed that we knew
- 16 regarding Mr. Ali or Mr. al Hawsawi and presenting that
- 17 information to that individual to see what they knew about it.
- 18 Q. All right. If the detainee asked for a lawyer, you
- 19 were directed to tell the detainee that no attorney was
- 20 available for consultation, correct?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. And that that was because they had not been charged?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You, however, had access to an immediate consultation
- 2 to attorneys from OMC-P, the Department of Justice, the FBI,
- 3 and the NSLB?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. All right. Immediate consultation.
- **6** A. I don't know that I had immediate consultation with
- 7 all of those individuals at all times, but I certainly had
- 8 access to FBI attorneys and OMC-P attorneys.
- 9 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, this -- and I'm referring to --
- 10 because I haven't actually done this, Judge, I have been
- 11 referring to 502XX. When I refer to the CIA grounds rule
- 12 document, I'm referring to 502XX ----
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- and I have been referring to that
- 15 document throughout this examination. And it was submitted to
- 16 the court on the first day of the hearings.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 18 Q. So in referencing 502XX, it is correct, Agent
- 19 Fitzgerald, that it says that prosecutors from the office of
- 20 OMC-P, the Department of Justice, the FBI, the NSLB will be
- 21 present in GTMO and available for immediate consultation,
- 22 legal assistance, and advice to interrogating agents.
- 23 A. Yes, I understand that. What I was saying was that

- 1 my recollection is that I had immediate access to FBI
- 2 attorneys and OMC prosecutors. If that's what that memo says,
- 3 I'm sure that those persons were present. I was trying to
- 4 explain what my recollection was.
- **5** Q. Sure. Were any of these prosecutors the prosecutors
- 6 you had access to during the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 7 A. I believe Mr. Groharing was down there at that time
- 8 and also Mr. Trivett.
- **9** Q. All right. Did you have an opportunity to confer
- 10 with them prior to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **11** A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what was the nature of that interaction?
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir.
- **14** MJ [COL POHL]: Basis?
- 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: Beyond the scope and relevance, sir.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: What's the relevance of this?
- 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Everything that he did in preparation for
- 18 this interrogation, Judge, is relevant: The briefings he got,
- 19 the information he was provided, the purpose of the
- 20 interrogation itself.
- We've had one characterization of that interrogation
- 22 yesterday. I think it's important that we establish that this
- 23 was an interrogation with a view towards a prosecution.

- 1 TC [MR. RYAN]: Excuse me, Your Honor. We -- the original
- 2 objection today was that this was beyond the scope of what
- 3 this agent testified to on direct. I understand there's
- 4 leeway that has been provided, but it went to specific issues
- 5 concerning CIA. We are far beyond that as well now. This is
- **6** not a motion to suppress.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Well ----
- 8 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, we filed a motion to challenge the
- 9 existence of hostilities in this instance. We objected to the
- 10 relevance of the statements in this case because we were
- 11 narrowly drawing and tailoring this motion to the hostilities
- 12 aspect. As I've indicated, if we can establish
- 13 hostilities ----
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Well, wait a minute. Mr. Ruiz, is -- is
- 15 when we discussed this earlier and the government specifically
- 16 asked were you only challenging the hostility piece, wasn't
- 17 your response you were challenging everything?
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes. But everything subsumes the
- 19 hostilities piece. So if they can't establish the
- 20 hostilities, they can't establish jurisdiction.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah, but that does not relieve them of
- 22 the burden to establish the other elements of personal
- 23 jurisdiction.

- 1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Right. And they have chosen to submit to
- 2 you over 50 pages of statements from Mr. al Hawsawi. They
- 3 have chosen to submit that as reliable evidence and
- **4** information ----
- **5** MJ [COL POHL]: No, I got that part of it, but ----
- **6** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- and there's a Sixth Amendment right
- 7 to test the basis of that information.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: I've got all that. I just want to make
- 9 sure that we're not shifting the ground rules here. Is that
- 10 they have to establish all of the elements of personal
- 11 jurisdiction because it was specifically asked, are you only
- 12 challenging the hostilities piece; and your response, your
- 13 team's response was, no, we're challenging the whole thing.
- 14 So when you say it doesn't go to hostilities and, therefore,
- 15 anything that doesn't go to hostilities is now irrelevant,
- **16** I -- I -- that's not ----
- 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That's not exactly how it went, Judge.
- 18 The way it went was, we challenge -- if you look at the
- 19 original hostilities motion, that's what we key on, the
- 20 existence of hostilities.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Are you stipulating to all other elements
- 22 of personal jurisdiction?
- 23 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No. Let me explain how that came about.

- 1 Prosecution stood up and said there are three prongs by which
- 2 we can establish personal jurisdiction. And we say, fine, if
- 3 they're going to pursue those three prongs, then obviously we
- 4 have to persist, but our key is on the hostilities issue.
- 5 The point I'm bringing it back to is, if there is
- 6 now, whether they brought it up or we brought it up, there's a
- 7 piece of evidence now that's before this commission,
- 8 supposedly introduced into evidence for your consideration on
- 9 this issue, I'm testing the grounds of that reliability of
- 10 that piece of information. This is the agent who was present
- **11** in the room ----
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I understand that.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- with a piece of paper ----
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I understand. I just want to make
- 15 sure that we're not -- I just want to make sure that the
- 16 challenge was to all elements of personal jurisdiction which
- 17 the government's rebutting. So any chance that this statement
- 18 goes to any element of personal jurisdiction, whether it's
- 19 hostilities or something else, then it seems to me it's fair
- 20 game for the government to argue its relevance to the personal
- **21** jurisdiction issue. Okay. Break.
- Now, the issue we're back to is what briefings did he
- 23 receive prior to his interrogation.

1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: And he testified that there were a number 2 of people present. 3 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. I got it. 4 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: This document that we were provided in 5 discovery on the eve of testimony reveals that prosecutors 6 were readily available. Presumably, the prosecution thinks 7 this is information we should have on the night before the 8 witness is testifying. 9 MJ [COL POHL]: No, no, don't ----10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: But, I mean ----11 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand what you're saying. 12 Mr. Ryan, what is your basis of objection here if 13 we're just going to the circumstances around the taking of the 14 statement. 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: Irrelevant to the hostilities slash 16 personal jurisdiction motion in which suppression going to 17 other persons and other instructions has never been raised. 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, that's not necessarily true, Judge. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: Which all we've heard about ----20 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: May I finish, Judge? May I finish? 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Both stop. I got it. Okay. You want to 22 discuss the circumstances around taking the statement. 23 permit you to do that.

- **1** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Sure.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: But let's not keep repeating ourselves.
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I didn't repeat my -- I was
- 4 specifically ----
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: I know. I know. I hear you. Okay. The
- **6** objection is overruled. The objection is overruled. You may
- 7 ask your question.
- **8** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Sure.
- 9 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- 10 Q. So prosecutor Jeff Groharing and Clay Trivett were
- 11 available on the island during Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation,
- 12 and you, in fact, conferred with them?
- 13 A. I know that Mr. Groharing was on island. I don't
- 14 recall if Mr. Trivett was. I recall Mr. Groharing being on
- 15 the island at that time. I know that I spoke with him at or
- 16 around that time. I recall very little of what we spoke
- 17 about. And as I stated before, the questions that I asked of
- 18 Mr. Ali or Mr. Hawsawi were of my own choosing.
- 19 Q. So this ground rules memorandum, 502XX, says that
- 20 agents should discuss their interview strategy with the
- **21** assigned DoD/DoJ prosecutors.
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do that with Mr. Groharing?

- **1** A. I have no specific recollection of discussing an
- 2 interview strategy. At that time, then-Major Groharing, I
- 3 think, left the latitude to Special Agent Perkins and myself
- 4 as law enforcement professionals to approach this in the way
- 5 that we saw fit.
- **6** Q. You knew that Mr. Groharing, at that time Major
- 7 Groharing, was a prosecutor with the Office of Military
- 8 Commissions, correct ----
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. ---- prosecutor's office? And you did speak to him?
- **11** A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Prior to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **13** A. Yes.
- **14** Q. You don't recall the specifics today?
- **15** A. That's correct.
- **16** Q. Did you memorialize that?
- 17 A. I did not.
- **18** Q. All right. Why not?
- 19 A. You're asking me to speculate why I didn't
- 20 memorialize something that I don't recall.
- **21** Q. Okay.
- 22 A. So I don't know how to answer that.
- Q. Very well. In terms of the -- I know you've talked

- 1 about you got to ask the questions you wanted and prepare the
- 2 documents and conduct the interrogation the way you wanted to.
- 3 Why didn't you prepare a 302 for Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 4 interrogation? Why did you create this LHM?
- **5** A. It was recognized at the outset that likely these
- 6 documents would be disseminated throughout the intelligence
- 7 community for their intelligence value, and it was also
- 8 recognized that they would likely be at a classification
- 9 higher than Unclassified.
- 10 At that specific time in the FBI system, FD-302s were
- 11 not classified, so it would be put into an electronic
- 12 communication or something else. So an LHM, a letterhead
- 13 memorandum, was chosen as a vehicle essentially out of
- 14 convenience. It was something that could be classified at a
- 15 Top Secret level, and it could be readily disseminated.
- 16 Q. Well, when you say it was chosen, it was chosen by
- 17 the CIA. correct?
- **18** A. I have no knowledge of that.
- 19 Q. All right. You interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi on four
- 20 different dates?
- 21 A. Yes, I believe that's correct. I don't have those
- 22 papers in front of me, but I will assume that you do, and that
- 23 sounds proper.

- 1 Q. Early January 2007?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. For approximately 24 to 28 hours?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Prior to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, did you
- **6** determine where he would be interrogated?
- 7 A. I don't know you what mean.
- 8 Q. Did you choose the location where you would be
- 9 interrogating him?
- **10** A. No.
- 11 Q. Somebody else chose that for you as well, correct?
- **12** A. Yes.
- **13** Q. The CIA?
- 14 A. I have no idea who chose it.
- 15 Q. All right, did you request to interrogate
- 16 Mr. al Hawsawi at Camp VII?
- 17 A. No.
- **18** Q. Did you request to interrogate him at Camp Echo II?
- **19** A. No.
- Q. Do you know how that location was selected?
- 21 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir, relevance.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Given what the answer is going to be, the
- 23 objection is overruled.

- **1** A. I have no idea how it was chosen.
- 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: So, Judge, the place and manner in which
- 3 Mr. al Hawsawi was interrogated is extremely relevant,
- 4 particularly when you have an organization saying that they
- 5 are the clean team.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: You've lost me here. What are you arguing
- 7 about?
- **8** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I'm not arguing about anything. I'm
- 9 asking.
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Asking what?
- 11 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Who selected where Mr. al Hawsawi would
- 12 be interrogated.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: He says he doesn't know.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay. I'm probing that.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: But you're asking me something about this,
- 16 and I'm not quite sure ----
- 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I'm not asking you anything, Judge.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Well, that's good because I'm not going to
- 19 tell you anything. But I am going to tell you this: We're
- 20 going to take a 15-minute recess now.
- 21 Commission is recessed for 15 minutes.
- 22 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1027, 7 December 2017.]
- 23 [END OF PAGE]

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1044,
- 2 7 December 2017.]
- 3 [Special Agent James M. Fitzgerald resumed his seat on the
- 4 witness stand.]
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. All
- 6 parties are again present. Agent Fitzgerald is still on the
- 7 stand.
- 8 Mr. Ruiz.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 10 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- 11 Q. Just a couple of quick questions, Agent Fitzgerald.
- 12 On the closed system that you described, do you recall what
- 13 the classification access requirement was for accessing that
- 14 closed system, the FBI's --
- **15** A. It was a Top Secret classification.
- 16 Q. Do you remember if there was a specific name of this
- 17 system?
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled. You may answer the question.
- 20 A. I do remember if there was a name. I believe the
- 21 name is classified.
- **22** Q. I understand.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That's what he just said.

- 1 Q. But you know what that name was?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. Tacking back to the questions I asked you about
- 4 briefing, you said there were a lot of people at the briefing.
- 5 Do you know who the people at the briefing were? And I'm
- 6 referring to the briefing right prior to interrogating
- 7 Mr. al Hawsawi that we've discussed earlier today.
- 8 A. The briefing that I believe that you're referring to
- 9 is one where admonitions were discussed and the -- how we
- 10 would react to and how we would memorialize allegations of
- 11 mistreatment or torture, and at that meeting, agents from the
- 12 FBI were present. I remember there were a number of agents
- 13 who were going to interview a number of the different
- 14 high-value detainees at that time, so there was a lot of folks
- 15 there. I remember my FBI supervisor was there. I believe
- 16 there was an FBI attorney there as well. I can't remember
- 17 past that because the briefing that I'm thinking of, that I'm
- 18 recalling, it was my supervisor who was speaking regarding
- **19** this.
- Q. To your knowledge, were there any non-FBI personnel
- **21** who attended that briefing?
- 22 A. There may have been. I don't recall.
- Q. All right. So it wasn't just limited to FBI. If a

- 1 CIA representative wanted to attend, they could?
- 2 A. It's possible, yes.
- 3 Q. All right. But the briefing you received was not, to
- 4 your knowledge, from a CIA intelligence officer?
- **5** A. The briefing that I'm thinking of specifically
- 6 regarding the admonitions was from my FBI supervisor.
- 7 Q. All right. And was that the only briefing you recall
- 8 that dealt with the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **9** A. There were other times during meetings where things
- 10 like admonitions were spoken of, but I ----
- 11 Q. Okay. We'll -- I'll get to kind of what happened in
- 12 between. Right now I'm just asking about prior to the
- **13** interrogation.
- 14 A. I recall that specific one. There certainly were
- 15 other meetings, but it's -- it's difficult for me to recall
- **16** exactly who was at what meeting when.
- 17 Q. I understand. Would you have documented meetings
- 18 such as the one where you -- where admonishments were
- 19 discussed, ground rules for interrogations were discussed?
- 20 Would you have documented that in some shape ----
- 21 A. I would not have in the normal course of business,
- **22** no.
- Q. All right. So I understand that you recall this one

- 1 meeting, but there were other series of meetings that also
- 2 took place before your interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **3** A. There were other meetings, yes, around that time,
- 4 that's correct.
- **5** Q. All right. My question is: Do you recall if they
- **6** involved discussions about how to interrogate Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 7 A. No discussions involved how to interrogate
- 8 Mr. al Hawsawi, in the respect that I could ask any question
- 9 that I wanted to. My understanding was that people left it up
- 10 to me as a professional to ask appropriate questions based
- 11 upon the evidence that I had gathered, understanding, as you
- 12 have previously pointed out, that if I were going to rely on
- 13 any statements that came from the CIA between 2003 and 2006,
- 14 that would have required something different.
- 15 Q. And that would be statements made by Mr. al Hawsawi,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. Or any statements referencing specific torture that
- **19** Mr. al Hawsawi alleged?
- 20 A. As I understood the ground rules at that time, any
- 21 statements by any high-value detainees during that time, the
- 22 2003, roughly, to 2006 time frame, if I wanted to use any of
- 23 that material -- and I was not aware of the substance of that

- 1 material -- if I were to use any of that material, that would
- 2 have required clearance from the CIA.
- **3** 0 Got it
- 4 A. That notwithstanding, any plan that I had or that
- 5 Special Agent Perkins had for interrogation of either Mr. Ali
- 6 or Mr. al Hawsawi was, in large part, as I recall this, left
- 7 up to us to determine what we were going to ask based upon the
- 8 information that we had, with the understanding that we had
- 9 the -- enough law enforcement experience to do what was
- 10 reasonable, to ask what was reasonable.
- 11 Q. I understand that I asked you how to interrogate.
- 12 Did you attend any briefings where other subjects that related
- 13 to Mr. al Hawsawi were discussed, such as, for example,
- 14 medical -- current medical issues, current detention issues?
- 15 They may not have been directly related to how you conducted
- 16 the interrogation, but they would have been related to
- 17 Mr. al Hawsawi in some way, shape, or form. Do you understand
- **18** what I'm asking?
- 19 A. I believe so. If I understand what you're asking,
- 20 was I briefed on, like if Mr. al Hawsawi had a medical issue?
- 21 I don't recall that. I do recall there being Navy corpsman
- 22 readily available and on site when we interviewed or
- 23 interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi. I don't recall a specific

- 1 briefing as to any medical issues regarding him.
- Q. Were any attendance records or logs required at these
- 3 meetings?
- 4 A. In other words, like an FBI meeting like the one that
- **5** we were just discussing?
- **6** Q. Did you have to sign in and show that you had
- 7 attended?
- **8** A. No.
- **9** Q. All right. Okay. Moving forward. I had asked you
- 10 about the location; you said you didn't remember having chosen
- 11 the location. But you do remember where you interrogated
- **12** Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 13 A. Yeah. I did not have any part in choosing the
- 14 location. I recall where it was.
- 15 Q. Very well. Do you know who?
- **16** A. Who chose it?
- **17** Q. Right.
- 18 A. I have no idea.
- 19 Q. Got it. All right. But you do know where you
- 20 interrogated him?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. And that's what we normally refer to as Camp Echo II,
- 23 correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. You have provided, I think in the past, somewhat of a
- 3 description of the place where Mr. al Hawsawi was
- 4 interrogated, correct?
- 5 A. I may have. If there's something specific that
- 6 you're referring to, if you could refresh my memory.
- 7 Q. Well, before I get to that specific room, do you know
- 8 how Mr. al Hawsawi was transported to the interrogation?
- **9** A. I know that I saw Navy personnel and ----
- 10 Q. Let me -- let me -- let me say this. I want to know
- 11 if you know the method. I understand some of these things may
- 12 be force protection or security issues, so I'm not asking you
- 13 necessarily to describe what you saw. I'm trying to ascertain
- 14 at this point if you know the method of transportation.
- 15 So did you know he was transported in a vehicle? Did
- 16 you know if he was transported -- did you have an opportunity
- 17 to see that? Do you see what I mean? And I'll come back to
- 18 one question at a time.
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection on relevance grounds to this
- 20 line, Your Honor.
- 21 MJ [COL POH]: What's the relevance?
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: As I've said, Judge, everything leading
- 23 up to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi will be important.

- 1 And I can elaborate on that, but I'd like to do it outside the
- 2 presence of this witness, if possible.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: Objection is overruled. Go ahead.
- 4 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: All right.
- 5 A. I don't recall ever seeing Mr. al Hawsawi
- 6 transported. As a practical matter, I would assume he was
- 7 taken there by vehicle.
- **8** Q. Okay. Did you ever ----
- **9** A. I don't recall ever seeing him in a van, in a
- 10 vehicle. I don't recall seeing him transported.
- 11 Q. So you don't recall what type of vehicle, if any, he
- 12 was transported in?
- **13** A. I don't.
- 14 Q. All right. Do you know what -- and not tell me what
- 15 the measures were, but do you know if there were any specific
- 16 sensory deprivation methods used during that transportation?
- 17 A. I have no idea.
- 18 Q. You did not see the inside of whatever he was
- **19** transported in?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. You did not ask him about that when you first met
- **22** him?
- A. I have no recollection of asking him how he was

- 1 transported or under what conditions; that's correct.
- 2 Q. There was no record of that in your notes, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And you have over -- I think it's over 120 pages of
- **5** handwritten notes?
- **6** A. There's a lot of notes, yes.
- 7 Q. All right. That memorialize all of the questions
- 8 that you indicated you asked Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **9** A. Yes, to the best of my ability.
- 10 Q. And how he was transported to the interrogations was
- 11 not a question you or anybody else asked?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. In other words, I'm referring not only to the method
- 14 of transportation, but also to how he was restrained or
- 15 otherwise prepared for that transportation, correct?
- **16** A. I have no idea; that's correct.
- 17 Q. You have no idea how that took place?
- **18** A. Correct.
- 19 Q. All right. Did you review Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 20 medical records prior to his interrogation?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. Did Agent Perkins review Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 23 medical records prior to the interrogation?

- **1** A. I have no knowledge if she did or did not.
- 2 Q. Now, I know you indicated you asked about his medical
- 3 condition. But other than asking about it, there was no other
- 4 information that you had regarding his medical condition,
- **5** correct?
- **6** A. At some point, I believe Mr. Hawsawi himself stated
- 7 that he had hepatitis, but I -- other than something like
- 8 that, I don't recall anything else about Mr. Hawsawi's health
- 9 condition.
- 10 Q. Excluding self-reports from Mr. al Hawsawi, in your
- 11 preparation for his interrogation, you did not review any
- 12 information regarding Mr. al Hawsawi's medical conditions,
- 13 correct?
- **14** A. I did not.
- 15 Q. To your knowledge, did Agent Perkins review any such
- **16** information?
- 17 A. To my knowledge, she did not.
- 18 Q. All right. In preparation for Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 19 interrogation, did you review any information that would have
- 20 informed you as to how Mr. -- strike that. Let me back this
- **21** up.
- 22 Did you review any information regarding how
- 23 Mr. al Hawsawi was previously detained, conditions of his

- 1 detention?
- **2** A. I did not.
- 3 Q. Did you have any information regarding how he was
- 4 interrogated?
- 5 A. I did not.
- **6** Q. Did you have any information about where he was
- 7 interrogated?
- 8 A. I did not.
- **9** Q. Did you know what the cells looked like or -- that he
- 10 was constrained in previous to your interrogation?
- 11 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, asked and answered, Your Honor.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Objection is overruled, but move on to
- 13 something else. He doesn't know. He keeps saying he doesn't
- 14 know, to rephrase the same question, but the answer is he
- 15 doesn't know. Go ahead.
- 16 Q. In regards to -- you have absolutely no knowledge of
- 17 how he was previously detained?
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, Your Honor, asked and answered.
- **19** MJ [COL POHL]: Sustained.
- 20 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- 21 Q. All right. So when you meet Mr. al Hawsawi, you have
- **22** a translator with you?
- 23 A. There was a translator available. There was not a

- 1 translator in the room with us.
- 2 Q. You did not utilize a translator during the
- 3 interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 4 A. We did not need to: that's correct.
- **5** Q. Well, what I asked you was you did not use it,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. We did not need one and we did not use one.
- **8** Q. Right. But there were translators that were readily
- 9 available?
- 10 A. Yes, there were.
- 11 Q. In fact, they were about 25 feet away, according to
- 12 your previous testimony?
- **13** A. That sounds about right.
- 14 Q. All right. And you determined that they were not
- 15 necessary because you made an assessment about
- **16** Mr. al Hawsawi's English language capability?
- 17 A. I think it's more accurate to say that both Special
- 18 Agent Perkins and I were able to communicate to and understand
- 19 Mr. al Hawsawi. So jointly, is my best description of how we
- 20 proceeded in that, was we both felt that we could communicate
- 21 effectively in both directions with Mr. al Hawsawi. We asked
- 22 a series of questions to try to determine his language
- **23** ability.

- 1 Q. And as I think we have established before, you have
- 2 no professional expertise or advanced education in language
- 3 assessment, correct?
- **4** A. I do not.
- **5** Q. And, more importantly, at the time you did not have
- 6 that?
- A. I did not.
- **8** Q. All right. Nor did you administer any instruments
- 9 that would have determined what Mr. al Hawsawi's language
- **10** ability were at the time, correct?
- 11 A. Other than asking questions to determine whether or
- 12 not he spoke English and what his experience was, we used no
- 13 other instruments.
- 14 Q. You didn't use any instruments to determine what his
- 15 comprehension of the English language is?
- 16 A. Other than being able to ask questions and get an
- 17 intelligent reply, no.
- 18 Q. Okay. On January 11, 2007, that was the first day
- **19** you interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 20 A. I have not reviewed those notes recently, but that
- 21 sounds approximately correct.
- **22** Q. All right. You were present?
- **23** A. Yes.

- **1** Q. Agent Perkins was present?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. And also Special Agent Mason?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Both you and Special Agent Mason were taking notes,
- **6** correct?
- 7 A. Initially, yes. And then at some point, I was the
- 8 only one taking notes.
- **9** Q. One of the -- in regards to the initial recitation of
- 10 questions, one of the answers that Mr. al Hawsawi gave you
- 11 during that initial recitation was that he may recognize this
- **12** place, correct?
- 13 A. There may be a classification issue.
- **14** TC [MR. RYAN]: Sir ----
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Just a second.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: These are unclassified notes, Judge. I'm
- 17 looking at an unclassified document, and it's from his
- 18 handwritten notes that says what I just said.
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Subject of a 505(g) notice that's been
- 20 filed I believe by counsel, Judge, getting into locations.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: This is an unclassified document with an
- 22 unclassified entry, Judge, and I just asked him if he wrote
- 23 the unclassified piece. The subject of that is the reason

- **1** why. It has nothing to do with the agent's notes. Quite
- 2 frankly, he's called more attention to it now than if he had
- 3 just let me asked the unclassified ----
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel, is this calling for a
- 5 classified answer, I mean, the way the question was worded?
- 6 TC [MR. RYAN]: May I have the court's indulgence a
- 7 moment, Your Honor.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
- 9 [Pause.]
- 10 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, there's a significant
- 11 question. It's my recommendation to the commission that it be
- 12 taken up during the (h) hearing.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, this is an unclassified document
- 14 with an unclassified entry.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Let me see the document.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I'll just have -- I'll give you my copy,
- 17 Judge.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: That's fine.
- 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Just for the record, Judge, I've handed
- 20 you a copy of Special Agent Fitzgerald's handwritten notes
- 21 that were provided to us a couple of days ago.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Just for the record, it's Bates stamped
- 23 MEA-LHM-00001299. Returning the document to Mr. Ruiz.

1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Actually, Judge, if I also -- there are 2 two places where the same -- if we're discussing that, there's 3 a second place where he says he recognizes the surroundings 4 from the past. 5 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. I got it. 6 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I also intend to ----7 MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel, I'm going to need some 8 specificity here. And if you're invoking the 9 national security privilege and you want to do it in a closed 10 session, I understand that, but I'm somewhat at a loss of why 11 this is what it is. But, I mean, the way the system works, it 12 gives you the opportunity, but it's an unclassified note. 13 I understand there could be certain questions that 14 are classified that -- along this line, but that's -- this is 15 not one of them, I would not think. But, again, you're the 16 holder of the privilege, so tell me. 17 TC [MR. RYAN]: There has been an (h) filed on this

- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Is this the new --
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No, Judge. This is -- first, we received

specific question. Your Honor is aware of parameters.

my suggestion that this be handled at the (h) hearing.

specific question, Judge -- or a (g) asking for an (h) on this

23 two sets of notes.

18

19

20

1 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: One set is classified, Judge. This is 3 the unclassified set of notes. 4 If -- what I -- the notice goes to follow-up 5 questions that I would ask in the closed session, but this is 6 an unclassified piece of information with unclassified entries 7 that they provided to us during the hearing this week. 8 MJ [COL POHL]: I got you. 9 Mr. Ryan? 10 TC [MR. RYAN]: You heard my objection, sir. I wish to go 11 no further. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Just so I'm clear here, is -- are you 13 saying the question based on the unclassified notes calls for 14 a classified response? 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: A moment, sir. 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, if I may, the question asked, does 17 this say what it says ----18 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand what you ----19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- which is unclassified. 20 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. 21 Mr. Ryan. 22 TC [MR. RYAN]: The words in the unclassified notes are

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

what they say. If it goes any further, it should be stopped.

23

- **1** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Okay. Frame your question
- 2 precisely.
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I will.
- 4 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- **5** Q. Special Agent Fitzgerald, in your handwritten notes
- 6 of January 11, 2007, you indicate, quote, may recognize this
- 7 place. Correct?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. There's also an entry in the January 2007 notes that
- 10 says, "Recognize surrounding from past."
- 11 A. Yes, it states that.
- 12 Q. The interrogation at this time was taking place in
- 13 Camp Echo II, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- 15 Q. There was no audio taken of the interrogations of
- **16** Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. There was no video that was taken. In other words,
- 19 his interrogations were not documented on video?
- **20** A. Correct.
- 21 Q. All right. There were no contemporaneously
- 22 transcribed notes that were prepared during his interrogation,
- 23 correct?

- **1** A. Could you explain what you mean by that? Obviously,
- 2 there were my notes ----
- **Q.** I mean, like a stenographer ----
- **4** A. No, there were not.
- **5** Q. ---- that would prepare a verbatim transcript of what
- 6 was being said by each person, kind of like what we have in
- 7 court.
- **8** A. No.
- **9** Q. And that applies to non -- I mean, that applies to
- **10** all of the four sessions, correct?
- **11** A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Who made the determination not to videotape the
- **13** interrogation?
- 14 A. At that time it was FBI policy, in general terms, not
- 15 to videotape unless you had specific permission to do so.
- 16 Q. Now, to your knowledge, did the special agent in
- 17 charge have the discretion to videotape the interrogation if
- **18** they so desired?
- 19 A. I believe there was some discretion placed in the
- 20 hands of the SAC.
- 21 Q. Did you discuss with Special Agent Perkins how to
- 22 document the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- A. We discussed taking notes only.

- 1 Q. Okay. Was the subject of video recording ever
- 2 brought up?
- **3** A. No.
- **4** Q. All right. Audio recording?
- **5** A. No.
- **6** Q. Verbatim transcript?
- **7** A. No.
- **8** Q. All right. Was that decision made before you went
- 9 into the interrogation room with Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 10 A. In general terms, during my FBI career up to that
- 11 time, I had not videotaped or audiotaped interviews or
- 12 interrogations, and it was not a practice to do so.
- 13 Q. Okay. Well, that's not my question.
- 14 A. I understand. But what I'm saying is that led to my
- 15 presence of mind at that time being that it was not a
- 16 generally accepted practice to do so.
- 17 Q. So your answer is -- was this a determination that
- 18 was made prior to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, yes or
- **19** no?
- A. We did not seek to have it audiotaped or videotaped.
- Q. Did you make that decision prior to beginning the
- **22** interrogation?
- A. I don't know that it was a decision other than a

- 1 continuation to practice as I had before.
- **Q.** Very well. Prior to the interrogation, the first day
- 3 of the interrogation, had you ever heard Mr. al Hawsawi speak?
- **4** A. No.
- **5** Q. Had you ever heard him speak English?
- **6** A. No.
- 7 Q. Had you ever had a conversation with Mr. al Hawsawi
- 8 in the English language?
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, you asked a -- he said have you
- 10 ever heard him speak, and the answer is no. Then you asked
- 11 him have you heard him speak in English. Well, if hadn't
- 12 heard him speak, he hadn't heard -- had a conversation with
- **13** him.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, I mean, he could have witnessed a
- 15 video, seen some clips of Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: And heard -- didn't hear him speak but
- 17 heard him speak English. Didn't hear him speak but heard a
- 18 conversation.
- 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, here's what I got to tell you. We
- 20 saw an agent yesterday dance on the head of a pin needle ----
- TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- on what a particular word meant.
- 23 Yes, I'm trying to make sure I pin down this witness as well

- 1 as I can because words matter. I get it.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: You just keep repeating yourself. And
- 3 we're just wasting a lot of time. When you asked -- you asked
- 4 a question, did you ever hear him speak; and he said no. So
- 5 now move on to something else.
- **6** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I understand. I understand. All right.
- 7 Q. Now, during the -- I'm going to ask to you about in
- 8 between interrogations, all right, from -- so one day to the
- 9 next. What did you do with the information you had gathered
- 10 from the day's interrogation? Did you type that in?
- **11** A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did you type that into the CIA's computer?
- 13 A. It was a laptop owned by the CIA, correct.
- 14 Q. All right. And did you do that off your -- the
- 15 notes, the handwritten notes that you had utilized?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you have any meetings or briefings in regards to
- 18 the information that had been obtained during the day?
- 19 A. I have no recollection as to a specific meeting or
- 20 briefing regarding that information. My best recollection is
- 21 that when we finished, we went and got something to eat and
- 22 then started typing.
- Q. Were you typing that by yourself or were there other

- 1 agents who were providing input into what went into the
- 2 report?
- **3** A. No. So for -- in the case of Mr. Ali, I typed
- 4 Mr. Ali's report. In the case of Mr. al Hawsawi, Special
- 5 Agent Perkins typed that document. There may have been
- 6 conversation between myself and Special Agent Perkins, but
- 7 Special Agent Perkins had the notes that I had taken that day.
- **8** Q. So she used your notes?
- **9** A. Yes, she did.
- 10 Q. She didn't, to your knowledge, have any problems
- 11 reading your handwriting?
- 12 A. She may have. And if she did, I -- again, I would --
- 13 specific recollection, she may have asked me about something
- **14** and ----
- **15** Q. You would have provided input?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you have any input before the next day from any
- 18 other parties as to what kinds of questions you would ask of
- **19** Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **20** A. No.
- Q. Did you have any meetings with prosecutors in the
- 22 days intervening each interrogation? Do you understand my
- 23 question?

- 1 A. I do. There were prosecutors in the area, but I have
- 2 no recollection of discussing specific questions or an
- 3 interview or an interrogation strategy with them. We were --
- 4 had a certain series of documents, in general terms, that we
- 5 were presenting to the -- to Mr. al Hawsawi, and we were
- 6 continuing along that path.
- 7 Q. Okay. You're referring to the time frame during the
- 8 interrogations themselves?
- **9** A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Gotcha. Did you have any role in the collection of
- 11 business records, international business records?
- 12 A. I did have some role in collecting some international
- 13 banking documents, business records, yes.
- 14 Q. And I know you discussed a number of business records
- 15 and generally the foundation for those records.
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In regards to the records that were obtained from
- 18 international entities ----
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. ---- for instance, banks, those kinds of things, can
- 21 you tell me if you or other agents personally undertook those
- 22 efforts?
- A. Yes. Some agents did, yes.

- 1 Q. Did the agents themselves get the certifications, or
- 2 did you have to go through the respective governments?
- 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, relevance and beyond the scope.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: What's the relevance of all of this?
- 5 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, they raised the issue of business
- 6 records, the authenticity of business records. I'm asking --
- 7 and I didn't object to that for purposes of this hearing, but
- 8 I would like to know generally how they went about obtaining
- 9 the certifications of these business records. It's fair.
- 10 They testified under oath. I'm asking what ----
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: And you didn't challenge it. Now, make it
- **12** quick.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That's my question.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. One question, one answer.
- 15 WIT: Can you restate that question, Mr. Ruiz?
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Objection overruled.
- 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Can I ask the question again?
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah.
- 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: One question, one answer. Go ahead.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Can it be can a long question?
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: One question, one answer.
- 23 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Got it.

1 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:

- 2 Q. So you did, in fact, have the opportunity to
- 3 participate in the collection of business records, or other
- 4 FBI agents did, in fact, participate in the collection of
- **5** business records.
- **6** My question is: Can you tell us with regards to
- 7 international business records, did the agents themselves
- 8 obtain the certifications or did you have to go through the
- 9 specific countries?
- 10 A. To the best of my recollection, specific countries.
- 11 And officers of those, for instance, banks or those
- 12 institutions, obtained those certifications and provided them
- 13 to us. That is my best recollection.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I just need a moment, Judge.
- 15 [Pause.]
- 16 Q. Agent Fitzgerald, during the first day of the
- 17 interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, you were joined by, I think
- 18 it was Special Agent Mason, correct?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. He is -- was a member of what is referred to as CITF?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- **Q.** And can you tell us again what CITF stands for?
- 23 A. That stands for Criminal Investigative Task Force.

- 1 Q. He was one of the individuals who took notes on the
- 2 first day, correct?
- **3** A. Yes.
- **4** Q. And then at some point he stopped taking notes,
- **5** correct?
- **6** A. Yes.
- **7** Q. Do you recall why?
- 8 A. I don't recall the specific instance. But as a
- 9 general practice, only one person takes notes. So either
- 10 myself or Agent Perkins would have said to Special Agent
- 11 Mason, hey, only one of us needs to take notes. And again, I
- 12 don't recall specifically who said that or when either one of
- 13 us would have said it. But as a practice, we only take one
- 14 set of notes.
- 15 Q. Let me ask you a question. Why -- wouldn't it be
- 16 helpful to have another person in the room who could take
- 17 notes, and that way you could compare notes?
- 18 A. It's -- as a matter of practice, I find it to be
- 19 better to have one set of notes because it's more clear. So I
- 20 understand what you're saying, but practice in the FBI is to
- 21 take -- one person take notes, not multiple people, so that
- 22 there's no confusion.
- **23** Q. So less is better?

- 1 A. No, one person taking notes is the practice. I'm not
- 2 saying less is better. I'm saying for the purposes of
- 3 clarity, one person taking notes is better.
- 4 Q. Now, Agent Mason was replaced after the first day,
- **5** correct?
- **6** A. You're using the term replaced. I know he wasn't
- 7 present after that, but I don't know why.
- **8** Q. Okay. He was no longer present in the interrogation
- **9** room after the first day, correct?
- 10 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 11 Q. And he was -- let me not use the word replaced, but
- 12 another human being who was not Special Agent Mason, there was
- 13 a CITF agent came to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 14 A. Yes. But to clarify my answer, what I was trying to
- 15 imply or to state was Special Agent Mason was not removed, to
- 16 my knowledge, for any wrongdoing. They just put another
- **17** person in there.
- 18 Q. I didn't say he was removed for any wrongdoing. I
- **19** just said he was replaced.
- 20 A. I understand. Sometimes the word replaced has a
- 21 different connotation, so I wanted to clarify that.
- Q. And those little nuances matter in language, do they
- **23** not?

- **1** A. Yes, they can.
- 2 Q. All right. And so you feel the need to clarify that
- **3** kind of stuff?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Even with an English speaker?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That is proficient in the English language?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. Okay. Thank you for that.
- 10 So Agent McClain was, in fact, not present but was
- 11 present for the rest of the interviews, correct?
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That's all I have, Judge.
- **14** MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Any redirect?
- 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: I have no redirect. Thank you, sir.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Mr. Ruiz, is Agent Fitzgerald
- 17 needed to come for the classified session?
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Agent Fitzgerald, you're going to
- 20 be temporarily excused again. We're going to discuss some
- 21 classified information apparently and -- probably later on
- 22 today with you. So until that time, I remind you, don't
- 23 discuss your testimony or knowledge in this cases with anybody

- 1 except the attorneys from either side or the accused, do you
- 2 understand that?
- **3** WIT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you, Agent Fitzgerald. You are
- **5** excused.
- 6 [The witness was warned, temporarily excused, and withdrew
- 7 from the courtroom.]
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Is Agent Perkins ready?
- 9 TC [MR. RYAN]: I believe so, sir. She might be in the
- 10 trailer right outside.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, are you ready for Agent Perkins
- 12 or Ms. Perkins? Okay. Please call the witness.
- TC [MR. RYAN]: I'll go find her, sir.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Wait a minute. Stay right there,
- 15 Mr. Ryan.
- 16 Mr. Ruiz, just for planning purposes, how long do you
- 17 suspect your witness will take?
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I expect it will be longer than
- 19 this witness.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: We got time.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I think they spent maybe six, seven hours
- 22 on her yesterday.
- MJ [COL POHL]: I didn't ask you -- I did not ask you to

- 1 compare and contrast; I'm simply asking how long.
- 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: It will be lengthier.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead, Mr. Ryan.
- 4 Commission's going to be in recess until the witness shows
- **5** up.
- 6 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1125, 7 December 2017.]
- 7 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1126,
- 8 7 December 2017.]
- 9 ABIGAIL PERKINS, civilian, was called as a witness for the
- 10 prosecution, was reminded of her oath, and testified as
- 11 follows:
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. All
- 15 parties are again present.
- 16 Ms. Perkins has retaken the stand. I remind you,
- 17 ma'am, that you are under oath.
- 18 WIT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- Mr. Ruiz.
- 21 Q. Good morning. Ms. Perkins ----
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. --- when you -- I'll start where kind of you started

- **1** off. What is a behavioral analyst? What is that?
- 2 A. You're looking at behaviors to assess threats to
- 3 government facilities.
- 4 Q. Very well. And you also indicated that you had
- **5** obtained a law degree?
- **6** A. I -- yes.
- 7 Q. When did you obtain that law degree?
- **8** A. I graduated in 1992.
- **9** Q. Okay. That was prior to joining the FBI in 1995?
- **10** A. Correct.
- 11 Q. All right. When you joined the FBI in 1995, did you
- 12 go right into the counterterrorism unit?
- 13 A. So I would have started at the Academy. Upon
- 14 completion of the Academy, I would have been assigned to the
- 15 New York Office. There was a rotation in the New York Office
- 16 that you did prior to being assigned to a squad. After that
- 17 rotation, I would have been assigned to the IRA squad.
- 18 Q. Do you remember how long it was before you were
- **19** actually assigned to the IRA squad?
- 20 A. Probably -- I think we did a three-month rotation on
- 21 surveillance. I supported a criminal trial. It was probably
- 22 within the first six or seven months of reporting to the New
- 23 York Office, and that would have been at the end of October of

- **1** '95.
- 2 Q. Now, I know you referenced your time at the Academy.
- 3 While at the Academy, did you get training with respect to
- 4 interrogation techniques?
- **5** A. We would have had training on
- 6 interview/interrogation, yes.
- 7 Q. And can you please describe for us what some of that
- 8 training would have involved.
- **9** A. At this point, 20 years later, I couldn't get --
- 10 wouldn't be able to get into the specifics of what they
- 11 trained back then. I mean, general interview/interrogation
- **12** training.
- 13 Q. Did you have an opportunity to practice, for example,
- 14 on mock suspects?
- **15** A. We did.
- 16 Q. All right. Did the FBI have a particular philosophy
- 17 as to how to interrogate suspects, for instance, rapport
- **18** based? Do you recall any of that?
- **19** A. It would be rapport based.
- Q. All right. You did, in fact, receive training on
- 21 interrogation techniques?
- 22 A. I would have received interview/interrogation
- 23 training, yes.

- 1 Q. Were you trained in the Reid method, that you recall?
- 2 A. At some point in my career, I can't remember exactly
- 3 the theory back then, but I did attend the Reid class at some
- 4 point in my career.
- **5** Q. From your perspective, what type of factors impact an
- **6** interrogation?
- 7 A. That's pretty broad.
- **8** Q. I don't want to put words in your mouth.
- **9** A. Like focus -- what's that?
- 10 Q. Well, let me -- if you want me to be more specific, I
- **11** can be.
- **12** A. That would be great.
- 13 Q. All right. Sure. Does the person's religious
- **14** background matter?
- 15 A. I mean, I think I would look at any part of the
- 16 background of an individual that I'm speaking to, right? What
- 17 they do for a living; anything I could know about them, I
- 18 would want to know about them.
- 19 Q. So in that regard, more information is better?
- 20 A. The more information I know about the individual,
- **21** yes, the better.
- **Q.** And factors such as religion matter?
- 23 A. That would matter.

- 1 Q. The race of the individual could matter?
- 2 A. May have cultural implications, yes.
- **3** Q. The gender could matter?
- **4** A. It could.
- **5** Q. Any knowledge that you can obtain about their
- 6 sociopolitical views could matter, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- **8** Q. If the person had been previously detained, their
- 9 detention history would matter?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. If the person had been treated harshly while detained
- 12 or tortured, that would matter, correct?
- **13** A. It could matter.
- 14 Q. All right. In essence, everything that impacts the
- 15 person that you're going to interrogate is something that you
- 16 as an interrogator would want to know before you step into
- 17 that interrogation room, correct?
- 18 A. I would generally want to know that, yes.
- 19 Q. All right. Now, in 1998, as I understood your
- 20 timeline, you shifted gears from working with the IRA --
- 21 or not with the IRA, but investigating the IRA, to
- 22 investigating the embassy bombings that were attributed to
- 23 al Qaeda, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And I think you indicated that you were previously in
- 3 the I-45 squad?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- **5** Q. And then you also referenced a second squad, which I
- 6 believe was I-49?
- 7 A. There was an I-49 squad. I was not part of that
- 8 squad.
- **9** Q. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. I know you
- 10 had referenced that.
- 11 But at least in 1998, the I-49 squad, to state that
- 12 one more time, was in charge of investigating bin Laden,
- 13 correct?
- **14** A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. So in 1998, even though you responded as an agent to
- 16 the embassy bombings, you were not officially on the I-49
- 17 bin Laden squad, correct?
- **18** A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And at that time I think your testimony was you had
- 20 never heard of al Qaeda?
- 21 A. I had not.
- Q. And you had not heard of bin Laden?
- A. I had not.

- 1 Q. You did not know about a 1996 fatwa?
- **2** A. At that time?
- **3** Q. Yes.
- **4** A. '96?
- **5** Q. In '98 ----
- **6** A. In '98.
- Q. ---- when you first responded to the embassy
- 8 bombings.
- **9** A. Right. I did not.
- 10 Q. Or the 1998 fatwa, you did not know about the
- 11 existence of that as well. And I'm ----
- 12 A. That I recall, yes.
- 13 Q. ---- referencing at the very inception of your
- **14** involvement.
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I understand. I know later on through time, you get
- 17 additional information, but I'm focusing right now just on the
- 18 beginning of your involvement.
- 19 Had you ever in the course of your FBI career up to
- 20 that point investigated somebody from the Arabian Peninsula?
- 21 A. I don't have a specific recollection of having done
- **22** that.
- Q. Very well. Had you investigated anyone that was

- **1** Arabic?
- 2 A. It's been a long time. So there may have been
- 3 support that I provided to other squads during that timeframe.
- 4 It wouldn't have been my primary responsibility.
- **5** Q. I understand. Did you feel like you had a
- 6 familiarity with the culture?
- **7** A. Of?
- **8** Q. People from the Arabian Peninsula.
- **9** MJ [COL POHL]: We're talking about back 20 years ago?
- 10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: In 1998, Judge, at the embassy bombing,
- 11 when she first responded.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: What is the relevance of that to her
- 13 interrogation of Mr. Hawsawi?
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, the relevance of this piece is her
- 15 testimony in regards to her response to the 1998 embassy
- 16 bombings. The sum of the parts of what the agents
- 17 investigate -- experience then becomes -- is important. And
- 18 at what point she gains this information and this familiarity
- 19 is important as it relates to an investigation that happens
- 20 years down the road.
- I mean, if -- if her testimony were that she had
- 22 interviewed dozens of people from the Arabian Peninsula, I'm
- 23 sure Mr. Ryan would have elicited that because they thought it

- **1** was important.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Okay. Go ahead.
- **3** A. Could you repeat the question?
- **4** Q. Sure. What was your familiarity with the culture?
- 5 A. So I can't -- I can't say that 19 years ago I could
- 6 specifically respond to you and say what that would have been.
- 7 Q. I mean, did you know much about it?
- 8 A. It wasn't part of my daily work. It could have been
- 9 part of what I did to assist other agents on other squads.
- 10 But a specific recollection for me sitting before you right
- **11** now, I couldn't say.
- 12 Q. All right. Now, you personally did not and do not
- 13 speak Arabic?
- **14** A. I do not.
- **15** Q. You did not understand Arabic?
- **16** A. In '98? No.
- 17 Q. You could not speak it, but you could understand it,
- 18 right?
- **19** A. I'm sorry. You will have to repeat that.
- 20 Q. There's a difference between speaking a language or
- 21 understanding it, and I'm now asking you if you understand
- 22 Arabic.
- A. There may be words that I'm familiar with, but I do

- 1 not speak it or generally understand it.
- 2 Q. In 2007, during the interrogations of Mr. al Hawsawi
- 3 in early January of 2007, did you have any particular
- 4 expertise in assessing language proficiency?
- **5** A. Other than asking what I would believe would be
- 6 questions to assess that, that would be the manner that I
- 7 would do it.
- 8 Q. You did not have an advanced degree in linguistics or
- **9** language-related issues?
- 10 A. I did not have an advanced degree in linguistics, no.
- 11 Q. When Mr. al Hawsawi was interrogated, you did not
- 12 administer any language comprehension examinations, correct?
- **13** A. Give him a test?
- **14** Q. Yes.
- **15** A. A language test? No, I did not.
- 16 Q. Because you would not be qualified to administer
- 17 that, correct?
- 18 A. I'm not sure what the qualifications are for such a
- 19 test.
- Q. Got you. All right. Now, you testified that you
- 21 were part of the investigation in the embassy bombings,
- 22 correct?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. When you responded, you indicated that essentially,
- 2 the goal was the who, what, where, when, how. Right?
- **3** A. Correct.
- **4** Q. So you responded in a law enforcement capacity?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. And your goal was to find out who was responsible?
- 7 A. One of the goals, yes.
- **8** Q. Okay. You wanted to find out who was criminally
- **9** responsible for those attacks, correct?
- 10 A. Who was responsible, period.
- 11 Q. All right. And at some point when suspects were
- **12** identified, they would be interrogated?
- 13 A. They would interviewed/interrogated. If you want to
- **14** call that interviewed, yes.
- 15 Q. All right. They were administered Miranda warnings
- 16 in some instances?
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Did you have any involvement in the
- **18** interrogations?
- 19 WIT: Yes, sir.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Go ahead. You may answer the
- 21 question.
- 22 A. So there was a modified Miranda that had been -- I
- 23 guess Department of Justice had put together because we were

- 1 overseas. So that would have been what -- it wouldn't have
- 2 been the regular Miranda, but there was a modified Miranda for
- 3 which these individuals were given.
- 4 Q. All right. So let me do that a little better. So
- **5** you actually in fact interrogated Khalfan Khamis Mohamed?
- **6** A. I interviewed him, yes.
- 7 Q. Correct. All right. And during the course of your
- 8 testimony the other day, you testified that you were kept
- **9** appraised of what other agents did, correct?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What do you mean by kept appraised?
- 12 A. So as one of the agents, you're -- every day you
- 13 would have a meeting at the end of the day to assess the
- 14 status of the investigation, information that was being
- 15 revealed, subjects that were being identified. So ongoing
- 16 throughout the course of that investigation, we would be being
- 17 updated on the state of the investigation.
- 18 Q. Another one of your goals when you responded was
- **19** evidence collection?
- **20** A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And that's evidence that would ultimately be used in
- 22 the prosecution of these men, correct?
- 23 A. Either in the prosecution or to help assist in

- 1 furthering the investigation.
- 2 Q. But much of the evidence that was collected was
- 3 ultimately used in the prosecution of these men in the Embassy
- **4** Bombings trial, correct?
- **5** A. We did collect evidence and use them in the trial,
- 6 yes.
- 7 Q. In terms of Mr. Khalfan Khamis Mohamed, he received
- 8 three separate rights advisements from you, correct?
- **9** A. So he would have received one when he was -- when I
- 10 first interviewed him, received one en route back to the
- 11 United States, and I'm trying to recall if we had another
- 12 opportunity to speak to him. So I can't recall specifically,
- 13 but I know there were at least those two.
- 14 Q. So he received at least two; the first one being when
- 15 he was in the custody of South African authorities in Cape
- **16** Town. correct?
- 17 A. They would have given their own.
- 18 Q. All right. Are you saying you did not give him a
- **19** rights advisement?
- 20 A. They gave him a rights advisement. When I talked to
- 21 him, I gave him a rights advisement.
- **22** Q. Even though he was in their custody?
- 23 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. All right. And when you boarded the plane bound for
- 2 the United States, you gave him a different rights advisement,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- **5** Q. And that point, what was different about it?
- **6** A. He was in the custody of the United States at that
- 7 point.
- 8 Q. Well, I understand that, but what was different about
- **9** the rights advisement?
- 10 A. Oh, it was -- I believe the difference was in that
- 11 because he was not in the United States and an attorney was
- 12 available, it was the modified advice, initially. On the
- 13 plane, would have been the regular Miranda advice, he had the
- 14 right to an attorney.
- **15** Q. Right to an attorney, the full rights?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. All right. And at that point, you were on a military
- **18** plane?
- **19** A. I'm not sure I can answer that.
- **20** Q. Okay.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: You were on a plane?
- WIT: Yes, sir.
- MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

- 1 Q. Bound for the United States?
- A. Correct.
- **3** Q. In U.S. custody?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. And he was in U.S. custody?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. Criminal warrants had been issued for his
- 8 arrest, correct?
- **9** A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And he was scheduled for prosecution in New York?
- **11** A. Meaning a trial date?
- 12 Q. No. He was to be prosecuted in New York?
- **13** A. That's correct.
- **14** Q. All right. In federal court?
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You indicated that when you responded to the
- 17 bombings, your period on the ground was roughly 30 to 40 days
- 18 at a time?
- **19** A. Typically.
- **20** Q. All right.
- 21 Do you recall what the longest period may have been?
- 22 For example, were you there for six months at a time?
- **23** A. No.

- 1 Q. Were you there for a year at a time?
- **2** A. No.
- **3** Q. All right. So it was more in the course of maybe a
- 4 couple of months at a time?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- **6** Q. Okay.
- A. A month, month and a half, two months, possibly.
- **8** Q. Is it more accurate to say maybe you were TAD, on
- 9 temporary duty? Or was the term of art a deployment for you
- **10** all. for FBI?
- 11 A. I don't know that would matter either way, but it
- 12 would -- we were deployed and it was an on-temporary basis.
- 13 Q. All right. Fair enough. When you were investigating
- 14 the embassy bombings, who did you report to?
- **15** A. Like a supervisor?
- **16** Q. Meaning, did you report to the FBI?
- 17 A. I worked for the FBI, yes, so I would have ----
- 18 Q. Okay. Would you report to any other governments?
- **19** A. Report? Can you define that for me?
- Q. Well, who was your chain of command?
- 21 A. It was an FBI chain of command.
- 22 Q. All right. Did anybody else have authority over how
- 23 you carried out your FBI duties? For instance -- let me ask

- 1 you the question this way: If there were to be a lieutenant
- 2 colonel who gave you an order, say an Army lieutenant colonel,
- 3 would you have had to follow that as an FBI agent when you
- 4 were investigating the embassy? Was that a person in your
- **5** chain of command?
- **6** A. Had I had received such an order, I would likely go
- 7 to my chain of command to ensure that whatever was being asked
- 8 was being authorized as well by my chain.
- **9** Q. In other words, you weren't there with a military
- **10** unit, taking orders from military unit, correct?
- **11** A. I was not.
- 12 Q. All right. In terms of how you memorialized your
- 13 efforts during that investigation, did you use 302s?
- **14** A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. To your knowledge, did other agents who
- 16 were also working the investigation use 302s?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. At some point in the investigation your role changed
- 19 from just an agent -- not just an agent, but from an agent to
- 20 a supervisory agent, correct?
- 21 A. Well, it would be a case agent, not a supervisory --
- 22 a supervisory would be a different position. But a case agent
- 23 would be in charge of the investigation, leading the

- 1 investigation, assisting in that ----
- **2** Q. All right.
- **3** A. ---- along with others.
- **4** Q. So your status changed?
- **5** A. It did.
- **6** Q. And from what I heard you describe, it seemed like
- 7 you took on more supervisory duties.
- 8 A. Managing the case, not -- managing the case, I would
- 9 say your responsibilities are greater. I'm not -- I was not a
- 10 supervisor.
- 11 Q. I understand. So you took on more management
- 12 responsibilities?
- 13 A. Of the case, not of -- right. Not -- not an official
- 14 supervisory position. I had a supervisor that I reported to.
- 15 I was a case agent with others. We were responsible for the
- 16 management and direction of the case.
- Q. When you mean the case, you mean the Embassy Bombings
- **18** case?
- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And there were other three -- three other
- 21 counterparts that basically had the same position you did?
- 22 A. There were two others in Tanzania and three in Kenya.
- Q. All right. And it's fair to say that you

- 1 communicated with them often to keep apprised of their
- 2 investigative efforts so you could cooperate ----
- 3 A. Yes.
- **4** Q. ---- and collaborate when necessary, correct?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. It would have been important for you to have eyes and
- 7 ears, so to speak, on what other agents were doing in
- 8 different aspects of the investigation, but nevertheless, the
- **9** same investigation?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And to that extent, did you have the opportunity to
- 12 review their 302s?
- **13** A. I would.
- 14 Q. Okay. The reason you would have done that would be
- 15 because it would be a quick way to learn what other
- 16 investigative efforts were ongoing, correct?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, in this instance, the Embassy Bombing
- 19 case, there were, in addition to Khalfan Khamis Mohamed, there
- 20 were three other individuals who ultimately were tried in
- 21 federal court in New York, correct?
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. And that would have been Mohammed Saddiq Odeh?

- **1** A. Odeh.
- **2** Q. Odeh. Thank you. Mohamed Rashed Daoud Al-Owhali?
- 3 A. Yes.
- **4** Q. And Walid al Hajj, correct?
- **5** A. Walid al Hajj, yes.
- **6** Q. And all of these men were identified in the course of
- 7 your investigation as having some varying degree of
- 8 responsibility for the embassy bombings?
- **9** A. Mine or others, yes.
- 10 Q. Right. All were extradited to the United States on
- **11** criminal warrants?
- 12 A. Walid was in the United States at the time.
- **13** Q. Okay.
- 14 A. The other three would have been maybe rendered or
- 15 extradited. I think rendered is maybe the term.
- 16 Q. Now, at least in Mr. Mohamed's -- Khalfan Khamis
- 17 Mohamed, there were actual criminal warrants issued, correct?
- 18 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
- 19 Q. Sure. At least in Mr. Khalfan Khamis Mohamed's case,
- 20 there were criminal warrants issued for his arrest?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And you, in fact, discussed that with him when you
- 23 were -- when you first met him in Cape Town, South Africa,

- 1 correct?
- **2** A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So what I was trying to ask is, for the remaining
- 4 accused, they were also -- there were also criminal warrants
- 5 that were issued for their arrest, correct?
- **6** A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And ultimately, whether they were rendered or
- 8 extradited, they were brought back to stand trial in the
- **9** United States.
- **10** A. Correct.
- 11 Q. In a federal court.
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 Q. On criminal violations.
- **14** A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. In fact, you testified in 2001 in federal
- 16 court in the **Embassy Bombings** case?
- **17** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And ultimately, all four of these men were convicted
- 19 in connection to their involvement to the Embassy Bombings
- **20** case?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. With respect to Mr. Khalfan Khamis Mohamed, when you
- 23 advised him of his rights, you not only did that in English,

- 1 but you also provided him a copy in the Swahili language,
- 2 correct?
- **3** A. I did.
- **4** Q. Why did you do that?
- **5** A. To make sure that he understood.
- **6** Q. You asked Mr. Mohamed whether he had ever signed --
- 7 whether he had ever sworn bayat, correct?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. Why did you ask him that?
- 10 A. It would have defined his role in the group.
- **11** Q. How so?
- 12 A. The extent of his commitment that he had given to the
- **13** group.
- 14 Q. Can you -- if he had, in fact, sworn bayat, what
- 15 would that mean?
- 16 A. As -- my understanding is that by swearing bayat, you
- 17 are obligated in some respects to do what they tell you.
- 18 You -- you're defining your obligation to the group, but
- 19 you're also giving up even some authorities to maybe do other
- 20 things or refuse what's being asked of you.
- 21 Q. So you're becoming a full-fledged al Qaeda member?
- 22 A. I would say that's a -- yes.
- Q. Now, Mr. Mohamed himself responded that he did not

- 1 know the word bayat meant?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. He never heard of it?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. In fact, he didn't even really know of the name of an
- **6** organization by al Qaeda?
- 7 A. I think that's correct.
- **8** Q. He told you that he thought it was some kind of
- **9** formula or system?
- 10 A. I don't remember the specifics of what he said.
- 11 Q. I'll come back to that, I've got a -- but you did
- 12 testify in the Embassy Bombing case, correct?
- **13** A. I did.
- 14 Q. And if you had an opportunity to review the specific
- 15 portion of that transcript in relation to what his response
- 16 was to his knowledge of al Qaeda, would that refresh your
- **17** memory?
- **18** A. It would, if I spoke to it.
- 19 Q. So when I find it, I will bring it to your attention.
- **20** A. Okay.
- 21 Q. With respect to Mr. Mohammed Sadiq Odeh, 302s were
- 22 generated in regards to the interrogation of Mr. Odeh as well,
- 23 correct?

- **1** A. Yes.
- **2** Q. Mr. Odeh -- Odeh was also Mirandized, correct?
- **3** A. I believe along the same, a modified Miranda.
- 4 Q. Let me ask you if any of this rings a bell. Mr. Odeh
- 5 was advised that if he chose to speak, anything he said could
- **6** be used against him in a U.S. court or elsewhere. Do you
- 7 recall that?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. All right. He was further advised that in the United
- 10 States, he would have a right to talk to a lawyer?
- **11** A. Yes.
- 12 Q. To get advice before questioning?
- **13** A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And that he could have a lawyer present during any
- **15** questioning?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that in the U.S., if he could not afford a
- 18 lawyer, one would be provided to him should he decide?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall that he was advised of that prior to
- 21 being transported to the United States?
- 22 A. I wasn't in the interview, but I know that modified
- 23 Miranda rights were provided to him.

- 1 Q. All right. Were you aware -- do you recall that
- 2 those warnings were provided in both English and Arabic?
- **3** A. I don't have a specific recollection.
- 4 Q. Okay. Would it surprise you if he had been given an
- **5** Arabic version?
- **6** A. It wouldn't necessarily surprise me.
- 7 Q. In fact, you used the Swahili version because you
- 8 wanted to make sure Mr. Mohammed understood you, correct?
- **9** A. I used the Swahili version in mine, yes.
- 10 Q. In his 302, Mr. Odeh indicates that at the time of
- 11 his interrogation, he estimated al Qaeda's membership to be
- 12 roughly 150 members?
- 13 A. A specific recollection of what he told them, I don't
- 14 have a specific recollection of a specific number that he may
- 15 have indicated.
- 16 Q. What would have been your assessment of that number
- 17 at that time, 150 members? Does that comport with what your
- 18 understanding was of the all Qaeda organization in 1998?
- **19** A. In 1998?
- **20** Q. Yes.
- 21 A. I didn't have a -- when I first responded to the
- 22 attacks, I didn't have the background with regard to al Qaeda
- 23 or the size.

- 1 Q. Okay. During the course of your investigation, did
- 2 your knowledge in regards to al Qaeda increase?
- **3** A. Yes.
- 4 Q. In fact, I think you testified that you had learned
- 5 about al Qaeda's organization and structure?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So looking back, when Mr. Odeh said -- assuming he
- 8 said this for purposes of the question -- there were 150
- 9 members, does that comport with the knowledge that you would
- 10 have gained during the course of your
- **11** interrogation/investigation?
- 12 A. I would have at some point read his -- the interview
- 13 results for sure, yes, and learned that. If you represent
- 14 that that's what he said, I would have learned that.
- 15 Q. No, I guess what I'm actually really trying to get at
- 16 is your knowledge of the size and membership of al Qaeda and
- 17 whether you agree or disagree that at that time there would
- 18 have been 150 members.
- 19 A. I don't know if -- I don't know that I would know
- **20** that it ----
- **21** 0. Well now ----
- 22 A. ---- the truthfulness of his statement.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Perkins, I think we're talking across

- 1 each other. Just -- don't worry about the statement.
- 2 Based on your experience and investigation, do you
- **3** have an idea of the size of al Qaeda's membership?
- **4** WIT: In '98, sir?
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Either '98 or up until 2001.
- **6** WIT: I guess I would say that there were a number of
- 7 cooperators that would have provided that sort of background
- 8 in terms of the structure, so it would be a fluctuating number
- 9 for me to sit here and say that that's consistent. I mean,
- 10 it's difficult for me to do. A lot of information would have
- 11 been provided during the course of the investigation to sort
- 12 of assess structure and size.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Let me try it one more time, and
- 14 then we're going to break for lunch.
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you, Judge.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Based on your experience, not on
- 17 this, okay, do you have an idea of the size of al Qaeda -- I'm
- 18 talking about then-Special Agent Perkins' idea of the size of
- 19 al Qaeda in '98 to 2001? Or was that figure never ----
- 20 WIT: I would say I wouldn't have a definitive number for
- 21 you, but probably an ever-changing number, and certainly
- 22 support growing for that subsequent to the East Africa attacks
- 23 leading up to the September 11 attacks, the number increasing,

1	the support increasing for the size of the group.
2	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I'm going to try one more time.
3	But you don't have an exact you don't have an approximate
4	number or anything else?
5	WIT: I would be hard-pressed to give you a precise
6	number.
7	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Great. We'll leave it at that for
8	lunch and we'll recess until 1315. The commission is in
9	recess.
10	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1200, 7 December 2017.]
11	[END OF PAGE]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1351,
- 2 7 December 2017.]
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. Since we
- 4 recessed before lunch, any changes, General Martins?
- 5 CP [BG MARTINS]: No changes to counsel present, Your
- 6 Honor.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Nevin?
- 8 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Yes. Mr. Sowards is not present and
- 9 will be out for the rest of the afternoon.
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann?
- 11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Captain Brady, Major Seeger, and
- 12 Mr. Perry are doing other matters, as I advised the court
- 13 earlier.
- **14** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Mr. Harrington?
- 15 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: No changes for us, Judge.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell?
- 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, James Connell and Alka
- 18 Pradhan on behalf of Mr. al Baluchi.
- I don't know that anyone has notified the victim
- 20 family members or the media or the public that we are
- 21 proceeding. Well, I'll note for the record that there's
- 22 nobody in the gallery. They're the reason that this is a
- 23 public hearing, so ----

- 1 TC [MR. RYAN]: We have notified the victim family 2 members, Your Honor. 3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Any observers? 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Just while we're on that topic, does --5 I'm assuming the normal feed is going to the press area? 6 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yes, Your Honor. 7 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Since nobody else is talking, I'll 8 talk, which is that I note our objection on the First and 9 Sixth Amendment grounds to holding what is essentially a 10 closed hearing by logistically arranging things so that the 11 members of the nongovernmental observers and the victim family 12 members can't observe. 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Your objection is noted and 14 granted. We will wait until spectators return. 15 Commission is in recess. 16 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1354, 7 December 2017.] 17 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1400,
- 19 [Abigail Perkins, resumed her seat on the witness stand.]

18

7 December 2017.]

- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. I will
- 21 just note for the record that some members of the public -- I
- 22 don't know what their categories are, because I quite frankly
- 23 think they all should be treated the same as far as a public

- 1 trial -- have returned and are observing from the spectator
- 2 area.
- 3 Ms. Perkins is on the stand. And, Mr. Ruiz, your
- 4 questions.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 6 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- 7 Q. Welcome back, Ms. Perkins. Earlier we were talking
- 8 about Khalfan Khamis Mohamed, which is one of the embassy
- 9 bombing suspects who was later convicted in New York. I asked
- 10 you the questions in regards to his knowledge of al Qaeda. Do
- **11** you recall that?
- **12** A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And I asked if you recalled that when you brought
- 14 that up, he didn't even know or didn't seem to have an
- 15 understanding of what al Qaeda was, correct?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And then I followed that up with saying that he
- 18 thought it was actually some kind of a formula or system?
- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, what relevance is what this
- 21 person thought about al Qaeda in 1998 to the issue before me?
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: The issue of hostilities?
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah. We're talking about this person.

- 1 Okay. You said he wasn't a member of al Qaeda. After that,
- 2 aren't we done?
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Right. I mean, in terms of what he
- 4 believed it was, I think it gives context to argument that
- 5 Major Wilkinson is going to make to the commission in regards
- 6 to what that means in the hostilities question. It's directly
- 7 relevant.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: No, but what I'm saying, again, he says, I
- 9 didn't know what al Qaeda is. Well, now we're done, right?
- 10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, but the agent asked a follow-up
- 11 question and his answer was, "I thought it was some kind of a
- 12 formula."
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So now we're done.
- **14** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That's it.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Move on.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: But you see the relevance, right?
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: I'll give it the weight I think it
- 18 deserves.
- 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- Q. Agent Perkins, we also talked about an individual by
- 21 the name of Mohamed Rashed Daoud Al-Owhali, do you recall
- **22** that?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. He is also one of the four men who was implicated and
- 2 later convicted in the Embassy Bombings case; correct?
- 3 A. He was
- 4 Q. Now, you did not personally interrogate Mr. Al-Owhali
- 5 but you were appraised of other agents' efforts in regards to
- 6 this investigation, correct?
- 7 A. I did not interview him, but yes, I was aware of the
- 8 results.
- **9** Q. I'm sorry?
- 10 A. I was aware of the results.
- 11 Q. And you reviewed the 302s that regarded those
- 12 investigative efforts, correct?
- 13 A. Along the way, yes.
- 14 Q. All right. And now, Mr. Daoud Al-Owhali, in fact,
- 15 received a full Miranda warnings and full Miranda rights,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. I believe he also received the modified, initially.
- **18** Q. All right. Would reviewing the FBI 302 that was
- 19 prepared in this case refresh your recollection as to what
- 20 exactly Mr. Al-Owlhali was read?
- **21** A. Yes.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I would like to present to the
- 23 witness ----

1 MJ [COL POHL]: They're in the documents you already 2 submitted. I already have them before me. Whether this 3 witness recalls or not ----4 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Am I going to get to ask some guestions 5 here? 6 MJ [COL POHL]: You've spent a lot of time asking 7 questions, and I've given you a lot of leeway. What I'm 8 saying is that we're not going to do this incessantly. Okay. 9 What's the relevance of a Miranda warning on this 10 suspect in 1998 ----11 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge ----12 MJ [COL POHL]: ---- as opposed to a non-Miranda warning? 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I've asked a portion or a fragment of the 14 time that the government spent on this witness. 15 MJ [COL POHL]: I'm not -- answer my question, don't 16 deflect to the government, how much time they spent. I'm 17 talking about this one. You have gone through each of these 18 witnesses. I'm asking you: What's the relevance of the 19 rights warnings of the witnesses in 1998 -- or the accused in 20 1998 to the issue before me today? 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: The existence of hostilities and whether 22 we approached this as a law enforcement effort or a military

operation? Really? It's directly relevant, Judge, that we

23

- 1 investigated this as a law enforcement criminal action and
- 2 provided full Miranda warnings and rights to these men.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah, and what I'm simply saying is that
- 4 you've submitted the 502s on all four of these guys. Okay.
- 5 And all four of these guys, they explained what Miranda
- 6 warnings they got and didn't get. So I already got it ----
- 7 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: So because ----
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: No. Let me finish. I'm just saying
- 9 you're simply repeating yourself with this witness. This
- 10 witness may or may not know but it doesn't make any difference
- 11 whether she knows or not. Now you're going to refresh her
- 12 memory with a document that I already have. My memory doesn't
- 13 need refreshing because I've already read it. And you already
- 14 got it before me.
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: So the prosecution has provided hundreds
- 16 of documents to you and then asked questions about them. You
- 17 already had the documents, so why even ask questions about
- 18 them? I didn't see you having that discussion with the
- 19 prosecution, Judge. Why are we having this discussion?
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, we're not going to play this
- **21** game.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: It's not a game. It's about fairness.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: I'm simply telling you this. You think

- 1 it's being unfair, fine. You're entitled to your opinion, but
- 2 it's my courtroom.
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Actually, it's the United States'
- 4 courtroom, Judge. It's the people's courtroom.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: If -- just listen carefully to what I'm
- **6** going to say. Is I establish the rules here. And you can
- 7 either choose to follow my rules, or you can stop your
- 8 cross-examination. That's going to be your choices. Do you
- 9 understand that?
- 10 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I understand that your rule is if I've
- 11 submitted an exhibit, I'm not allowed to ask questions about
- **12** it.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: If that's what you understand it to be, I
- 14 cannot speak to how you understand it. I'm telling you is
- 15 when I tell you to stop that line of questioning because it is
- 16 asked and answered eight times or I already have the
- 17 information, I don't need it again, then you move on. That's
- **18** all I'm saying.
- 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: This is a separate defendant, Judge. And
- 20 with respect to the other questions, she said it was a
- 21 modified rights waiver. This is a full Miranda waiver that
- 22 was given to a person who was in custody of another
- 23 government. That's directly relevant to this issue.

1 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, you -- let me just make this --2 understand something because I don't want either of us to get 3 upset, because I'm not going to get upset here. I'm just 4 going to tell you, is I'm going to rule and you're going to 5 accept the ruling. You don't have to agree with it, you have 6 to accept it, though. You have to accept it. And if you 7 choose not to accept my rulings, then I'm going to stop your 8 cross-examination. And I'm not going to listen to an argument 9 again and again after I've already ruled. That's what I'm 10 saying. 11 So I'm telling you right now is, is that any further 12 inquiry to this witness on what's already in those statements 13 that you submitted to me is not to be allowed and you are to 14 move on to another area. 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: So any inquiry regarding the 1998 embassy 16 bombings I can't make because, if that's referenced in the 17 302, I can't ask clarifying questions of this witness; 18 that's ----19 MJ [COL POHL]: That's ----20 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- your ruling? 21 MJ [COL POHL]: See? This is the way it's going to work. 22 I told you, I've got those statements. Okay. This witness 23

took one of the statements but didn't take them all.

1 We're now done here. I'm telling you what the rules 2 We're done with those -- if it's in those statements, 3 I've got it. If it's something else, you can ask. 4 it's in the statements, I got it. And just make it clear, 5 because -- that when I do this, when I say no more, I mean no 6 more. I don't mean no more and another response. You've got 7 my ruling. Move on. 8 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I understand your ruling, Judge; however, 9 when I make an argument, you have to understand as well that 10 I'm not just making that argument to you. And I'm preserving 11 that record for other judges down the line. Right now, what I 12 see this is an infringement on the Sixth Amendment right to 13 cross-examination. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: You've made your objection. You have made 15 it again and again. And now -- now we're almost done here, 16 Mr. Ruiz. Is you're permitted to make a relevant record, as I 17 determine its relevance. That's the way it is. You're not

You've made your objection. You're saying I'm

to put whatever you want on the record, no matter how

determining -- there's no rule that I'm aware of that an

attorney decides I want to make a record and that's free reign

irrelevant it may be to the presiding judge. So I don't buy

18

19

20

21

22

that argument.

- 1 violating your Sixth Amendment right. Got it. Okay.
- 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, actually not my Sixth Amendment
- **3** right ----
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Well, your client's Sixth Amendment right.
- **5** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- it's my client's Sixth Amendment
- **6** right. And it's cross ----
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. I got it. But now let's be
- 8 clear. I've ruled on this. We're now done with this. Move
- 9 on to something else or sit down.
- **10** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Very well.
- 11 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. RUIZ]:
- 12 Q. You indicated that during the course of your
- 13 investigation with the embassy bombing, you also became aware
- 14 of the 1996 fatwa which you declared -- you referred to as a
- **15** declaration of war, correct?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And also a 1998 fatwa that you described as a
- **18** declaration of war?
- **19** A. Fatwa, yeah.
- **20** Q. Correct?
- 21 A. I described it as a fatwa, yes.
- Q. Understood. Nevertheless, the four men who were
- 23 identified in the Embassy Bombings case were ultimately tried

- 1 in a federal courtroom in New York, correct?
- **2** A. They were.
- 3 Q. And they were convicted of violations of federal
- **4** laws?
- **5** A. They were.
- **6** Q. Domestic laws?
- 7 A. Federal laws.
- **8** Q. During the course of your involvement with the 9/11
- 9 case, you have also had an opportunity to testify on a number
- 10 of other cases that relate to 9/11, correct? For example, you
- 11 testified in the grand jury proceedings of Zacarias Moussaoui
- **12** in 19 -- excuse me, in 2001, correct?
- **13** A. I did.
- 14 Q. And you also testified in the grand jury proceedings
- 15 of Zacarias Moussaoui in 2002, correct?
- 16 A. I recall one time. I don't know that -- if there
- 17 was -- I don't know -- I can't say that -- I recall a second
- 18 time. I know there was one time.
- 19 Q. And those were criminal proceedings, correct?
- 20 A. Grand jury proceedings, yes.
- 21 Q. All right. Ultimately, those were charges in federal
- 22 courts?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And Mr. Moussaoui was tried and convicted in a
- 2 federal court?
- **3** A. He pled guilty.
- 4 Q. Okay. You also testified in a grand jury proceeding
- 5 in December of 2009 in regards to the 9/11 case, correct?
- **6** A. In what proceeding?
- 7 Q. Grand jury proceeding.
- 8 A. December of 2009 with regard to ----
- 9 Q. To this case, the 9/11 case.
- 10 A. In a grand jury? I don't recall that.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'll come back to that.
- 12 And finally, you testified in 2001 in the actual
- 13 Embassy Bombings case, correct?
- **14** A. I did.
- 15 Q. Now, you indicated when -- that when September 11
- 16 happened, essentially all of the resources of the FBI were
- 17 directed to this investigation, correct?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that the focus of the investigation was to
- 20 determine the who, where, when, what, and why, correct?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. And to use law enforcement methods, I think was the
- 23 language you used, to identify who was responsible and bring

- 1 them to justice, correct?
- 2 A. Law enforcement methods were used.
- 3 Q. And some of the efforts that you recounted were crime
- 4 scene investigation?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. Interviews with witnesses that may have had
- 7 information?
- **8** A. Yes.
- **9** Q. Review of relevant records, such as business records?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. These were all the instrumentalities of the FBI as it
- 12 pursued a law enforcement investigation, correct?
- **13** A. Some of them.
- 14 Q. What were some of the other ones?
- 15 A. Your imagination could lead you in whatever
- 16 direction. I mean, there were lots of records. Maybe airline
- 17 records, post office records, banking records, passenger name
- 18 records, any record that would be relevant from any business,
- 19 any government entity, what have you.
- Q. You indicated you were assigned the financial aspects
- 21 of -- to the financial aspects of the 9/11 investigation,
- 22 correct?
- **23** A. Yes.

- **1** Q. And can you elaborate on that?
- 2 A. To pursue the financing surrounding the 9/11
- 3 operation. So any impact from anyone with regard to finances,
- 4 who did the financing, tracking banking transactions, bank
- 5 accounts, bank documents, bank transfers, bank wires, anything
- 6 like that that might help to identify who had provided the
- 7 money and who was involved.
- **8** Q. Very well. So that was the focus of -- the primary
- **9** focus of your investigative efforts?
- 10 A. I did many things. That was one of the many things
- 11 that I did.
- 12 Q. All right. Now, you indicated that Mr. al Hawsawi
- 13 was identified within the first month or two of your working
- 14 the case, correct?
- **15** A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 16 Q. I think you also testified that Boston was pretty
- 17 ready to indict Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 18 A. I understood they were prepared to do so.
- 19 Q. So at least as -- very early on in the investigation,
- 20 you knew that somebody by the name of Mustafa al Hawsawi was a
- 21 person of interest ----
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. ---- in the investigation? What efforts did you make

- 1 to attempt to apprehend him?
- 2 A. We would have immediately looked for any travel, any
- 3 use of a passport, try to identify any names or aliases he
- 4 might have used, checked records internationally, checked
- 5 incoming records, any cooperation from other countries. So we
- 6 would have pursued all avenues to attempt to locate him as a
- 7 person of interest.
- 8 Q. I think you indicated that documentary records were
- **9** collected prior to 2003, correct?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you share the fruits of those investigative
- 12 efforts with other United States agencies who were also
- 13 working the case?
- **14** A. The banking records themselves?
- 15 Q. We can start with the banking records, yes.
- 16 A. I don't recall sharing the banking records
- 17 themselves. We may have provided information to other
- 18 agencies. If you have a specific agency you're ----
- 19 Q. Just the fact that you had -- well, let's go back to
- 20 the identity and the fact that this was a person of interest,
- 21 right? You've identified a person by a specific name. Do you
- 22 shoot out that information to other agencies, say, hey, we've
- 23 identified this person as a person of interest; if you come

- 1 across any information, this is somebody we're looking for.
- 2 Did you do that once he was identified?
- 3 A. So there probably would have been international, like
- 4 an INTERPOL sort of notice to attempt to track any information
- 5 we had known about his name and passport information to locate
- 6 him. So other agencies -- you know, we would have -- we would
- 7 have conducted checks and presumably would have told
- 8 immigration authorities the same; like INS stops and that sort
- 9 of thing, would be the normal course.
- 10 Q. What about agencies such as the CIA? Did you
- 11 coordinate with them and provide them information that you had
- 12 developed during the course of your investigation?
- 13 A. Yes, that would be shared.
- 14 Q. All right. What would have been the method of
- 15 sharing -- I know you said INTERPOL was one method. What
- 16 would have been your method of sharing information with the
- 17 Central Intelligence Agency?
- 18 A. Likely we would have -- and I forget the name of the
- 19 document that we share from us to them, but there's a
- 20 particular means to do that. There are people from the Agency
- 21 that would sit on our task forces as well as FBI personnel on
- 22 their -- in their space. So likely we would share it through
- 23 that method. But there would be a means to commune that

- **1** directly to them.
- 2 Q. Do you remember if you specifically shared any such
- 3 information with the Central Intelligence Agency?
- 4 A. I would have shared information, the specifics of
- 5 which sitting here now I probably couldn't delineate for you.
- 6 But I'm certain that I shared information that I developed in
- 7 the investigation with them.
- 8 Q. Would that have been something you documented, for
- 9 instance, in a record of investigation, the fact that you
- 10 shared information with another agency?
- 11 A. It would be in a document that we would use to share
- 12 information to them.
- 13 Q. So since your involvement in this investigation which
- 14 began in 2001 and has extended through the course of many,
- 15 many years, what was the primary method by which you
- **16** documented your investigative efforts in the 9/11
- **17** investigation?
- 18 A. If I was conducting an interview of a witness, it
- 19 would be an FD-302. If I was conducting other investigative
- 20 efforts that I'm documenting sort of in summary style the
- 21 investigative efforts and what had been done, I might have
- 22 done so in an electronic communication.
- Q. Would this all have gone into one specific folder or

- 1 database that related to this investigation?
- 2 A. It would be sent to the file for the major case,
- 3 PENTTBOM.
- 4 Q. Understood. So there was one central file called
- **5** PENTTBOM?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. When did you first learn that Mr. al Hawsawi
- 8 had been arrested, apprehended?
- **9** A. I would say it was likely shortly after he had been
- **10** located and arrested.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you recall how you -- how you were notified
- 12 or how you learned that?
- 13 A. I don't specifically.
- **14** Q. Did you know where he was apprehended?
- 15 A. In the country, yes.
- 16 Q. All right. And I believe you indicated it was
- **17** Rawalpindi, Pakistan, correct?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Without saying who, did you know by whom he was
- 20 apprehended?
- 21 A. Specifically at that time, I can't say.
- Q. All right. But since then, you learned by whom he
- 23 was apprehended, correct?

- **1** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled. You may answer the question,
- 3 assuming it doesn't implicate classified information.
- 4 Ms. Perkins, just so we're clear here, because this
- 5 came up with a prior testimony that -- you have a good idea
- 6 what's classified and what's not classified; and any question
- 7 that you think may call for a classified answer, don't
- 8 respond, so we can deal with it other ways. But I want to
- 9 make sure that just because we're here in an open session and
- 10 you get the question, that does not mean that that rule still
- **11** doesn't apply.
- 12 Okay. Ask the question again as you worded it.
- 13 Q. So I think that you've indicated at the time you
- 14 can't recall if you knew at the time, but at some point later
- 15 in time did you learn by whom Mr. al Hawsawi had been
- **16** apprehended?
- 17 A. I'm distinguishing in my mind between the CIA and in
- 18 collaboration with another government. So not having been
- 19 there at the time, whether it was the CIA alone or the CIA in
- 20 collaboration with Pakistani authorities.
- **Q.** Understand. All right. But it was not the FBI?
- 22 A. I'm not aware of an FBI presence at his capture.
- Q. Once you learned that Mr. al Hawsawi had been

- 1 apprehended and was being held in custody, did you seek to
- 2 have access to him?
- **3** A. In person?
- **4** Q. Yes.
- **5** A. I would have expressed my interest in doing so to my
- **6** chain of command.
- 7 Q. Okay. Were you granted access to Mr. al Hawsawi in
- 8 person?
- **9** A. When he was first arrested?
- **10** Q. Yes.
- **11** A. I was not.
- **12** Q. What about between 2003 and 2006?
- **13** A. I was not.
- 14 Q. You were not granted ----
- **15** A. I was not granted access.
- **16** Q. In person?
- 17 A. In person.
- 18 Q. Did you have the opportunity to send information or
- 19 questions to the people who were holding Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **20** A. Yes.
- **21** Q. Did you do that?
- A. There were so many subjects, so I'm going to say that
- 23 that -- I'm pretty sure that I did that with him, proffered

- 1 questions.
- 2 Q. Sorry. Say that one more time.
- **3** A. Proffered questions.
- **4** Q. That you would ask somebody to ask him, correct?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. Would you have documented those types of questions?
- 7 A. They would have been placed in a CIA cable that would
- 8 have gone to them documenting that request, yes.
- **9** Q. I understand. I understand there would have been a
- 10 CIA cable. Internally for the FBI, would you have documented
- 11 that either in a 302 or any other means of documentation?
- 12 A. I wouldn't have written a 302. What I would have
- 13 done, like I said -- maybe I'm getting the terminology
- 14 wrong -- teletype or a cable to the agency. So there was a
- 15 delineated process by which we shared information to them. I
- 16 would have used that process, not a 302.
- 17 Q. So would there have been a copy of that kept in the
- **18** PENTTBOM investigative file folder?
- **19** A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever have the opportunity to see
- 21 Mr. al Hawsawi, although not in person, by other means? For
- 22 instance, VTC or video? Did you ever have an opportunity to
- 23 witness his interrogations?

- **1** A. No.
- 2 Q. And by that I was referring to the 2002 -- excuse me,
- **3** 2003 to '6 timeframe.
- **4** A. I was not.
- **5** Q. Now, I know you said you sent proffered questions.
- 6 Did you receive back any information regarding what
- 7 information was being extracted from Mr. al Hawsawi during the
- **8** 2003 to 2006 timeframe?
- **9** A. It's likely that I would have gotten a response.
- 10 Sitting here today, I cannot recollect for you that timeframe
- 11 and the back and forth. I would have been involved in the
- 12 investigation up until about 2003 and then departed back to
- 13 New York and took another position. So at that point in time,
- 14 I'm really away from the 9/11 investigation directly.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, let me just ask you about that. So you
- 16 come back in 2003. Am I understanding correctly that from
- 17 2003 until some point in time you did not have involvement in
- **18** the 9/11 investigation?
- 19 A. So from 2003 -- the end of 2003, I returned to New
- 20 York where I would have continued fugitive efforts for the
- **21** East Africa bombings. That's the case squad I returned to.
- 22 In January of 2004, I took a promotion.
- Q. So at that point, you were no longer working the 9/11

- 1 PENTTBOM case?
- 2 A. That's right.
- **3** Q. I understand. You were focused on the
- 4 Embassy Bombings case?
- 5 A. For that period of time. I returned to New York
- $\mathbf{6}$ until the promotion. So if 9/11 information would have been
- 7 needed, certainly I was in a position to support that as well.
- **8** Q. I understand. So just to be very clear, did you ever
- 9 visit one of the black sites where Mr. al Hawsawi was held?
- **10** A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you ever witness any interrogations of
- **12** Mr. al Hawsawi between 2003 and 2006?
- **13** A. No.
- 14 Q. When did you become reengaged in the 9/11 PENTTBOM
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A. I returned to support the interviews sometime at the
- 17 end of 2006, I believe, in preparation for interviews.
- 18 Q. Do you know who had -- who had basically picked up
- 19 the responsibilities where you left off in terms of the
- 20 financial aspects of the PENTTBOM investigation? And by this,
- 21 I'm keying particularly on Mr. al Hawsawi. Do you know who
- 22 would have taken those responsibilities when you moved on to
- 23 your next position?

- 1 A. So much of the financial investigation had been
- 2 completed at that point, leaving not very much left to really
- 3 seek. Certainly there were certifications of business records
- 4 that was conducted, I'm thinking, after the time that I left,
- 5 but I'm not certain how much effort or work was required to
- 6 continue the financial investigation aspects of -- with regard
- 7 to him.
- **8** Q. Well, let me ask you about the certification of
- 9 business records since you raised that. You did testify in
- 10 regards to certification of international business records,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. I indicated they were certified records, yes.
- 13 Q. Correct. Am I correct to understand that those
- 14 certifications were obtained by the specific countries wherein
- 15 those documents were contained?
- 16 A. Do you have a specific country? There were lots of
- 17 countries involved that assisted us in the 9/11 investigation.
- 18 Q. I'm going to -- I was going to ask you about that.
- 19 But I guess what I'm trying to get at is, did you as an agent
- 20 walk those records into a record custodian or the
- 21 representatives of a different -- of the specific country do
- 22 that for you?
- A. So there's a process by which we would do that. I

- 1 think it was the MLAT process depending on the country,
- 2 whether we have a relationship with them or agreements with
- 3 them. We have people in countries that would service the
- 4 other end of a request from us from the domestic side to
- 5 achieve that certification with the country, and they would
- **6** follow that process with the country.
- 7 Q. I understand. So I know you testified that you had
- 8 traveled to the United Arab Emirates in 2002, correct?
- **9** A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And many of the documents you referred to were
- 11 obtained from businesses located in the United Arab Emirates,
- 12 correct?
- A. A number of records were, yes.
- 14 Q. Would that have been the same process for obtaining
- 15 those records where the host country would have gotten the
- 16 certifications and provided them to the agents?
- 17 A. For banking, for -- for banking records or other
- 18 records, our records?
- 19 Q. Let's say banking records.
- 20 A. For banking records, we would have followed that
- 21 process.
- **22** Q. Phone records?
- A. We would follow the same process for all of the

- 1 records that I know of, to the extent that we had that
- 2 agreement or understanding with the country. There would be a
- 3 process in place, I guess is what I'm saying.
- 4 Q. Now, you indicated there were many countries that
- 5 were involved. And in the second page of the LHM that you
- 6 prepared, it indicates that when you met with Mr. al Hawsawi
- 7 the first time, he was told that you were specifically
- 8 responsible for gathering information about him. Do you
- **9** remember that?
- **10** A. I told him that, yes.
- 11 Q. All right. And you indicated as well that you had
- 12 spoken to a number of people, both in the United States as
- 13 well as in other countries?
- **14** A. That's right.
- 15 Q. Correct. So in addition to the United Arab Emirates,
- 16 can you tell us, without getting into any classified
- 17 locations, what, if any, other countries you followed up
- 18 investigative efforts in relation to Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 19 A. Saudi Arabia, likely Pakistan specific to him. There
- 20 would have been other countries related to the greater
- 21 investigation. But as I sit here in front of you, so -- those
- 22 are the countries that I would identify as -- that would be --
- 23 would have had information relevant to him.

- 1 Q. And I take it that you would have documented any
- 2 efforts, such as the ones you've described -- you would have
- 3 documented that in an appropriate document for record-keeping
- 4 procedures?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. All right. So even if you can't recall today, there
- 7 should be a record of your investigative efforts back when you
- 8 undertook those actions?
- **9** A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In regards to Mr. al Hawsawi's torture between 2003
- 11 and 2006, did you have any understanding or knowledge about
- 12 what he had endured through 2003 to 2006? Did you have any
- **13** knowledge of that?
- **14** A. I did not.
- 15 Q. All right. Did you ever become aware of how he was
- 16 treated, specifically -- and by that I'm referring tortured --
- **17** in 2003 to 2006?
- 18 A. I had no knowledge of his treatment.
- 19 Q. All right. And let me just -- let me just pinpoint
- 20 the time frame. So in 2007 prior to your interrogation, did
- 21 you still have no knowledge of any of his torture or
- 22 treatment?
- A. I didn't know about his treatment.

- 1 Q. Okay. To this day, do you know about his treatment?
- **2** A. I do not.
- **3** Q. All right. When did you learn that you would be
- 4 gaining access to Mustafa al Hawsawi?
- **5** A. I would say sometime prior to January 2007, in the --
- 6 probably that month or so before. I couldn't pinpoint a
- 7 specific date, but close in time to when -- to before the
- 8 interviews were conducted in January 2007.
- **9** Q. Do you recall how you learned that?
- 10 A. I was requested to be part of a team of individuals.
- 11 And at the time I'm not sure that access was available to us,
- 12 but certainly we were preparing for an opportunity to speak to
- 13 a number of detainees.
- 14 Q. So when you say we, were you specifically assigned to
- **15** Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **16** A. I was.
- 17 Q. All right. And was anybody else specifically
- **18** assigned to his interrogation team?
- 19 A. At that time it may have been James Fitzgerald,
- 20 Special Agent James Fitzgerald. I know my responsibility was
- 21 to be assigned to him.
- 22 Q. I understand. What did you do in preparation for
- **23** Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?

- 1 A. I would have reviewed information that I had gathered
- 2 and others had gathered after the 9/11 attacks happened, the
- 3 business records, banking records, telephone records, again,
- 4 anything that would be associated to Mr. al Hawsawi so that I
- 5 could gather that information to show to him during the course
- 6 of the interview. I would have reviewed reports and reminded
- 7 myself. It had been some time since I had been involved in
- 8 the 9/11 case. I had been doing other things. So I would
- 9 have read and reviewed a lot of materials to try to get myself
- 10 back into knowing what I needed to know in order to conduct an
- **11** interview.
- 12 Q. So was this impending interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi
- 13 your re-entry point, so to speak, into the 9/11 investigation?
- 14 A. It was sort of a discrete task. I had another job
- 15 that I was assigned to, but because of my background and
- 16 experience, I was requested as one of those individuals to
- 17 come back and conduct the interview.
- 18 Q. Do you know who requested that? Not necessarily you
- 19 specifically, but agents like you?
- **20** A. Who -- who ----
- Q. You said you were requested, so I'm asking who
- 22 requested you?
- A. Somebody from the FBI.

- 1 Q. All right. Do you know if the request also came from
- 2 without -- from outside of the FBI? For example, someone
- 3 talks to the FBI and says, hey, we need you all to send agents
- 4 to interrogate high-value detainees in Guantanamo. Do you
- 5 know if there was any such external request to the FBI?
- 6 A. I wasn't part of discussions that would have been had
- 7 with my chain of command. They just told me -- or requested
- 8 that I come and join this team.
- **9** Q. Understood. The -- all of the efforts you described,
- 10 the records, cables, those kinds of things, were they all
- 11 contained in the PENTTBOM investigative folder that you
- **12** described earlier?
- 13 A. The banking records that I used, they were.
- 14 Q. Okay. Were there any other specific places where you
- 15 looked for information, background information on
- 16 Mr. al Hawsawi? By that I mean databases, cardboard boxes,
- 17 different places where you would have had information that was
- 18 stored in regards to Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 19 A. I would have accessed the PENTTBOM investigation in
- 20 that file. I would have had some exposure to Agency
- **21** reporting.
- **22** Q. By that you mean Central Intelligence Agency?
- 23 A. Central Intelligence Agency.

- 1 Q. All right. Any other agencies?
- 2 A. I mean, to pick out ----
- **3** Q. Or departments, CITF, those kind of things?
- **4** A. I didn't review anything from CITF, that I recall.
- **5** Q. Okay. So when you say you would have had exposure,
- 6 does that mean that you had access to CIA databases?
- 7 A. I wouldn't have had access to their database. I
- 8 would have had access to what they gave me.
- **9** Q. Okay. Would you have had access to a
- 10 closed-system-type database, for lack of a better term?
- 11 A. I believe initially it was documents, like printed
- 12 documents.
- **13** Q. And what -- how would you describe those documents?
- 14 Were they cables? Were they memoranda? Was there a specific
- 15 term of art? I know like the FBI uses terms of art for
- 16 different records. Do you know if they had specific terms of
- 17 art for those records?
- 18 A. I would say they were -- I would describe them as
- 19 cables.
- Q. Okay. Did those cables contain communications
- 21 between different people within the FBI in regards to
- **22** Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogations?
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: I'm sorry, you said between different

- 1 members of the FBI? Are we talking about FBI cables or CIA
- 2 cables?
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: CIA. I'm sorry. Thank you.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead.
- **5** A. I'm sorry. Could you ask that again?
- **6** Q. Right. When you say cable, it kind of sounds to me
- 7 like you send a cable, you get a cable back, almost like
- 8 another word for e-mail. Is that what you're referencing to,
- 9 another word for e-mails?
- 10 A. It's not informal like that. It's formal. I mean,
- 11 it's to formally document a request out and a response back.
- **12** Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And this may have been -- the cables that I recall
- 14 would contain information maybe that had been obtained from
- 15 Mr. al Hawsawi or about him.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those cables or any of
- 17 the records you reviewed from the Central Intelligence Agency
- 18 contained specific facts about the torture that he endured?
- 19 A. I didn't see any.
- **20** Q. All right.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Perkins, you said that some of the
- 22 cables had things that Mr. Hawsawi had told the agency; is
- 23 that correct?

- 1 WIT: As I recall, Your Honor.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Do you have any idea under what
- 3 conditions that information had been gleaned from Mr. Hawsawi;
- 4 or you were just given a vanilla, Mr. Hawsawi said A, B, and
- **5** C?
- **6** WIT: That's how it came. No background.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. But did these cables go through the
- 8 period of '03 to '06? Did it predate -- well, they had to at
- 9 least pre-date your '07 interrogation because this was in
- 10 preparation for that, correct?
- 11 WIT: That's correct.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So it was sometime before '07 and
- **13** after he got apprehended?
- **14** WIT: Yes.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ruiz.
- 16 Q. Prior to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, did you
- 17 receive any briefings?
- **18** A. Prior to my interview of him?
- **19** Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Is that what you are asking?
- **21** 0. Yes.
- 22 A. Did I receive briefings?
- Q. Were you briefed in regards to Mr. al Hawsawi

- 1 or anything in relation to Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?
- 2 A. Certainly briefed about the process that was going to
- 3 happen.
- **4** Q. Okay. Where did that happen?
- 5 A. I'm trying to remember if it was at our headquarters
- 6 or at an off-site that we were located at. I believe it was
- 7 at an off-site.
- 8 Q. I understand. Do you recall who briefed you? I'm
- 9 not necessarily asking if you remember the name; but if you
- 10 do, that's great. Do you recall if they were an
- 11 FBI-affiliated officer or if they were a CIA officer? Do you
- 12 recall their affiliation, let's say?
- 13 A. As I recall, it was the Department of Justice.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall who it was?
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Sustained. Move on.
- 17 Q. All right. Did you receive one briefing or multiple
- **18** briefings?
- 19 A. When I first came in, there was an initial briefing,
- 20 and I believe there were follow-up briefings.
- **21** Q. Prior to Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?
- 22 A. As I recall, yes.
- Q. Were any of those briefings conducted by members of

- **1** the Central Intelligence Agency?
- 2 A. Conducted by? I don't recall that being any CIA
- 3 briefings.
- 4 Q. When you say conducted by, it makes me think that
- 5 maybe you are drawing a distinction between conducting and
- **6** participating.
- 7 A. There may have been people in the room. But giving
- 8 the briefing to me, I do not recall an agency person providing
- 9 a briefing in that setting that you've described.
- 10 Q. But it's possible that there were representatives of
- 11 the agency in the briefing?
- **12** A. Could have been.
- **13** Q. You don't recall?
- 14 A. It could have been.
- 15 Q. All right. The -- what was the subject matter or the
- **16** goal of the briefing?
- 17 A. Initially, it was to identify to those individuals
- 18 conducting the interviews on how they were -- how we were to
- **19** proceed.
- Q. Okay. And the individuals would have been the FBI
- 21 agents who would be participating in the interrogations of the
- 22 high-value detainees?
- A. It would be the FBI agents conducting the interviews

- 1 as well as the Criminal Investigative Task Force agent
- 2 personnel.
- **3** Q. Also referred to as CITE?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Were there OMC prosecutors present in those
- **6** briefings?
- 7 A. As I recall, yes.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize any of the prosecutors here today as
- **9** people who were present in those briefings?
- **10** A. Yes.
- **11** Q. Who was that?
- 12 A. Mr. Swann. I can't specifically recall Mr. Trivett,
- 13 but he could have been, or at least at a later time. But I do
- 14 recall Mr. Swann.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. Swann have an opportunity to present and
- 16 brief the people who were going to be doing the interrogating?
- 17 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled.
- 19 A. The initial briefing was from Department of Justice,
- 20 and that's who I was taking the guidance from. I don't have a
- 21 specific recollection of getting a briefing where he's
- 22 conducting that briefing.
- Q. Understood. Now, the manner of the interrogations

- 1 was set forth in a January 10, 2007 memorandum that you and
- 2 other agents received, correct?
- **3** A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that again?
- 4 Q. Sure. The ground rules for the interrogation of
- 5 high-value detainees in Guantanamo by the FBI were set forth
- 6 in a January 10, 2007 FBI memorandum, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In fact, January 10, 2007 is the day before the first
- **9** interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- 10 A. That would be the day before we interviewed him
- 11 first, yes.
- 12 Q. All right. Did you have an opportunity to read and
- 13 review that memorandum before interrogating Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **14** Were you familiar with it?
- 15 A. It would have been part of the briefing. I'm not
- 16 sure that I had it in my hand to read it, given the date.
- 17 Q. All right. But it was before the day you
- 18 interrogated Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 19 A. The date on that EC is before the day I started the
- **20** interviews of him.
- Q. Right. And that memorandum set forth some ground
- 22 rules for how you would treat classified information?
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So -- and yesterday you testified that if
- 2 Mr. al Hawsawi had complained about his treatment, you would
- **3** have documented that, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- **5** Q. This memorandum also, however, indicates that
- 6 allegations of misconduct would not be included in the LHM;
- 7 isn't that right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- **9** Q. And I think you also ----
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Ruiz, I'm sorry to
- 11 interrupt, but what is the exhibit number you're referring to?
- **12** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: It's 502XX.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: That's the stand-alone or attachment?
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: It's a stand-alone, Judge.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Go ahead. Thank you.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Sure.
- 17 Q. I believe you testified that it was not your standard
- 18 practice to prepare LHMs under these circumstances, correct?
- 19 When you testified yesterday, you said you normally would not
- 20 have used an LHM.
- 21 A. In an interview of a subject, I would normally
- 22 document in an FD-302, yes.
- Q. But because you were working with the CIA, that was

- 1 the preferred method of documenting the interrogation of
- **2** Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- **3** A. Because I was working with DoD.
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, you were required to type notes of the
- 5 interrogation on a CIA laptop, correct?
- **6** A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you also had a zip file or a zip drive for each
- 8 high-value detainee including Mr. al Hawsawi, right?
- **9** A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And once those notes were typed into the CIA's
- 11 laptop, they were sent to the CIA for their chop?
- 12 A. I believe for a classification review, yes.
- 13 Q. They went to the CIA?
- 14 A. Yes, for a classification review.
- 15 Q. Correct. The handwritten notes, same thing; once the
- 16 handwritten notes were taken, they were put in a pouch and
- 17 they were sent to the CIA for their chop?
- 18 A. For their classification review. I don't know what a
- **19** chop is, but ----
- Q. Well, they had the opportunity to -- and the
- 21 authority to take information out of that, correct?
- 22 A. They had the authority to classify it, not to take it
- 23 out.

- 1 Q. Okay. However, in this memorandum, they do tell you
- 2 what the ground rules are in terms of documenting any claims
- **3** of torture, correct?
- **4** A. The process to do that, yes.
- **5** Q. Right. In other words, not to include it in the LHM,
- 6 but to create a separate ghost report that would include that
- 7 information; although they don't use the word ghost, but it's
- 8 a separate report, correct?
- **9** A. There's no such thing as a ghost report, but it would
- 10 be a second report.
- 11 Q. It was a second report that would be disseminated
- **12** differently than the other LHM, correct?
- 13 A. I don't know about the dissemination part.
- 14 Disseminated -- I'm not sure of the distinction for
- 15 dissemination.
- 16 Q. Okay. So it was up to the CIA to determine what to
- 17 do with that second report?
- 18 A. No, to classify that report, is my understanding.
- **19** Q. Understood.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Once it was classified, went through
- 21 classification review, was there documents returned to the
- **22** FBI, then?
- **23** WIT: So ----

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: Who maintained the -- who maintained the
- **2** LHM, for example? Is that your files?
- 3 WIT: I had that happen with a different subject. But
- 4 with regard to Mr. al Hawsawi, I did not have that issue. So
- 5 there was no separate document. So the process for him, there
- 6 was no process. If you're asking me to reflect on the other
- 7 detainee ----
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: No, we're talking about Mr. Hawsawi. What
- 9 I'm saying is you generated these documents. You send them
- 10 over to the CIA, what Mr. Ruiz calls a chop, what you are
- 11 calling is a classification review. When that classification
- 12 review is done, are the documents returned to the FBI case
- **13** file, or does the CIA keep them?
- 14 WIT: So it didn't happen in his case, so ----
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: What didn't happen? It didn't get sent to
- **16** the CIA?
- 17 WIT: There was not a second report given or written with
- **18** regard to any ----
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Perkins, you and I are talking across
- 20 each other again. So let me say -- okay.
- The LHM was sent over to the CIA for classification
- 22 review, correct.
- 23 WIT: Yes.

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. When they got done with their
- 2 review, does that -- is that returned to the FBI case file, or
- 3 does the CIA keep it?
- 4 WIT: So with regard to the LHM, they classified it and
- 5 returned it to us with the classification markings on it.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 7 WIT: But there was no second report in this case, so I
- 8 can't speak to that.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Let me go back to -- the second report
- 10 that Mr. Ruiz is referring to about mistreatment, are you
- 11 saying that there was no reported mistreatment by
- 12 Mr. al Hawsawi during your interviews necessitating a second
- 13 report, or there was not that requirement at the time?
- 14 WIT: No. There was -- what you said first. There were
- 15 no documented reports of mistreatment provided to me by
- 16 Mr. al Hawsawi.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Okay.
- 18 WIT: What you said at first was accurate, sir. I'm
- **19** sorry.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: No, you are very precise. You said there
- 21 were no documented reports, and that's ----
- 22 WIT: There were no ----
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: No reports you are getting are from him?

- 1 WIT: No reports are documented. There were no reports of
- 2 torture to me verbally, and there were none to write down to
- 3 document to be returned, yes, sir.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead, Mr. Ruiz.
- 5 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I'm glad you're feeling a little bit of
- 6 my pain, Judge. But very well. I think I understand.
- 7 Q. Now, there were specific guidelines as well, correct,
- 8 as to whether you could even ask questions about his previous
- 9 treatment, correct? That information was considered
- 10 classified?
- 11 A. That was considered classified.
- 12 Q. And the use of classified information in the
- 13 interrogation had to be approved both by a prosecutor and the
- **14** appropriate agency, correct?
- 15 A. The owning -- the owning agency, yes.
- **16** 0. The CIA?
- 17 A. It might be CIA. If it was CIA, they would own that
- 18 classification.
- 19 Q. So if you had wanted to ask Mr. al Hawsawi, what
- 20 happened to you when you were detained between 2003 and '6,
- 21 you would have had to have that vetted through a prosecutor
- 22 and through the appropriate intelligence agency?
- 23 A. I know with regard to documents, I did get

- 1 pre-approval. Yes, I would say classified information that I
- 2 wanted to ask about would be approved through the owning
- 3 agency.
- 4 Q. Now, everything Mr. al Hawsawi said at that time was
- **5** presumptively classified, right?
- **6** A. I'm sorry.
- 7 Q. Everything Mr. al Hawsawi said was at that time
- 8 presumptively classified?
- **9** A. I think presumptively until it had classification
- 10 review, yes.
- 11 Q. Correct. You did not understand that Mr. al Hawsawi
- 12 was a qualified holder of a security clearance, correct? He
- 13 was not qualified to receive classified information.
- **14** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I'm getting to it, Judge.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: How many more questions before you get to
- **17** it?
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: One.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. You can have one.
- 20 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: If we can agree he wasn't qualified, then
- **21** I'll go right to the question.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Perkins, did -- to your knowledge, did
- 23 Mr. Hawsawi have a security clearance from the United

- **1** States ----
- WIT: No, sir.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: ---- government? I'm sorry?
- 4 WIT: No, sir.
- **5** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- **6** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Very well.
- 7 Q. You did testify yesterday that you did provide him
- 8 with a rights warning that was, in fact, classified?
- **9** A. I provided him admonishments.
- 10 One of which was classified.
- 11 A. Oh. I don't I think testified to that. If I did,
- 12 yes, there was a -- there was a portion that would have been
- 13 classified, yes.
- 14 Q. So you provided -- and I think you used the word
- 15 admonishment, a classified admonishment, to Mr. Hawsawi even
- 16 though he was not qualified to receive classified information?
- 17 A. I verbally gave him an admonishment that was
- 18 classified.
- **19** Q. Yes. Who approved that?
- 20 A. I believe it would have been the Department of
- 21 Justice.
- Q. Okay. Did you review any medical records for
- 23 Mr. al Hawsawi prior to your interrogation?

- 1 A. I don't recall reviewing any medical records with
- 2 regard to him, no.
- 3 Q. Did you speak to any prosecutors to strategize as to
- 4 the goals of Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation? And if the word
- 5 strategize bothers, then did you you speak to a prosecutor in
- 6 relation to the interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 7 A. Yes.
- **8** Q. All right. I take it you had trouble with the
- 9 strategy piece of that question, the strategize?
- 10 A. Just trying to make sure I precisely answer your
- 11 questions. It's been a long time, so I'm trying to think
- 12 through a lot of years to get it right.
- 13 Q. Did you discuss with the prosecutor the goals and
- 14 objectives of your interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 15 A. I would have -- that would have been a likely
- 16 discussion.
- 17 Q. Do you recall if the prosecutor suggested questions
- **18** that you should ask of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **19** A. I don't recall specifically that.
- Q. Was there any discussion about potential criminal
- 21 offenses that he could be charged with under the Military
- 22 Commissions Act with you and what the elements would be?
- 23 A. Trying to remember if I specifically reviewed any --

- 1 any offenses at that time. I mean, I certainly would have
- 2 known the general offenses with regard to what I would discuss
- **3** with him. I can't recall specifically reviewing a military
- 4 commission statute or anything like that, but ----
- **5** Q. My question is: Did you -- do you remember
- 6 discussing potential offenses with the prosecution or members
- 7 of the prosecution, such as potential charges, elements, those
- **8** kinds of things?
- **9** A. I can't remember specific discussions with regard to
- 10 that. It would have been something I would have prepared
- 11 myself to know, but I can't remember a specific conversation
- 12 with a prosecutor with regard to that. Could it have
- 13 happened? Yes. But it's been a very long time to remember
- 14 those specific conversations during the course of the
- 15 preparation.
- 16 Q. Okay. I know you said you attended a briefing with
- 17 Mr. Swann. Do you recall having one-on-one discussions with
- 18 him prior to your interrogation of Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 19 A. I would have had one-on-one discussions with him.
- Q. Did you select the place where Mr. al Hawsawi would
- **21** be interrogated?
- 22 A. I didn't select the interview place, no.
- Q. Do you know who did?

- **1** A. Not specifically.
- 2 Q. Mr. al Hawsawi was interrogated in what is referred
- **3** to as Camp Echo II, correct?
- **4** A. I believe that's correct.
- **5** Q. All right. Had you been to that camp prior to
- **6** Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation?
- A. I had not.
- **8** Q. On the first day of Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation,
- 9 was Mr. al Hawsawi transported to the room prior to your
- **10** arrival or how -- how did that -- how did that work?
- 11 A. As I recall, he was in the room when I walked in the
- **12** door.
- **13** Q. All right.
- **14** A. Or we walked in the door.
- 15 Q. Did you have any opportunity to see how he had been
- **16** transported to the interrogation room?
- 17 A. I don't recall seeing him before walking in the door.
- 18 Q. Okay. So that is a no, you didn't know how he was
- **19** transported?
- A. I don't recall seeing him before walking in the door
- 21 and seeing him in that room.
- Q. Okay. Did you see any instrumentalities that could
- 23 have transported Mr. al Hawsawi to the interrogation room,

- 1 such as vehicles?
- 2 A. Specific to him, I don't recall seeing -- I know
- 3 there were vehicles used to transport detainees.
- 4 Q. I understand. When you encountered Mr. al Hawsawi in
- 5 the interrogation room, he was shackled to the ground by the
- **6** ankle?
- 7 A. That's what I recall.
- **8** Q. Do you recall if he was sitting on a chair?
- **9** A. I recall he was sitting on a chair, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall if he was sitting on some
- **11** pillows?
- **12** A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. All right. There were three people present in the
- **14** first day of the interrogation, yourself ----
- **15** A. Four including him.
- 16 Q. Thank you. In terms of investigative agents.
- 17 A. Yes, three.
- **18** Q. Special Agent Fitzgerald was there?
- **19** A. Yes.
- **20** Q. And then a representative of the CITF?
- **21** A. Yes.
- **22** Q. Correct? And who was that?
- 23 A. The first day was Elmer Mason.

- 1 Q. There was no audio -- there was no videotaping of
- 2 Mr. al Hawsawi's interrogation, correct?
- **3** A. There was no videotape, no.
- **4** Q. Who made that decision?
- **5** A. People above my pay grade.
- **6** Q. I'm sorry, say that one more time.
- 7 A. Individuals above my pay grade or authority.
- 8 Q. All right. Within your organization, referring to
- 9 the FBI, or outside of the FBI?
- 10 A. I don't know who made that decision. Ultimately,
- 11 there was Department of Justice and other agencies involved in
- **12** that, I'm ----
- 13 Q. For your purposes, you knew that you were not to
- **14** videotape the interrogation?
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And the same with respect to audiotaping the
- **17** interrogation?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Meaning somebody above your pay grade set forth those
- 20 ground rules?
- 21 A. Whoever decided the ground rules, yeah. It wasn't me
- 22 that necessarily decided that.
- Q. All right. You just simply knew you were not to

- 1 audio or videotape the interrogation?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **3** Q. To your knowledge, the interrogation room, however,
- 4 was monitored: wasn't that correct?
- **5** A. I understood there was a video feed.
- **6** Q. All right. Did you know where that video feed went
- **7** to?
- **8** A. To another room. Not specifically.
- **9** Q. Do you know who was present in the other room?
- 10 A. I know other FBI personnel were observing.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Swann or any other members
- 12 of the OMC-P prosecution team were observing?
- 13 A. I believe they were.
- **14** Q. And was Mr. Swann there?
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Were there other members that you also recognized
- 17 that were there, by that I mean that are here in the
- **18** courtroom?
- 19 A. Beyond Mr. Swann, I don't have a specific
- 20 recollection at that time.
- 21 Q. Very well. Do you know if there was recording
- 22 capability in the room from where they were watching?
- 23 A. I don't know.

- 1 Q. Is it fair to say that room was approximately 25 feet
- 2 from the interrogation room where you were interrogating
- **3** Mr. al Hawsawi?
- 4 A. I really didn't know where it was. I did not know
- **5** where it was.
- **6** Q. Okay. It is true that the use of video is, in fact,
- 7 permissible in FBI interrogations, correct?
- **8** A. To -- at that time it would have required permission.
- **9** Q. From the special agent in charge?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. And that was not your title, correct?
- **12** A. No.
- 13 Q. The first day of the interrogation, two people took
- 14 notes, both Agent Fitzgerald and Special Agent Mason?
- 15 A. Special Agent Mason took notes for just a short
- **16** period.
- **17** Q. All right.
- **18** A. But yes.
- 19 Q. Why was he required to stop?
- 20 A. Because it was our policy to take one set of notes
- 21 when you're conducting an interview.
- 22 Q. After the first day, Special Agent Mason was
- 23 replaced, correct?

- **1** A. Yes.
- **2** Q. Do you know why?
- **3** A. I don't.
- **4** Q. All right. Did you ask for him to be replaced?
- **5** A. No.
- **6** Q. Did anybody above your pay grade ask for him to be
- 7 replaced?
- **8** A. I don't know.
- **9** Q. You did not use a translator during the interrogation
- **10** of Mr. al Hawsawi, correct?
- **11** A. I did not.
- 12 Q. And any rights advisements or admonishments -- I
- 13 think you said you used a modified admonishment or
- 14 advisement -- was not given to him in the Arabic language,
- 15 correct?
- **16** A. It was not.
- 17 Q. Either verbally or in a written form?
- **18** A. Correct.
- **19** Q. Why was that?
- A. When I provided the admonishments to him, it was
- 21 really conversational. It wasn't as the normal Miranda, as
- 22 you might take a Miranda form and read it. So I didn't have
- 23 it -- an Arabic version or a translator in there translating

- 1 simultaneous to my providing this to him in conversation.
- 2 Q. So you testified that when you interrogated
- 3 Mr. Mohamed back in 1998 or so, you did provide him an
- 4 advisement form in Swahili, correct.
- **5** A. 1999, yes.
- **6** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, asked and answered.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled.
- 8 Q. And that was because you wanted to make sure that he
- **9** understood the rights?
- 10 A. I gave him both forms, yes, to review.
- **11** Q. Okay.
- **12** A. English and Swahili.
- 13 Q. In what language did you conduct his interrogation?
- **14** A. English.
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled.
- 17 Q. So it is correct that the only record of this
- 18 interrogation that exists is the handwritten notes of
- 19 Special Agent Fitzgerald and about a page and a half of
- 20 Special Agent Mason's, correct?
- **21** A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Mr. al Hawsawi was not free to leave, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.

- **1** Q. He was in custody?
- **2** A. Yes.
- **Q.** And shackled to the ground?
- 4 A. As I recall, he had at least one ankle hooked to a
- 5 chain on the floor.
- **6** Q. In terms of the -- I think you used the word
- 7 admonishment or modified waiver.
- **8** A. For? For Mr. al Hawsawi?
- **9** Q. Yes.
- **10** A. Yes. Admonishment.
- 11 Q. Correct. You had clear guidance, isn't that correct,
- 12 that the detainees were to be told that there was no
- 13 immediate -- there was no attorney available for immediate
- **14** consultation, correct?
- **15** A. To tell them that as part of the admonishment?
- 16 Q. No. If, for instance, the detainee asked for an
- 17 attorney, you were to respond that there was not one
- **18** immediately available?
- 19 A. If he asked for an attorney, I -- my understanding
- 20 was that because he had not been charged, he would not be
- 21 entitled to an attorney at that point in the commissions
- 22 process.
- Q. Understood. But you did understand that the purpose

- 1 of the interrogation was with a view to a prosecution,
- 2 correct? I mean, you knew that?
- 3 A. To get a statement from him to be used in the
- 4 military commissions process, yes.
- **5** Q. All right. And when you say military commissions
- 6 process, you knew that to be a criminal prosecution?
- 7 A. Military commissions process is what I understood.
- 8 Q. You understood that there was a prosecutor? I guess,
- 9 I mean, what I'm asking you is: You have a law degree, right?
- **10** A. I do.
- 11 Q. And you had it prior to joining the FBI?
- **12** A. I did.
- 13 Q. So when you were -- when you were conducting this
- 14 interrogation, you knew this was going to be used in a
- 15 criminal proceeding?
- 16 A. I knew it was going to be used in the military
- 17 commissions process.
- **18** Q. Okay.
- 19 A. So however you want to define that. For me, it was a
- 20 military commissions process, where there would be a tribunal
- 21 or a trial.
- 22 Q. Do you not recognize this as a criminal process? Why
- 23 are you looking ----

- **1** A. I'm just making sure.
- **2** Q. Making sure?
- **3** A. I ----
- 4 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I'm going to let the record
- 5 reflect that the witness looked to the prosecution and then
- 6 indicated that she was just making sure.
- 7 WIT: Making sure that there was not going to be an
- 8 objection prior to answering your question. But if you want
- **9** to repeat your question.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: They'll object when they feel they need to
- 11 object. You don't have to wait for that. Just go ahead and
- 12 answer.
- 13 WIT: Yes, sir.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: You understood the military commission
- 15 process to be a tribunal slash criminal process of some kind?
- 16 WIT: I knew it to be a tribunal commissions process. I
- 17 wasn't that familiar with military process to understand, is
- 18 that deemed a criminal process or something in military --
- 19 military process of some sort.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I got it.
- Q. Now, you testified yesterday that the LHM was not
- 22 completed in Guantanamo, correct?
- A. Finalized, yes.

- 1 Q. Excuse me?
- 2 A. Finalized, yes.
- **3** Q. Okay. And you indicated you were also interrogating
- 4 other detainees?
- **5** A. I did conduct other interviews while here.
- **6** Q. Okay. Those were high-value detainees?
- **7** A. They were.
- **8** Q. All right. After a day of interrogation, how would
- 9 you go about memorializing the information that you had
- 10 gathered? Let's say after the first day, what did you do?
- 11 A. So I would go to a different location and utilize a
- 12 computer provided to me to begin typing those notes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Would anybody else have input? Meaning the
- 14 agents who were in the interrogation, were they also assisting
- 15 you in generating that document?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Other than those agents, were any other individuals
- 18 involved in giving you input into what to put into that
- **19** computer?
- **20** A. No.
- **Q.** Did you have any input from prosecutors?
- **22** A. No.
- Q. Did you have any input from members of intelligence

- 1 agencies?
- **2** A. No.
- **3** Q. All right. When you completed inputting that
- 4 information -- now, was this a stand-alone laptop?
- **5** A. Yes.
- **6** Q. So it wasn't hooked into a network?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. All right. Where was that maintained? And I
- 9 don't -- don't give me the physical location, but it was
- 10 maintained within a building that was not in your control?
- **11** A. Yes.
- **12** Q. How was it stored?
- 13 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: That's sustained.
- When you got to the office, where was the computer?
- 16 WIT: I believe they were already out and available to us.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. When you left the office, what
- 18 happened to the computer? Did you just leave it there?
- 19 WIT: It would be sometimes 11:00, 12:00 at night. I'm
- 20 not certain I remember if -- we would have had to have made
- 21 sure they were secured in some way.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: You would hand it to somebody and they
- 23 would do that?

- 1 WIT: There may have been somebody there that we would
- 2 have -- that would have taken control of those computers.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: In essence, it stayed in the office area
- 4 when you used it, when you weren't using it. Somebody
- 5 controlled it there?
- **6** WIT: Yes, sir.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Got it. Thank you.
- **8** Go ahead, Mr. Ruiz.
- **9** Q. How was the access to the computer controlled? Would
- 10 you have to log in, for instance, handwritten record, or would
- 11 you just log in to the computer with a username and
- 12 identification?
- 13 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Same objection.
- **14** MJ [COL POHL]: Overruled.
- 15 You may answer the question.
- **16** A. I don't recall specifically.
- 17 Q. The process you just described, was that the process,
- 18 the same process for every day after Mr. al Hawsawi's
- 19 interrogation? So I know you started on the 11th, the 12th,
- 20 and 13th as well. The process you just described, is that the
- 21 same process you followed?
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. During any of the other specific dates I didn't

- 1 cover, did you have any input from other agencies or
- **2** prosecutors into what went into the report?
- **3** A. No.
- 4 Q. Very well. And then I believe the third
- 5 interrogation was on the 16th, correct?
- **6** A. The third day of the -- would have been a continuous
- 7 interview in my mind, but yes, that would have been the last
- 8 day we talked.
- **9** Q. Very well. You indicated that -- well, actually, I
- 10 don't think you indicated. But in terms of the 9/11
- 11 operation, what would you say, based on your investigation,
- 12 was the date of inception? For example -- let me give you an
- **13** example.
- 14 Yesterday you testified that the embassy bombing took
- 15 place in 1998, but you discovered information that led the
- 16 investigators to believe that it was -- as early as 1993 there
- 17 was preparation for the operation. Do you recall that?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's what I'm asking you in relation to this
- 20 operation. What would have been, based on your investigation,
- 21 the inception date on where that would have began, the 9/11
- **22** operation?
- A. So as early as the investigation began on Usama bin

- **1** Laden, arguably.
- **2** Q. Okay. And that would have been, in your mind?
- 3 A. The investigation, as I recall, began, I believe, in
- **4** 1996.
- **5** Q. At what point in the timeline do you pinpoint as the
- **6** inception date of Mr. al Hawsawi's involvement?
- 7 A. Involvement with -- can you be specific? Involvement
- 8 with al Qaeda? Involvement with 9/11?
- **9** Q. 9/11.
- 10 A. I would say when he began supporting the media
- 11 committee for al Qaeda that supported al Qaeda and other
- 12 groups.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: When was that?
- 14 WIT: I believe that was May of 2000.
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay. Give me a moment, Judge.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
- 17 [Pause.]
- 18 Q. Agent Perkins, when you were logged into the CIA
- 19 databases that contained intelligence reports, do you recall
- 20 how you logged on?
- 21 A. So I said I didn't have access to CIA databases.
- **22** Q. You said CID?
- 23 A. CIA databases. I did not have access to their

- 1 databases.
- **Q**. Okay. The reason I asked that is because the January
- 3 10, 2007, indicates that you would be provided access to CIA
- 4 databases containing intelligence reports.
- **5** A. If you can show it to me, I'm happy to look at that.
- **6** Q. I'm sorry?
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: The question really is, did you ever
- 8 access CIA databases?
- 9 WIT: So I wouldn't have been given direct access to CIA
- 10 databases. In my mind, it would be sitting at CIA and
- 11 accessing their databases. Information would be provided to
- 12 us in cables. So the idea of having access to a CIA database
- 13 to search their database as an FBI agent is not something that
- **14** I recall ever having had ----
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: May I refresh her recollection?
- **16** WIT: ---- getting access to their databases.
- 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I can show her the document, Judge,
- **18** and ----
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: But the document says they could do it,
- **20** right?
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: But she said she didn't do it.
- 23 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Well, I think we're in -- we're kind of

- 1 getting into the same distinction that maybe an earlier
- 2 witness drew between what a database is or whether you call it
- 3 a different system. And I really need to nail down on ----
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Talking about yesterday, when we were
- 5 talking about what did she rely upon; is that where we're
- **6** going to on this?
- 7 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I just have that one pinpoint question
- 8 where I'm trying to determine if what she looked at is maybe
- 9 the same as what Agent Fitzgerald looked at.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Are you talking about the closed system
- **11** now?
- 12 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes, which he didn't see as a database.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: And you're characterizing that he
- 14 testified that he looked at it before the interrogation?
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No, no. No, I'm just trying to ----
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Okay. Yeah, I just -- I understand
- 17 what you're saying is -- is you want to show it to her, but it
- 18 says it gives her access to a database. She says she never
- 19 reviewed the CIA database.
- 20 So did you review any CIA materials?
- 21 WIT: Materials, yes.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And how did you access those
- 23 materials?

- 1 WIT: As I recall, in preparation for the interview, I had
- 2 hard copy documents, hard copy printouts.
- **3** Q. Okay. Do you know where those hard copies came from?
- 4 A. My understanding, they were Agency records, CIA
- 5 records.
- **6** Q. Did somebody provide those to you based on a request
- 7 that you made?
- 8 A. I think they were there and available for me in
- **9** preparation. I don't recall specifically asking for them.
- **10** Q. Do you know who made them available?
- **11** A. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. You just kind of showed up and they were right there
- 13 for you?
- 14 A. There was information there. Like I said, I don't
- 15 recall specifically requesting that.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Perkins, just so I'm clear here, is
- 17 Mr. Hawsawi is one of a number of HVDs being interviewed
- **18** around this time, correct?
- 19 WIT: Yes, sir.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: And the preparatory materials you're
- 21 talking about, I'm assuming each agent got one for each
- 22 detainee?
- 23 WIT: That could have been possible, yes.

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. When you say it's possible, this
- 2 may not be a fruitful area to go down then, but I'm saying is
- 3 but those preparatory materials, were they -- did you generate
- 4 them, or did the FBI said here's all the stuff we have on
- **5** Hawsawi, or a combination? Just how -- in response to
- 6 Mr. Ruiz's question, how did all this material get gathered in
- 7 order to prepare you for the interrogation?
- **8** WIT: So I -- as I recall, I would have gathered the FBI
- 9 material myself and the agency material, if -- like I said, I
- 10 have no specific recollection of asking for it or if when I
- 11 arrived to prepare for interviews there were agency cables
- 12 that they would have reported information that was available
- 13 to me, hard copy.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- 15 Q. But you don't recall sitting at a computer searching
- 16 through folders or doing search queries or those kinds of
- 17 things to access those CIA records?
- 18 A. I specifically recall the hard copy. I don't
- 19 specifically recall otherwise. I just don't recall it.
- Q. Now, I asked you if you specifically had been granted
- **21** access to Mr. al Hawsawi from 2003 to 2006, and you said no.
- 22 Are you aware if any other FBI agents were granted access to
- **23** Mr. al Hawsawi between 2003 and 2006?

- **1** A. I was not aware of that.
- 2 Q. Do you recall the specific names of FBI agents who
- 3 were present at the briefing you described in regards to the
- 4 ground rules for interrogation of high-value detainees?
- **5** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Objection, relevance.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Sustained.
- 7 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I just need one more minute, Judge, to go
- 8 through my notes here.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure. You have a lot of notes there,
- **10** Mr. Ruiz, so take your time.
- 11 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you.
- 12 [Pause.]
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Just a minute, Judge.
- 14 [Pause.]
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, that's all I have.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 17 Any redirect, Mr. Trivett?
- **18** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, sir.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Ms. Perkins, that will conclude
- 20 your testimony in open session, but you're going to be
- 21 recalled, probably tomorrow, for a closed, classified session.
- 22 So I remind you don't talk to anybody, except for the
- 23 attorneys for either side, or the accused. You are excused,

1	thank you.
2	[The witness was warned, temporarily excused, and withdrew
3	from the courtroom.]
4	MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel, do you have any other
5	witnesses to present?
6	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: We do not, sir.
7	MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, is your witness prepared to
8	testify?
9	LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes.
10	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. We'll recess for 15 minutes, and
11	then we'll call that witness. I'm looking. We'll see how
12	long he takes. But I think we can go at least until 1700 with
13	him, if he's that long and Mr. Ruiz indicated he is we
14	need to have a certain amount of time to break for the
15	classified session this afternoon, but it will not begin
16	before 1700. Commission is in recess for 15 minutes.
17	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1529, 7 December 2017.]
18	[END OF PAGE]
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1547,
- 2 7 December 2017.]
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. Apparently
- 4 Mr. Ryan is absent. Anybody else, Trial Counsel?
- 5 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Ryan and
- 6 Mr. Groharing are attending to case-related business. We
- 7 would request the commission's permission they come back when
- 8 they are done with that. Thank you.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure. Fine. In fact, Mr. Groharing just
- 10 came back.
- 11 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: We're in the same posture. And I have a
- 12 question about 532 I'd like to ask you before we go on to the
- 13 next witness, please. The question is ----
- **14** MJ [COL POHL]: Hold that thought.
- 15 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Oh, okay.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann, any changes?
- 17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, I'm now joined by Captain Brady,
- **18** who magically appeared.
- **19** MJ [COL POHL]: Well, that's good for Captain Brady.
- 20 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, Major Stuard is not here,
- 21 but he will be back.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell?
- 23 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: No changes, sir.

- **1** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, your team here?
- 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes, Judge.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Nevin.
- 4 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: We have been waiting to hear some word
- 5 from Major Lebowitz about whether a witness will be available,
- 6 and, if so, who it is. Does the military commission take it
- 7 you have ordered or directed that he would provide us that
- 8 information by some point or will you provide ----
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Well, during the recess, I contacted my
- 10 staff and I had them -- directed them to send an e-mail to
- 11 Major Lebowitz as to who the witness is and what the witness
- 12 does, and that all of the defense counsel should be cc'd on
- 13 the same e-mail. So that's kind of where that's at. And I
- 14 think I get an idea for timing is another issue there.
- 15 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: All right. But there was no word
- **16** coming ----
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: We had not heard -- I had not heard
- **18** anything.
- 19 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead. Mr. Harrington.
- 21 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, on that issue, I don't know
- 22 if we can get Major Lebowitz over here, but it might be
- 23 helpful if we had a few minutes to talk about tomorrow, what

- 1 we're going to do. Especially because I want to talk about
- 2 our potential witness, too. So -- which we can't do without
- **3** him here, so ----
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Like I said, we got time. Time
- 5 going late, but we got time.
- **6** Mr. Connell.
- 7 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, since we have time, I just wanted
- 8 to say that, although this hearing is not about us,
- 9 we obviously have a right to examine this witness. I'm
- 10 prepared to do so today so Professor Watts doesn't have to
- 11 come back at some future time.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: I mean, I got a similar request from, I
- 13 believe, Mr. Nevin and Mr. Harrington and I denied that. Why
- 14 should I permit you to question this witness other than ----
- 15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, Mr. Binalshibh and Mr. Mohammad
- 16 are not joined to the motion. This is my motion just as much
- 17 as it is Mr. Hawsawi's motion. There is evidence being culled
- 18 in it. If he needs to come back at a future time, that's
- 19 fine. I'm just advising the military commission, I have
- 20 questions. I am prepared to proceed today if the military
- 21 commission is inclined to let me do so today as opposed to
- 22 some future time. Because a witness in a hearing in which --
- 23 which affects the resolution of 502, we clearly have a right

- 1 to question the witness.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: So I just want to make it clear here,
- **3** Mr. Connell, because one of the things I also want to talk
- 4 about is your 502 in a scheduling way-ahead thing. And again,
- 5 you're at a slightly -- you're at a different posture than the
- 6 other three, I've got that.
- 7 Is it your position then that you and Mr. Hawsawi are
- 8 using this witness in support of your argument.
- 9 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I'm not 100 percent sure if the
- **10** witness -- so ----
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: You're not quite sure of Mr. Hawsawi's --
- 12 I understand. He's speaking for himself. But, I mean, do
- 13 you -- I'm just -- is it the same issue?
- 14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Well, it's certainly the same issue.
- 15 It's not necessarily the same position.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I could be taking an adversarial
- 18 position on some points to Mr. al Hawsawi. But when one party
- 19 to litigation calls a witness, everyone gets -- all parties
- 20 including the prosecution get to examine him. So I'm not
- 21 promising that I have questions, but depending on what he
- 22 says, I may have questions. And what I'm advising you is I'm
- 23 prepared to question him today as opposed to some other day.

1 He is not a joint witness of Mr. al Hawsawi and 2 Mr. al Baluchi; he is purely Mr. al Hawsawi's witness. 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Well, let's see what he says on 4 direct from Mr. Hawsawi, and then you tell me what you think 5 you need to ask. Because you would only ask him something that wasn't asked by Mr. Hawsawi? 6 7 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Right. I mean, I'm not ----8 MJ [COL POHL]: Not going to repeat the direct testimony? 9 Because that never happens with another ----10 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I'm not requesting to repeat the 11 direct testimony. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Let's let him do the direct for 13 Mr. Hawsawi, and then we'll see where we're at, okay? 14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead and call the witness, please. 16 DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: Call Professor Watts. 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Just for planning purposes, we're going to 18 take this witness not later than 1700. We will stop at that 19 point. Please have him stand in front of the witness chair. 20 CP [BG MARTINS]: Please raise your right hand for the 21 oath. 22 [END OF PAGE]

23

- 1 PROFESSOR SEAN WATTS, civilian, was called as a witness for
- 2 the defense, was sworn, and testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 Questions by the Chief Prosecutor [BG MARTINS]:
- **5** CP [BG MARTINS]: Please be seated.
- 6 Questions by the Defense Counsel [MAJ WILKINSON]:
- 7 Q. Good afternoon, Professor.
- **8** A. Good afternoon.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Just so we get this down is I'm used to
- 10 swearing the witness, then having the witness identify himself
- 11 and the city and state of residence. So I'll do it this time,
- 12 but I expect the trial counsel to do it in the future.
- 13 What is your full name and your city and state of
- **14** residence?
- 15 WIT: My name is Sean Watts; I live in Bennington,
- 16 Nebraska.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 18 Questions by the Defense Counsel [MAJ WILKINSON]:
- 19 Q. Tell us about your educational background, Professor
- 20 Watts.
- 21 A. So I have a Bachelor of Arts from the University of
- 22 Colorado in international affairs. I have a law degree from
- 23 College of William and Mary Law School, and I have a legal

- 1 masters from the United States Army Judge Advocate General
- 2 School.
- 3 Q. Tell us about your education on law of war topics.
- **4** A. I also began as an officer, Army officer. So I
- 5 started before I was a military lawyer as a -- an armor
- 6 officer. We received law of war training there. Then when I
- 7 transferred to the Judge Advocate General's Corps, I received
- 8 law of war training at the Officer Basic Course.
- 9 I was assigned to be an operational lawyer and
- 10 international lawyer at the 2nd Infantry Division in Korea --
- 11 that's my first legal assignment -- and was returned to the
- 12 JAG School for a two-week course in operational law. I would
- 13 say about half of that course was law of war.
- 14 Thereafter, I returned to the Judge Advocate
- 15 General's School for the legal masters program. When I was
- 16 identified as a future faculty member for my follow-on
- 17 assignment, I received significant law of war instruction
- 18 there as well. So in addition to the core curriculum that
- 19 each judge advocate going through the program has, I was
- 20 permitted to specialize in the law of war the second semester
- **21** of that legal masters program.
- 22 Q. So how long in your career, since when have you
- 23 specialized in this area?

- 1 A. Well, so after the -- after completing the graduate
- 2 course, I joined the faculty of the Army JAG School. I was
- 3 assigned to the international law department. That was 2004.
- 4 I have specialized in the law of war since that time.
- **5** Q. And does that include in military assignments as well
- **6** as academic ones?
- 7 A. It does. I left active duty following my three-year
- 8 tour on the faculty. I remained in the reserves, and, in
- 9 fact, remained on the JAG School faculty as a reservist as
- 10 well. So I returned to the school periodically to teach a law
- 11 of war course and an operational law course, usually once per
- **12** year.
- When I left active duty, I left to become a law
- 14 professor at Creighton University Law School. I continued
- 15 teaching the law of war there as a semester-long course,
- 16 actually called it The Law of Armed Conflict there. And all
- 17 of my research and writing since 2007 has focused on
- 18 international law and most especially the laws of war.
- 19 Q. What other professional activities do you have in
- 20 this area besides the academic ones you've talked about?
- 21 A. From two thousand -- I believe it's 2009 to 2012, I
- 22 was on a defense team at the International Criminal Tribunal
- 23 for Yugoslavia, former Yugoslavia. I was involved in the

- 1 case, Gotovina, et al. I was assigned to the defense team of
- 2 General Mladen Markac. I was brought on the team to advise
- 3 and help litigate law of war matters in addition to command
- 4 responsibility matters.
- **5** Q. Have you done any advising to governments?
- **6** A. Yes, I have. I mean, most prominently, the United
- 7 States Government. I haven't advised governments directly, I
- 8 would say; however, some governments have brought me in to do
- 9 training for their own Armed Forces, so -- well, in 2005, in
- 10 Kabul, Afghanistan, I was assigned to give law of war
- 11 instruction and human rights law instruction to the Afghan
- 12 National Army and the Afghan Ministry of Defense there in
- **13** Kabul.
- 14 Q. Have you done any prominent activities with the
- 15 International Committee for the Red Cross?
- 16 A. Yes. Several. I have several projects with them.
- 17 Currently I'm on a reading committee for the redraft of the
- 18 commentaries to the 1949 Geneva Conventions. In 1958 through
- 19 1961, the International Committee of the Red Cross published a
- 20 series of four volumes of commentaries on the 1949 Geneva
- 21 Conventions. A few years ago they determined that they would
- 22 update and reissue those convections. It's a quite large
- 23 project. I'm on a committee that reviews every single

- 1 commentary that is written. In addition, I have submitted my
- 2 own three commentaries for inclusion in the new commentaries
- 3 as well. Those apply to the Third Geneva Convention on
- 4 prisoners of war.
- In addition to that project, I have conducted
- 6 seminars for them on law of war training or, as they prefer to
- 7 call it, international humanitarian law. I have done this in
- 8 a number of university campuses in the United States,
- 9 including the University of Virginia, Brigham Young
- 10 University. I have also done this twice in Beijing, China,
- 11 for them as well.
- 12 Q. And your -- the commentaries you're talking about for
- **13** the ICRC ----
- **14** A. Yes.
- 15 Q. ---- do those draw on state practice and state
- **16** conduct and things of that nature?
- 17 A. Yes, quite heavily. In fact, most of the effort of
- 18 the commentaries is to layer a gloss of state practice over
- 19 the language of the convention itself. Those commentaries do
- 20 try to account for how states have implemented the
- 21 conventions, especially this updated version. There wasn't
- 22 much to work with in the original commentaries because they
- 23 were still quite new, the conventions were. But this updated

- 1 effort is, I think, a much larger attempt to account for state
- 2 practice and the extent to which state practice has perhaps
- 3 even in some cases modified the plain meaning of the
- 4 convention.
- **5** Q. Tell us about your teaching in the area of the law of
- **6** war.
- 7 A. I have taught the law of war -- taught initially at
- 8 the Army JAG School, as I indicated. My teaching profile was
- 9 exclusively the Fourth Geneva Convention and war crimes
- 10 initially, but it grew to include other war crime subjects as
- 11 well. On top of that, every member of the department would
- 12 cover nearly the entire range of the curriculum in some of the
- 13 small group sessions as well.
- 14 In addition to teaching there at the school, we were
- 15 often sent to other government agencies to instruct on law of
- 16 war. Some of the departments we instructed included the
- 17 United States State Department, the Department of Justice, the
- 18 Central Intelligence Agency. We would travel frequently to
- 19 some of these other places to give law of war instruction.
- Q. And have you taught seminars at other places?
- 21 A. Yes, I have, very frequently. Some of the law
- 22 schools where I have taught seminars and given talks include
- 23 Yale Law School, the University of Virginia Law School on at

- 1 least five occasions, Duke University Law School, University
- 2 of Texas Law School on two occasions, Georgetown University
- 3 Law School, University of California Berkeley. Those are --
- 4 those are a few.
- **5** Q. On which school are you on the faculty now?
- **6** A. Creighton University Law School.
- 7 Q. And have you taught law of war topics there?
- 8 A. Yes, I have. I have for, I think, a total of five
- 9 semesters; I taught a course called The Law of Armed Conflict.
- 10 So this was to Juris Doctor candidates. It covered the entire
- 11 range of the law of war.
- 12 Q. Have you also taught anything on international
- 13 criminal law that would include war crimes?
- 14 A. Yes, I have. I've taught, I believe now, nine
- 15 iterations of international criminal law at Creighton
- 16 University Law School. This is both at our home campus in
- 17 Omaha, Nebraska, as well as a summer school that we have
- 18 offered now for six consecutive summers. We have partnered
- 19 with a German University, the University of Erlangen, to offer
- 20 a month-long international criminal law course. It's
- 21 headquartered in Nuremberg, Germany. We take the students up
- 22 to The Hague, Netherlands as well to tour the tribunals.
- And we offer two courses, of course, on the law on

- 1 the Holocaust and then a more traditional course on
- 2 international criminal law. I'm responsible for the latter,
- 3 which involves significant war crimes and law of war
- 4 instruction as well.
- **5** Q. Tell us about your publications in the area of the
- **6** law of war.
- 7 A. I have, I would say, in excess of 25 publications on
- 8 international law. The majority of these do focus on the law
- 9 of war, and the majority of them focus on the jus ad bella,
- 10 the prong of the law of war that is that prong of the law of
- 11 war that regulates the conduct of hostilities, in addition to
- 12 a wide range of subjects within the laws of war.
- **13** Q. Are any of your publications peer reviewed?
- 14 A. Yes, several are peer reviewed. The peer-reviewed
- 15 publications include the International Law Studies, which is a
- 16 publication that comes from the Naval War College. There's an
- 17 Oxford publication that is peer reviewed, the Journal of
- 18 Conflict and Security Law, that is a publication. I think
- 19 that came out last year. That is also a peer-reviewed
- **20** journal.
- Q. And does peer review make a difference in your field
- 22 as far as the status of publications?
- A. I would say in law it's a peculiar thing. For the

- 1 longest time it was chiefly student-edited journals where law
- 2 professors placed their pieces. But increasingly, I think
- 3 we're making the conversion to appreciating the value of
- 4 peer-edited journals. I certainly have done that in my own
- 5 publication efforts. I have tried now to achieve a mix of
- 6 student-edited publications and peer-reviewed publications.
- 7 The latter, peer-reviewed publications, I have found provided
- 8 a higher quality of editing and substantive feedback.
- **9** Q. Have you received any awards for your publications?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes. I have received three writing awards.
- 11 The first was at the Judge Advocate General's School. The
- 12 article I wrote for the legal masters received the General
- 13 Prugh Award for Excellence in International Law Writing. Next
- 14 I received the Kevin Barry Award from the National Institute
- 15 of Military Justice; this was for an article on combatant
- 16 status. And then most recently, I received the Francis Lieber
- 17 Prize from the American Society of International Law for
- 18 excellence in law of war writing.
- 19 Q. Have you been involved in the writing of any law of
- **20** war manuals?
- 21 A. Yes. Yes, I have. So this was a substantial part of
- 22 the duties at the Judge Advocate General's School. The
- 23 international law department publishes two works, first a law

- 1 of war deskbook used for instruction; secondly, an operational
- 2 law handbook which is used by judge advocates assigned to
- 3 operational billets, I believe, in each of the four services
- 4 and perhaps even elsewhere. More recently, I was involved --
- 5 or invited to participate in a project by the Nato Centre of
- 6 Excellence. The Cyber Defence Center of Excellence is located
- 7 in Tallinn, Estonia.
- 8 In 2008, we began a project to provide a manual on
- 9 how the laws of war, both the jus ad bellum regulating the
- 10 resort to armed force, and the jus in bello, resorting to the
- 11 conduct of hostilities, how these prongs of the laws of war
- 12 ought to operate in cyberspace. This is a three-year long
- 13 project. There were 18 members of what was called an
- 14 international group of experts. We produced the final product
- 15 in 2012, which was published by Cambridge University Press.
- 16 Q. Do you belong to any professional organizations in
- 17 this area?
- 18 A. Let's see. I'm a member of the Washington State Bar,
- 19 but that, of course, is not a law of war organization. I am a
- 20 member of the Institute of International Humanitarian Law in
- 21 San Remo, Italy. I was invited to join as a member of that
- 22 institute, I believe, in 2009, and I have been a member of
- 23 that organization ever since, yes.

- 1 Q. And are you involved with the faculties of any other
- 2 universities, especially any military academies?
- 3 A. I was, as a reservist, a member of the department of
- 4 law at the United States Military Academy at West Point. I
- 5 provided instruction to summer students while I was assigned
- 6 there; that included constitutional law, military law, and
- 7 laws of war.
- 8 Q. Have you had any involvement with the U.S. Naval War
- **9** College?
- 10 A. Yes, I have. I am -- or was for three years -- it's
- 11 a rotating position -- a member of the board of advisors for
- 12 the International Law Studies series. I've been an invited
- 13 speaker there numerous times, both on panels at conferences
- **14** and to two smaller invitation-only workshops.
- 15 Q. Have you examined Attachment C to Appellate Exhibit
- **16** 502Z?
- 17 A. Yes, I have.
- 18 Q. Is that your curriculum vitae?
- **19** A. Yes, it is.
- **20** Q. And is it accurate?
- **21** A. Yes, it is.
- 22 DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: The defense now requests Professor
- 23 Watts be recognized as an expert in the law of war.

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel, do you wish to voir dire
- 2 the witness?
- **3** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, sir.
- **4** MJ [COL POHL]: Any challenge to that characterization?
- 5 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, sir.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: He's so accepted. Go ahead.
- 7 Q. Is the law of war a type of international law?
- 8 A. Yes, it is. It's known by various names. Some refer
- 9 to it as the law of armed conflict, some refer to it as the
- 10 law of war, some refer to it as international humanitarian
- 11 law; but it is a subtopic within public international law
- **12** generally.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar -- I mean, to your knowledge, does
- 14 there exist any separate United States law of war?
- 15 A. Like many of its international -- like many of its
- 16 legal -- international legal obligations, the United States
- 17 has implemented the laws of war in its own statutory regimes.
- 18 It is -- we are a dualist system that requires that additional
- 19 step. I suppose one could describe the extent to which we
- 20 have integrated the laws of war into our statutes as something
- 21 U.S. specific, but that's not usually termed its own body of
- 22 international law or its own body of the law of war, no.
- Q. I mean, does any one country have the power to, by

- 1 itself, change the law of war?
- 2 A. Not by itself, no. I mean ----
- 3 Q. Now, what does the term armed conflict mean in
- 4 international law?
- **5** A. It's a term that first appears in the 1949 Geneva
- 6 Conventions. There are two variants of armed conflict that
- 7 are described in those conventions. The first is
- 8 international armed conflict, which describes war or conflict
- 9 between two states or high-contracting parties to the Geneva
- 10 Conventions. The second variant of armed conflict recognized
- 11 in the 1949 Geneva Conventions is what the conventions term
- 12 conflict not of an international character. That term appears
- 13 in Common Article 3 of each of the four Geneva Conventions.
- 14 Q. And is that commonly called noninternational armed
- 15 conflict now?
- 16 A. Yes, sometimes it is.
- 17 Q. What is the principle of legality in the law of war?
- 18 A. It's not a principle peculiar to the law of war; but
- 19 within the law of war, it refers to a principle that requires
- 20 parties to apply existing law rather than laws that may be in
- 21 the future, or will be.
- Q. So if you're analyzing a war crimes situation, you
- 23 have to use the law as it existed at the time of the crime,

- 1 not what people come up with later on?
- 2 A. Yes. The concept of legality appears quite
- 3 frequently in international criminal law and in war crimes.
- 4 It was a focus of criticism, frankly, of the Nuremberg
- 5 Tribunals and the Far East Tribunal.
- **6** Q. Now, do the Geneva Conventions of 1949 or any other
- 7 treaties specifically define armed conflict? I mean, do they
- 8 provide some formula where you can just look at it and see
- **9** whether given fighting is armed conflict or not?
- 10 A. Well, there is one that goes to some greater length.
- 11 That is Additional Protocol II.
- 12 Q. We'll come back to that one in a little while.
- **13** A. Okay.
- 14 Q. But in order to classify a conflict as armed conflict
- 15 or not, do you have to look at customary international law?
- 16 A. You do. Because the 1949 Geneva Conventions do not
- 17 define armed conflict. There were proposals to do so. This
- 18 was not a point lost on states, that they had adopted a fairly
- 19 ambiguous term, particularly as it related to conflict not of
- 20 an international character described in Common Article 3.
- 21 Several states proposed to provide a definition or to clarify
- 22 what they meant by armed conflict, especially in the context
- 23 of noninternational armed conflict; and a working group was

- 1 even formed to do that. Several states, including the United
- 2 States, proffered criteria, but they could come to no
- 3 agreement, and, therefore, the term was left undefined.
- 4 A second working group attempted, actually, and
- 5 abandoned the effort, and that sealed it. The states were
- 6 content to leave things with just the term armed conflict. I
- 7 suspect that ambiguity was probably key to the consensus of
- 8 all the states.
- **9** Q. To determine customary international law, do you have
- 10 to look at the behavior of governments?
- 11 A. The usual formula, the widely accepted formula for
- 12 customary international law, is general and consistent state
- 13 practice; not by one state but by the community of states;
- 14 hence the resort to general state practice. Then in addition
- 15 there's an element of opinio iuris, a Latin term which
- 16 describes a sense of legal obligations. That is not only are
- 17 states undertaking this general and consistent course of
- 18 practice; they're doing so because they feel legally obligated
- 19 to as a matter of international law.
- Q. What's the relative importance of the pronouncements
- 21 or the words of governments versus their actions or their
- 22 deeds?
- A. The latter is more persuasive. When accessible and

- 1 when publicly available, scholars, academics, other states
- 2 even, prefer to examine the actual courses of conduct of
- 3 states. This can be difficult in conditions of armed conflict
- 4 where states often attempt to hide what they're doing or don't
- 5 make publicly available what they're doing. But as between
- 6 state pronouncements and actual state practices, the latter
- 7 are preferred.
- **8** Q. How important are the words and deeds of
- 9 intergovernmental bodies, such as the United Nations?
- 10 A. They're not authoritative. Only states can truly
- 11 make international law, and only what states do and in some
- 12 cases say is relevant for the identification of customary
- 13 international law. That said, many nongovernmental
- 14 organizations do offer opinions on the state of the law, do
- 15 attempt to advance the state of the law through dialogue.
- 16 Some of their products are persuasive.
- 17 The International Committee of the Red Cross have,
- 18 for decades, developed products which many lawyers consider
- 19 highly persuasive; some have lended them the status of
- 20 authoritative. That, in my opinion, is incorrect. They're
- 21 not authoritative.
- 22 Q. How about the role of international war crimes
- 23 tribunals? How important -- how important are those in

- **1** determining customary international law?
- 2 A. They are relevant to the sources of international
- 3 law. Decisions by tribunals have been recognized as a source
- 4 of international law. For instance, in the statute of the
- 5 International Court of Justice, the decisions of tribunals are
- 6 a legitimate source of international law in that respect.
- 7 Q. Now, how about the statements and actions of private
- 8 armed groups?
- **9** A. They are not acceptable sources of international law.
- 10 They are not authoritative sources of international law any
- 11 more than a nongovernmental organization might be.
- Recently, the United States expressed a very strong
- 13 opinion in this regard in its Law of War Manual. The United
- 14 States judged that the opinions of organized armed groups, for
- 15 instance, and whether they are involved in a state of armed
- 16 conflict, the Manual makes clear they are not competent
- 17 authorities. That's paragraph 3.4.1.2 of the Manual.
- 18 Q. Now, when it comes to the law of noninternational
- 19 armed conflict, when did that law really get started?
- 20 A. It really sees its birth in the 1949 Geneva
- 21 Conventions. There really was not a lot of multilateral
- 22 treaty-based law, certainly, that regulated noninternational
- 23 armed conflict prior to the 1949 Geneva Conventions. And even

- 1 then, this was a modest effort of the 400 or more articles of
- 2 the 1949 Geneva Conventions. Only one in the original
- 3 conventions addresses noninternational armed conflict: that is
- 4 Common Article 3.
- **5** Q. So for the rest of my questions, given that, I'm
- 6 going to be talking about the period from 1949 to
- **7** September 11th, 2001.
- **8** A. Okay.
- **9** Q. So in your study of the law of -- the customary law
- 10 of noninternational armed conflicts during that period, are
- 11 there any overall patterns that you have seen in the way
- 12 governments behaved towards their conflicts with nonstate
- **13** armed groups?
- **14** A. The period that initially follows the 1949 Geneva
- 15 Conventions saw very little application of Common Article 3.
- 16 This was, I suspect, for a number of reasons. There was --
- 17 this generated frustration among some states. And as early as
- 18 1961, there were efforts by states to refine the standard of
- 19 applicability; that is, to fill out the meaning of that term,
- 20 armed conflict. Those efforts continued but saw very little
- 21 state interest, I would say, until the early 1970s. At that
- **22** time ----
- 23 Q. Sorry. In dealing with actual conflicts ----

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. ---- was there anything you would note about their
- 3 overall willingness or reluctance to refer to them or to treat
- 4 them as actual noninternational armed conflicts?
- **5** A. As a general matter, states were unwilling to regard
- 6 most situations of violence as rising to the level of armed
- 7 conflict.
- **8** Q. Tell us, then, a little about Additional Protocol II,
- 9 which is what I think you were coming to.
- 10 A. Sure. So after the efforts -- after various efforts
- 11 by nongovernmental organizations and even some states to
- 12 clarify the meaning of armed conflict, states convened a
- 13 diplomatic conference to update the Geneva Conventions more
- 14 generally. This is the diplomatic conference that runs from
- 15 1974 to 1977 and ultimately produces Additional Protocols I
- 16 and II to the Geneva Conventions of 1949.
- 17 Q. And what kind of conflicts does Additional
- **18** Protocol II apply to?
- 19 A. Additional Protocol II applies to all armed conflicts
- 20 not covered in Article 1 of Additional Protocol I. The
- 21 convention then elaborates further and describes conflicts
- 22 that involve a high-contracting party against an organized
- 23 armed group on the territory of a high-contracting party.

- 1 The organized armed group must then satisfy three
- 2 conditions: A condition of territorial control; secondly, a
- 3 condition of carrying out sustained and concerted operations
- 4 against the government forces; and then finally, the organized
- 5 armed group must implement the protocol itself; that is
- 6 Protocol II.
- 7 It is an elaborate description of noninternational
- 8 armed conflict. I'm hesitant to say that Additional
- 9 Protocol II covers noninternational armed conflict because the
- 10 majority view is it actually only covers a subspecies or a
- 11 subgrouping of noninternational armed conflicts.
- 12 Q. So in other words, under other authorities you might
- 13 have a noninternational armed conflict that does not meet
- 14 those exacting criteria to fall under Additional Protocol II?
- 15 A. That is correct. The majority view is that there are
- 16 armed conflicts which satisfy the Common Article 3 and
- 17 customary standard for conflict not of an international
- 18 character, but there are also within that grouping conflicts
- 19 which also satisfy the Additional Protocol II criteria that I
- 20 enumerated a moment ago.
- 21 Q. Now does Additional Protocol II include any negative
- 22 language about what is not a conflict?
- 23 A. It does. Article 1, subparagraph 2, which

- 1 immediately follows the criteria I described a moment ago,
- 2 excludes explicitly riots, isolated and sporadic acts of
- 3 violence, or other acts of a similar nature.
- 4 Q. And does that standard reflect customary
- 5 international law with respect to all noninternational armed
- 6 conflict?
- 7 A. Yes, it does. That language has been cited in
- 8 judicial opinions. In fact, it is reproduced verbatim by the
- 9 United States Law of War Manual, as well, in its 2015
- **10** publication.
- 11 Q. Does -- at the negotiations over Additional
- 12 Protocol II, did anyone suggest that in a contest like that,
- 13 where it's a government versus a nonstate armed group, that
- 14 the government should just have plenary power to say whether
- 15 it is or is not armed conflict?
- 16 A. That was a proposal made. During the diplomatic
- 17 negotiations that produced Additional Protocol II, Colombia
- 18 proposed that it ought to be the state that is fighting the
- 19 organized armed group who should make the determination
- 20 whether an AP II conflict is happening.
- They proposed this in a working -- a plenary group,
- 22 rather, of deliberations. The states debated it briefly but
- 23 rejected it, and it did not appear in the final language of

- **1** the treaty.
- 2 Q. So -- tell us about the role of international war
- 3 crimes tribunals in creating or setting forth customary
- 4 standards for determining what is an armed conflict.
- **5** A. Well, they have had a recognized role in clarifying
- 6 the law and, in some cases, I would say altering the law.
- 7 Some tribunals have perhaps put a finer point on some parts of
- 8 the law of war than some states might like, so there's often a
- 9 dialogue, I think, between these tribunals and the way they're
- 10 describing the law and how states perceive the law.
- 11 Q. What are the most prominent tribunals from the later
- **12** part of the 20th century?
- 13 A. Well, the most active and the most prolific has been
- 14 the International Criminal Tribunal for former Yugoslavia.
- 15 They share an appeals chamber with the Rwandan Tribunal, but
- 16 it is the Yugoslav situation and the Yugoslav work that has
- 17 been most prolific in its commentary on the laws of war.
- 18 Q. Has their work helped to solidify what the real
- 19 standards are for determining what's an armed conflict?
- 20 A. They have. If Additional Protocol II perhaps was too
- 21 precise or too demanding in its description, I think there is
- 22 more state sympathy for some of the clarifications that
- 23 developed in the work of the Yugoslav tribunal, yes.

- 1 Q. Have states adopted or begun to teach standards that
- 2 come from the Yugoslav tribunal?
- **3** A. Yes, they have. The work of the tribunal has been
- 4 integrated into the work of many states' legal instruction.
- 5 It has also been integrated into the legal instructions they
- **6** issue to their Armed Forces.
- 7 Q. When you were teaching at the Army JAG School
- 8 graduate course, did you teach standards that came out of the
- **9** Yugoslav Tribunal to American judge advocates?
- 10 A. Yes, we did. We taught, for instance, work that came
- 11 from the Tadic case.
- 12 Q. Tell us about the standard of the <u>Tadic</u> case.
- 13 A. So there are a number of issues raised in the Tadic
- 14 case, but one of the more enduring observations that tribunal
- 15 made about the law was its description of standards and
- 16 classifications of conflicts. The Yugoslav situation produced
- 17 a complicated task for conflict classification, and one of the
- 18 court's earliest efforts was to develop a clearer framework
- 19 for distinguishing situations of riots and banditry and
- 20 isolated violence from situations that were truly
- 21 noninternational armed conflict.
- **Q.** Do they mention the word terrorism at all?
- 23 A. I can't say with -- that I recall. I don't know

- 1 if -- specifically if the <u>Tadic</u> situation -- decision uses the
- 2 term terrorism.
- **3** Q. And if you don't remember, you don't remember.
- **4** A. Yeah.
- **5** Q. But tell us what the test is or that is laid out in
- 6 Tadic for determining what is an armed conflict versus not an
- 7 armed conflict?
- 8 A. The Tadic tribunal identified two characteristics of
- 9 noninternational armed conflicts. First, they are violence
- 10 that rises to a requisite level of intensity. Later decisions
- 11 elaborated on what that intensity might involve or factors
- 12 that indicated there was sufficient intensity to the violence.
- 13 The second element of noninternational armed conflict
- 14 identified by the <u>Tadic</u> court is a requirement of organization
- 15 that applies to the nonstate actor involved in the violence.
- **16** Q. Is that then an objective test?
- 17 A. Yes, it is. It's an objective test; a de facto
- **18** standard, if you like.
- 19 Q. So it doesn't then depend on what the parties are
- 20 saying or what they think about it?
- 21 A. No. No decision from the Yugoslav tribunal that I'm
- 22 aware of resorts to the statements of the parties to
- 23 determine. They look to the conditions of the -- of violence

- 1 themselves and to the characteristics of the organization
- 2 itself.
- **3** Q. By the end of the 20th century, would it be fair to
- 4 say that that standard was customary international law?
- **5** A. Yes. Yes, it would. By the end of the 20th century,
- 6 a number of states had incorporated that standard into their
- 7 legal manuals, and it was generally accepted as an accurate
- 8 description of the standard for noninternational armed
- 9 conflict.
- 10 Q. Now, I think you said there was some later cases that
- 11 helped to refine what goes into the intensity and organization
- 12 elements of the test.
- 13 A. They did, yes. A number of cases refined the Tadic
- 14 standard as they applied it to the facts of their own cases.
- 15 Q. Are there any especially good ones that summarize the
- **16** refinements?
- 17 A. By the late 1990s there were -- there was violence in
- 18 Kosovo that was addressed by the tribunal. It pitted Serbian
- 19 armed forces against irregular militia and organized armed
- 20 groups which had identified themselves as the Kosovo
- 21 Liberation Army. There were a number of cases that deal with
- 22 that situation that were called upon to apply the Tadic
- 23 standard. I'm thinking of the Limaj and the Haradinaj

- 1 revisions specifically. Each of these offered some
- 2 refinements on the Tadic standard.
- 3 Q. Now, have you examined footnote 54 of the C.M.C.R.
- 4 case United States v. Hamdan?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- **6** Q. Does the standard in that footnote reflect customary
- 7 international law at the end of the 20th century?
- 8 A. Parts of it do. It tracks some of the language used
- 9 by the Tadic chamber and by other chambers of the Yugoslav
- 10 tribunal. There are references in that instruction to
- 11 intensity that I think do track some of the customary law
- 12 applicable to that period. However, there are other
- 13 provisions of the instruction that do not track customary
- **14** international law.
- 15 Q. Tell us more about those.
- 16 A. Well, to my recollection, the footnote reproduces an
- 17 instruction that refers to the statements of parties, the
- 18 statement of the organized armed group, or the statement of
- 19 the state, the country, if you will. Those are not part of
- 20 customary international law as I understand it.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: When you say it's not part of customary
- 22 international law, are you saying that the statements of the
- 23 parties have no relevance or just not a lot of relevance?

- 1 WIT: They have no relevance to the legal standard. I'm
- 2 not aware of a tribunal or a treaty or a work that takes
- 3 account of how either party is labeling a conflict.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So if you had one party declaring a
- 5 war on the United States, you wouldn't give that much credit?
- **6** WIT: No, I wouldn't.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And similarly, if you had the
- 8 President of the United States refer to a certain action as
- 9 a -- as a criminal action as opposed to a law of war
- 10 violation, that would equally receive no weight?
- 11 WIT: Again, the labeling would not.
- **12** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 13 WIT: What the states -- what either party actually does
- 14 is highly relevant. How they carry themselves out on the
- 15 battlefield, what assets they choose to use on the battlefield
- 16 are extraordinarily relevant; however, the labels themselves
- 17 are not.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Go ahead.
- 19 Q. Now, what about the language in there that says that
- 20 the fact-finder can use anything else he considers relevant?
- 21 A. That's not part of the customary international law
- 22 standard for noninternational armed conflict. There is no
- 23 invitation for any party to add factors that it sees fit.

- 1 Q. Now, in preparation for your testimony today ----
- 2 A. May I -- there's ----
- **3** Q. Yes, sir.
- 4 A. ---- just one further observation on the footnote.
- 5 It does not seem to give sufficient weight to the organization
- **6** of the nonstate actor as well. As I reviewed that footnote,
- 7 that element did seem to be missing from the instruction. It
- 8 gave me the impression that someone might read that
- 9 instruction and deduce that intensity alone would be enough to
- 10 satisfy the standard. It is missing the organization
- 11 requirement that is part of the customary standard.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: What do you believe the organization
- 13 requirement to be?
- 14 WIT: It's several-fold. There are a number of factors.
- 15 They look to the character of the nonstate organized armed
- 16 group. Some of the factors included are whether that
- 17 organization has a command hierarchy, whether it issues
- 18 instructions to its forces, whether it has tools for and means
- 19 to recruit members, whether it has a system to enforce
- 20 discipline within its organization, whether orders are given
- 21 within the organization, and whether those orders are followed
- 22 and carried out, whether there is an authority responsible for
- 23 the actions of that organization. Some cases have examined

- 1 whether the organization is capable of issuing communiques in
- 2 a concerted fashion; speaking with one voice, if you will.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Is the size of the organization a factor,
- 4 just the sheer number?
- **5** WIT: No, sir, not on the organizational side; however,
- 6 the number of participants that organization can bring to bear
- 7 on a situation of violence is relevant to intensity.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead.
- **9** Q. All right. And since the judge has asked about
- 10 the -- about the organization element, tell us about some of
- 11 the refinements on the intensity element.
- 12 A. Oh, sure. The -- some of the factors that indicate
- 13 that a situation of violence is sufficiently intense to
- 14 constitute a noninternational armed conflict are the, as I
- 15 mentioned a moment ago, the number of participants. The
- 16 number of casualties can be indicative of sufficient
- 17 intensity, the types of weapons that are used. The extent to
- 18 which violence causes displacement among a civilian population
- 19 has proved relevant. The duration during which hostilities
- 20 are carried out or violence is carried out, each of these
- 21 is ----
- Q. And if you would, on this question of duration ----
- **23** A. Yes.

- 1 Q. ---- does that mean how long the fighting is
- 2 happening, or does that mean how long people suffer from the
- **3** aftermath of the fighting?
- 4 A. It is usually focused on the exchanges between the
- 5 parties themselves, whether there are sustained -- that's a
- 6 term that's often used -- whether there are sustained
- 7 engagements or confrontations between parties to the conflict
- 8 or parties to the situation.
- **9** Q. But I mean, suppose you say one day you have an
- 10 ambush, some people are hurt, and someone spends a year dying
- 11 from his wounds. Are you looking at the day or are you
- 12 looking at the year?
- 13 A. Looking at the day. It's the violence itself that is
- 14 relevant.
- 15 Q. In preparing for this case, have you looked at some
- 16 examples where a conflict or a violence transitioned from
- 17 being not an armed conflict to being an armed conflict?
- 18 A. Yes, several.
- 19 Q. Tell us about one of those.
- 20 A. The earliest I've looked at in earnest is the
- 21 situation of violence in Northern Ireland. It begins in 1968,
- 22 and there is rioting and occasional violence in Northern
- 23 Ireland. The British Army responds by sending troops, at one

- 1 point in the tens of thousands of troops, to quell this
- 2 violence.
- 3 By 1971, the violence evolves. It changes from
- 4 sporadic attacks on soft targets and civilians to an effort by
- 5 the Provisional Irish Republican Army, the PIRA, to attack the
- **6** security forces themselves, including the British Army.
- 7 In 1971, there are clashes between the PIRA and
- 8 British Armed Forces. By 1972, the frequency of these clashes
- 9 greatly increases. 1972, by one estimate, saw 6,000 shootings
- 10 and 1,000 bombings. There's a single day in July where there
- 11 are 22 bombings in Northern Ireland. The violence is
- 12 contained mostly to two cities, to Londonderry and to Belfast.
- 13 The British Army responds with widespread roundups and
- 14 security internments, so there are mass incarcerations
- 15 undertaken as a response by the British Army.
- **16** By the summer of 1972, the British Army mount a
- 17 six-month operation to regain control of territory. They --
- 18 this operation involves as many as 28,000 British Army troops.
- 19 And eventually they overcome the Provisional IRA in a tactical
- 20 sense.
- 21 After that, the PIRA seemed to have concluded that
- 22 they can't go toe-to-toe with the British Army and changed
- 23 tack. So from 1974 -- I'm sorry, 1973 to 1974, we see them

- 1 revert to the tactics they were using in 1968 through 1971.
- 2 These are sporadic bombings against softer civilian-type
- 3 targets. They'll conduct shootings against British Army
- 4 soldiers, but these are usually off-duty or lone British Army
- 5 soldiers rather than attacks on formations of soldiers.
- **6** Q. Is it possible, then, that this conflict went from
- 7 being not an armed conflict, intensified for a while into
- 8 armed conflict, and then de-escalated into not an armed
- 9 conflict again?
- 10 A. Possible, but I'm not aware of a state that made that
- 11 legal conclusion. For instance, the United Kingdom throughout
- 12 the period, including the most intense period that I described
- 13 from 1971 to 1972, insisted that it was not a noninternational
- 14 armed conflict. They referred to the situation in Ireland as
- 15 The Troubles. They continue to do that to this day. As
- 16 recently as 2004, United Nations ----
- 17 Q. Sir, I don't want to get too far into the
- 18 21st Century.
- **19** A. Okay.
- Q. All right. But do you know of some situations where
- 21 the government -- some government acknowledged that you had
- 22 moved from not an international or not an armed conflict into
- 23 being a noninternational armed conflict?

- **1** A. Sure. So roughly contemporaneous to the Northern
- 2 Ireland situation, there were hostilities and violence in
- 3 Nigeria. Beginning in 1966, Nigeria suffered a number of coup
- 4 attempts. These attempts initially began with assassinations
- 5 of regional prime ministers. There was even a federal prime
- 6 minister killed in 1966, but these were sporadic acts of
- 7 violence.
- 8 However, by fall, there were attacks on government
- 9 forces. There were widespread attacks then on the civilian
- 10 population. Armed groups within Nigeria began attacking
- 11 civilians on the basis of their ethnicity. Some estimate as
- 12 many as -- civilian casualties are running to the thousands by
- **13** fall of 1966.
- 14 In 1967, several of these groups began to launch
- 15 independence movements; that is, it turned into an effort to
- 16 secure independence from the Federal Government of Nigeria.
- 17 So by March there were concerted efforts in this regard and
- 18 strong statements by these groups that they regarded
- 19 themselves as independent.
- Beginning in June of 1967, then, there are sporadic
- 21 clashes between Federal Government troops and armed forces
- 22 associated with these separatist and rebel groups, so the
- 23 groups are now clashing with one another. In July, there are

- 1 large-scale battalion-sized engagements between these forces.
- 2 By the end of July, there is as much as a 1,000-long front
- 3 that separates the groups in some instances.
- 4 Q. Can you tell us in this timeline you're giving about
- 5 when the Nigerian government started to recognize that it was
- 6 in what would be called a civil war or a noninternational
- 7 armed conflict?
- **8** A. The 6th of July, 1967, the Nigerian government
- 9 recognized civil war.
- 10 Q. And do you know if other governments did the same?
- 11 A. I'm not aware of other governments' opinions, no.
- 12 Q. Can you tell us about another situation that, you
- 13 know, again, with some recognition, moved from not an armed
- 14 conflict into being one?
- 15 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I'm sorry to interrupt my -- our
- 16 own counsel, but may we have a five-minute break?
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: While we're having that break, can we
- 20 bring this up to the case now?
- 21 DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: Um ----
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: And I don't need to hear every example of
- 23 what doesn't apply.

1	DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: I don't intend to go to every
2	example. In fact, I just really want to hear one more and
3	then move to
4	MJ [COL POHL]: It's always one more. But okay, but let's
5	try to get it
6	DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: Understood, sir.
7	MJ [COL POHL]: I understand what you're coming at and I
8	understand the parameters of it, but I really want to talk
9	about
10	DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: Understood. One more example, and
11	then the principles and our case.
12	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes
13	LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Your Honor
14	MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is in recess.
15	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1639, 7 December 2017.]
16	[END OF PAGE]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2	

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1648,
- 2 7 December 2017.]
- 3 [Professor Sean Watts resumed his seat on the witness stand.]
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. Professor
- 5 Watts is still on the stand. All parties are again present.
- **6** I'm sorry.
- 7 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, Mr. Groharing is not
- 8 present.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Not present. Okay.
- Defense Counsel.
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 12 Questions by the Defense Counsel [MAJ WILKINSON]:
- 13 Q. All right. We'll skip over most of the other
- 14 examples, but can you tell us a bit about that situation in
- 15 Kosovo in the late 1990s that you mentioned earlier on?
- 16 A. Yes. This was a situation addressed by the Yugoslav
- 17 tribunal. And as I mentioned previously, there was violence
- 18 between the Armed Forces of Serbia and the Kosovo Liberation
- **19** Army, as they called themselves. This was in the northern
- 20 territories of Kosovo. The court was called upon to analyze
- 21 whether the situation amounted to armed conflict and
- 22 specifically which dates it had matured into a
- 23 noninternational armed conflict.

- 1 Q. Could you contrast what it was like at the time when
- 2 it wasn't an armed conflict and the time when it was? Because
- 3 that's what I'm getting at.
- 4 A. Sure. So there was an intermittent violence between
- 5 the Kosovo Liberation Army and Serbian police as early as
- **6** 1997.
- 7 Q. When you say intermittent, be more specific about
- 8 that.
- **9** A. Sure. Weeks are elapsing between clashes in those
- 10 cases in some instances. The intensity picks up as 1997
- 11 progresses, and by the beginning of 1998, there are fairly
- 12 regular clashes between Kosovo Liberation Army elements and
- 13 the Serb police and Serb Armed Forces.
- 14 These clashes involve the use of mortars, in some
- 15 cases armored cars, in some cases even helicopters as well.
- 16 They are producing casualties in the dozens or so. But again,
- 17 they are intermittent in the sense that there are weeks in
- 18 some cases elapsing between each episode.
- 19 However, things change on the 22nd of April. The
- 20 court examines violence after the 22nd of April and determines
- 21 that this is the starting point of noninternational armed
- 22 conflict. What occasions this is a great reduction in the
- 23 periods between violence. Violence is nearly continuous from

- 1 this period forward. There are breaks, but these are breaks
- 2 that involve matters of days rather than matters of weeks.
- 3 The intensity picks up as well. There are more
- 4 casualties produced in this period. The same sorts of armored
- 5 formations, helicopters, and mortars are used, machine guns
- 6 are used. And these involve clashes between the actual forces
- 7 rather than isolated strikes or even strikes against
- 8 civilians. They are true combat between forces.
- **9** Q. So in general, I just want to ask some general
- 10 questions about customary international law, as it had
- 11 developed at that point, and about conflict classification.
- **12** A. Okay.
- 13 Q. What is the importance of sustained versus sporadic
- **14** fighting in that period?
- 15 A. Well, it's captured by state understandings of the
- 16 term noninternational armed conflict by the late 1990s. There
- 17 are indications from states that do not regard isolated or
- 18 sporadic incidents as arising to the level of armed violence,
- 19 and we see the Kosovo tribunal putting that into practice in
- 20 its judgment in Limaj and Haradinaj.
- Q. And what is the importance of clashes between
- 22 government and nongovernment forces; that is, those two
- 23 fighting each other?

- **1** A. Well, they are an indication of a high degree of
- 2 violence. They tend to be more intense in some respects than
- 3 attacks against softer targets because they provoke responses.
- 4 They're also relevant because they provoke or speak to the
- 5 actual purpose of the jus in bello, to the laws of war. The
- 6 laws of war are designed to regulate combat between forces.
- 7 And so it's exactly that kind of activity to which these
- **8** regulations apply.
- **9** Q. So when you have just armed persons on one side
- 10 attacking unarmed civilians on the other side, how does that
- 11 relate to the standard?
- 12 A. Well, it is, in the context of an armed conflict, a
- 13 violation of the law of war to attack civilians, but ----
- 14 Q. But what I'm after is in determining whether you've
- 15 got an armed conflict in the first place.
- 16 A. Yeah. Not especially relevant. There are a number
- 17 of occasions of state practice that exclude those sorts of
- 18 attacks. This is the Irish situation I described previously
- 19 in some phases. This is the Nigerian situation I described
- **20** previously. This is also the Kosovo situation. In each
- 21 instance, either the state or the tribunal concerned did not
- 22 regard this as the kind of violence that amounted to armed
- 23 conflict.

- 1 Q. Have you read about the violence between the United
- 2 States and al Qaeda as described in the 9/11 Commission
- 3 report?
- **4** A. I have read the report, yes.
- **5** Q. So focus on the period ending on September 11th
- 6 itself, including September 11th itself and before that. How
- 7 do these factors you're talking about apply to that violence
- 8 in that period?
- **9** A. Well, they are almost quintessentially sporadic.
- 10 They extend over a period, from my understanding, 1998 through
- 11 2001, as you asked me to focus. They are -- there are
- 12 occasions of violence; however, there are long periods that
- 13 don't involve violence between each of these episodes.
- 14 Secondly, there are not the clashes that we were
- 15 speaking of a moment ago. I'm not familiar with exchanges of
- 16 fire. I'm not familiar with operations that are typically
- 17 called combat in any of this period that you asked me to
- 18 consider.
- 19 Q. So when, at the earliest, focusing on intensity,
- 20 would you say the fighting between the United States and
- 21 al Qaeda might be an armed conflict?
- 22 A. October of 2001. I would say the introduction into
- 23 Afghanistan of large formations of United States Armed Forces,

- 1 sustained bombing, clashes between those forces.
- **2** Q. And that's based on the intensity prong?
- 3 A. It is. Yes, it is not an evaluation of al Qaeda's
- 4 organization. I don't know enough about that organization to
- 5 evaluate them under the organization prong.
- **6** Q. And I understand in order to have a truly complete
- 7 definitive answer, it would have to meet both prongs and not
- **8** just one or the other.
- **9** A. It would, indeed.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with the work of Marco Sassòli?
- 11 A. Yes. Yes, I've used it in my instruction.
- 12 Q. And can you just tell us about his stature in the
- 13 field of the law of war?
- 14 A. Oh, he's a renowned expert. There are few people in
- 15 the field that are as influential as Professor Sassòli.
- 16 Q. And when you say you've used his work, I mean, have
- 17 you used any texts of his or things like that in teaching?
- 18 A. Yes. In addition to his article, when I taught at
- 19 the Army JAG School, I used his two-volume casebook in my
- 20 semester-long Advanced Law of War elective.
- 21 Q. Are you familiar with the stature of Professor Leslie
- 22 Green back when he was alive?
- 23 A. Yes. the late Professor Green. Yes. I'm familiar

- 1 with his stature.
- **2** Q. Tell us about that.
- **3** A. Also a giant in the field of the law of war, highly
- 4 regarded. I still use his work, The Contemporary Law of War,
- **5** today.
- **6** DC [MAJ WILKINSON]: No further questions.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell, how long do you think you
- 8 would need?
- **9** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: 15 minutes.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I'll hold you to that. Go ahead
- 11 and go ahead.
- 12 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. CONNELL]:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon, sir.
- **14** A. Good afternoon.
- 15 Q. My name is James Connell. I'm an attorney for Ammar
- 16 al Baluchi. I'd like to follow up on a couple of questions
- 17 that you were asked by counsel for Mr. Hawsawi.
- 18 In your testimony, you discussed the Law of War
- **19** Manual. What is the Law of War Manual?
- 20 A. This is a publication updated most recently in
- 21 December of 2016 from the United States Department of Defense
- 22 Office of General Counsel. It issues instructions to United
- 23 States forces on their law of war obligations.

- 1 Q. What is its role in the collection of explanations of
- 2 the law of war within the United States?
- **3** A. That's a subject of some dispute. The manual
- 4 includes confusing disclaimers, frankly, in its beginning. It
- 5 disclaims being the view of any agency other than the
- 6 Department of Defense. It's my understanding that the
- 7 Department of Justice and the Department of State have not
- **8** endorsed the manual.
- **9** Q. Is it, in fact, the view -- the official view of the
- 10 Department of Defense?
- 11 A. I believe it to be that, yes.
- 12 Q. You testified on direct examination about -- during
- 13 the negotiations over Additional Protocol II, the position of
- **14** Colombia regarding the statements of leaders?
- **15** A. Yes.
- **16** Q. You testified on direct examination that a proposal
- 17 was put forth by Colombia to elevate the stature of statements
- 18 of leaders in the determination of armed conflict; is that
- **19** accurate to say?
- 20 A. Leaders of states. That Colombian proposal did not
- 21 speak to the leaders of organized armed groups, but did speak
- 22 to the leaders of parties to the protocol.
- Q. Is there a consensus or majority view on the

- 1 significance of the rejection of that amendment in the meaning
- 2 of Additional Protocol II?
- 3 A. There's broad consensus that the state itself cannot
- 4 make a conclusive determination as a matter of international
- 5 law whether it is or is not in noninternational armed
- **6** conflict. It is an objective analysis.
- 7 Q. You were asked on direct examination whether there
- 8 was any language about terrorism in the decision of Tadic
- **9** itself. Do you recall that question?
- **10** A. I do.
- 11 Q. Tadic itself, you told us, was not the end of the
- 12 development of the ICTY's jurisprudence on law of war, right?
- **13** A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And so are there -- there are later cases that give
- 15 us a refinement or an explanation of what Tadic meant; is that
- 16 fair to say?
- **17** A. It is.
- 18 Q. And do some of those cases speak to the status of
- **19** terrorism in armed conflict?
- 20 A. They do. They do. Several of them. I believe both
- 21 Limaj and Haradinaj incorporate statements that exclude acts
- 22 of terrorism from the definition of noninternational armed
- 23 conflict.

- **1** Q. Okay.
- 2 A. There are also statements by states. The one that
- 3 stands out is a French statement made on their submission of
- 4 ratification of Additional Protocol I that explicitly mentions
- 5 terrorism as not included, both isolated terrorism and
- 6 concerted terrorism, in the French statement.
- 7 Q. I'd like to move forward to a question that the
- 8 military commission asked you about footnote 54 in the <u>Hamdan</u>
- 9 decision. The military commission asked you whether one party
- 10 declaring war was a relevant factor. Do you recall that
- **11** question?
- **12** A. I do.
- 13 Q. Okay. Is there a different answer for when the party
- 14 declaring war is a state actor versus a nonstate actor?
- **15** A. No, there is not.
- 16 Q. If one state declares war on another state, does a
- 17 state of armed conflict exist?
- 18 A. Yes, it does. This is an important difference
- 19 between the standard for international armed conflict on the
- 20 one hand and the standard for noninternational armed conflict
- 21 on another. Statements by states, declarations of war, are
- 22 conclusive as between states.
- 23 Q. All right. So, you know, there is a very ----

- **1** A. May I correct this?
- **2** Q. Of course.
- **3** A. Statements as to the existence, that is, when a state
- 4 declares that it is at war, that is conclusive. If a state
- 5 declares that it is not at war but it is, in fact, carrying
- 6 out armed conflict against another state, then the fact of
- 7 hostilities is conclusive rather than the statement. Whereas,
- 8 a state may say it is in war, but a state may not conclusively
- 9 deny that it is not in war with another state.
- 10 Q. All right. And applying those two rules that you
- 11 just described to us, there is what is, in fact, for
- 12 state-to-state violence sometimes what is called -- strike
- 13 that. Withdrawn.
- 14 So when Japan attacked the United States at Pearl
- 15 Harbor, their attack was -- immediately preceded a declaration
- **16** of war by Japan; is that correct?
- 17 A. I'm unaware of the timing of a declaration.
- **18** Q. All right. I'll move on from there, then.
- 19 Can a nonstate actor declare war and have binding
- 20 effect under the law of armed conflict to create the existence
- 21 of armed conflict?
- 22 A. It cannot.
- Q. And why not? Are there various nonstate actors that

- 1 declare war on other states from time to time?
- 2 A. There are. There are several throughout history.
- 3 None has been accorded legal effect.
- 4 There are -- there are ridiculous declarations,
- 5 frankly, from some organizations. In the 1970s, the
- 6 Symbionese Liberation Army declared war on the United States,
- 7 I believe. The Japanese organization Aum Shinrikyo made
- 8 similar declarations. They were given no legal effect in
- 9 either case.
- 10 Q. And both of those organizations were otherwise
- 11 engaged in terrorist activity, correct?
- 12 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to move forward to Northern
- 14 Ireland. You described the sort of three phases of violence
- 15 between the Provisional IRA and the United Kingdom. During
- 16 that time, did the Provisional IRA declare itself to be at
- **17** war?
- **18** A. It did, yes.
- **19** Q. Did that have legal or binding effect?
- 20 A. It did not. There were also efforts by the Republic
- 21 of Ireland government and the United Nations to propose a
- 22 recognition of armed conflict, and none of those resolutions
- 23 carried, either.

- 1 Q. Was that true -- was that state of affairs obtained
- 2 even though the Provisional IRA had actual troops in the field
- 3 against the U.K.?
- **4** A. That is my understanding, yes. The PIRA were still
- 5 deployed at the time they made those statements, yes.
- **6** Q. Okay. And you said that the U.K. had never
- 7 recognized itself -- recognized itself involved in a
- 8 noninternational armed conflict.
- **9** A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Did they, in fact, make a reservation or
- 11 understanding or declaration with respect to Additional
- **12** Protocol II about that fact?
- 13 A. My recollection on that is not perfect. I'm sorry.
- 14 Q. That's all right. Now, is it the fact that the
- 15 United Kingdom did not consider itself to be at war that's
- 16 determinative or the nonexistence of the NIAC, or is it the
- 17 objective factors of the facts on the ground?
- 18 A. It is the latter, the objective factors.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, your second example that you gave was
- 20 Biafra. And what about the Biafra situation converted it to a
- 21 noninternational armed conflict?
- 22 A. What seems to have swayed the Nigerian government
- 23 itself were the clashes with their armed forces being carried

- 1 out on a sustained and regular basis rather than being
- 2 sporadic clashes. There were direct confrontations between
- 3 Biafran forces and the Nigerian government.
- 4 At the time they recognized the civil war, Biafran
- 5 forces had managed to secure territory that had formerly been
- 6 held by the Federal Republic of Nigeria. They even carried
- 7 out operations within the capital of Nigeria itself. And I
- 8 suspect it was the scale and the prolonged nature of combat
- 9 between their forces that forced the Nigerian government to
- 10 concede that state.
- 11 Q. Now, is it the fact that the Nigerian government
- 12 recognized a civil war that created a state of
- 13 noninternational armed conflict, or was it the objective facts
- **14** on the ground?
- 15 A. The objective facts on the ground. The opinion of
- 16 the Nigerian government is no more persuasive than any other
- 17 state's opinion on the state of hostilities or the state of
- 18 violence there in Nigeria.
- 19 Q. All right. And under the international law of war,
- 20 what significance does the statement of the leaders of the
- 21 separatists and rebel groups in Nigeria have?
- 22 A. It has no significance. As the DoD Law of War Manual
- 23 says, they are not competent legal authority.

- 1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Nine minutes, Your Honor. You owe me 2 six.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: You won't get it back.
- 4 Trial Counsel, do you wish to cross-examine? If so,
- 5 we're going to delay until tomorrow, but if not ----
- 6 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. We're going to
- 7 cross-examine.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Before you leave, Professor, let me
- 9 have one question: Have you read the Military Commissions Act
- 10 and its definition of hostilities?
- 11 WIT: I have, yes.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: How do you -- and if this isn't in your
- 13 area, let me know, but Congress wrote the statute clearly to
- 14 cover, actually, this particular case. Do you believe they
- 15 wrote the statute when it defined hostilities to take this
- 16 case out of the jurisdiction of the enabling statute?
- 17 WIT: I'm not familiar enough with the legislative history
- 18 to know why they wrote it.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. But would that not be the effect of
- 20 if -- if you believe that, when it assigns hostilities, means
- 21 any conflict subject to the laws of war would only apply to
- 22 activity on or after 27 September 2001, then Congress wrote
- 23 that this statute intended not to apply to this case?

- 1 WIT: That definition of hostilities strikes me, as an
- 2 international lawyer, as an incorporation of an international
- 3 legal standard. By referencing the laws of war, they
- 4 presumably meant the international laws of war and meant for
- 5 hostilities to refer to situations that the international laws
- **6** of war would similarly regard as armed conflict.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: I'm not going to let you off that easy.
- 8 But then you're saying that, because your view is the
- 9 armed conflict in the United States and al Qaeda began on
- 10 27 September, on or about, 2001, and, therefore, Congress
- 11 intended for this statute to incorporate international law,
- 12 which you say would preclude them from trying this particular
- **13** case.
- 14 WIT: Acts prior to it, correct. To save the statute's --
- 15 to apply the Charming Betsy canon, which instructs us to
- 16 interpret congressional acts consistently with international
- 17 law when we can, that is the best understanding, that they
- 18 meant to describe acts and activities that met the
- 19 international law of war standard.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. We're going to recall
- 21 you again tomorrow for cross-examination. I'm not sure
- 22 exactly what time that will be because we have got one other
- 23 matter to take, but we'll let you know as quickly as we can.

1	Thank you for your testimony. You are excused.
2	[The witness was temporarily excused and withdrew from the
3	courtroom.]
4	MJ [COL POHL]: We're about to recess, then we're going to
5	go into the 505(h) hearing, and then we're going to do the 806
6	hearing with Mr. Fitzgerald, both classified hearings, closed
7	to the public.
8	While we're in the recess, Trial Counsel, please ask
9	Major Lebowitz to stand by to be available for an 802 once
0	we're done with the 806.
1	Okay. Commission is in recess.
2	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1709, 7 December 2017.]
3	[END OF PAGE]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
3	