1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0923, 2 26 September 2019.] 3 MJ [Col COHEN]: The commission is called to order. 4 General Martins, good morning. Are all of the 5 government counsel who were present at the close of the 6 previous session again present? 7 CP [BG MARTINS]: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes, the 8 counsel representing the United States are the same as when we 9 were last in open session. 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. 11 Mr. Sowards, good morning. Looks like you have ----12 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Good morning, Your Honor. 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Anyone who needs to be on the record that 14 you may account for them; otherwise, I don't need necessarily 15 all the staff that you have present, but ----16 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, Your Honor. All counsel 17 are present. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. And I 19 recognize Mr. Mohammad. 20 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir. 21 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. 22 Ms. Bormann, the same to you. I recognize that 23 Mr. Bin'Attash is here. UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes, indeed, and as are all counsel. 2 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 3 Mr. Harrington. I recognize Mr. Binalshibh is here. 4 With respect to counsel? 5 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: We're the same, Judge. 6 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 7 Mr. Connell, I do not see Mr. Ali here this morning 8 and -- but do you want to start with counsel, and then we'll 9 take up that issue? 10 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. All counsel are present. I'll account for Mr. al Baluchi's absence at the appropriate 11 12 time. 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. 14 Mr. Ruiz, I do not see Mr. al Hawsawi here this 15 morning, but with respect to counsel? 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: We're the same with Lieutenant Colonel 17 Williams has rejoined us. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. I appreciate 19 it. 20 Mr. Connell, would you like to be heard with respect 21 to Mr. Ali? LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, Mr. al Baluchi is present 22 23 in the Expeditionary Legal Complex. He is in the holding

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

cell. The -- I have personally spoken with him this morning.
 I advised him of his right to presence. I gave him advice of
 counsel as to whether he should be present or not. I am fully
 satisfied that his waiver of presence for this first part of
 the proceedings is knowing, voluntary, and intelligent. In
 fact, he had more process than he normally has since he had
 advice of counsel in making that decision.

8 His intention is at the first break, after we finish9 AE 655, that he will come in the courtroom.

10 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir.

11 Mr. Ruiz, do you have -- are there any updates with12 respect to Mr. al Hawsawi?

13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, Mr. al Hawsawi is present in the14 holding cell.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: He wishes to remain there. He has asked
17 to have access to the video feed ----

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: ---- which I understand that he now does20 and we will have some personnel going back to meet with him.

21 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: If at some point the commission session23 ends, then we would ask the commission to allow him to come in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 here and continue to meet with the personnel ----

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. Yeah, we talked about that 3 yesterday. There was a request for you all to have some time 4 to meet with your clients, and I have no problem with that 5 occurring later.

6 Do you believe that he -- that it is a knowing and
7 voluntary decision not to be present in the actual courtroom
8 itself?

9 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Absolutely. I spoke with him about that.
10 I have the waiver in front of me and I am satisfied that it is
11 knowing and voluntary.

12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: But I also appreciate the additional time14 to meet and confer with him.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely.

16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: We had a couple of hiccups this morning,17 but everyone ensured that we had proper access, so thank you.

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. You're welcome, sir.

19 Trial Counsel?

20 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, when we litigated the 21 presence issue of the accused and developed this waiver of the 22 right to presence, one of the principles behind it was the 23 requirement in the D.C. Circuit for in-court waivers of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 something as important as a right to presence.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

3 CP [BG MARTINS]: And I certainly respect counsel's
4 representation of Mr. Ali's waiver. Mr. Swann is going to
5 present a normal written waiver of presence from Mr. Hawsawi,
6 even though he is present in the compound.

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: No problem, sir.

8 CP [BG MARTINS]: The request would be that when Mr. Ali
9 shows up, you affirm on the record what Mr. Connell, no doubt
10 in good faith, represented to you, just because that's the
11 rule of the circuit.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Nope. I understand. I have no problem
doing that. I will ask Mr. Ali -- if he later decides to show
up, I will confirm that with him, sir. Thank you. And you
may present any witnesses that you need to.

16 I recognize the Major, the assistant staff judge
17 advocate, is the same witness who testified yesterday. I
18 remind you that you're still under oath.

19 WIT: Yes, sir. Thank you.

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome.

21 [END OF PAGE] 22

23

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	MAJOR, U.S. ARMY, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
2	was reminded of her oath, and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. SWANN]:
5	Q. Major, do you have what's been marked as Appellate
6	Exhibit 660II in front of you?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. It's a two-page document?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Does the signature of Mustafa al Hawsawi appear on
11	the second page of this document?
12	A. It does.
13	Q. Did you advise him in English or in Arabic?
14	A. English.
15	Q. And did he sign the English version of this document?
16	A. He did.
17	Q. Do you believe he do you believe he voluntarily
18	waived his right to attend today's proceeding?
19	A. I do.
20	TC [MR. SWANN]: Nothing further, sir.
21	MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir.
22	Mr. Ruiz, have you had the opportunity to see
23	Appellate Exhibit 660II?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Do you have any questions?

3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I don't. Thank you.

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. Okay. Thank you.5 I hand this to the court reporter.

6 Major, you are excused. Thank you.

7 WIT: Thank you, sir.

8 [The witness withdrew from the courtroom.]

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: Having heard the comments of counsel with
10 respect to Mr. al Hawsawi and having had the opportunity to
11 hear the testimony of the witness and review the document,
12 660II, I am -- I find that Mr. al Hawsawi has knowingly and
13 voluntarily waived his right to be present at today's session.

14 I will at this point accept the assertions of counsel 15 made with candor towards the tribunal or towards the court 16 with respect to Mr. Ali's decision not to be here, at least 17 temporarily. If he changes his mind, he's welcome to come in 18 in conjunction with what Mr. Connell has stated; at which 19 point, Mr. Connell, I will just simply indicate that that was 20 my understanding of his decision and have him confirm it, if 21 that's okay.

22 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I don't have any objection to23 that, but I will say I socialized this procedure with the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 prosecution before doing it.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I understand. I'll just -- I'll
just make sure. It will be just a very quick question, and
then I won't go into any attorney-client discussions or
anything along those lines. All right. Thank you. And I
appreciate everyone's flexibility in dealing with these
last-minute decisions.

8 All right. This morning, the purpose is to address 9 some -- some brief oral argument on a couple of existing 10 issues with respect to AE 655, which is the trial counsel's 11 request for a court order of a mental health evaluation, not 12 under R.M.C. 706, but just with respect to Mr. Ali's medical 13 capacity based on a filing and information provided by the 14 defense in support of their AE 628 motion series. I will hear 15 argument on that.

And then we will go into some general comments with respect to 639 and 653. Whether it's actually oral argument or it's just bringing some matters to the attention of the commission with respect to these particulars and dates that are there, I will allow the parties to be heard briefly with respect to -- to those as well. Okay.

Trial Counsel, it's -- oh, one second. I need to
make an 802 summary. I remember that we had an 802 yesterday.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Mr. Trivett, I apologize. One moment, please.
 At the conclusion of yesterday's closed session
 testimony of Mr. Fife, we conducted a brief closed session to
 hear classified oral argument on AE 639 and 653 so that we
 could conclude those matters. We will -- some of that may be
 referenced with respect to nonclassified portions of that in
 today's oral argument and discussion of 639 and 653.

8 Thereafter, at the request of defense counsel, we
9 conducted a short conference with trial and defense counsel in
10 accordance with Rule for Military Commission 802. The accused
11 were absent.

During that conference, we discussed the potential way forward, both as to witness testimony and the taking of depositions in the coming months. Of particular note was discussion as to whether or not we will be able to -- as to the number of witnesses that might be allowed to be called, et cetera.

18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir?

19 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I know it all sort of runs together after a while. If I might jump in and say, the main focus of the 802 was my proposal that we sort of jointly file a copy of the discovery ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's correct.

2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- under seal to avoid the problem
3 of multiple and incomplete filings.

MJ [Col COHEN]: That is correct. And then there were
some general discussion as to whether that would be feasible,
how that might work, those types of things.

Mr. Connell, you also had indicated appreciation for
8 the court reporters and various support staffs for their
9 efforts during the past three weeks to get things done. I
10 also concurred with that and wanted to make sure that, on the
11 record, that we recognize those who aren't necessarily seen
12 here in the courtroom, but who make all of these proceedings
13 possible.

We have accomplished quite a bit as far as
substantive evidence and those kinds of things over the last
three weeks. And so I want to thank all of the parties for
that.

18 It's my understanding -- I may be wrong -- that this 19 may be the first time we've had three straight weeks down here 20 for this particular case. While that may seem not such a big 21 deal to others, when you add in the travel time and the long 22 hours that all of us are spending, even after the court is not 23 in session, which I'm very aware of that you all are probably

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

doing even more than I am, but I know with my hours and my
 other duties and stuff that, you know, like I said, that makes
 for long days. And so I am very appreciative of everyone's
 professionalism during the last three weeks.

5 It has been very helpful to me and hopefully to the 6 parties to hear some of this testimony, to get a better idea 7 of what some of the issues are out there, and to give us all 8 better context with respect to issues. I think we've seen 9 that with respect to the arguments on the XYM stuff, 10 et cetera.

11 The government -- we've also seen, based on context 12 and testimony that's come out, the government indicating that 13 certain witnesses will now be brought, whereas previously 14 there may not have been a proper context to make those 15 decisions. And so I think to a certain extent, you know, 16 that's been important.

And I am pleased that the parties are in agreement
that there is a need to continue to gather evidence, to
support the various issues and the significant decisions that
will need to be made forward.

So all of that wasn't included in the 802. It was a
 very brief discussion, primarily discussing exactly what
 Mr. Connell said.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 We will then -- like I said, I just wanted to say 2 thank you to the parties to -- for -- like I said, for all the 3 professionalism that went into making the last three weeks 4 I considered it significant, and hopefully the happen. 5 parties did as well. 6 Is there anything with respect to the 802, other than 7 what Mr. Connell has mentioned, that anyone else would like to 8 add? 9 General Martins. 10 CP [BG MARTINS]: Nothing from the United States, Your 11 Honor. 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Any other defense comments? 13 Mr. Sowards. 14 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Yes, Your Honor. 15 I think it may have been implicit, but our position 16 is if the military judge is thinking of adopting a new 17 procedure for the preservation and marking of exhibits with 18 respect to Mr. Connell's proposal, we would deem that -- if --19 nevertheless helpful, nevertheless a significant change in the 20 procedure, and we would hope that, consistent with other 21 changes in the rules, we would have an opportunity for public 22 comment.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. Yes, sir.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. It was -- it was proposed. I -consistent with -- other than a pure logistical or
administrative matter that I might decide, such as, okay,
yeah, let's start at 8:30 tomorrow, those kinds of things, but
substantive rulings and any shift, yeah, I would want to hear
the inputs of the various parties.

8 So Mr. Connell presented it in a way of, hey, I just
9 wanted to bring you in the loop that this was being discussed,
10 and I took it in that vein, that, understand, let me know if
11 there's some consensus that you want to do something in a
12 particular way.

I think the -- reflecting back on exactly how I
phrased it, I think I said, look, I understand there's
always -- there is some level to a box that I must work
within, which is usually the law, regulations, you know,
rules; but if there are miniature boxes within there, I have
no problem thinking outside those miniature boxes as long as I
stay within a defined legal framework.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And Mr. Connell also said that in the
 context of his appreciation for your staff's hard work, and we
 in no way detract from that.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: No. Absolutely, sir.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	No, like I said, you all have been both the
2	prosecution and the defense have been extremely professional
3	this week and have made your points appropriately, as you've
4	needed to. And, like I said, once again, just thank you for
5	doing so. All right.
6	If there is nothing else with respect to the 802?
7	Mr. Harrington, please. Yes, sir.
8	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, not with respect to the 802,
9	but a different issue.
10	MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir. You may be heard.
11	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, we have been talking off and
12	on about some argument on 152.
13	MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir.
14	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Mr. Trivett and I have not been
15	able to resolve the classification issue regarding one piece
16	of evidence to be submitted to the court, but at some point in
17	time before we leave today, I do want to put some argument on
18	the record, and I
19	MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.
20	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: would prefer that to be the
21	last thing because, based upon this other issue, I need to
22	revise what I'm going to say, so
23	MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, sir. I understand.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Does the prosecution have any objection to just
2	having some brief discussion of that issue?
3	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No objection, sir.
4	MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. We will do that, sir. That
5	will be the last thing we take up this morning. All right.
6	Mr. Trivett, then, I think we're ready for some
7	argument on 655.
8	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	MJ [Col COHEN]: Good morning.
10	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: The defense is contesting the
11	admissibility of the accused's statements obtained during
12	interviews alleging, inter alia, they are the product of
13	torture, involuntary, unreliable, and do not serve the
14	interests of justice.
15	In support of its motion to suppress, the defense is
16	offering the testimony of Dr. Porterfield, a clinical
17	psychologist; and Dr. Xenakis, a psychiatrist. The proffered
18	testimony clearly places the accused's mental state at issue,
19	surrounding the accused's statements being offered by the
20	government.
21	Dr. Porterfield and Dr. Xenakis interviewed and
22	evaluated the accused, each spending over 100 hours with the
23	accused. The accused certainly is entitled to contest the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

admissibility of any of his pretrial statements; however, he
 may not do so without consequences. A consequence is that to
 the extent the accused may have a privilege against
 self-incrimination, the accused waives any such privilege when
 he seeks to introduce his experts' testimony and their
 evaluations.

7 The government has the burden of proof by a
8 preponderance of the evidence that the accused's statements
9 are admissible. The government has the right to attempt to
10 rebut evidence presented by the defense. The government is
11 not fairly able to address the allegations of the defense
12 without similar access to the accused.

The requested evaluation is analogous to the
situation wherein the defense asserts lack of mental
competence as a defense. In such a case, the government has
the right to access to the accused to conduct its own
evaluation. And that's the <u>United States v. Babbige</u>.

In <u>Babbige</u>, the court ruled that when the accused opened his mind to a psychiatrist in an attempt to prove temporary insanity, his mind was opened for a sanity examination by the government. In this case, when the accused opened his mind to his defense experts, he opened his mind for a similar evaluation by government experts.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Now, I wasn't actually arguing the issue before Your
 Honor. I was reading from an order in the <u>United States v.</u>
 <u>Omar Khadr</u>, issued by Colonel Patrick Parrish on the 3rd day
 of May of 2010.

5 We come before you today asking for the very same
6 relief. That relief was granted in <u>U.S. v. Khadr</u>, a motion to
7 suppress was held, the motion to suppress was denied.

8 It was obviously important for the judge in that case 9 to hear the testimony of the expert witnesses from the 10 prosecution to rebut those allegations. It resulted 11 ultimately in a decision to not suppress statements that 12 Mr. Khadr claimed were the product of torture, and were thus, 13 involuntary.

14 Every service -- every military service has a sort of 15 unique culture. Everyone has their own sayings, their own 16 terminology. The Navy, which I'm most familiar with, has this 17 concept of East Coast sailors and West Coast sailors. And 18 although it's a gross generalization, East Coast sailors will 19 look for a regulation that specifically allows them to do 20 something and won't do it unless there is something that 21 specifically authorizes them. Whereas, a west coast sailor is 22 the opposite; they look to see if there's a regulation that 23 prohibits them from doing what it is that they want to do. Ι

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 would submit to you, Your Honor, that Mr. Connell is asking
2 you to take an East Coast view of the law on this issue to the
3 extreme.

4 We will concede that R.M.C. 706, on its face, does 5 not apply to this request. 706, which I'm sure Your Honor is 6 very familiar with, deals specifically for when an accused 7 puts their mental health into issue either at the time of the 8 offense or in their competency to stand trial, whether they 9 can understand the nature of the proceedings against them, or 10 if they're unable to assist their defense counsel in their own 11 defense.

12 That's not what we have here, and we have quite the
13 opposite. And Mr. Connell mentioned earlier about making, at
14 certain times, very hyper-technical legal arguments.

15 So in reviewing all of the court-martial cases, these 16 issues simply do not come up in front of courts-martial 17 generally. There may be claims where they're alleging that 18 they were insane at the time of the offense or that they're 19 not competent to stand trial. We could not find any 20 court-martial cases that were specifically on point with a 21 claim of involuntariness for a statement that was admitted.

And it's a super hyper-technical argument, because,
if you think about it, Mr. Ali voluntarily makes decisions all

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

the time. He's sitting over there because of that very
 voluntary decision that he just made that the defense counsel
 is not arguing against. He's certainly competent to make
 these decisions and has been since 2012, when we started.

So what we would ask the military commission to do is
to look instead to 701 and consider this a request for
discovery, because that ultimately is what it is. In 701
8 Section (3), "The military judge may specify the time, place,
9 and manner of discovery and may prescribe such terms and
10 conditions as are necessary to the interests of justice."

11 The accused has clearly put his mental state into 12 In his filing of the motion to suppress, he has issue. 13 requested testimony from Drs. Gur and Drs. -- Dr. Hanrahan. 14 They have alleged both in their filings and in discovery that 15 has been provided to us that Mr. Ali has mild to moderate 16 brain damage, that he has suffered from post-traumatic stress 17 disorder, that he has cognitive issue; all of which, if presented in a one-sided manner and not rebutted by the 18 19 government, may, in fact, be very compelling evidence to the 20 military judge in deciding the issue of voluntariness.

To be clear, we are not asking for relief under
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12.2. We did cite two
cases because the cases stand for the same principle that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 R.M.C. 706 stands for.

As Your Honor is undoubtedly aware, in 706, if an accused refuses to participate in the court-ordered sanity process and tries to put his own evidence of his own experts on his mental state into issue without fully cooperating with the 706 board, the judge has the capacity to exclude that information under M.C.R.E. -- or for courts-martial, M.R.E. 302.

9 We have the same ability to make that argument and we
10 assert that now under M.C.R.E. 403. There is a danger of
11 unfair prejudice. I don't think there will even be much
12 argument that the judge doesn't have the ability under
13 M.C.R.E. 403 to exclude all evidence of mental health that's
14 presented by the accused if he refuses to voluntarily submit
15 to a prosecution expert examination.

And just to be clear, our request is for an exam, but subsequent relief can simply be exclusion of this evidence. We don't care either way. It does not matter to us in the slightest. But know, if the defense continues to put this issue before the court on voluntariness, we have to have the ability to rebut it.

We cite in our moving papers, sir, <u>Kansas v. Cheever</u>,
which is a Supreme Court case in 2013, and there is some

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

similarities in <u>Kansas v. Cheever</u>, in that Dr. Welner, who is
 one of the prosecution's experts, was also the expert in
 <u>Kansas v. Cheever</u>, was also the expert in <u>U.S. v. Khadr</u>. So
 what we are asking for is not at all uncommon under the law,
 although it tends to be more of a cottage industry in
 terrorism cases than regular, typical courts-martial.

7 But in <u>Cheever</u>, the court ruled the way it did on the 8 simple premise that, quote, Any other rule would undermine the 9 adversarial process allowing a defendant to provide a jury 10 through an expert proxy with a one-sided and potentially 11 inaccurate view of his mental state at the time of his alleged 12 crime.

13 Through the defense's filing of the motion to 14 suppress, by my count there's at least been 13 hours of 15 examination by Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan. Dr. Gur has 16 also made opinions. I don't know if he's basing it on that 17 exam or simply just other testing that we do have access to, 18 but they have both determined that they believe that Mr. Ali 19 has moderate to severe brain damage that would portend 20 decreases in episodic memory, particularly for emotional and 21 visual information. Dr. Hanrahan provided the Montreal 22 Cognitive Assessment, came to the conclusion that he had --23 that Mr. Ali had mild brain injuries and some level of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 traumatic brain injury.

2 So we filed -- and actually, we are very rarely in 3 the position where we're standing up first in this court. 4 We're usually responding to motions. This is a very small 5 percentage of the time that we're moving for something, and an 6 even smaller percentage of time that we waive our reply. And 7 I want to explain to you why we did it, and certainly if the 8 judge needs any further briefing, we are happy to seek leave 9 to do that.

10 But the rule had been that if we were still in the 11 briefing cycle when any commission session started, that that 12 would not be argued -- that motion would not be argued in the 13 session. We waived specifically to get this before Your 14 Honor, because I do believe it is time sensitive. I believe 15 that, certainly in looking at the path forward for 2021, we 16 want to have this exam done. We want to be able to use it in 17 the event we need it for Drs. Mitchell and Jessen, in the 18 event that we certainly will need it for cross-examination of 19 Drs. Hanrahan and Gur, and we may want to present our own 20 evidence depending on where it falls.

We have no idea. We don't know what our doctor's
going to say. That's the concern. He won't know what he's
going to say unless he has an opportunity to interview

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Mr. Ali. So that's why we stand before you today having
 waived our reply. Time-sensitive issue, but we're happy to
 present any of the additional case law that the judge might
 feel necessary.

5 In our filing, and I think what you've seen over the 6 last three weeks, we've -- we always try to work it out with 7 the parties if we can to not bring it to Your Honor. And I 8 think there's been a good-faith basis on both sides on this 9 issue. We have -- that's why we attached all of our 10 correspondence with Mr. Connell on this issue. We patiently 11 answered every question that he had. We had to work and 12 coordinate through our doctors to make sure we had the correct 13 answers to his questions.

But at the end of the day, he does not want to submit
to a voluntary medical exam, and we now come for you -- come
to you for relief in that area. But we did try, and ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: I -- I understand. I don't -- I don't
doubt that. I think this is just -- there are -- there are
occasions, and I've said that, where the parties are not going
to be able to come to an agreement, and then the issue comes
before me. This is just one of them.

22 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand that.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.

So in closing, you have the authority to order the
exam as typical discovery under R.M.C. 701. Even if you
somehow doubt that you have the authority to do that under
701, you certainly have the authority to exclude it under
M.C.R.E. 403. We would be extremely prejudiced in this case
if you had a one-sided view of the accused's mental health, at
least in January 2007, when he was giving statements.

9 You heard the testimony extensively of Special
10 Agent Fitzgerald, Special Agent Perkins, Special
11 Agent McClain, and it's going to be difficult for them to
12 argue that those weren't voluntary statements. And in
13 likelihood, this is going to come down to a battle of the
14 experts over whether or not he could voluntarily give a
15 statement after he spent time in the RDI program.

16 That's going to be the crux of this. I cannot 17 under -- overemphasize the importance of having a adversarial 18 process on this issue would be for the commission. I know if 19 I were sitting in your chair, I would want to know from both 20 sides really what his mental status was at the time. And what 21 I'm saying is without this exam, you're not going to get that. 22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you.

23 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Subject to your questions.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	MJ [Col COHEN]: And I apologize. There's been a lot of
2	information going through my mind, so I understand general
3	principles; but if this is in the brief, just tell me to go
4	back and read the brief, which is fine.
5	But if I ordered this, how would the parameters work,
6	that it's not an interrogation of the accused
7	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Correct.
8	MJ [Col COHEN]: with respect to statements about
9	facts supporting the government's case, but just how would we
10	limit the scope, and just conceptually?
11	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. So some of it is in the
12	brief and some of it is encompassed within the proposed orders
13	for both Dr. Welner and Dr. Guilmette.
14	MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.
15	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: But I'll explain to you how we
16	envision this process working. We envision it working in this
17	courtroom at one of the tables. One of the initial concerns
18	Mr. Ali had was guards being present, and whether or not that
19	would invalidate the potential results of the exam. We worked
20	with JTF-GTMO on that.
21	So the guards would be outside of the room but be
22	able to monitor, at least for security purposes, not unlike
23	Echo II when they have those meetings. The defense counsel

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and his experts would be outside in the gallery and they'd be
2 able to watch. We were willing to negotiate whether or not
3 they'd be able to come in at any point in time. We never
4 actually got to that point.

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Copy.

6 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: We agreed to limit the exam solely to 7 the issues that they raised, such as whether or not he's got 8 traumatic brain injury, whether or not he's got cognitive 9 deficits. We don't seek to use any of the statements that he 10 made against him in the case in chief. We would be amenable 11 to an order in that regard.

12 This is simply a way for us to be able to rebut the13 evidence that the defense has already put into place.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Or potentially confirm the evidence as
well. I mean, that would be one of the potential

16 consequences, correct?

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Absolutely. Absolutely. We do not know what it said. It may be that they come to the same exact conclusions as Dr. Gur and Dr. Hanrahan, and you would at least know that that was reliable evidence, and it's not contested. So we simply do not know. And that's part of the concern that we have and, I think, the commission should have as well.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

We envision it being recorded. We do have precedent.
 We recorded Mr. Lee Hanson in a deposition in this courtroom.
 May he rest in peace. He passed away. He was part of -- one
 of the family members who was sick, and we wanted to depose
 him. He had lost his family on Flight 175. He was on the
 phone with his son when the plane hit the South Tower.

7 So we have precedent for doing that. We have
8 approval from Washington Headquarters Services to record it.
9 And that's pretty much how we envisioned the premise going.

10 According to our doctors, it's not appropriate to 11 tell him exactly what tests we were going to perform because 12 that could allow for the subject to prepare for the tests and 13 invalidate -- invalidate the results. But what I can say is 14 we are willing to continue to work with the defense counsel if 15 they have any specific concerns, to the extent we can address 16 them and still have the results that we need in whatever exams 17 they do, we're willing to do that.

So we're not inflexible on this. We're not coming up saying, thou shall be this way and not that way. But we are trying to impress upon the court how important we think this issue is, at least in regard to Mr. Ali, who has put the issue into evidence and into this litigation through the filing of his motions.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. I appreciate 1 2 it. Oh, one last question. Sorry, Mr. Trivett. 3 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: When Judge Parrish issued that order, 5 just out of curiosity, what rules or sources of legal 6 authority did he cite to? 7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. 8 So it was principally United States v. Babbige, and 9 I'll give you the cite and I meant to do that, so thank you 10 for reminding me. It's Appellate Exhibit 226B in 11 U.S. v. Khadr, and he cites to Military Commission Rule of 12 Evidence 304(d)(1) for the reason we have the burden. And 13 then to the general principles under Babbige argued by analogy 14 that it was similar to a 706, that if they're going to present 15 their own expert, then the government must have the 16 opportunity to present its. 17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Understand. 18 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: The Military Commissions Act of 2009 19 had already passed and been in effect, so we're dealing under 20 the same congressional structure, you know -- other than the 21 fact that it's been, you know, to the extent that it has been 22 amended, it shouldn't have affected this decision.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thanks.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Connell. Sir?

3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you. Good morning.

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Good morning.

5 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The government just argued that it
6 rarely stands up first on motions. One of the prior occasions
7 on which it stood up first is the AE 614 series. And in
8 AE 614, the government made the precursor to this argument and
9 lost it in such a way that the government's argument here
10 today is all but precluded by the prior rulings of this
11 military commission.

In AE 614H, the military commission declined to accept the government's 12.2 -- Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12.2-based approach which it took at that time, it took in the briefs. Today in oral argument, the government tries to, you know, pivot away from that a little bit, and that's fine. People make changes in their oral argument.

But the affirmative decision of AE 614H that the military commission was going to take a rules-based approach to the question of how to handle mental health evidence, I suggest to the military commission, should not be abandoned today and should -- instead, you should stay with that.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

If it is helpful, in AE 016G, which was a set of
 slides at that time I prepared, I gathered all of the rules
 together in one place in the Military Commission Rules of
 Evidence, just if you wanted to have them handy. That might
 be.

Now today, unlike on brief, the government argues
that Rule 701 gives the military commission authority to order
an additional mental health-based interrogation of
Mr. al Baluchi. And so with that in mind, it makes sense to
turn to Rule 701 and see what the rule actually says. And
what 614 actually said was that the military commission was
going to apply 701 to this question.

13 So there are really two parts of Rule 701 that are14 important. The first of those is Rule 701(g)(4).

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Counsel, once you're there -- I'll make16 sure I get there. I'll have to follow along.

17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. Thank you.

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: I am there. Thank you.

19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: All right. Well, you beat me there,20 sir. Just one moment.

The 701(g)(4) -- finally I've caught up to you -which is disclosure by the defense and it specifically
requires the defense to disclose results or reports of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 physical or mental exams.

2	The it's not a hundred percent clear under the
3	rule whether it applies in the pretrial phase or not, but I
4	was willing to say, hey, that's fine. We're going to rely on
5	this, I'm going to consider it to govern the pretrial phase.
6	In our initial 628 pleading, we attached as exhibits
7	AE 628I and AE 628 I'm sorry, Attachment I and
8	Attachment J the reports of Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan
9	and Dr. Gur, fully complied with the Rule 701(g)(4) at that
10	time. But to be honest, Your Honor, I do not believe that
11	I believe that discovery rules should be a floor and not a
12	ceiling, and that applies to the defense as much as I believe
13	that it applies to the government.
14	So we went a lot further than complying with simply
15	the results from the reports required by 701(g)(4). The
16	military commission asked or set up you know, posed a
17	thought for us in the 802 as to whether data underlying the
40	

18 results in reports would be required. And in this instance 19 the military commission doesn't actually have to answer that 20 question because we provided all information, every scrap of 21 paper underlying Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan's evaluation, 22 and the government had, in fact, sent us a discovery request, 23 DR-004 (Gov), and we fully complied with the government's

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 discovery request.

And if I could direct the military commission's
attention to AE 628A, which is a -- Mr. al Baluchi's notice of
discovery, it -- the notice of discovery lays out at that time
exactly what we provided in discovery on 13 May of 2019.

In paragraph 7 of our response to the government's request, it's the last page in the document, we explain that we have provided the declaration of Dr. Gur previous -- that he had made previously, like 914 compliance, prior statement of Dr. Gur that he had made on 11 April 2014; the TBI screening questions before ----

12 So the government mentioned that Dr. Welner is in a 13 cottage industry. Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan is not in a 14 cottage industry. This is -- he does -- not a forensic 15 scientist. He is a person who evaluates Marines when they --16 at Twentynine Palms when they come back and they have 17 traumatic brain injury from IEDs or other materials. He's 18 been part of the Center of Excellence for Traumatic Brain 19 Injury. He's not involved in courts at all. This is the 20 first court case he has ever been involved in in any way. He 21 is a practitioner and a person who evaluates principally 22 Marines for TBI.

23

So before he got involved, he had screening

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

questions. He's like, you know, is it worth my time to even
 fly down there and interview this person? This is not my
 normal job. And so he sent screening questions on 16 April of
 2015. We have provided those with the answers to the
 government. Little c. was the answers to those.

6 At that time he prepared a -- Dr. Hanrahan prepared a 7 declaration saying that, in the ordinary course of his 8 practice, he would -- if someone had presented with these 9 answers on a screening, he would send them for an MRI. This 10 was part of our effort at the time to obtain an MRI, which is 11 now in place.

After that, the -- when the convening authority
required -- excuse me, when the convening authority authorized
the MRI, the convening authority sent questions, an MRI
Screening Form. That's subsection e., and we provided the
results of that MRI screening form to the government.

In the course of his interview of Mr. al Baluchi,
Dr. -- Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan gave just two small
screening instruments. We attached the full results of those.
We gave the full result of his evaluation and assessment; and
then separately than that, we provided Dr. Gur's volumetric
analysis of the MRI documents itself.

23

So in 628A, we provided every piece of paper that we

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 had to the government. But we went further than that, and we
2 provided every piece of data that we had underlying these
3 evaluations to the government.

4 And if I could direct the military commission's 5 attention to AE 628D, that's our notice of discovery regarding 6 the actual MRI imagery. And so what we did is we put the --7 we took the head imagery, all -- every head scan that we had 8 and -- from the MRI, we put that onto a disc with a program 9 capable of the prosecution using the program on the disc to 10 access the data, and we provided every pixel of data that we 11 had to the government.

12 One reason why that's especially important is, it is 13 now true that the government has every piece of information 14 that Dr. Gur has. Dr. Gur has -- doesn't have a security 15 clearance, has never interviewed, to the best of my knowledge, 16 has never interviewed Mr. al Baluchi. The -- with respect to 17 Dr. Gur, as opposed to Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan, the 18 playing field is exactly equal. It's, in fact, as we'll talk 19 about later, heavily weighted to the government. But they 20 have everything that Dr. Gur has. There's nothing else that I 21 could possibly give them. I didn't make any relevance 22 determinations, I didn't make any relevance redactions, I 23 didn't play any games with what the scope of the team was. Ι

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 mean, I just gave them everything that I had, every piece of2 paper, every piece of data.

3 The -- it can take -- the government can take those 4 MRI results and present them to any neuroscientist it chooses 5 in the world and have exactly the same basis for neuroscience 6 results that Dr. Gur had. There's nothing additional that a 7 personal interview of Mr. al Baluchi would add to that because 8 the question is entirely a question of brain structure, right? 9 What -- and if you look at 628J, it's what structures in 10 Mr. al Baluchi's brain are intact and which are degraded. And 11 that's a question of imaging, not a question of testing or 12 asking questions.

The -- so, Your Honor, with respect to that, the question regarding Dr. Gur shouldn't really even be -- it doesn't belong in this motion. This is not -- there's no question of interview or parallelism or equities or fairness or anything else. It's just a question of analyzing MRI data using available algorithms. But the one resource ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: So let me ask you this question, Counsel.
LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sure.

MJ [Col COHEN]: If their expert believed that a better
quality MRI was required or something like that, would that be
an option?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Absolutely, sir. In fact, in my view,
 that would fall under sort of the fingerprinting cases,
 where -- because it would not be testimonial, anything on
 Mr. al Baluchi's behalf.

5 And I will tell you -- I will represent to you now 6 that if the government has parameters it wants us to run in an 7 MRI or like -- it doesn't like the parameters that were run 8 and it wants different parameters or if it can get a better 9 quality -- there's the 1.5 Tesla MRI that's down here, which 10 is pretty good -- you know, if I were to go get an MRI myself 11 in Bethesda, it would be twice that power; it would be a 3 12 Tesla machine. But if they can get a better machine, better 13 parameters, anything, we will submit. Mr. al Baluchi will do 14 another MRI. If the government wants it run differently, run 15 again to validate, run -- you know, yes, absolutely that's an 16 option.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The -- the one resource that
Lieutenant Commander Hanrahan had that the government does not
is access to Mr. al Baluchi. And we'll talk about their
common resources in a moment, but that -- this is the point on
which the government focuses.

23

Now, that was a choice. That was not a random

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 decision. That was a choice by the Secretary of Defense. 2 Because the Secretary of Defense, in enacting the Military 3 Commission Rules of Evidence, had -- could make the election 4 under the Military Commissions Act of choosing to follow the 5 court-martial model of 701(g), you know, or 701(b) under the 6 M.R.E., but the same -- it's almost exactly the same language, 7 or it could follow the federal court model of 12.2. And we're 8 going to talk about what would happen under 12.2 in a moment. 9 But the -- this was not a random choice.

10 The Congress said that it would be up to the 11 Secretary of Defense in the Military Commissions Act --12 Congress said that it would be up to the Secretary of Defense 13 to adopt court-martial procedures except where it found 14 them -- the Secretary of Defense found them impracticable; in 15 which case, he could make variance.

In the opening material of the Manual for Military
Commissions, the Secretary of Defense states that the -- and I
can point you to exactly where that is. Just one moment.
The -- at R.M.C. 102(b), the Secretary of Defense specifically
indicates that the, quote, Procedures for military commissions
set forth in this manual are based upon the procedures for
trial by general courts-martial.

23

And thus, it's important that 701(g)(2) is

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 essentially -- is exactly the same as 701(b)(2) --

2 M.R.E. 701(b)(2), with the exception that the M.R.E. has an
3 innocent ingestion defense, which really doesn't have anything
4 to do with the sort of crimes that we're talking about here.
5 So the Secretary of Defense made an intentional decision to
6 choose the courts-martial model over the model of the federal
7 courts in 12.2.

8 The -- the other relevant element that the government 9 relies on is 701(g)(2), which is -- this is the one which is 10 the same as M.R.E. (b)(2), and it requires the intent to 11 introduce -- notice of the intent to introduce expert 12 testimony as to the accused's mental condition. And it 13 orders -- requires that, quote, Before the beginning of trial. 14 701(g)(2) has a clear reference to trial as a benchmark. Т 15 think there is even less support for -- that that rule on its 16 own requires notice of intent.

But in this case the government has construed our representations and our provision of information about Mr. al Baluchi's mental health as a notice of intent to use mental health at a pretrial phase. And I don't disagree with that. It's like a lowercase N, we gave notice in the sense of we told them it was happening, as opposed to like a capital N, capital I, Notice of Intent. But I think the government is

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

fully aware of our intentions, and -- and I think that that's
true in a general sense, maybe not exactly the sense of the
rule, but I don't think that matters.

4 And so my point here is that Rule 701, the 5 government's authority here, is very clear about what has to 6 happen. And we have not only complied with the requirements 7 of Rule 701, we have overcomplied with the rules of Rule 701. 8 And I did not attach all the underlying discovery to my 9 notices of discovery. The reports are in the record and 10 attached to 628, but if the military commission wanted to 11 satisfy itself as to -- as to their completeness, you know, we 12 can produce the original discovery. I don't think it's 13 necessary, but if you want to see the brain images, for 14 example, we can produce a disc, and you can see the brain 15 images using the program which is there on the disc.

16 Now, in addition to the argument in 701 that it makes17 today, in the briefs the government relied on two authorities.

18 The first of those authorities is a line of cases
19 holding that whether the Fifth Amendment bars introduction of
20 compelled -- a compelled mental health evaluation depends on
21 whether the defendant introduces a mental health evaluation,
22 right?

23

There are cases going both ways, where a defendant

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 did not introduce a mental health evaluation, and in that 2 situation, the self-incrimination clause prohibition on 3 compelled -- introduction of statements would bar its 4 introduction at trial. On the other hand, in some places, 5 including the Cheever case cited by the government in the 6 brief and today, where the defendant had at one point in 7 this -- in a long process introduced a mental health 8 evaluation, the Fifth Amendment did not bar the government 9 from responding to it.

10 That Fifth Amendment question is really a separate 11 question -- not really. It's a completely separate question 12 from the question of whether the rules authorize the tribunal 13 to argue -- to compel such a mental health evaluation in the 14 first place. Because under both of those cases, including 15 Cheever -- Cheever winds up in the state courts, but the 16 mental health evaluation had been ordered while the case was 17 in federal court under Rule 12.2. And certainly, as the 18 government concedes today, Federal Rule of Civil -- of 19 Criminal Procedure 12.2 has no direct application.

But let's assume just for a minute that it did. Just
hypothetically arguendo, what if we were in a 12.2 situation,
which is where the government started all of this in 614. The
government's proposal would never be allowed under Federal

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Rule of Criminal Procedure 12.2. It is the exact -- it would
 - it would be prohibited, in fact, under Federal Rule of
 Criminal Procedure 12.2.

The -- there are two cases, two district court cases,
and they happen to be both from my neck of the woods -- two
district court cases pre-amendment -- pre-2002 amendment to
12.2, that -- where district courts ordered evaluations sort
of by analogy in the way that the government is arguing today.

9 Under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12.2 in the 10 2002 Congress and the Supreme Court jointly, because that's 11 how amendments get made to the Federal Rules of Criminal 12 Procedures -- the Supreme Court proposes them, and then the 13 Congress has to adopt them -- legislatively overruled that 14 approach. So the Edelin case, for example, where it was --15 there was an order in the '90s out of the D.C. District for 16 a -- something vaguely along the lines of what the government 17 proposes here today, both Congress and the Supreme Court 18 recognized that was not the right approach and legislatively 19 over -- over -- legislatively overruled those two decisions.

The -- instead, the 12.2 procedure is that a district
court may order a mental health evaluation in a death penalty
case only for use for information for use in sentencing only.
Not in pretrial, but only in sentencing, because all

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 information obtained in a compelled mental health evaluation
2 is sealed until after a finding of guilt, until after the
3 defendant has had the opportunity to review the government's
4 information, and until after the defendant makes a second
5 re-affirmation of intent to introduce mental health
6 information after having reviewed what the government's
7 information was. So it's a highly protected process.

8 Under 12.2, there can be a compelled mental health 9 evaluation in a capital case where the defendant intends to 10 introduce mental health information at sentencing, but that 11 information is air-gapped from the prosecution until after 12 findings phase, by analogy, and after the defense essentially 13 makes an informed decision that, knowing now what -- that the 14 defendant has been found guilty and that the government has 15 these certain opinions from its expert, that it still wants to 16 go forward in this process.

So the government, on the other hand, wants an order
for an evaluation that it can review and use freely at
whatever stage it feels appropriate. No -- and the government
put it mildly, that it could not find any authority on point.
I'll put the same thing differently.

No court-martial has ever ordered a pretrial mental
health evaluation in a death penalty case. And during the --

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 when we were researching 614, the first time the government
2 advanced this argument, we went around to the lawyers -3 some -- mostly defense, but some prosecutors who had been
4 involved in the various death penalty cases in the Air Force
5 and otherwise, to find out, you know, has anybody ever adopted
6 the government's position on this?

We have -- our diligent efforts on that, making lots
of phone calls, have not been able to find any example. And
there's certainly no published example, but I don't even think
there's even an unpublished example of anytime that a
court-martial, applying rules which are analogous to the
M.C.R.E. rules here has ever ordered such a thing.

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: You mean outside of a 706, right?

14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Outside of 706, right. And we'll talk15 about 706 in just a second.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: But it's -- as far as we can tell, no
18 federal court has ever ordered a compelled mental health
19 evaluation in a death penalty case for use in pretrial
20 motions, right?

The federal cases fall into two categories. There's
the application of Rule 12.2, the process I described, and
then there are those two pre-amendment district court cases

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 which got legislatively overruled.

2 But the military commission just brought up Rule 706,3 so I'd like to turn my attention there now.

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

5 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The authority of the military 6 commission -- the military commission does have authority in 7 certain circumstances to compel a mental health evaluation, 8 and that's found under 706. R.M.C. 706(b)(2) authorizes the 9 military commission to order a, quote, Inquiry into the mental 10 capacity or mental responsibility of the accused. And 11 R.M.C. 701(c)(1) says that the board shall report as to the 12 mental capacity or mental responsibility, or both, of the 13 accused.

Now, "mental capacity" and "mental responsibility"
are terms of art, as used in the military commissions -- or a
court-martial for that matter. Mental capacity is defined in
R.M.C. 909 as to what is more generally called competence, and
lack of mental responsibility is defined as a defense in
R.M.C. 916(k).

And the -- and the government's request doesn't fall
into any of those categories. In fact, today, the government
has made very clear that it foreswears any claim that
Mr. al Baluchi lacks mental capacity. And in our original

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

pleadings, in 614A, the first time this issue came up, we - Mr. al Baluchi disclaimed any intent to present a defense of
 lack of mental responsibility, so the -- under Rule 706, which
 the scope of the military commission's authority.

Now, the government has this East Coast/West Coast -I'm more familiar with that in rap battles than in sailing -but has this East Coast/West Coast thing, and the -- and I'm
from Georgia, sir. I don't know if that counts as -- I guess
it's technically on the East Coast, but we consider ourselves
southern.

11 So I'm going to take the third approach, if I may, 12 and say this is not a question of looking for rules for or 13 against things. This is a matter of the rules -- the Military 14 Commission Rules of Evidence and the Rules for Military 15 Commission define the authority of the military commission to 16 order things.

I can't tell you the number of times that I or my
colleagues have come before the military commission asking for
some relief, whether that be -- perfect example of when
Mr. al Baluchi's father died. It's quite common to have a
humanitarian phone call in that situation where the -Mr. al Baluchi would be able to call his family and condole
over the loss of his -- their -- his father.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

On that occasion, the military commission determined
 there's no rule that allows me to do that. I don't have
 authority to permit humanitarian phone call. We lost that
 issue. I understand that. And the reason we lost it is that
 there is a defined set of authority.

6 This is not a court of general jurisdiction. It is 7 not the Eastern District of Virginia with the authority to do, 8 you know, within the law and Constitution, whatever it may 9 choose. It has -- it has limits to it, and usually those 10 limits work against the defense. On this occasion, unusually, 11 they work against the prosecution.

12 It bears noting that it was not simply the --13 although the final decision was the Secretary of Defense, you 14 know, we have had testimony -- and there's certainly authority 15 from the -- questions around Vice Admiral Reismeier that the 16 government was intimately involved in the production of the 17 Military Commissions Act and the Manual for Military 18 Commissions, right? There's already a declaration in the 19 record to that effect. The convening authority was involved. 20 General Martins was involved, right? I mean, there's just a 21 lot of prosecution involvement in this, in the drafting of 22 this.

23

And certainly at that time the Secretary of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Defense -- I don't know whether the prosecution asked for - to follow 12.2 instead of the court-martial system or they
 didn't ask, but ultimately, the Secretary of Defense made a
 decision, and that's what cabins the military commission's
 authority on this.

6 The last thing that I want to observe is it's also 7 true that the government's request is much different from what 8 would happen under 706. If this were a 706 situation, there 9 would be -- it's just like the Military Rules of Evidence. 10 There would be a short form that would go basically to 11 everyone involved; that's provided under R.M.C. 706(c)(3)(A), 12 then there would be a limit on the distribution of information 13 under R.M.C. 706(c)(3)(B) and (C), and then there would be a 14 prohibition on use of any of the defendants' statements 15 under -- unless revealed by the defense attorney or the 16 defendant himself under R.M.C. 706(c)(4).

So even if this were a situation where we were in a 706 situation, it would not be the free-range grazing in what the government calls the open mind of Mr. al Baluchi that they envision. It would be a much more cabined, structured approach, if it did apply, which, of course, that it doesn't. Now, but those aren't -- like the government doesn't really have a rule-based argument. I admire the effort to at

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 least bring Rule 701 into play, but that's not really their 2 argument. Really their argument is one on equities. 3 And the government argues there is a danger of unfair 4 prejudice, bringing into play R.M.C. -- excuse me, 5 M.C.R.E. 403. Although we're not in evidentiary situation, 6 it's hard to see, but I guess they just mean, you know, 7 prejudice -- the word "prejudice" is in there -- of the 8 presentation of information in a one-sided manner. The 9 government says it has to be adversarial. 10 Already in this record is the evidence that the 11 government has already spent \$81 million setting up a 12 controlled experiment for psychologists to conduct repeated 13 mental health evaluations of Mr. al Baluchi. We're going to 14 go through that in a little bit of detail. 15 The government has access to the FBI, the CIA, the 16 Mr. al Baluchi has himself. DoD. 17 The government has access to what it calls a cottage 18 industry of psychiatrists and psychologists. Mr. al Baluchi 19 has himself; he also has an unpaid Navy psychiatrist. 20 The government has access, as it demonstrated last 21 week, to all statements ever made in Camp VII. Mr. al Baluchi 22 has access to himself. 23 And amazingly, the government has access to the one

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 thing that almost no party ever has in a -- in a mental health 2 evaluation, which is a premorbid evaluation, right? The 3 question always that comes up in every mental health case is: 4 Well, you know, at what point along this spectrum of events 5 did the defendant develop this problem? It particularly comes up with PTSD after someone has personally murdered someone 6 7 else, right, because the government always argues, well, you 8 know, being involved in conflict like that is traumatic, maybe 9 that caused the PTSD.

10 The government had Mr. al Baluchi questioned by
11 actual witnesses like Special Agent Fitzsimmons and
12 Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen before it tortured him. They have
13 premorbid conduct. They have premorbid psychological
14 evaluations. That never happens. They have the gold standard
15 of psychologists, paid \$81 million to conduct these repeated
16 psychological evaluations.

So let's talk about those with -- a little bit.
The government -- there's already evidence in the
record of over a dozen compelled mental health evaluations in
black sites by psychologists. Four psychologists specifically
have been identified as involved in this: Dr. Mitchell,
Dr. Jessen, Y5X, and F3K. Who those last two are, I don't
know.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 The -- but let's take -- let's -- I just want to go 2 over some of the things that -- the mental health evaluations 3 specifically. And I don't mean just the whole general process 4 of psychologically breaking Mr. al Baluchi, I mean let's talk 5 about the parts that are described by the CIA as mental --6 compelled mental health evaluations. 7 Now, first, the baseline is in -- and I'm at AE 628 8 Attachment D, 10018-7257. May I have access to the document 9 camera, please? This is an UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO document. 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. It may be published to the 11 gallery. 12 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you. 13 So let's begin here. 14 In a -- what the exact order of these is, I can't 15 They're all dated mid-2003. At that time, however, the know. 16 psychologist noted that, "Ammar is still developing a sense of 17 learned helplessness which is contributing to his compliance, 18 and the team will continue to lessen the intensity of the 19 interrogation sessions relative to Ammar's cooperation." 20 On the other hand, however, earlier in the same 21 report, it notes, "Despite being rested" -- meaning not beaten 22 so much that he could not sleep on a continuous basis. 23 "Despite being rested, Ammar continued to demonstrate an

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

apparently cooperative posture and still appears to be
 answering questions truthfully. During the session, Ammar not
 only fully answered the questions asked, but also elaborated
 in detail, and provided other information of interest without
 being prompted. This is different from the first three days.

6 "Ammar was given solid food during the prior evening.
7 Should Ammar regress into a defiant posture during future
8 sessions, his clothing, sleeping, and solid food privileges
9 will immediately be removed and enhanced measures will be
10 applied in order to instill a compliant posture."

So what happens after that? The -- some of these
reports are written a little bit backwards, I think, because
of a bottom-line-up-front kind of thing, so I have to go a
little bit in the opposite order.

In AE 628D, 10018-5984, the psychologist, in the context of a psychological evaluation -- we're going to talk -- I'm talking about the precursor to the first major psychological evaluation -- was when, as a result of being -having his head smashed against the wall, Mr. al Baluchi became psychotic.

21 "Ammar was cooperative with the examiner." That's
22 the psychological examiner. "Ammar said he heard another
23 person being beaten, raped, and tortured to death in the cell

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 adjacent to his. After that person's death, Ammar said he was
2 moved to a new room that was between the cells holding KSM and
3 Bin'Attash. Ammar al Baluchi, KSM, and Bin'Attash spoke
4 freely to each other despite the sound-masking music being
5 played and confirmed for each other that the fourth person and
6 her infant had been killed."

7 "In the," quote, "morning of -- excuse me -- the 8 other person's mother came to claim her body. She became 9 angry and threatened to take the interviewers to court. The 10 mother demanded to know where Ammar al Baluchi was being held 11 so she could speak with him. After the mother departed, an 12 interviewer tried to kill Ammar al Baluchi four times. 13 Specifically, the interview -- the interviewer tried to shoot 14 him through the small opening at the bottom of the cell door, 15 but Ammar al Baluchi said he hid in the corner. He said he 16 was saved by an FBI officer who ordered the interviewer to 17 stop.

18 "The following day, the mother returned and demanded 19 to speak with KSM and Bin'Attash. The interviewer's 20 supervisor went to look for Bin'Attash in order to kill him 21 but could not find him because he was hiding in the corner of 22 his room. When challenged on the idea that an interviewer 23 could not find a detainee, Ammar said it was too dark in his

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 cell, so the interviewer could not see him."

2 None of this ever happened, right? This was a
3 psychotic hallucination, and -- but it continues.

4 "After the previous day's interview, Ammar said he 5 returned to his cell and found a small coffin holding the dead 6 baby. The coffin was surrounded by interviewers who were 7 hiding the coffin from the mother who had returned to the site 8 demanding release of the body. Later that evening, Ammar said 9 one of the interviewers argued for permission to kill KSM and 10 Ammar in order to cover their tracks. At first, Ammar 11 reported that KSM had been killed with the departure of the 12 mother, after she was unsuccessful in obtaining her 13 grandchild's body.

14 "The examiner mentioned to Ammar that the previous 15 day he had told his interviewer that KSM had been executed a 16 couple of days prior, and he struggled to reconcile the 17 conflicting versions of his stories. He finally settled the 18 issue by saying he was fairly certain that KSM had been 19 killed, but that he could not tell for sure."

20

Now given -- I'm slowing down.

Given this psychotic break, the first major
psychological evaluation took place. And if I may have access
to the document camera? I'm still on 5984.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: In a report dated mid-2003, a
3 psychological evaluation of Ammar al Baluchi was conducted
4 after the possible psychotic episode.

Moving on from there, the next compelled mental
health evaluation is documented at AE 628 Attachment D at 7 -10018-7234. In this document, another psychological
assessment was conducted of Ammar al Baluchi regarding -- to
find out the decisions of the psychological evaluators as to
whether he was actually psychotic or not.

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Sir, briefly? I'm sorry. The first
psychological examination that was shown, what was the
appellate exhibit on that?

14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sure. Both -- it was AE 628
15 Attachment D, 10018-5984.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, Counsel.

17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you.

18 The examiner at that time in this psychological
19 examination talks about his prior psychotic symptoms and then
20 concludes that, "Ammar's previous alleged psychotic symptoms
21 appear to have been done in order to avoid being the recipient
22 of enhanced measures."

23

When the psychologist asked what his primary question

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

or concern was in the aftermath of his alleged symptoms, he
 stated that he was concerned about being tortured for personal
 business. He explained that he was afraid of enhanced
 measures despite his recent cooperation.

5 The psychologist at that time -- and I don't know
6 what their qualifications are, because we don't know who it
7 is -- concluded that Ammar must have made the whole thing up.

8 In the third psychological assessment, which is 9 documented at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-2954, the 10 psychologists again returned to the question of evaluating 11 symptoms of a possible psychotic interview -- episode. Excuse 12 The -- in that mandated psychological -- compelled me. 13 psychological assessment, they conclude that the second 14 psychological assessment must have been right.

15 The -- in the fourth assessment, which is found at 16 AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-2956 -- this one was shorter. At 17 that time there is another psychological examination, and they 18 conclude that he is psychologically stable without current 19 evidence of any severe or prolonged psychological disturbance.

In the fifth psychological evaluation, which is from
early 2004, and is found in the record at AE 655A
Attachment B, 10018-3004, the evaluator concludes with respect
to his mental health, that he is in good mental health, has

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

maintained an even-tempered approach with staff, and is fully
 engaged in the interview process. Sounds familiar. He has
 never displayed any outward signs of emotional distress and
 has interacted appropriately with all personnel.

5 In the sixth psychological evaluation from late 2004, 6 which is found in the record at AE 628 Attachment D, 7 10018-3085, the evaluator concludes that Mr. Ali has 8 "discussed experienced anxiety -- experiencing anxiety in the 9 recent past, particularly when people come to his cell. He 10 states that he understands that there is nothing for him to be 11 anxious about and currently demonstrates that he can control 12 this anxiety when it occurs."

13 Now, with respect to these first six compelled mental 14 health evaluations, you can understand both why Mr. al Baluchi 15 would want to call these witnesses, and you can understand why 16 the government would not want to call them. You could 17 understand why the government would want to have a new 18 compelled mental health evaluation as opposed to these mental 19 health evaluators, clearly adverse to Mr. al Baluchi, but who 20 document symptoms at which they conclude at one point that 21 he's fabricating -- of psychosis as well as the very behavior 22 that Special Agents Perkins, McClain, and Fitzgerald testified 23 about, induced by the interrogation compliance regime designed

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 by psychologists.

But let's move on to the next round of psychological
assessments. In the seventh psychological evaluation
compelled by the government conducted in mid-2004, and I am at
AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3026, there was a mid-2004
psychological assessment to determine his current
psychological functioning and mental status.

8 The current assessment, according to the cable, "was 9 conducted within the context of an emotional and behavioral 10 episode that he was experiencing. He indicated that starting 11 around midnight, the night before the assessment, he 12 experienced some muscle spasms and numbing in his upper legs 13 while he was preparing. He began waving his arms, requesting 14 assistance, reading his Quran loudly and yelling in his cell."

15 According to the evaluator, the first explanation as 16 to the cause of the leg spasms was they called it here genies, 17 but more appropriately called Jinn, a theological explanation, 18 that is, partial possession by a Jinn, which is a part of 19 Islamic cosmology, that a sort of counterpart to -- in 20 traditional Christian cosmology, there are angels, demons, and 21 In traditional Islamic theology, there are angels, humans. 22 demons, humans, and Jinn, so there is one more category. Jinn 23 have free will, unlike angels and demons, and inhabit a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

parallel universe, but sometimes they involve -- they engage
 in possession.

3 The -- one can understand why we would be interested
4 in exploring that question more, but in the seventh -- and one
5 would understand why the government might not want to.

6 In the seventh -- or maybe I'm on eighth.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Eighth, thank you.

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: You're on the eighth.

8

9 In the eighth psychological assessment compelled of
10 Mr. al Baluchi, which was conducted in early 2005, and this is
11 found at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3098, there is an
12 additional psychological assessment conducted of
13 Mr. al Baluchi, and discussing his sort of hyper-focus,
14 hyper-regimentation, and attempt to please himself in his very
15 regimented day and accomplish specific goals.

16 The -- in the ninth psychological examination 17 compelled of Mr. al Baluchi, which is documented in the cables 18 at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3096, at this point they're 19 trying to figure out why does Mr. al Baluchi have such 20 difficulty when you leave him isolated for a long time. And 21 they conclude that he "has strong social reassurance needs 22 that are best met through regular debriefings and regular 23 staff interaction. It was noted that, on the whole, Ali Abdul

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Aziz Ali continued to use an affective approach to cope with
his tension, and he has remained a psychologically stable
individual."

4 In the ninth -- did I mess that up again, sir?
5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Tenth.

6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Tenth. Thank you, sir.

7 In the tenth updated psychological assessment,
8 Mr. al Baluchi has another -- they're trying to find out -9 they're trying to assess his adjustment, psychological
10 stability, and mental status. This is from mid-2005, and it
11 is found in the record at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3107.
12 And they conclude that everything is fine.

In the eleventh mandated psychological evaluation, they have the same goal, but a new diagnosis enters the field at this point. This one was conducted in late 2005. But at this time, they conclude that his complaints are most likely due to anxiety and ADHD, right? It's the first time that ADHD has entered the field.

In the twelfth compelled psychological evaluation, at
this time, interestingly, they're really trying to figure out
if he has ADHD or not, right? This is a more targeted,
mandated psychological evaluation than before, and it's found
in the record at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3133.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And at this point, they take a full childhood,
 academic, and behavioral history -- which is probably familiar
 to anyone who has had their own child or themselves evaluated
 for ADHD -- and elicited background information to address
 previous concerns that Ali Abdul Aziz may suffer from an
 attentional deficit/hyperactivity disorder.

7 In the thirteenth compelled psychological evaluation, 8 documented at AE 655A Attachment B, 10018-3147, they seek to 9 decide -- they're looking at the same question. New psychologist, I assume. And this psychological assessment was 10 11 conducted in early 2006. They conclude he is making a 12 moderate detention to adjustment. But interestingly, this 13 evaluator gives, if the government chooses to call them, a 14 different opinion than the previous evaluator, excuse me, 15 because this evaluator concludes that his attention 16 difficulties noted in previous psychological assessments are 17 due to obstructive anxiety and not an underlying attention 18 deficit disorder.

In the fourteenth mandated compelled psychological
assessment of Mr. al Baluchi, the -- they are evaluating at
this time, interestingly, for whether Mr. al Baluchi has
something called Irlen Syndrome.

23

The military commission may have noticed that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Mr. al Baluchi sometimes enters the courtroom wearing glasses
 that are an -- that are an unusual orange color and that is
 because of this Irlen Syndrome where different colors of light
 adversely affect an individual. And interestingly, even the
 CIA was exploring this possibility in a report from mid-2006,
 which is found at AE 655A Attachment B at 10018-3155.

7 And finally, Your Honor, for the CIA, in the 8 fifteenth compelled psychological evaluation of 9 Mr. al Baluchi, which seems to have been done with a view to 10 turning him over to Guantanamo, the -- and it is found in the 11 record at AE 655A Attachment B at 10018-3160, they conclude in 12 that psychological evaluation that he has made a fair 13 adjustment to detention, has not been a behavioral problem, 14 and has experienced what they call subclinical levels of 15 depression and anxiety.

16 So one of the things that we can see from these 15 17 reports of compelled mental examinations is that the 18 psychologists, and I identified four of them, who -- two of 19 whom are already scheduled to testify, who were -- did all of 20 these mental evaluations, had specific questions in mind 21 sometimes. The question of ADHD, the question of anxiety, the 22 question of Irlen Syndrome, the question of depression, the --23 you know, these were not fly-bys. These were trying to find

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

out what the result of this kind of long-term incommunicado
 detention is. It was really a form of validating human
 experimentation.

And I will suggest to you that there is some evidence of this in the record, but Dr. Mitchell will testify that after enhanced interrogation sessions, he and Dr. Jessen would go -- and this is in his book -- would go and sit with Mr. al Baluchi and other detainees and ask them in a sort of prapport-based manner, "How did that make you feel?"

10 I don't know if you are -- if you've ever seen 11 The Princess Bride, but there's a scene in which the 12 protagonist in that movie is tortured and then the scientist 13 comes afterward and wants to talk about, "How did that make 14 you feel?" That's exactly the process that occurred here. 15 And we have actual witnesses who are scheduled to testify who 16 will provide far more insight than any additional compelled 17 interrogation.

Now, that only gets us as far as Guantanamo. And I'm not going to go through Guantanamo with anything like the same level of -- of detail, because after Mr. al Baluchi being questioned by something on the order of 150 different individuals in the black sites, he's then moved to -- here to Guantanamo. And we'll have testimony from two of the people

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 who are familiar with this process, the Camp VII commander and
2 the person -- the doctor who is now known as WK5I.

And the government was interested in the question of,
well, how are we going to find -- how are they -- how could
they possibly find out what Mr. al Baluchi's mental state was
in January of 2007?

Well, the answer is that the psychiatrist is actually
going to be testifying, because that's WK5I. And there are
medical records, there are -- is live testimony. In fact, the
government attended my interview of WK5I. They should have a
complete understanding -- or more complete understanding than
me of what her testimony will be.

And so over the course -- between that time in
September of 2006 and today, over 24 -- over 24 government
psychiatrists or psychologists have interviewed Mr. al Baluchi
regarding his mental state over 250 times. We -- because -we did not put every one of those in the record, but there is
a summary of each of those compelled mental health evaluations
or mental health evaluations in AE 655A Attachment C.

20 Until recently, only the government -- until May of
21 this year, only the government knew who those people were
22 because the government hid the identity of every mental health
23 provider at Guantanamo through ad hoc and inconsistent

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

redactions of the medical records until Judge Pohl compelled
 them to turn them over. At this point, I've talked to you
 several times about our efforts to track those people down,
 and we're working on it hard.

5 But there's another -- as hard as -- as difficult as 6 this may be to believe, those 24 psychologists and 250 7 psychological examinations were not enough for Guantanamo. 8 There was another psychological process laid on top of that, 9 conducted by the Behavioral Sciences Consultation Team, in 10 short, BSCT, which became known as the Detainee Socialization 11 Management Program. And the DSMP were casual, unstructured 12 interviews by psychologists of the -- of Mr. al Baluchi and 13 others, who would then report back issues through chain --14 through the chain about what they learned during the course of 15 their interviews.

16 And it's difficult to know exactly how many there 17 were or what -- who was involved. The -- because the 18 recordkeeping on this was quite minimal. But it's another 19 layer of compelled -- it's not compelled. It's -- to be 20 honest, it was optional whether the defendants attended or 21 They didn't have much insight into what was really going not. 22 They thought it was an opportunity to actually speak to on. 23 someone for a change, but it turns out that they were actually

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

psychologists who were reporting the results of their
 conversations in a more unstructured way.

But just the layer upon layer upon layer of
psychological examination of these men over the years boggles
the mind. There is no equivalent anywhere else in the world.
There is no military or civilian equivalent to this.

And I don't know how much they knew about all of this
in the <u>Khadr</u> case. I somehow doubt they had the benefit of
this much information, but I don't know. It wasn't cited in
the brief, so -- I haven't read it yet. I have it right here
to read.

12 The -- but how is this different from what would 13 happen in an ordinary court-martial or what would happen in 14 a -- in a civilian court? Well, in a civilian court or 15 court-martial, the HIPAA privacy rule and layer upon layer of 16 DoD regulations would protect the medical records in 17 confinement as pre-confinement medical records from access 18 from the government without a showing of good cause or 19 something else to a court.

In this case, however, only -- and still to this day,
only the government has access to the CIA medical records.
All we have are cable summaries that I just read to you.
The -- and until May 2019, only the government had access to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the identities of the psychiatrists; and until August of 2019,
2 after the last hearing, only the government had access to the
3 actual medical records in an unredacted form.

Now, they're still not -- we still don't have them
completely unredacted. The early -- some of the most
important descriptions in the early records in 2006 are still
redacted, and only the government has access to the identity
of those BSCT psychiatrists -- or psychologists, rather.

9 Now, how are you going to resolve all of this? Well,
10 the place where we began at the very beginning of this
11 hearing, and the reason why I thought that we should not be
12 arguing that, is that three of those people, Mitchell, Jessen,
13 and WK5I, are already scheduled to testify. The government
14 has agreed to produce them.

And if you are considering exercising nonrule-based power outside of the rules, I would suggest that you wait to hear the evidence first and get some facts. I've given you some of those facts out of AE 628 Attachment D and AE 655A Attachment B today, but there are many more facts out there that will be relevant to the consideration of the equity-based argument that the government makes.

However, I suggest that you have plenty before you to
go ahead and deny this issue and put it to rest. AE 701(g)(2)

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

[sic] impose a notice requirement, which the government
 concedes is complied with. AE 701(g)(4) [sic] imposes a
 discovery requirement, and that is supplemented by two prior
 rulings of this military commission, AE 245G and AE 614H.

5 You have -- the military commission has already 6 followed this rule-based approach in AE 645 -- 614H -- excuse 7 me, 614H, and I suggest that the military commission should 8 follow it now, find Mr. al Baluchi in full compliance with 9 Rule 701, and decline to order four more days of interrogation 10 by what must be at this point the three-hundredth mental 11 evaluation by government. I don't think they need 301. Thank 12 you, sir.

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. All right. Let's14 go ahead and take a 15-minute recess.

15 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1101, 26 September 2019.]
16 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1123,

17 26 September 2019.]

MJ [Col COHEN]: The commission is called to order.
Parties are present. Mr. al Hawsawi and Mr. Ali are still
absent.

21 Mr. Trivett, would you like to make any rebuttal22 argument?

23 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Sir, I can just rise from the table

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	here and simply point out that none of the exams that
2	Mr. Connell cited to were done for forensic purposes, and to
3	answer any questions you may have.
4	MJ [Col COHEN]: No, sir. That's fine. Thank you.
5	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thank you.
6	MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Connell?
7	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, given such a short rebuttal
8	argument, it seems inconvenient, I know, but I need to ask for
9	permission for Mr. al Baluchi to return to the courtroom.
10	MJ [Col COHEN]: He may. We're just going to we'll
11	stay in place while we bring him into the courtroom.
12	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. Thank you.
13	MJ [Col COHEN]: Guards, if you would please, if Mr. Ali
14	desires to come, he may be presented. If Mr. al Hawsawi has
15	changed his mind, he may also come.
16	LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And, Your Honor?
17	MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir.
18	LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: While we're waiting for that to
19	happen, I wonder if I just might make it clear that, from our
20	perspective, this motion is not applicable to Mr. Mohammad.
21	MJ [Col COHEN]: No. I see this as yeah, absolutely.
22	No, this is only an issue with respect to AAA team and the
23	government.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Okay. And depending on what the 2 outcome may be, that the ruling would not be binding on us as 3 precedent in the case. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: No, I won't do that in this case. 5 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Okay. Thank you, sir. 6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, while we're waiting on 7 that ----8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir. 9 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- I obtained, I think I mentioned, 10 just before the -- my argument, a copy of the order that the 11 government was cited to. I'd be happy to make that a part of 12 the record. 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: That would be great. Let me get you an 14 AE number for that. It's a two-page document; is that 15 correct? LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir, two-page document. 16 17 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. Counsel, it will 18 be AE 655C. 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: C. Yes, sir, we'll send it in 20 electronically with proper margins. 21 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. 22 [Pause.] 23 MJ [Col COHEN]: While we are waiting, just a couple of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 housekeeping matters. Mr. Mohammad's team, Mr. Bin'Attash's 2 team, Mr. Binalshibh's team, and Mr. al Hawsawi's team have 3 filed AE 639U. It was filed ex parte and under seal. I will 4 not go into the ex parte attachment. 5 Is there any objection to me just saying that --6 generally, what the purpose of it is requesting? Is there any 7 objection to that? 8 Okay. That's Ms. Radostitz indicating no. 9 It's requesting, rather than a written filing of 10 ex parte matters, if they could just present those orally to 11 the court. I read that this morning. 12 [Pause.] 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. We are -- have been joined by 14 Mr. Ali, who I recognize to be with us here in the courtroom. 15 Mr. Ruiz, one question for you. Mr. al Hawsawi has 16 not joined us. Is there any reason for me to reconsider my 17 earlier ruling? 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Not that I'm aware of. 19 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. Then I stand 20 by my earlier ruling with respect to Mr. al Hawsawi being --21 knowingly and voluntarily waiving his right to be here. 22 Mr. Ali, I just have one question for you. Mr. Ali, 23 was it your voluntary choice to not be here during the first

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 part of this morning? Okay.

2 ACC [MR. AZIZ ALI]: [Microphone button not pushed; no 3 audio.]

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: I heard a "yes" ----

5 ACC [MR. AZIZ ALI]: Yes.

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: ---- from Mr. Ali. Thank you, sir.
7 That's the only question I had.

8 I find that Mr. Ali knowingly and intelligently
9 waived his right to be here during the initial portions of
10 today's open session.

11 Okay, Counsel. Let's -- let's have a general
12 discussion of things that the parties might want me to
13 consider with respect to 639 and 653.

14 Ms. Radostitz.

15 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: I do want to say that I'm not going
to repeat what's in our briefs for Your Honor. I am going to
give some context to it because neither our brief on 639,
which is 639I, which was filed on the 24th of July, is not yet
on the website.

22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

23 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: And our brief in 653, which is 653C,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 which was filed on the 6th of September, is also not on the2 website.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. We need to find out why that is.
ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Okay. And so -- so I'm going to
give some context that I might not otherwise normally give.
MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. Thank you.

7 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: As the court is well aware, these
8 two issues are intricately connected, so I'm not really
9 separating the two things out yet. I will in my argument.

10 And we understand completely that the military judge 11 wants to -- in fact, is obligated, to move this case towards a 12 just resolution. And we recognize that many people whose 13 lives were touched by the events of 9/11 have been waiting a 14 very, very long time to get answers to their questions about 15 how and why 9/11 occurred.

What I'm about to offer are observations and proposals to assist you in achieving that goal. And to be clear, no one -- at least I can only speak on behalf of my team, but I'm pretty sure this is true of everyone in this courtroom -- no one is afraid of the hard work and the long hours that are necessary to do that. That's what trials are about.

23

But whatever else it does, the military commission

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 has to create an environment in which solid, legal work can 2 be -- can occur. And part of that is because you are 3 meticulous, and you are meticulous in preserving the rights of 4 the accused and the rights of the prosecution to go forward. 5 And the reason you're doing that is because none of us want an 6 error-filled trial or an error-filled proceeding so that 15, 20, 30 years from now, we're back in this same place doing 7 8 this all over again. So that's the framing for my argument.

9 And there's two overarching considerations, however 10 unpleasant they are to consider. And the first is that the 11 government made a decision to hold and torture the accused 12 rather than to charge and try them. And the -- instead of 13 using a tried and trued regularly constituted court system, 14 either in the military or in civilian, they developed a 15 brand-new system which denies much of the rights that are 16 embedded in our Constitution and in a regularly constituted 17 court.

And so it's worth mentioning that the reason we stand before you here in 2019 seeking to modify the schedule that you have proposed is because of decisions that the government made back in 2001 and 2002 to proceed in a different way. And I'm not going to go through the details of that unless it becomes necessary, but I want to talk about the schedule that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the military commission has proposed.

2 And if I could have access to the document camera, I 3 have just calendars that I literally took off the Internet and 4 highlighted the dates of the proposed hearings. I did not 5 prepare those two weeks ahead of time and give them to your 6 CISO, so I would just ask that they be presented only to 7 counsel ----8 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may do so. 9 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: ---- at this point. Thank you. 10 What I have done is I have highlighted in yellow 11 every day that we are here on Guantanamo under the proposed 12 schedule; I have highlighted in green days that we are 13 traveling to or from Guantanamo; and in blue, days that, under 14 639M, have major due -- deadlines of things that are due. 15 And what that constitutes is 22 weeks of hearings, 30 16 weekends away from home and our families and our obligations 17 up wherever we live. The hearings are generally scheduled for Monday through Friday. There's three anomalies: 18 In April, 19 the schedule goes through Saturday; in October, it only goes 20 through Thursday, and so we would travel then on a Friday; and

22 presumably travel on Thursday.

23

21

So what we have proposed -- the defense for

December, it only goes through a Wednesday, and so we would

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Mr. Mohammad, Mr. Bin'Attash, Mr. Binalshibh, and

2 Mr. al Hawsawi have proposed is a modification first to the 3 travel. And it would not really affect the number of weeks 4 with regard -- or the number of days that we would litigate 5 once we are on island, but it would allow for more time home 6 to accommodate family obligations and other obligations. And 7 so our recommendation would be that, instead of traveling on 8 Saturdays, we travel on Sundays -- hopefully, Sunday 9 afternoon, so that those who attend church services could do 10 that with their families -- and then that we travel back on 11 Friday evenings so that then the following weekend would be 12 free for other obligations.

I'm going to go through some recommendations that
we've made in terms of the filing deadlines specifically. And
we also are asking, because of the current posture of those
filing deadlines, that some of the hearings be cancelled from
the calendar.

18 Specifically the February hearings, Mr. Connell has 19 recommended that those -- that time that was originally set 20 for hearings, which would have been February 10th through 21 21st, instead be reserved for depositions. We're not opposed 22 to that. We do have the position that Mr. Mohammad may have 23 the right to be present, and, therefore, we are not saying

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 that it's okay with us that those be held elsewhere, but we2 understand the court's rulings in that regard.

The second thing is that there are some major due dates currently on the 1st of April, and under the current schedule, we would be in hearings seven weeks out of twelve before that major deadline. And we think that that's problematic for reasons I'm going to go into in more detail.

8 We have asked that the hearings currently scheduled 9 in May be cancelled because they interfere with Ramadan. So 10 far in these proceedings, we have not held proceedings in 11 Guantanamo during Ramadan as a respect for the religious 12 observations, not merely of the defendants, but of many of the 13 team members, who also are fasting and observing their 14 religion. So that's why we're asking for May. And I also 15 would note that there's a major due date on June 1st, and so 16 that is a second reason for requesting that the May hearings 17 be cancelled.

18 The end of September hearings, also there is a major
19 due date on October 1st, and so we're asking that those
20 hearings be cancelled so that we would have more time to
21 prepare for those litigation deadlines.

So with regard to the litigation deadlines, I want to
start with the current -- I can turn off the document camera

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 at this point.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, ma'am.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: The current deadline -- the first
deadline that's coming up is November 1st, and in our
proposal, we had requested that that be any objections to the
charge sheet. And we're still prepared to have that ready and
be filed by November 1st.

8 The military commission's order expanded that to 9 include all law motions, and we're asking that that -- that 10 all law motions be set aside -- set off until January for a 11 number of reasons. And again, I'm -- I thought it would be 12 easier if I go through what our changes are requested and then 13 talk about all the reasons specifically together.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Ma'am, that would be fine. Thank you.
ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: The second deadline is February 1st,
and we're asking that that be moved to mid-March, and that's
regarding all the hearsay and other fact-based motions.

18 On April 1st, there is a deadline for reciprocal 19 discovery, all witness and evidence for findings and 20 affirmative defenses. We're asking that that be moved to 21 mid-July, in part because of matters that we discussed in the 22 closed session and in part because of matters regarding the 23 state of discovery and the ability to organize discovery.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: May 1st, for jury instructions, assuming that nothing -- that the other deadlines change, we think that we could meet that deadline. June 1st for the 914 disclosures and the sentencing instructions, we're asking that that be moved to mid-August. And the August 1st deadline regarding 505 notices, we're asking that that be moved to 0ctober 15th.

9 And I want to start by saying that when the -- when
10 we were discussing 639 before you issued a schedule, the
11 military commission recognized that all of these deadlines
12 that you were going to set start with the state of discovery.
13 And if the government complies with discovery deadlines,
14 great; and if they're unable to do that, you acknowledged that
15 that would mean that things would have to shift.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: And we can tell from the testimony and from things that happened over the last two weeks that that's probably not going to happen. And I know that the government is making all good-faith efforts -- I'm not faulting them for that -- but I do also know that a lot of things aren't in control of the people in this room, and that their obligation still is outside of this room, and they make

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

every effort, but sometimes they're simply not able to comply
with deadlines because of that, so ----

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Ma'am, just go back real quick.

4 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Sure.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Which one were you asking to move to
October -- to October 15th or sometime around mid-October? It
was towards the end. It was after the 914 disclosures.

8 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: That was the 505 disclosures.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: So I'm not going to repeat the
things that Mr. Connell discussed yesterday regarding
discovery and the disorganization of it, the commission is
well aware of what those problems are.

14 We also have at least four dozen motions to compel 15 discovery that have been in various stages. Lots of times, 16 the government will give us a little bit and saying they're 17 doing their due diligence. We wait patiently. Now that we 18 have deadlines, we're realizing, okay, we're not going to wait 19 patiently anymore; we are going to get these done and we have 20 a plan in place to get those done. But it is a significant 21 number.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Yeah. Please don't. I'd ask theparties if there's motions to compel, I mean, yes, I agree.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

With dates, file what you need to file. Don't wait. I
 encourage the parties to work it out. But you notice even
 with the most recent 538/561 discussion, I went to the
 government and said, okay, how long? And then I told the
 defense, okay, if you are not satisfied on the 11th, just let
 me know.

7 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Right.

8 MJ [Col COHEN]: I will rule on -- I will rule on the9 motion as it exists.

So I agree with you. And I think as trial
practitioners, it just makes sense, right? We all understand
that. So yes, ma'am, I completely agree with that -- that
concept.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: The other piece, and Mr. Connell discussed this slightly yesterday, but the pace of discovery production over the last three months has greatly increased. I think that he used the figure in the number of -- in the area of 25,000 pages.

Admittedly, some of that is stuff that we had
received before in different forms, it's less redacted
versions of something that we already had. But that doesn't
diminish our duty to read every page of the new stuff. And so
as the military judge is well aware, it just takes time ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: It does.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: ---- to get that done. And so we
are doing our best, but it is not as easy as we would like it
to be.

5 The next piece of considerations of why we're asking for some modifications to the schedule is with every piece of 6 7 new discovery, it creates new investigation. And the travel 8 process for planning of investigation is cumbersome. There 9 are rules and regulations that we have to comply with. Change 10 on the fly is not easy. Sometimes we'll go and we'll go to 11 see a witness. We'll find out they're on vacation for two 12 weeks. We can't just stick around and wait until they come 13 back. We have to go home and go do a second request for 14 investigation travel permit. It's complicated.

Depending on how the court -- the military commission
rules on some of our motions regarding discovery around
witnesses, that may increase the amount of investigation that
we're able to do.

The next piece is that the current litigation
schedule requires us to be on Guantanamo 22 weeks of next
year, and it is almost impossible to do all of those motions
that we would like to do while we're here.

23

Now, we do have some team members who are still in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

the National Capital Region, and they have been working
 diligently while we're down here in hearings. But this is a
 capital case. None of them have capital experience.

4 Mr. Sowards as learned counsel has an ethical 5 obligation and a statutory obligation to oversee particularly 6 anything that has anything to do with -- directly with capital 7 cases, but I would say in a capital case, everything has to do 8 with capital -- the capital punishment aspect of it. And so 9 that is a burden that he bears, and we try to help him with 10 it, but it's not something that he can just pretend doesn't 11 exist.

12 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

13 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: There's also the logistical aspects 14 of being on Guantanamo. We've talked in the past about the 15 lack of space, the lack of office space. We are constantly 16 having to negotiate with our paralegals about who gets to use 17 the computers during breaks and at lunch. They're very good 18 about giving them up, but we shouldn't have to negotiate with 19 them about that. We should have access to computers for 20 everyone who is in here.

I also want to talk just a little bit about some of
the logistical things because we're on Guantanamo. And I want
to be clear, I'm not complaining. This is just the reality of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 it. This morning, I woke up to water dripping from my
2 ceiling, brown water -- which was just a little bit scarier
3 than if it was just regular water -- onto my bed. There was a
4 leak in my kitchen and a leak in my bathroom. The whole
5 bathroom floor was filled with brown water.

I understand that people living in the tents have
even a worse situation in that the latrine area was flooded
for much of this week. I know that the CHUs, the -- that the
military members are staying in have had massive mold
problems, air conditioners that aren't working. The staff is
trying to get those things done.

12 And individually they're not that big of a deal. 13 Individually, it doesn't matter. But collectively, it's a big 14 deal. I mean, just since we have been here on this -- this 15 hearings, we have lost electricity twice for multiple hours. 16 And, again, not that big of a deal, except that it meant we 17 couldn't work. And so those are hours that we possibly would 18 have been on the Internet doing our obligations, and we 19 couldn't.

And a final thing, just on the logistical things, is the storm that impacted the ability to have client interviews in Echo II, we learned this morning that that may not be resolved until October 7th. And we have client visits

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

scheduled with team members between now and then. We have
 been told that they will accommodate the -- the visits that
 were already scheduled. They won't be able to accommodate
 further scheduled.

And these client visits won't be the same because
just of the humidity, the fact that there's really not a chair
and table in the unit out behind the -- in the ELC. So again,
all of these things add up, and it's -- it has a cascading
effect on our ability to litigate.

10 So the other part about having so many weeks filled 11 with hearings is that for every hour of a witness' testimony 12 generally, there's between 10 to 20 hours of preparation. And 13 that's just for the lawyer doing the examination. Then 14 there's the paralegals, the analysts, the discovery 15 coordinators and their time putting together the exhibits, 16 putting together the pleadings that need to be ready, 505 17 notices, things like that.

We have to consult with our client. We have to
consult sometimes with experts. We have to look through the
classification guidance and try to figure it out; and if we
can't figure it out, we have to go ask somebody what it means.
Special Agent Fitzgerald talked last -- two weeks ago
now, about the fact that it took -- that he spent 40 hours

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

preparing for his testimony. He's just a witness. He just
 has to look at his stuff. If I were cross-examining him, I
 would have had to look at everything he looked at, plus
 everything every other agent looked at, plus the things that
 he doesn't know about that I need to know whether he knows
 about. And that's a problem.

7 I was looking -- the fingerprint examiner also 8 testified that for his one hour of direct examination, he 9 spent six to ten hours preparing with the prosecution. I want 10 to give you a transcript cite for that, but it's not up yet, 11 because your court reporters are working so long hours that 12 they can't get the transcripts up. And we're not complaining. 13 We recognize the amount of work that they are doing, and we're 14 relieved when they do -- are able to give us transcripts in a 15 timely way. But again, that's -- that's what happens during 16 three-week-long hearings.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: The hours of the day is also
relevant. You discussed yesterday, I think, the idea of,
especially during Dr. Mitchell's testimony, that we might go
from 8:00 in the morning until 1800 in the evening. And while
I understand the desire to get done as much of his examination
as possible during the time that we have here, that doesn't

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

recognize the amount of work that has to be done in the
 morning before we start a hearing and in the evening after a
 hearing.

4 Before every session, we meet with our client. We 5 scramble to make sure that we have all the exhibits. 6 everything that we need for that printed out. I -- this 7 morning -- this is just a small example -- I had worked on my 8 notes on my personal computer. I e-mailed them to my 9 paralegal. When I got here, I realized that I e-mailed her 10 the wrong version. So just a little simple thing, but it took 11 us almost 45 minutes to get that solved. And that multiplies 12 over and over and over again around little things.

And we talked -- I think it was last week -- about the overtime considerations for staff. I'm a lawyer. I worked much of my career doing capital cases where we didn't even know what overtime meant. You know, you came to work and you did as much work as you had to do, and you got paid the same amount.

But the government chose to have us follow rules.
They chose -- the Department of Defense chose to have civilian
employees and to provide compensation for those employees.
And as a lawyer, I'm -- my -- after about the first three
months of the year, I'm out of overtime hours. I can't even

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

get comp time because of the way the rules are written. And
 it doesn't really matter for me, but it does matter for our
 paralegals and other staff members.

And we have people on our staff -- and I can't
enumerate them, but we do have people on our staff, where they
are a different category of employees, and they are not
allowed to work overtime beyond a certain period of time. And
we're trying to work those -- figuring out how to stagger
things so that doesn't happen. But it is a limitation on what
we're doing.

And so I want to be really clear. I'm not saying poor me, feel sorry for her. I'm just saying that the government made choices, and one of the consequences of the choices is that we are limited in our ability to how we staff some parts of the case.

And this hearing schedule would be probably doable if all the motions were written, if all the discovery had been provided; but we know that that's going to happen on a rolling basis, and it's going to happen on a cascading basis.

And I want to use Your Honor as an example. You heard argument the first week on AE 650, and you have talked yesterday and I think the day before about the fact that you really want to get an order out on that motion. And you're

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 not able to do that while you're here in these proceedings,2 and you're hoping to get it done within a week after.

We're in the same boat as you are. And yes, there
are more of us, and we recognize that you're one judge and we
have more than one lawyer. But we also each have an
expertise, and I can't write the same motions that Mr. Nevin
can write and that Lieutenant Colonel Poteet can write. We
have to have our expertise utilized as we're looking at the
various different motions.

10 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's a fair comment, ma'am. Thank you. 11 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: And the -- an important part of that 12 is that this is a capital case, and counsel are ethically and 13 statutorily obligated to have learned counsel, and that 14 learned counsel has to make all the litigation decisions. 15 Mr. Sowards has to be here at every hearing.

We have been asking for years to have a second
I learned counsel appointed to the teams so that there could be
some rotation; that if one of learned counsel got sick or
injured and couldn't attend, that there would be a second
learned counsel. And that has been denied by the convening
authority over and over and over again. And so that's a
problem.

23

The other obvious complication is the classification

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

guidance, the classification aspect of the case. If I'm
 drafting a motion that has any aspect of it that is at the Top
 Secret level, I have to go to a separate computer to do that.
 I'm the only person on our entire team that has access to P2P
 for Top Secret materials in their office. No one else does.

Everyone else that needs to get on P2P has to come to
my office or to one hot seat that is assigned to our team.
And that's due to some complicated reasons that I would like
to talk more about having to do with our office space, but I'm
going to set that aside for now. But it is a barrier to our
ability to have multiple people drafting motions that are -that have classified aspects to it.

13 Another part of this litigation dilemma is that there 14 are four writs currently pending in the D.C. Circuit. 0ur 15 team is joined to three of those four, and we have major 16 obligations just on one of them, but it is -- it is major 17 because we're in the D.C. Circuit, and the rules are 18 different. The -- even just the logistical aspects of it, 19 that things have to be filed on paper in person, make it just 20 more complicated and that you're doing those things at the 21 same time that you're fulfilling your obligations here.

And again, that can't be done if we're on Guantanamo.We have an oral argument scheduled in November in one of our

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

writs, and it happens that it's not during -- it doesn't
 conflict with a hearing schedule, but I'm pretty sure -- or I
 don't know whether the D.C. Circuit looks at our litigation
 schedule on Guantanamo when they set oral argument, but we
 can't be in two places at once, obviously.

I want to go back to, no one's afraid of the hard
work and we're not complaining about the pace or the
obligations, but we don't want to be back here doing any of
this again. It's a capital case. Death is different.
There's heightened reliability. It means heightened
responsibility for us, for you, for the government.

And the government's choices are what got us where we are. The government -- not these prosecutors, but the government writ large -- made decisions that led to the torture in this case, but also led to this being a capital case, and led to it not being resolved short of capital.

17 The accused -- the defendants, way back in 2008, 18 offered to plead guilty to noncapital charges. That wasn't 19 allowed. We -- this is open now, an open secret now. In the 20 555 litigation, we had negotiated a resolved settlement of 21 this case with the convening authority that would have 22 resolved the matter, finally allowed the victim family members 23 to have resolution, understand what happened; and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 then-Attorney General Jeff Sessions called then-Secretary of
2 Defense Mattis and said no deal.

Now, whether -- the judge has already decided that
wasn't unlawful influence, but the fact of that phone call has
never been in dispute. It was testified to by the
government's witness.

And so this could have been resolved short of trial.
8 The government has chosen not to. The government chose to
9 hold these hearings on Guantanamo in a court that is not
10 regularly constituted, and some of the consequences of that
11 are the pace that we are able to meet with our litigation.

So it's for those reasons that we ask for the
modifications that we set out in our pleadings in 639 and 553
series.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, ma'am. Very articulate, to
the point. I really appreciate that. It has given me
definitely some things to consider.

I -- just for your situational awareness, and
everyone else, I didn't create the schedule indifferent to any
of these things, but with any date, there's still lots of
unknowns, right? I mean, even the things that you're talking
about now deal with certain unknowns as well as certain
knowns, you know, those kinds of things.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	I included the logistical issues to the extent that
2	they were that they were discussed at length, and then
3	built in, for the first time ever in a scheduling order,
4	briefings on those very issues because I recognize the
5	importance of those. And now having been down here for my
6	third trip, and this one being the longest by three times the
7	amount of time that I've spent down here, I share with you,
8	you know, the issues that are down here.
9	I'm still hopeful that what Mr. Ryan said back in the
10	day, that dates at least generate the government at-large to
11	make some decisions and spend some money on things that they
12	should, will, in fact, occur. But if that doesn't happen, you
13	know, we discussed that there will be consequences, and what
14	those consequences are, you know, are to be determined.
15	But as far as your general points, yeah, thank you.
16	I understand all of them, and I appreciate you taking the time
17	to highlight them for me.
18	ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Thank you.
19	MJ [Col COHEN]: Are there any other comments that need to
20	be made?
21	Mr. Connell.
22	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, we had a separate filing. The
23	only comment that I wish to add is one that I said in an 802,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 so I just want to make sure it makes it on to the record with2 that.

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Sure. Absolutely.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: One of the possible accommodations for
Ramadan is to take that month for -- or that hearing for
depositions in the National Capital Region. If there's
evidence that needs to be taken, if a hearing needs to be had.

8 I know the military commission hasn't been at
9 Guantanamo in Ramadan yet, but it's -- it's a significant and
10 solemn occasion around here. And having proceedings at
11 Guantanamo during Ramadan, I would -- I suggest, both because
12 of the religious obligation, but also because of the fasting
13 aspect of it, in long tropical days, would not be consistent
14 with a fair trial.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

16 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: But NCR depositions, on the other
17 hand, might be a way to accommodate at least some of those
18 concerns.

19 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir.

20 Mr. Ruiz, sir.

21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you, Judge. I'm not a fan of
22 beating the fallen horse, so I'll try not to do that.
23 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MR. RUIZ]: But I do want to accentuate some points
 that Ms. Radostitz made very well on all of our behalf in
 terms of the challenges that we face in terms of the
 logistics.

5 And I stand before you very confident that I can say 6 that I can probably count on one hand the number of people in 7 this room who have probably spent as much time in Guantanamo 8 as I've had, including the prosecution, even with their head 9 start.

10 So I say that so it is perfectly clear that I'm not averse, and my record speaks for itself in terms of the amount 11 12 of time that I spend on this island working, not only in the 13 course of hearings, but also in terms of meetings with the 14 client and representing Mr. al Hawsawi. That is, of course, 15 the essence of why we're here. And so I rise only to 16 accentuate a couple of points, based on -- largely on that 17 experience.

18 Ms. Radostitz is correct that the government made the 19 choice to bring this case to Guantanamo and make this the 20 venue. And I think as we all agreed, and I think as you 21 recognize, that carries with it duties and responsibilities. 22 You alluded to Mr. Ryan's "schedule it and it will 23 come" argument, which I indicated to you that I had argued and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

made comments on a number of previous times when it had been
 raised before previous judges in these military commissions.

3 I will tell you that I think as we stand here today, 4 I certainly have not seen anything that's moved in terms of a 5 comprehensive plan about how this island would support all of 6 the logistical requirements that would be necessary, not only 7 for the participants in this courtroom, but the people who 8 would be flying in to the island, such as witnesses, jurors, 9 those other actors that would enter the mix as we move forward 10 through this trial process.

I would suggest -- Judge, I use the word "hopeful."
I would suggest that hopeful is not good enough; that the
commission consider setting a timeline for the government to
provide to the commission and to the parties a logistical plan
that sets forth just how this group of people are going to be
housed.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: And we should get that on the18 1st of November.

19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay. Yes, sir.

In that sense, we still have not seen -- what we have
 seen is an erosion of some of the resources such as the
 housing, where individuals are not having adequate housing.

23 In 343C, I'll highlight for you, the military

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

commission ruled that the -- an order that was passed that
 required the military judges to move to the island in order to
 accentuate or move forth the pace of these proceedings was
 rebuffed and found to be unlawful influence.

5 So the essence of that was that I believe there is 6 still at least some pressure out there. And I know you've 7 indicated that you don't necessarily feel pressure to move the 8 case along and -- to an eventual outcome and that we will move 9 the proceedings at a pace that is required. And I understand 10 that.

The main thing that -- at least for Mr. al Hawsawi, and again, joining these comments that we see that is of great concern to us -- and Ms. Radostitz talked on this a little bit -- is the discovery process. And I think you've probably seen this emerge from -- at least this theme consistently emerge on behalf of Mr. al Hawsawi in terms of representation.

And you have set forth timelines, clearly timelines
towards trial, the trial in and of itself, as well as motions.
And we don't take issue with the authority of this court or,
quite frankly, any court to set those kinds of timelines, as
you have.

The biggest concern, however, that I have on behalfof Mr. al Hawsawi and as you've seen through some of the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

litigation choices that we have made, is that never before
 have we been in the position where those kinds of timelines - those kinds of deadlines, the court exerts that authority,
 proper authority, in the context of not having resolved the
 ongoing discovery production and the discovery issues that
 must precede a realistic setting of those timelines.

7 And so as Ms. Radostitz talks about the November 1st
8 timeline, as we talked about other timelines for the
9 submission of these -- of these motions, I am mindful that
10 there is a timeline for the completion of the discovery and
11 for also the affirmation of such a completion.

But even as I've sat here and watched the process of Mr. Connell going through trying to cross-examine these witnesses -- and done a very good job, in my view -- that's a double-edged sword, right? Because on the one hand, you can look at that process and you can say, well, look -- look at what the defense was able to do. And they've had all of the ability to do all of that.

But at the same time, what you have seen is a process where discovery has continued to come in even throughout the week, even after witnesses have taken the stand. And so it is exactly what I've illustrated before, which is this process of moving forward to meet timelines, to meet the goals that the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

commission has set forth, but with incomplete information.
 And that is my greatest concern as I move forward.

3 It's not that the commission lacks the authority to 4 set these timelines. It's very understandable why the 5 commission would do so. But I want to accentuate how 6 important it is for us on Mr. al Hawsawi's team, and I believe 7 on all teams, to make sure that this discovery drip ends at 8 some point so then we have some -- we have -- and again, I 9 don't want to deincentivize the government, as I think a 10 number of colleagues have indicated, to provide this 11 information, but it cannot be that we continue to move forward 12 and be required to meet these timelines, these litigation 13 timelines when time after time after time we continue to get 14 information that comes in that is relevant to issues that are 15 before the commission.

16 I have sat and I have looked through this discovery 17 that's coming in, and I've looked at it and said, well, I'm 18 glad I wasn't up there asking questions of a witness without 19 this information because there's plenty of relevant 20 information that could have been provided. And the response 21 that always comes back is, well, we're -- we're reproducing 22 some additional discovery. We're providing something that 23 you've already had before, but in a different format.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And I think what Ms. Radostitz says is extremely
 important, which is they know that because they maintain those
 documents. They know that because they've expended time and
 energy and resources in recreating that discovery and
 providing it to us. So they understand that because they put
 time and energy into that process.

7 Well, once that discovery comes into our hands, 8 there's a lot of time and energy that goes into the process of 9 trying to sort that out. What did we receive in 2014 that 10 they're reproducing in 2019? What is different about the 11 discovery that we got during this hearing week, during an 12 ongoing session of the commissions, when witnesses are on the 13 stand, that we may have gotten back in 2014, 2011, 2012? That 14 is an enormous amount of information, and it takes time to 15 process that.

So even once this discovery process is at some point, quote, completed, we need the time to be able to digest, to process this discovery, to analyze it, and then to make deliberate and informed decisions in order to meet the timelines that you've set before us.

21 So I guess one of the things that I'm saying, this is 22 what I think I've said all along before, which is that 23 discovery for us is extremely important. And for myself, as

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

learned counsel, the balancing of the equities is extremely
 important, balancing the amount of time that we spend in this
 courtroom.

I am courtroom-bound, as are most of my colleagues
who are the learned counsel in this case. Except Mr. Nevin
now. Mr. Nevin is in a different position, which he clearly
likes to bring to my attention every time he walks out of the
courtroom in the middle of court.

9 But the reality is that when we are in hearings, I am 10 primarily engaged in this hearing whether I'm up here asking 11 questions or not. And I will represent to you that there are 12 times that, if I think I can afford not to necessarily listen 13 as intently as I need to, I'm back there editing motions or 14 I'm trying to do other work, to push other work while I am 15 But being here a number of weeks, whatever number of here. 16 weeks that is, does have a collateral impact on what I'm able 17 to do outside of the courtroom.

And as my colleagues have indicated, yes, we have other attorneys that are also engaged, and we have them fully engaged in the business of this case. But at the end of the day, I will tell you, Judge, everything that comes to this commission, every decision that we make, I have to have a hand in. And I have to have a hand in to direct that strategy, to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

ratify choices, to decline choices. That's just
 decision-making.

I think you probably have a very similar model in your -- even if you're not drafting the ruling, it still requires time and energy. It still requires attention. It still requires direction. It still requires the managing of the many resources that we have been given.

And so when we come before you and we very
9 mechanically talk about adjusting number of weeks and doing
10 away with numbers, it may sound as complaining. But the
11 reality is that work is going to continue to be done; the only
12 question is where and how and when.

13 And so when I look at the schedule, I think that it 14 is extremely difficult to continue to carry on the business 15 that's outside the court to meet these timelines with the 16 requirements that I think are going to be increasing in court, 17 such as the witness preparation, when and if we become fully 18 engaged in that process. That just takes it to a different --19 a different level for us. And I know you are mindful of that 20 as well.

21 Let me just see if I have any additional thoughts22 here.

23 [Counsel conferred.]

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay. Sure. Sure.

Judge, as I've said, I think -- and I want it to be perfectly clear. Clearly you have the authority and have exerted that authority, and I think you're getting more and more experience, obviously, as to the depth and complexity of this case, which I think will, hopefully, continue to inform those timelines that we're setting forth.

8 One point Ms. Radostitz reminded me of is that, even 9 in the changes that you are making, such as those that 10 facilitate certain submissions of pleas, there are additional 11 requirements, such as the submission of witness lists, 12 additional fact-findings and opinions. That's great. That's 13 fine, and not a problem with that. But that does 14 exponentially increase the amount of time that we, over time 15 and given the number of motions we expect to file, that we 16 will have to put our time and our resources to.

And I will tell you that, from Mr. al Hawsawi's perspective, we've always been of the mindset that justice delayed is justice denied to Mr. al Hawsawi as well as to all the people who have an interest in this case. And I know that there are times where I've been accused on paper or otherwise from wanting to delay this case or not wanting to move this case forward. And my intention and my intention on behalf of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Mr. al Hawsawi has always been, Judge, to get individual
 justice for Mr. al Hawsawi in a timely manner, and it goes
 without saying, in terms of how long he has been in captivity
 without an actual trial.

5 However, what I am not in favor of, and what the 6 positions that we have taken are not ones where we are pushed 7 towards a result without being prepared to fully meet the 8 challenge that is before us. And it is in those instances 9 where we have stood and taken a stance against being pushed 10 into a position or into a procedure that we believe puts us in 11 a position where we have to litigate at a disadvantage, even 12 though it may actually be more advantageous or expedient to 13 whatever the issue may be.

14 The same is true for these timelines. We are fully 15 ready to try and meet these timelines, Judge, on behalf of 16 Mr. al Hawsawi; but again, we want to be able to do this in a 17 way that balances the equities, the interest, and gives us the 18 amount of time and energy and ability to fully analyze the 19 information and allows us to, with full depth and knowledge of 20 the information before us, meet those timelines in a way that 21 is just to Mr. al Hawsawi and just to this process.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Understand, sir. Thank you for yourcomments.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Ms. Bormann.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: We adopt Ms. Radostitz's comments in
whole. Yesterday in the closed session when I got up to
correct the record on something, I sat back down, and one of
my teammates leaned over to me and said, "My goodness, it's so
good that you were here all this time because without your
personal experience being brought to bear here, none of us
would have known that."

9 And that's true of you, Judge. You just don't know
10 what you don't know yet, and you're learning it. You know,
11 rain is not an unusual situation in Guantanamo Bay during
12 hurricane season. And so what we've experienced over the last
13 couple of days happens pretty regularly.

14 When I -- I'm just going to give you sort of the 15 history of what -- the very brief history of what the 16 situation has been and why your deadline of November 1st to 17 have the government tell you about the infrastructure issues 18 isn't going to be an accurate assessment, because they simply 19 don't know unless they look.

So way back in 2012, when we were getting to do the arraignment, I approached the government and I asked them if we could please deinfest my office, then in AV-34, up where the trial judiciary goes, of rodents and insects. We had --

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and clean it for mold.

The answer was no. And eventually that necessitated
the filing of a motion with photographs that had to be taken
by a secret camera and attached to -- as exhibits.
Then-Judge Pohl looked at the government and said, fix it.
That took a long time to do, and it never got solved
permanently.

8 Instead -- I'm going to fast-forward ahead to 9 November of last year. I got down to Guantanamo Bay. My 10 office in AV-34 had been -- well, I'll explain how that 11 happened -- but my -- I walked into the ELC, which is where 12 our office is when we're in court, to an office that was 13 literally covered in mold. The walls were covered in mold. 14 The chairs were covered in mold. The carpet was squishy and 15 covered in mold. The keyboards were covered in mold. The 16 varnish on the table that is shoved into that small space was 17 eaten away by mold. And my paralegal had a visible allergic 18 reaction to just walking in.

19 The court reporters at the time were kind enough -20 and I'm looking at ______ here, to help us, move,
21 because it was clear that there was a problem. I invited the
22 prosecution, any member of the prosecution, to come over and
23 look at my office because it was unusable. They declined.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

I had to come in front of the court and explain it,
 and ultimately what happened was we were moved into what's
 called a RASER. It's those little teeny containerized things
 that has, right in a row, like four chairs and four outlets
 for computers that had been owned by the court reporters. It
 had been a storage area for the court reporters.

7 We're still there. We're still there because what 8 happened as a result of the mold that had taken over the 9 Bin'Attash office was that a cursory inspection by hygiene 10 people here on the base determined that the entire office 11 space, the one trailer occupied by the defense, was completely 12 infested with mold. So the carpeting had to be ripped out, 13 the subflooring and all of that. And so then we lost any 14 office space we had in AV-34 because other people had to take 15 that space.

16 Eventually, that container -- so that container was
17 rehabbed, but it wasn't fully rehabbed because the government
18 had promised that we were going to get new offices.

19 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I object at this time. It's20 gone far beyond the motion before the commission.

21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: This is directly at issue with moving
22 timelines ----

23 TC [MR. RYAN]: It is in no pleading, sir. I object to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 it.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Ma'am, I do recall last time a large
discussion about similar issues. Are there other specific
facts that are different from the last time we addressed this?
LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. You may address those.

7 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you. I will get there.

8 The situation this week that we experienced that 9 Ms. Radostitz touched on is something that is not anomalous. 10 This morning, I woke up to a similar situation that 11 Ms. Radostitz had, in a building where the -- one elevator has 12 been broken for months, and the second only other elevator 13 broke a few days back and has not been repaired.

14 MJ [Col COHEN]: I'm aware of that I have had to walk the15 six flights of stairs, too.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Me, too. And that's fine because I'm
healthy and I'm able to do it. But God forbid somebody
disabled or not as healthy or as young had to do the same
thing; they would not be able to.

It is indicative of what happens here, which is
things go undiagnosed, and then when they break, they go
unfixed for a very long period of time.

23

Right now, I called and I told them that my room was

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 flooded. I have no idea what I'm going to go back to.

2 When I got here today, the -- my colleagues -- I saw 3 him, Mr. Montross, was covered in water, and I asked why. The 4 vehicle that had been assigned to him -- it's a pickup 5 truck -- was in such disrepair that apparently there was a 6 crack in the roof, and when he tried to get into his truck 7 this morning to get here, it was like a pool. So he had to 8 run back upstairs, get -- to his room, change clothes, get in, 9 completely sodden wet and bring his clothes with him to change 10 here.

11 The -- Captain Peer, one of my other colleagues, is
12 not assigned a typical vehicle to get to and fro. He's
13 assigned sort of a golf cart thing. The roof on that is
14 cracked. And so when you saw his uniform coat here the other
15 day, that's because he had to take it off and blow dry his
16 shirt because he couldn't get here without being completely
17 sopping wet.

I'm going to -- there is currently an emergency order in effect in Guantanamo that denies us the ability to fill up the vehicles that we are required to use to get to and fro with gasoline. So if you have -- because it appears that no planning was made for the gas necessary to fuel the cars for the people who had to be here for three weeks of hearings set.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Those cars, if you run out of gas, have to be turned
 in, and then I suppose people will have to take buses or
 however else -- figure out a way to get around. Thankfully,
 none of my team is in that boat.

Those are just a few of the things that happened this
week. And so going forward, on infrastructure issues, when
you ask General Martins to give you an update, General Martins
wouldn't have known about any of those things unless I were
asked to fill him in.

And so there is, I think, going to be a gap there, and there's nothing in your scheduling order to allow for how to fill that gap of information. And so one of the things that Ms. Radostitz's proposed schedule does is give us a little more time to fill those gaps so that you're made aware.

The other area I want to address is where our clients are housed. So my client, Mr. Bin'Attash's legal materials, the things he uses to familiarize himself with what's going to happen -- so I'm going to tell you, we send him briefings biweekly of motions filed and all the unclassified goings-on that are happening in his case.

Because, of course, as you're now aware, we can't
pick up the phone and call him. We have to either meet with
him -- well, we have to be here and schedule meetings weeks in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

advance, and hope that those visits are granted by JTF. So
 when we can't do that, we then have to write to him. So we
 send him things that he is required to store in bins.

4 Those bins are required to be stored in an area that 5 is now flooded. We are not the only ones who are put in a position where we can't prepare. And I'm not going to go into 6 7 details here because, frankly, I'm not sure what's classified 8 and what's not, so I want to avoid any sort of 9 left-lane/right-lane thing here. But the bottom line is the 10 place where our clients are housed is also in dire need of 11 repair. They also need to be prepared for a trial that will 12 take, as you estimate, nine months.

13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Oh. Okay.
21	MJ [Col COHEN]: I am aware.

22 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: You are? Okay. Then I don't need to23 discuss that with you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

However, the alternative place that has been proposed
 for us to meet our client in is also not working properly.
 The temperatures, et cetera, and the facilities that would be
 proposed would not be conducive to legal meetings. So right
 now, as it stands, the only way Mr. Bin'Attash can meet with
 any of his defense team is to be sitting here in court, and
 that appears to be the case for the near future.

8 That's going to interfere with our ability to prepare
9 for hearings going forward because, unlike everybody else
10 who's leaving on Saturday, I'm staying behind along with
11 Mr. Montross and some others, to do work with Mr. Bin'Attash.
12 And it looks like we're not going to be able to get that work
13 done.

14 So there's some difficulties -- and I'm not going to 15 discuss the basics behind it because I'm told I can't. But 16 just getting the basics done has become incredibly difficult.

17 The discovery issue has been touched on by Mr. Ruiz 18 and Ms. Radostitz writ large, but there is one piece that 19 you've not been completely apprised of, and it's the basis of 20 an ex parte series of filings. But I'm here to tell you that 21 as we sit here today, we have no platform by which we can sort 22 or analyze discovery.

23

So when you saw earlier in the last couple of weeks

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 very few people sitting at defense table -- I think one day it 2 was just me -- that's because I have all hands on deck down 3 here in an IT situation that is less than adequate, trying to 4 use programs that are not designed for massive amounts of 5 documents to determine evidence that's being presented while 6 Mr. Connell calls witnesses. It is a failure of the 7 resourcing of these teams and the convening authority's 8 failure to follow a particular judge's order.

9 I know we have a request in for an ex parte
10 presentation on that particular thing, so I won't touch on it
11 any further.

Needless to say, all of these things, each one by itself wouldn't matter; but when you stack them all together, it becomes almost impossible. And until you actually are here for a long period of time or a sufficient period of time, you don't see it because -- you know, one, okay, sure, my roof is leaking. Okay.

But the problem is then my office is also leaking, and the vehicle that I'm supposed to use to get to and from is also leaking. So I can't make it to court in time in order to meet with my client, which then sets me back on that issue, which means I then have to stay after the hearings to meet with them, which means I can't write a motion. That's the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 cascading effect that Ms. Radostitz talked about. 2 We would ask you to look at our proposed order -- it 3 basically has the same number of litigation days divided 4 differently -- and go forward in that way. We think we could 5 hopefully minimize the difficulties if we took a -- a measured 6 approach to the upcoming schedule, and we ask you to do that. 7 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, ma'am. 8 Mr. Harrington. 9 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, just a couple of brief 10 comments. 11 One is I know that you're going to do this, but this 12 week you had baptism with witnesses and got some sense of the 13 length of the witnesses. And even with a primary witness in 14 this case who was not questioned by other defense counsel for 15 whom he has relevant testimony, it gives some indication to 16 the difficulties that you face. 17 And I just want -- I suppose there's different ways 18 of looking at that, and one of them is I'm going to double 19 down on what I did -- what I proposed for next year, and I'm 20 just going to go hammer it, and we're just going to keep plow, 21 plow, plow, plow, plow. And that's obviously a decision that 22 you can make. But I'd ask you to look at it a different way 23 and say, how can we tinker with what the system is and make it

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 work more efficiently or better.

And that goes back to the fact that this case is
backwards still, because of the discovery issue which
everybody talked about not being completed, and the fact that
we're not at the point where the motions are filed to deal
with, for example, a particular issue.

7 If we're dealing with somebody's statement, it would 8 seem that you would have a witness testify about that 9 statement. If that witness is on there and other people have 10 filed motions, okay, we go to this person and you question him 11 about the statement. But we deal with an issue right there, 12 and that would be the better way to do it. So to the extent 13 that you can consider that and the alternatives that we have 14 suggested, we would ask that you do that.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: I will, sir.

16 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, another thing that -17 learned counsel have a special obligation in these cases which
18 is unlike any other case, and that is that we are, under the
19 guidelines, responsible for our teams. And not only do we
20 have to try to look out for our client, but we have to try to
21 look out for ourselves.

And I've been very carefully watching with respect to
my team and what this three-week period has done. We operate

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

in very difficult circumstances, which you've heard over and
 over here in terms of space and all being crammed into the
 same place and not enough computers so there's people standing
 there that aren't using computers.

5 All of the people that I deal with on my team and I 6 have experience with on the other teams and with the 7 prosecution, are all very professional, very civilized and 8 really very, very nice and hardworking people. But we 9 could -- I could see the stress, even in terms of this week. 10 It's just -- it's really, really, really tough. And we have 11 an obligation to monitor that and watch out for it. So any 12 way that we can do to ease the stress on our support staff is 13 important.

And the other thing, last thing I will say is that, even in the weeks that we have off when we are back in Virginia or wherever counsel live, we not only have motions and things to write, but we have other travel and other things to do, which drives people on the team away from their families even more. And, I mean, we took on the case, and so you gotta do it.

And I know General Martins gets up, and he's a
general, and he orders his troops to march, and that's the
attitude that he takes. But we're not generals; we're

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 civilians. And we have to, a lot of times, cajole or use 2 other techniques to get people to do it. And I'm not saying 3 the people aren't dedicated, but this is -- can be very 4 destructive to mental health and to families. Thank you. 5 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's fair, sir. Thank you. 6 Trial Counsel, are you satisfied with what you've 7 previously argued? 8 TC [MR. RYAN]: Could I have the court's indulgence, Your 9 Honor? 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 11 [Counsel conferred.] 12 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, with the commission's 13 permission, I would like to make a few comments just because I 14 feel that, in light of the many comments from the defense, I 15 cannot in good conscience leave you without something in 16 response. 17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, sir. 18 TC [MR. RYAN]: In terms of the logistics -- and I think 19 Your Honor has been focused properly in that area -- I will, 20 in a few moments, hand it off, with your permission again, to 21 General Martins ----22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, sir.

23 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- who, of course, is the person most

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

focused in that area and is literally working it on a constant
 basis.

3 Judge, I so very deeply disagree with counsel's, I 4 think, unfortunate comment that it was the choice of the 5 United States Government that we're standing here in this 6 courtroom today. The choice that was made belongs to the man 7 standing to my left at the far end of that particular table 8 who decided to invent a crime so horrible that it became an 9 actual act of war in an illegal war. And it is that choice 10 that brought upon the United States several more choices that 11 were to be made, including RDI and including what brought us 12 here into this courtroom. We are ready for that fight. We 13 will defend it all day long, as long as this case goes on.

My point, Judge, to you last time was -- and I used
to say this to Judge Pohl -- you will never have their consent
to try this case. I could never get Judge Pohl to believe me.

17 The trial conduct order of this commission
18 establishes 11 January 2021 as the beginning of trial on the
19 merits. The prosecution will be here. We'll be here with our
20 witnesses and with our evidence. We will tell the story of
21 those horrible 102 minutes on September 11th and how these men
22 brought that day to our shores.

23

We are now looking at a way out of the quagmire for

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the first time, and for that we are very grateful. But much2 work lies ahead of us.

3 As Your Honor saw over the last three weeks, there 4 are many things that can't be foreseen and can't be controlled 5 very well. For example, a request now exists that one witness 6 will take as long as two full weeks to testify. In another 7 instance, a counsel has literally refused the court's order to 8 file a motion where the other teams have found a way to do so. 9 These are the unknowables that the best laid plans sometimes 10 just don't account for.

11 So it will take determination, and it will take an 12 eye on the ticking of the clock to keep us on track. The 13 prosecution is completely committed to the schedule and to 14 staying on track.

I'll just share this with you real fast, Judge. The best -- the best blast e-mail the Office of the Chief Prosecutor ever sent to the victim witness community who have stood by this forum and come back to Guantanamo and sit behind that glass was the e-mail telling them that we had a schedule that ended with a trial date. We don't want to go backwards.

But now the onslaught begins. 653C was filed, not
one bit surprisingly, by the four teams that told you seven
years was not enough and you shouldn't set a date at all. We

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 do not want to backslide, sir. We do not want your order to2 become irrelevant before the ink has even dried.

3 I can make comments about the ridiculousness of times 4 of what they say. For example, a team with five lawyers 5 sitting right here, two of them qualified as learned, one of 6 them who's been on the case for ten years, is telling you that 7 it's very difficult to file motions by a deadline. I know 8 there's a joke in there someplace about how many lawyers it 9 takes to file on time. I would submit that the punchline is 10 less than five.

11 The specifics, Judge -- and I think this is what you 12 want to hear from me. We counted. I don't know what they're 13 counting, but I know what we counted. The request that the 14 travel schedule be changed would lead to the elimination of at 15 least 18 calendar days that could be used for hearings. The 16 cancelling of February, May, and September hearings would lead 17 to cancellation of 39 calendar days. The shortening of the 18 March hearings to one week would eliminate more calendar days.

In light of the last three weeks that you saw, sir,
by presiding over this case, we predict that if these changes
were put into effect, the elimination of 11 January 2021 would
almost be a certainty.

23

We suggest, Judge, and we talked about this a little

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 bit, that the better path is to keep the sessions as scheduled
2 and, if appropriate, make adjustments as conditions on the
3 ground justify. Judge Pohl was right on this. He used to say
4 it's easier to cancel than it is to put it on. So to keep it
5 in place is the better solution.

Toward that end of making suggestions -- I'm sorry,
making changes as we go forward, if the conditions justify it,
I have two suggestions:

9 One, at the end of each session, the military 10 commission hold an 802. This has sort of been in effect 11 already. Your Honor is making, I think, good and strong use 12 of the 802 process. We strongly encourage that continues. 13 But at the end of each session, an 802 designed specifically 14 for figuring out where we are in the litigation, what motions 15 are to come, what has to be handled the next time, I think 16 would give the commission and the parties far better clarity.

Second, if the defense truly wants to eliminate
sessions, then I suggest the commission put it to them to
prove it up front with actual progress. Examples -- you
talked about it before and we've already done it. We can work
more than we have -- than we have been.

8:00 to 6:00 is fine by us. If it was more, I'd befine with that as well, but I recognize that the commission at

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

some point must reach a point where you're -- kind of reach
 saturation in terms of how much information you're taking in.
 But certainly, 8:00 to 6:00 is not something so unusual or
 difficult that the parties shouldn't be able to comply. On
 the other hand, we could also work Saturdays if we were here.

Finally, Judge, in light of what's gone on this
morning, I would suggest you consider to eliminate overly long
oral arguments. It would seem that a 20-minute argument after
a 20- to 50-page brief should be sufficient. Or even better,
and more pointed, Your Honor could direct the parties to the
exact points you're interested in, and argument could be made
only as to that.

13 In closing, Judge, and just very, very briefly, I 14 want to just say that among the items you've been deluged with 15 as reasons that schedules should be changed and the world has 16 changed is what I'll just call the 645 issue. I can't get 17 into it in open court, but you've heard it used in 18 justification for changing schedules several times now. Ι 19 understand it. It's devastating evidence and it refutes so 20 much of what's been heard.

I'll simply say this, Judge: I will refer you, Your
Honor, to consider among other pleadings AE 645B, Bravo, a
classified pleading, and specifically pages 38 to 53 --

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

really, what I'm doing, Judge, right now, is not just sort of
 throwing more paper at you but giving you actual data that
 will support the government's position on this particular
 question -- AE 138, from the <u>Hadi al-Iraqi</u> case; and finally,
 AE 575, a prosecution pleading later withdrawn, but with
 pages 3 through 11 and 14 through 37 being directly relevant.

Finally, sir, and before I hand it to the General,
I'll simply say this: Back when we argued this, I told you
that dates drive well and they drive action. That has been
proven correct. General Martins has been engaged with this
and with the many partners involved and can report to you now
in regard to some of the more logistical areas that have been
the subject of discussion on both sides. Thank you, sir.

14 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, Mr. Ryan. No questions.15 Thank you.

16

General Martins.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Mohammad,
we would object to deviation from the one-issue-one-attorney
rule, particularly in light of Mr. Ryan's argument that the
court limit the opportunity for advocacy, particularly in oral
argument by the defense. Thank you.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. This is something that Ihad specifically asked General Martins yesterday during a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	closed session, and it was indicated that this needed that
2	that question needed to be asked and answered in an open
3	session. So to the extent that this addresses that matter, ${f I}$
4	will allow this issue to be addressed.
5	Mr. Connell.
6	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Separately, Your Honor, we have to
7	come back after lunch for 152 anyway. I just note that it's
8	almost 1300 hours.
9	MJ [Col COHEN]: Sir, how long are your comments?
10	CP [BG MARTINS]: Subject to your questions, Your Honor,
11	seven to ten minutes.
12	MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Then we'll go until 1300 and then
13	take a recess for the afternoon. Sir. You have ten minutes.
14	CP [BG MARTINS]: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
15	The trial scheduling order of 30 August reflects the
16	commission's interest in a range of administrative and
17	logistical matters, and I'm referring specifically to
18	paragraph 3
19	MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir.
20	CP [BG MARTINS]: and Attachment B which direct the
21	government to provide periodic status updates.
22	Key rules and authorities providing the framework
23	that we're seeing here include Rule for Military Commission

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 502(d), as in Delta, which states that trial counsel shall
2 prosecute cases on behalf of the United States, thus, that
3 in-trial counsel.

This Rule for Military Commission language and the
pertinent discussion of that rule are identical in the
pertinent parts to the Rule for Court-Martial, 502(d). The
discussion is familiar to military trial counsel to -- of all
services on posts, camps, and stations around the world.

9 This important commentary states that, quote, Trial
10 counsel should ensure that a suitable room and necessary
11 equipment and supplies are provided for the military
12 commission, end quote, and that they should maintain a
13 relationship with the convening authority that includes
14 administrative and logistical issues that impact trial
15 proceedings.

16 Regulation for Trial by Military Commission Chapter 2 17 then invests the convening authority with broad 18 responsibilities, functions, and relationships across the 19 Department of Defense, to include ones pertaining to 20 resourcing, and yet, despite those responsibilities of the CA, 21 the R.T.M.C. is clear that nothing in the R.T.M.C. is to 22 subsume or replace the responsibilities, functions or 23 authorities of the other components of the Defense Department,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 to include the secretaries of the military departments, and
2 the combatant commands. So the convening authority, thus, has
3 a significant coordinating role and responsibility.

4 We're mindful of these roles and responsibilities. 5 We're also mindful of the Supreme Court's recognition that 6 courts are generally ill-equipped to deal with matters of 7 administration, and this recognition of the high court is 8 particularly apparent in the Bell v. Wolfish and 9 Turner v. Safley line of cases that are well known to this 10 commission in various motion series. So hence, there are many 11 precedents holding that judges accord administrators deference 12 in practices that particularly impinge upon security.

13 So we and a host of government partners, hardworking 14 professionals, the guards here, the command, very hardworking 15 folks, are actively carrying out Appellate Exhibit 639M, and 16 specifically the requirement -- and I'm quoting your order, 17 but I think it's useful for you to see we've taken this on 18 board; this is shaping our lives now -- quote, The government 19 shall work with the convening authority to provide periodic 20 logistics briefs to the commission and the defense, end quote. 21 And the briefs are going to address 11 matters which

22 we are actively shaping and building in order to provide the 23 best, most coordinated information to the commission and the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

participants. Those 11 matters are office space, lodging,
 media accommodations, security clearances, a transportation
 plan, the general feeding arrangements, medical emergencies,
 redeployments during breaks, sequestration of panel members,
 entitlements for members during extended TDYs, and per diem
 plan during extended sessions.

We're aware these briefs are to, quote, set forth how
8 the government intends to ensure the trial is ready to proceed
9 on 11 January 2021. You've stated the briefings will be in
10 writing with an oral presentation during the next scheduled
11 commission hearings.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Sir, while I'm at it, in writing -slides are okay. I just need it to be in some kind of written
form. It doesn't necessarily have to be in a formal brief.
CP [BG MARTINS]: Thank you Your Honor And that is how

15 CP [BG MARTINS]: Thank you, Your Honor. And that is how16 we were conceiving of it.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

18 CP [BG MARTINS]: We sort of saw where you were going with19 this.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. The military officer in me kind of anticipated that's probably how this would come, but I just wanted to make sure for clarification. That would be sufficient, something that I could ask questions about, those

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 kinds of things.

2 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yeah, I mean, if you ever get an officer
3 not using PowerPoint, there's probably something awry.

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: It would be strange for us, sir. Yes,5 sir.

6 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yes, Your Honor. So much work is being7 done in these 11 categories.

8 And I emphasize that the Trial Scheduling Order with 9 an 11 January 2021 date, and specific tasks and deadlines that 10 are in the interim, has been key to gaining commitments and 11 focus. And while the commission must, of course, maintain its 12 insistence on fairness, the United States respectfully urges 13 that 16 months, with the commission's interim deadlines, does 14 and will continue to facilitate that date -- that date. And 15 that trial will be fair and just and will afford zealous 16 advocacy in front of a panel that should finally hear this 17 case.

So we are tracking that the first written brief is to
be disseminated on 1 November. We do envision a PowerPoint
slide deck that is organized around your 11 categories.

21 MJ [Col COHEN]: That would be great, sir.

22 CP [BG MARTINS]: And given the sensitivity of some of the23 information in that brief, we intend that the convening

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

authority's office will push that out to the Office of
 Military Commissions participants and then also the trial
 judiciary, the pertinent parties here, and the -- the office,
 key officers.

5 And then we're going to actually be down here the 6 following week. So what we envision is Friday, 1 November, 7 that slide deck goes out on the SIPR system because of the 8 sensitivity of this information; some of it involves movements 9 of personnel and other things. And then what we envision is 10 at an R.M.C. 802 conference, we would propose that on one of 11 the days we recess early or recess for a longer period of time 12 over lunch, perhaps, and receive a briefing in here via VTC 13 from key members of the Office of Military Commissions, North 14 and South, the presentation of -- again, it's going to be 15 organized around those 11 categories and other things the 16 commission may have.

We would then envision by exception, and -- that
would not be either an R.M.C. 803 open session or an
R.M.C. 806 session; that would be a briefing to participants
in something that has operational and administrative
dimensions. If the commission wishes us to file then the
brief as a filing, we would need to do it, again with -mindful of the sensitivities of some of the information. But

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

we're envisioning a briefing from the Office of Military
 Commissions and a presentation.

And then, by exception, if there's some relief being sought by a party, that's what your Rules of Court are for, that's what the R.M.C. 905/906 are for. They can ask for relief, and we can litigate it, and then you can have a properly adversarial posture with factual development and so forth.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir.

10 CP [BG MARTINS]: That's how we plan to set out doing this 11 and believe that it's a good process that you've laid out, and 12 it is consistent with those authorities that I laid out on the 13 front end on the responsibilities.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, sir. Yeah, I -- yeah.
It's a new process, so notionally that makes sense to me.
Let's see how it works.

17 And then to the extent that we can keep the public 18 apprised of where we are, you know, prepare it with an eye 19 towards there may be certain things that we might want to add 20 as appellate exhibits so that the public is just generally 21 aware of what it is, and maybe want to even make certain 22 portions of the briefing an appellate exhibit that becomes 23 available to the public as well.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, I'm taking a note of that. 1 2 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. All right. 3 Let's be in recess until 1415. 4 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1300, 26 September 2019.] 5 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1417, 6 26 September 2019.] 7 MJ [Col COHEN]: The military commission is called to 8 order. The parties are present. Mr. Mohammad is here. 9 Mr. Bin'Attash is here. Mr. Binalshibh is here. Mr. Ali is 10 And Mr. al Hawsawi is still voluntarily absent. here. 11 Ms. Radostitz. 12 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Sir, very briefly in response to the 13 government's comments. The bedrock of our judicial system is 14 a presumption of innocence. And so when Mr. Ryan stands up 15 and points to Mr. Mohammad and says that this is his fault, 16 he's undermining that presumption of innocence, and we can't 17 let it not be responded to. 18 Justice and the Eighth Amendment -- or the justice 19 system and the Eighth Amendment require there is a fair trial, 20 a reliable trial, and rule-of-law-based trial no matter what 21 the charges are, and they are not reduced simply because of 22 the horror of the crime that is charged or the severity of the 23 charges.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And the government did make choices in this
 situation. They chose to allow these trials on Guantanamo.
 They chose to engage in a systematic experimental program of
 torture. And they had other choices.

Zacarias Moussaoui was charged for the exact same
charges as the defendants in this room. He was arrested in
September 2001. He was charged capitally. He was arraigned,
tried, convicted, and sentenced by May of 2006, about four
years and eight months later.

10 In the Benghazi attacks against the U.S. Embassy in 11 Libya, where a U.S. ambassador was killed; it occurred on 12 9/11/2012. Ahmed Abu Khattala was arrested. He was held 13 incommunicado on the USS NEW YORK. He was interrogated for 14 intelligence purposes, but he was capitally charged, 15 arraigned, tried, convicted, and sentenced between his arrest 16 in 2014 and November of 2017, a little bit more than five 17 years.

18 And the only difference between those charges and the19 charges against these men is torture.

So I want to talk just very briefly in response to something General Martins said. He was talking about the briefing; and we looked at your order, and it does say that it needs to be a logistics brief, and obviously, if that's a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

PowerPoint instead of a traditional looking brief, we're not
 going to complain.

But it also says that it will be in writing with an oral presentation, and what General Martins described was something that was not on the record, and we would require -request that it be on the record. There's nothing in the rules that allows for an oral presentation that is not on the record.

9 And he also described that it would be a presentation
10 by someone who is not on the prosecution team. And our
11 position is that anybody who stands and makes a presentation
12 to the court who's not on either a defense team or a
13 prosecution team is a witness. And if they want to call a
14 witness to testify, we wouldn't object, but it should be
15 handled as if they were a witness.

And if there is a need for closure, then the
prosecution, the government, needs to go through the proper
procedures for seeking a closed hearing based on the national
security privilege invocation.

20 So that's our response just with regard to21 General Martins' comments.

MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
Mr. Connell.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, my parting comment is
 originally I argued at some length, but it didn't make it into
 the final order, which is the requirements other than lodging
 of the public; that NGOs are -- and the media are, the
 situation is poor and declining. Just -- the government may
 recall my photograph that I displayed just on the first day of
 the current situation of the media.

And the NGO numbers are declining because their
conditions are so poor. So maybe that gets wrapped into
lodging for the public that is in the order. But it's an
important issue. It's not explicit in the order, but it's one
that we will be asking the military commission to track.
Thank you.

14 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

15

Mr. Ruiz, sir.

16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you, Judge.

Judge, yet again this notion that is put forth to you that there will never be a trial date that we would accede to, I -- first of all, as far as I'm concerned, it's not a "we" thing, it's a Mr. al Hawsawi thing. And you will hear me harp on that over and over and over. And I stand to look you in the eye and tell you that's simply not true.

23 Not only Mr. al Hawsawi, but many of the counsel in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

our case look forward to a day where we can have a trial, and
 we can have an adjudication, and the process for
 accountability. It will serve everyone's interests,
 Mr. al Hawsawi's, the participants', and certainly this
 nation.

As you know, I've been here for ten years. That's a
7 long time. And quite frankly, I do not necessarily look
8 forward to another ten years. It is time that this process
9 moves forward to a resolution.

10 So I stand, once again, to be perfectly clear to you 11 and to this record that that is not the case, but this notion 12 that we should proceed without all of the proper elements and 13 the proper tools is not appropriate.

And simply the notion that this delay is of our own
making, that Guantanamo is of the defense's making or of our
clients' making is incorrect, and is misguided.

17 Certainly, the need for an accountability process
18 came from the events of 9/11. That accountability process, as
19 Ms. Radostitz indicated, didn't have to be in a remote,
20 heavily militarized base where we have to travel and where we
21 have to account for the kinds of logistics that we have to
22 account to.

23

It certainly could have been handled in a number of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 military bases. I know from your experience and my experience 2 as a military officer that there are bases that are well 3 equipped and would have been well equipped, not only from a 4 security standpoint but from other standpoints, to handle a 5 significant trial. That was a choice that was made, not to 6 have that in a facility on the continental United States 7 grounds that would have made a lot of these logistical 8 challenges not as difficult as, in fact, that they are here.

9 And if the prosecution wants to continue to wrap 10 themselves in this notion that they are, in fact, the 11 protectors and the vindicators of this justice, they only need 12 to look clearly and honestly in the mirror and realize that if 13 they really wanted to serve the interests of moving this 14 process forward, they would have started in 2008 by providing 15 all of the discovery to the defense. They would have started 16 and they would have finished.

17 It is a fact that today, as I stand before you, we
18 are still continuing to litigate, not throwaway discovery
19 issues, but very, very significant issues on some of the most
20 critical matters in this case. We continue to get discovery
21 to this date, yesterday. We continue to get discovery in this
22 case. That is a choice that belongs exclusively to the men on
23 that side of the aisle. That is a choice that they've made,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and Mr. Ryan indicated that they will defend that choice.

And it shouldn't be lost on this commission that what they have been defending is providing information, providing transparency, providing the discovery that ultimately we've started to see that ties the CIA to the FBI in the complicity of what was an illegal enterprise. There is nothing American about that. There is nothing virtuous about that. But it's a fact.

9 If you want to be honest about why we're still here
10 litigating at this late in the game, that's why we're here,
11 because they made a choice and have continued to make a choice
12 to defend, to degrade, to deny that information in a capital
13 prosecution.

And we have made a choice, as officers of the court, as officers of this nation, to defend the Constitution against enemies, foreign and domestic. And yes, on 9/11, the Towers fell, but thereafter choices were made, and those choices have fallen and fell values, principles and ideals, that are critical to the lifetime and to this nation, and they've continued to defend those choices.

So to the extent they want to continue to push this
process forward now and continue to deny and degrade
information, no, we will not be pushed into that kind of end.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Thank you.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir.

Are we ready to move on to 152? Mr. Harrington.
LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Thank you, Judge.

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome, sir.

6 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, this issue is one that 7 everybody in this courtroom wishes didn't exist; the person 8 who wishes that the most is my client. And you are relatively 9 new to this, so you'll -- although you've heard me make a few 10 comments at previous hearings and at this hearing. But this 11 is a long, longstanding problem, and it ties back to some of 12 the things that Mr. Connell said this morning.

13 When I came to this case in January of 2012, it 14 existed then. It had existed for the six years before that, 15 from 2006 to 2012, when my client was at Guantanamo before I 16 came to represent him. And its origin went back to before 17 that when he was held in the black sites, and he was the 18 subject of deliberate torture and deliberate use of various 19 techniques that, for him, continue to this day. He was part 20 of psychiatric experiments, and the use of noises, the use of 21 vibrations, and the use of disorienting conduct was an 22 essential part of that.

23

When he came to Camp VII, he experienced some of the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 same things, perhaps not, on occasion, to the same degree, but 2 some of the same things that he experienced in the four years 3 that he had been captive before that. And initially when we 4 were litigating this issue, it centered around use of noises 5 and use of vibrations and temperature extremes in his cell and 6 other places where he was. And recently, some of that 7 continues, but a new issue has come up, and that is he feels 8 needles and pin-pricking and scratches to the extent that they 9 actually cause pain for him.

Attached to our motion at Attachment B is a diagram
which we asked him to pinpoint on a body figure where he feels
these needles and pricks.

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: I saw that, sir, and I reviewed that. I14 think it was in two different colors with a legend.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Right. And you can see by that,
Judge, that he describes many, many parts of his body and that
it's not just like an isolated or simple part of -- one part
of the body.

But there's a cycle that goes on for him. And initially, he feels -- before it was noises and vibrations and now it's those other pains. And then he can't sleep, and he complains about what's being done to him; and he asks for relief, does not get relief. He gets more frustrated. He's

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

sleep deprived. He says that he is ignored or they - everybody denies that anybody's doing anything to him, and
 they dismiss his complaints.

And then he protests even more, and he ends up in
disciplinary proceedings -- or disciplinary status,

6 7 8 9

And we have brought this to the court's attention on a number of occasions, and I suspect that you're not completely familiar with the record on this, this particular series, but it even got to the point at -- once that he came into the court and testified before Judge Pohl.

And Judge Pohl entered an order, which was AE 152HH, hack in November of 2015, and it directed the people at the camp not to harass him and not to use noises and vibrations which, at that point in time, were the main complaint that he had. And we have represented to the court, represented to the camp, represented to the officials that run the facility that there have been multiple violations of Judge Pohl's order.

22 Mr. Binalshibh reports to us repeatedly that watch23 commanders and others not only ignore the order but also make

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 comments to him about the fact that they operate on SOPs, not2 orders. They have denied that they said that.

3 And he has been resistant to psychiatric help for 4 this problem, and there are reasons why he has been resistant to it. His first exposure to psychiatrist or psychiatrists 5 6 were James Mitchell and Bruce Jessen; that was his baptism 7 into the world of Western psychiatry. And all of us know what 8 that was. It was referred to this morning as an \$81 million 9 program that resulted in all of the things that you hear over 10 and over from us that resulted in the Senate Select Committee 11 But that was his initiation with American Report. 12 psychological treatment.

And when he first came to Guantanamo in 2006, there was a psychiatrist here, and he had a horrible experience with that psychiatrist. And you're going to hear more about that during the litigation of the statement that he gave to the FBI and the large amounts of psychotropic medication that were given to him.

And he believes that someone in Camp VII or multiple people -- not just necessarily the guards, but other entities that may have an interest in that -- are continuing this conduct toward him, and seeking help from the psychiatrist that's there does not seem like an option to him.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Now, he has taken certain medications. He took them
 not because he believes that there's something psychiatrically
 wrong with him, but he took them to see if he could get any
 kind of relief from them, and they have prescribed medications
 for him which have not worked. They made his -- they made his
 condition worse.

7 They were medications that were not prescribed based
8 upon a complete psychiatric diagnosis, but based upon the
9 psychiatrists saying, well, you've got these symptoms, let's
10 try this medication, let's try this medication. Not that it
11 was one that was prescribed for some diagnosed condition that
12 he had.

13 Now, when you made a comment to me the other day when14 I brought this up to you, you used a question about 706.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir.

16 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And that's the logical question
17 that a judge or anybody else who doesn't know anything about
18 this would ask. I have been involved in many, many, many,
19 many cases, not in military courts but ----

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right.

21 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: ---- in state and ----

22 MJ [Col COHEN]: No, obviously that would be ----

23 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: ---- federal courts, it's the same

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 thing.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right. Obviously, that would be any
3 judge's concern, is ----

4 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: You heard a statement from my
5 client. You say, well, this doesn't sound right, and then you
6 say to me, "Is this a 706 situation?"

7 And I said, you know, it's not. In my opinion -- and 8 we've been through this before. In my opinion, Mr. Binalshibh 9 is one of the clients that I've had over many, many years who 10 understands the proceedings, understands the role of the 11 lawyers and court, understands the charges against him, and is 12 able, when it is that he can fully participate with us, to 13 assist us and to assist himself in his defense.

14 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: It's not like I come to argue to
you that 706 is any kind of an appropriate remedy. But what
he's hoping for is that he can get some relief from anyplace,
the court or anyplace.

Now, earlier in this case, Judge, we had -- in
addition to Mr. Binalshibh testifying, we had Camp VII
commanders testify about the facility, about their responses
to his complaint and that.

23

And on one occasion, Mr. Trivett asked the Camp VII

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 commander to go and inspect the place himself. And he 2 testified, and he had no engineering background or electronic 3 background, anything like that, but he came in and told us 4 everything he did. He climbed up on the roof. He did all 5 sorts of things to try and find out -- try and find out 6 something. But we had testimony, Judge, that he said that 7 there was no capability within the camp to do anything like 8 Mr. Binalshibh was complaining about.

9 And you've heard me make reference earlier in the 10 week to Mr. Trivett and I trying to work out a stipulation for 11 a declaration that a different witness would give to the court 12 which contradicts that, which is important for the court to 13 consider. You can't now because you don't have it, but in 14 terms of some of the relief that we ask for -- but to impress 15 upon the court that this is not just something that we are 16 making up out of whole cloth.

MJ [Col COHEN]: So where are we at on that? Do you all
think -- I mean, obviously, is that something that I will
eventually be able to get, some kind of -- is that
declaration, whether it's classified or not, or ----

21 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Mr. Trivett and I are trying to
22 work on having a stipulation to you, Judge. It will not be a
23 long stipulation, but it has certain classification

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ramifications that ----

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

3 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: ---- he has to go through. And
4 before we submit it for that, he and I have to agree on
5 exactly what the language of it will be.

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Makes sense. Thank you, sir.

7 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: But we have been trying to work8 that through.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: So, Judge, I referred a few minutes ago to a 706, and you say to yourself, well, here's a lawyer standing in front of me saying that somebody's doing something to his client which doesn't sound like something that's rational or normal. I understand that. Mr. Binalshibh knows that, that my coming to you and saying these things is hard for you to accept and hard for you to believe.

17 That's in part why we attached to our papers a recent18 information and a link to a recent *60 Minutes* program.

19 MJ [Col COHEN]: I read that as well, sir.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And it shows that there were people
 who were rational, intelligent, hardworking people, either
 government officials or business people, who were subjected to
 outside -- something that they can't identify, but that had

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

horrible, horrible ramifications for them, including permanent
 disability for some people.

3 And we had an instance here in Havana, at the embassy4 here in Havana ----

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: I'm familiar with that.

6 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: ---- where the same kind of
7 allegations was. And the Department of State for the United
8 States has investigated this and found validity, at least to
9 one of them.

10 So while it seems like it's farfetched or something 11 like that, what was science fiction when I was a young boy is 12 now not science fiction in terms of the capabilities of people 13 to do things to each other. And we know it from stories about 14 even individual people with the uses of computers, and we know 15 that there are many stories about the research that's been 16 done in the Army and other places for all sorts of 17 nonlethal-type -- type things.

So that what I'm saying to the court is I don't come here as somebody who's just cutting this out of whole cloth or somebody who is delusional myself, although there are many of my friends who probably do think I am.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Definitely don't take it that way, sir.
LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Some on this side of the ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 But, Judge, so I'm not here asking you for relief 2 under 706. I don't think something -- and I know that 706 can 3 come from me, it can come from the prosecution, it can come 4 from -- and it can come from you sua sponte. I don't think 5 that we're here, and I don't think that. 6 I also, though, Judge, don't want to be in a position 7 where we get to a 706 ----8 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. 9 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: ---- because this goes on so long 10 that my client becomes totally disabled in that way. I'm 11 trying to prevent that. And he suffers from this daily. 12 Now, you say to yourself -- I know you're saying to 13 yourself, well, what can I do about this, right? Which is 14 obviously ----15 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's -- that is definitely a question 16 that I would ask, is what relief could I grant if the facts 17 warranted it? 18 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Right. And you have limited powers 19 here, and Judge Pohl recognized that you have limited powers, 20 and he always discussed with us, and I'm sure you're the same 21 way, that: "I don't control the camp. I don't want to run 22 the camp, and I don't want to run a detention facility. 23 That's not my job. I run the courtroom."

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

But you do have authority when something outside of
 the courtroom affects what's inside the courtroom.

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: I agree.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And in this situation, we have gone
on with this for years and years. I cannot tell you, Judge,
the amount of time that I and members of my team have spent
dealing with this particular issue, in all different ways, in
researching and doing other things, but also in terms of our
trying to assist our client to get through this.

Now, I don't mean to imply to you in any way that he
comes whining and complaining all the time. He tries to
suppress this. We meet with him. We ask him how it's going.
He says I've got it now, but -- I don't care. He really does
his best to work through this.

But we see the effects on him, and it affects us in terms, not only of the time that's involved for us, but also in terms of the emotional toil that it takes on the people on my team who say we can't help this man. We can't help this man. How do we keep doing our jobs and working on it when we spend all of this time trying to help this man and we're unable to do it?

But getting back, Judge, to what it is that you could
do, I think the first thing is that -- and I think my client

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

needs to hear this, that -- that you acknowledge Judge Pohl's
 order, and it's a court order and it's still in effect, and
 that you will take -- you will take it seriously. Now you
 have limited ----

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: I can assure you of that now. I have 6 actually read the order in anticipation of the argument. It 7 is a valid order. It has the same force and effect that it 8 did at the time it was issued, and any violations of that 9 order will not be tolerated by me either.

10 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And we understand that you have 11 limited contempt proceedings. And the <u>Nashiri</u> case that went 12 through dealt with all sorts of issues about contempt, and the 13 circuit court made it clear the limited powers that the 14 commission has with respect to contempt.

But one thing that you do have is the authority to stop these proceedings, at least for Mr. Binalshibh, until some -- something happens to make it so that he can get effective assistance of counsel and so that his right to counsel is not interfered with.

And, Judge, because of what I said about the changing in the circumstances here, we're asking you to expand Judge Pohl's order to include any form of harassment, such as anything that affects his body, whether it's noises,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 vibrations, itches, pins and needles, whatever it is, to make2 sure that everybody knows what that is.

3 And, Judge, we've asked you for some other relief 4 here in terms of experts being able to examine the camp and to 5 test and to examine my client to test him for certain things. 6 We did not put any specific persons in there with respect to 7 the order. If the court is inclined to grant us any kind of 8 relief like that, we will present credentialed people that can 9 do that. We understand there are enormous problems here if 10 you decide to do that with respect to security and the camps 11 and all of the rest of that, and we're willing to work with 12 whatever kind of orders that the court -- that the court may 13 need for that.

Judge, and with respect to the last points, I would say that you have to wait until you get this other declaration that we give because at least that opens the door for you to say there is something different there than what was represented to Judge Pohl before, and that is a change of circumstances.

And, Judge, another thing with respect to today:
We're going to be back here in four weeks anyway, and so you
will -- this issue will not be completely resolved within the
four weeks. Whether you do anything or not, it will continue,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and maybe it will go away. That would be the best thing in2 the world.

3 But -- but assuming that it continues, you are going 4 to need a status update. You're going to have to address this 5 new declaration and that, so that that helps my client to some 6 extent to know that this issue is coming back to you and that 7 you are watching it and that you've acknowledged that you 8 believe that Judge Pohl's order is valid and in effect, and 9 that -- therefore, at that point in time, we hopefully will be 10 in a better position to articulate this and move forward on 11 the other -- the other requests that we have.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
 Does the government wish to be heard?
 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Good afternoon, Mr. Trivett.

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So just briefly, I'm asking the commission to please review all of the testimony and all of the filings on this issue. We had the camp commander testify in 2016. Mr. Binalshibh testified in 2016. We have other various declarations on the issue.

It can't be that we stop the commission every time he
makes this complaint at this point. We've litigated it
extensively. The judge found in 152 that there was no

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

evidence of anyone in the U.S. Government intentionally
 harassing Mr. Binalshibh in any way. That ruling should stay
 in place. It should not be reconsidered. There is nothing
 new. It can't be the new fact that he's feeling something
 different on his body that causes us to have to spend our
 precious time and resources litigating this issue.

7 Mr. Harrington indicated that he fully believed this
8 was not a 706 issue; that, in his opinion, Mr. Binalshibh
9 understands the nature of the proceedings against him and the
10 charges against him. The government agrees 100 percent with
11 that, unequivocally.

12 A large part of the cross-examination -- and which is 13 why I'm trying to call the commission's attention to it -- we 14 asked some of the questions that would have been asked by a 15 706 board had Mr. Binalshibh ever cooperated with one. There 16 was one ordered in 2008; he did not cooperate with it because 17 he could not cooperate. And because of a previous diagnosis 18 that he had in his medical record, the 706 board was 19 inconclusive because they didn't have the opportunity to speak 20 to him. It underscores the importance of having someone 21 actually speak to someone before making diagnoses of any 22 mental disorders.

23

We also asked for one sua sponte on this issue

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

earlier in the proceedings, to which he again refused to
 cooperate.

3 There's no reason to believe if another 706 board was 4 ordered by Your Honor that he would cooperate or that the 5 results would be any different because of the nature of the 6 diagnosis. He had been previously diagnosed with delusional 7 disorder persecutory type earlier in his records. Bv all 8 indications, these things are consistent with that diagnosis. 9 That doesn't make him incompetent to stand trial if, in fact, 10 that is the correct diagnosis.

We have met extensively, both myself and
Mr. Harrington, with both the SMO and the psych, and there's a
couple of issues I wanted to bring to your attention.

While it is true that he was given and did agree to take certain psychotropic medications to see if this would help, according to the psych, they had never got up to the dosage that would be necessary before he started having side effects, and so they've thus discontinued it.

19 It would not be accurate -- and I'm just proffering 20 this at this point, but if the psych were to be called to 21 testify, he would not say that he was completely unresponsive 22 to the medication, simply that it didn't get up to the level 23 that it needed to before he suffered side effects, so they

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 took him off of it.

He would also say that there's another drug within
the same family of drugs that he would like the opportunity to
try if Mr. Binalshibh wanted to do that, and my understanding
is Mr. Binalshibh is now refusing to do that.

6 He has also not sat down with the psych for a full, 7 comprehensive interview like the psych would want to do. So 8 the psych is not at this point in time diagnosing him with 9 delusional disorder persecutory type. Right now, his medical 10 records do not indicate that he has that diagnosis, but his 11 previous records did. And so there's always notations of 12 history of diagnosis of delusional disorder. The main reason 13 the psych cannot do that is because Mr. Binalshibh will not 14 sit down with him for a full conversation. So that's where 15 we're at from the medical standpoint.

16 The government continues, and we certainly raise it 17 every time with someone within the command, that there is no 18 one in the U.S. government who is intentionally harassing 19 Mr. Binalshibh with noises or vibrations or pin pricks or 20 anything that he may be complaining of. That's been our 21 position all along, although some of the symptoms that he's 22 alleging may have changed.

23

It would be one of the widest conspiracies in the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

U.S. Government if somehow, through the cycle of every six
 months for the last 13 years that they've been here, they were
 able to convince a certain cadre of guards to keep this secret
 and to target only Mr. Binalshibh.

5 And I know that Mr. Binalshibh's filing indicated the sonic attacks in Havana. We argued that -- that is not a new 6 7 fact. We argued that last time I was up here before one of 8 the other judges. And we keep pointing out the fact that in 9 those reports various different people were complaining of 10 this issue. Here, as far as we know, it's only 11 Mr. Binalshibh. So only in his mind is he being intentionally 12 targeted for this continual harassment for the last 13 years.

13 So that's the government's position. It hasn't 14 changed. You could call all the witnesses in the world, 15 that's not going to change. We don't think that this 16 declaration that's coming -- and we're happy to enter into it 17 factually to get evidence before the commission, but we think 18 it's consistent with previous discovery we've provided and 19 that it's not a new fact, certainly to the government, and 20 shouldn't be a new fact to the defense.

So to address specifically the relief they're
requesting, they seem to be requesting expert assistance. And
we haven't had to deal too much in the interaction with the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

commission at this point for 703 experts, but we took a very
 generous view of what notice was required from the defense to
 us before they went to the convening authority.

And so almost every expert in this case through the defense has gone through an ex parte process to the convening authority with just de minimis notice to the prosecution. And we took that position, and we stand by that position. But with that said, we're often not involved in this process.

9 So in the typical court-martial process where the 10 convening authority is asking us for whether or not these 11 experts are necessary or not, that doesn't happen 12 traditionally. But what I will tell you is I'd be surprised 13 if he doesn't have a mental health expert on his team. We 14 certainly wouldn't oppose that. But again, I don't know what 15 it is that they have. So we don't oppose that piece of it to 16 the extent our input is even necessary for that issue.

17 That said, we do oppose this idea that we're going to
18 send experts -- and this is not a new requested relief, but
19 their own defense requested experts to investigate Camp VII.
20 So, you know, the very location of Camp VII is classified.
21 It's not appropriate to be sending nongovernment experts there
22 to investigate a fact that we believe we have already proven
23 in the negative and which the judge has found no evidence of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

us, meaning the United States, intentionally harassing
 Mr. Binalshibh in any way.

So in regard to expanding the order, to the extent the order survives, which it does under 152, this is the conundrum, and I think Mr. Harrington rightly addressed this with you when he said, "What is it that you can do, Judge?" In my humble opinion at this point, the answer to that guestion is, "Only make it worse."

9 And so expanding the order to the extent it survives
10 to now include the specific things that he's saying only gives
11 some type of merit to the actual request in Mr. Binalshibh's
12 mind. It becomes a point of contention for him all the time
13 with the guards.

14 And the SOPs are adjusted as necessary to incorporate 15 any judicial orders. It wouldn't be inaccurate for a guard to 16 say I follow the SOPs, but the SOPs are the lawful SOPs. 17 They're never in contradiction to any court order that may 18 have happened. I do remember at some point the order was 19 supposed to be hanging outside of his cell, and it got taken 20 down at some point. And as soon as we heard that, we agreed 21 it should be put back up.

So to the extent the commission feels like it needsto do anything and expand the language of that order to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

appease Mr. Binalshibh, we're not doing it anyway, so it's not
going to harm the government, but it may exasperate the
problem.

And I think I described this to one of the other
military judges. We've argued this so often, Judge, that I
honestly do not recall whether it was Judge Parrella or
Judge Pohl because it went before multiple arguments in front
of, I think, both of them.

9 But in many ways, we looked at this as the "don't 10 beat your wife" kind of order. It implies that we're doing 11 it, when we're ordered to stop doing it. And when I say "we," 12 I mean, big USG, and so that's a concern. But to the extent 13 that there is still one now in place and that the judge didn't 14 disturb that, we wouldn't oppose the expansion of it, although 15 I don't think it's going to help; and quite frankly, I think 16 it's going to hurt. But I just want to show the good faith of 17 the government on this issue, that we're not doing it, and it 18 doesn't matter how wide you make it, because we're not doing 19 it anyway.

So with that, if you have any other questions.
 MJ [Col COHEN]: No, sir. I understand. Thank you.
 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thank you.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: How long -- just -- I apologize. I do

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 have one question.

2 It was more of the classification review. How long3 do you think ----

4 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So there's some facts in there we have
5 to verify, so there's a verification aspect to this as well.
6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: And then it's going to be whether or
8 not it's in -- whether or not it comes from a former training
9 camp commander or we just -- there's ways to get the facts
10 that ----

11 MJ [Col COHEN]: Correct.

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- the defense counsel want in front of you, and we don't oppose getting those facts in front of you. We've just got to make sure that they are the facts and what the classification is.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So we sent at least the proposed
declaration back through the camp this morning. They were
going to try to verify some of the facts, and we'll take it
from there.

I don't anticipate it. I don't know that we'll file
it before we adjourn tomorrow, but ----

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's fine.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- I think by next week, we can 2 definitely have something for you. 3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Sure. Thank you very much. 4 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thank you. 5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Harrington, final thoughts? LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, I agree with Mr. Trivett 6 7 that this is an -- and I said that in all of the remarks that 8 I made, that this is a continuing problem. The newness here 9 is the information that we are submitting in this -- in this 10 declaration.

11 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: But just a couple comments just
because Mr. Trivett and I have some differences with respect
to the facts.

He and I both met with the SMO and the psych on several occasions, and with respect to the medication that he took, Mr. -- they reported that Mr. Binalshibh said he -- I agree, he never got to the full dosage, but that he was feeling worse from taking it, and so their recommend -- their decision was that they would stop giving it to him because not only was it not helping, but it was making things worse.

So Mr. Trivett is right that we've never gotten tosee whether that medication would work, but you're not going

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 to go that way if you're having a negative problem with it.

2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Understand, sir.

3 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And, Judge, this alleged diagnosis
4 that Mr. Binalshibh had with the delusional disorder, that was
5 never a proper diagnosis, and the psych here acknowledges that
6 now.

7 He has never been given a full psychiatric
8 examination. He came from the black sites and no one -- no
9 one, no psychiatrist since 2006 has asked him one question
10 about what happened to him in the four years before he came
11 here. They don't -- they've been ordered. They don't want to
12 go there.

13 You can't do a psychiatric diagnosis without knowing 14 the history of a person, especially the four years you came, 15 and what happened to him in those four years, which could 16 explain a lot of and influence it. So there's -- there's a 17 report from an early psychiatrist saying a possible delusional 18 disorder, and now it says in every other report, history of 19 this. There's no history of it because it was never -- it was 20 never properly done.

Judge, with respect to the largest conspiracy,
Mr. Binalshibh complains to the guards, complains to the watch
commander, complains to the camp commander. We're not

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

limiting this to them. That's who he speaks to because that's
 who's there. And we're representing to the court that there
 may be other equities that could be involved in this.

Judge, the -- Mr. Trivett said that this may cause -if you modify this order or expand this order, it may cause further problems. That's not the remedy for this. If he's saying to you that it's a risk to you that it may cause more, he's basically acknowledging. They say that nothing is happening, they're not doing anything, so they really don't have any stake here in terms of modifying this order.

It's addressing the particular problem that is in front of you right now, and if you have any ability to modify that or to alleviate that, then you should take advantage of it, where they're not opposing it because they say that nothing is happening.

And I'm not saying that Mr. Trivett is necessarily not telling you what he fully believes to be the truth. What I'm saying to you is we don't know. We don't know who it is that could be doing this to Mr. Binalshibh. And again, I sound like a conspiracy theorist myself when I say that, but this stuff is real, Judge.

We've been through this with what -- with all that
you have heard now, in the short time that you've been there,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 about what was said to us about the FBI involvement with the 2 CIA, with all sorts of other things that have happened in this 3 case, and the government has been in here time after time 4 making representations to the court. They're not making 5 representations because they're coming in here to mislead the 6 They're making representations based upon what they court. 7 have been told. And this is another one of those situations. 8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.

9 Mr. Sowards or Ms. Radostitz, either one, with 10 respect to 639U, if I was to grant the request for the 11 ex parte presentation or hearing in lieu of ex parte filings, 12 would -- how long were you anticipating that would last? 13 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: I would say probably 30 minutes to a 14 half hour -- or 30 to 45 minutes, depending on how many 15 questions you might have, and that would encompass all of the 16 Most of our things are pretty short, which is why we teams. 17 thought it would be more efficient to do it in -- orally. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. And I understand why you would 19 want to do the presentation of this privileged information 20 ex parte. I think that's why it's been allowed in the past. 21 What has been the experience -- this is just me

22 asking conceptually. When you all make ex parte filings or
23 have made them in the past, what is the understanding with

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 respect to -- if I issue a ruling, then, that relies on those2 facts, how has that been handled by other judges?

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: It's also filed ex parte, Your Honor, and then it is served just on the parties that were participating in it. So when -- the more common thing would be a motion to compel an expert appointment by Mr. Mohammad's team. So then everyone would get notice that you issued an ex parte order; we would actually get the order.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: What about when it affects the equities10 of the prosecution or other teams?

11 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Ms. Bormann apparently has more12 experience on that one.

MJ [Col COHEN]: That will be fine. Yeah, I'm just trying
to figure out how this works because this particular issue -because it's in the 639 series, so that's why I just want to
make sure I understand how this would conceptually work.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: In 2015, we had this exact situation
arise, in fact, in -- when Mr. Bin'Attash requested what his
pro se rights were, and so Judge Pohl held a couple of
ex parte presentations with defense because the matter
involved attorney-client privileged material, and then he
issued orders based upon findings that he made ex parte.
So, you know, he listened to the evidence and made

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	particular findings. We received those findings, and then he
2	issued an order to all of the parties based upon those
3	findings combined with, you know, the findings that were made
4	otherwise.
5	Does that make sense?
6	MJ [Col COHEN]: It does, conceptually.
7	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Protecting the material involved, but
8	realizing that it had to be taken into consideration, because
9	the court in making those determinations needed that
10	information presented.
11	MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. Thank you.
12	Would this be just with counsel?
13	ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Yes, Your Honor. Although, we
14	would, of course, want it to be recorded.
15	MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. No, yeah, that would always
16	be the case.
17	ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Sure.
18	MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.
19	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir?
20	MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Connell.
21	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: This may be completely clear, but I
22	just want the record to reflect that Mr. al Baluchi's team
23	will not be participating.
	UNGEFICIAL / UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes. Yes. I understand. You're 2 definitely not on this particular filing. 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, if I may? 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Before -- while you're considering the 6 issue, I had a meeting with Mr. Swann right before the break 7 regarding alternatives to client meeting spaces. If I can 8 have a moment to confer with Mr. Swann, maybe we can resolve 9 that issue. 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you. 11 [Counsel conferred.] 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Ms. Bormann? 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes. 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: If you could just close the loop for me 15 on that. Thank you. 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Mr. Swann has indicated that he 17 doesn't know yet where we would meet on the dates we need to 18 meet, which would be at this point Saturday and Monday, but 19 he's working it. And he promises that it will be a suitable 20 place, whatever that means. 21 I would ask that it be a place where we can meet 22 without being overheard, and where we have a place where we 23 can spread our papers and do work together, and where we can

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 communicate freely. That cannot happen in the back pod2 situation.

3 So short of that, we had no answers. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Which -- and I expressed to Mr. Swann 6 the following: That I'd like to know before court ends today 7 because if we need commission intervention, I'd like to be 8 able to ask you to intervene because this is something that is 9 necessary and that we've set aside time for. 10 So I think -- I'm hoping to take Mr. Swann at his 11 word, and I've not been told the place yet. 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 13 Mr. Swann. 14 TC [MR. SWANN]: Your Honor, it will be suitable, it will 15 accommodate her needs, and she can take me at my word. 16 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir. All right. 17 Mr. Connell. 18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Somewhat related to that issue, one of 19 the proposed solutions for tomorrow's visitation is if --20 assuming that the military commission is not in session and 21 that all other parties agree, whether the military commission 22 would consent to the use of the courtroom. I'm not asking you 23 to order it or anything like that, I'm just checking one box

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 of the numerous people who would have to agree to that2 proposal.

3 Would that be amenable to the military commission? 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: I do not plan to be here tomorrow in the 5 courtroom, so I'll say that. So if -- but, like I said, I'll 6 let you work the rest of that with the government. If you 7 just needed me to say that I won't be here in the room, I will 8 make sure that I clear out my stuff and that I will not be 9 here. But whether or not you can use it, I'll let the 10 government make that decision.

11 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Right. But you have no objection,12 sir?

MJ [Col COHEN]: I have no personal objection. But as you
indicated, that's not an order. I'm just saying that I have
no personal objection, if that's what you do.

16 Mr. Swann.

17 TC [MR. SWANN]: I'll accommodate what needs to be done.
18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. I'll
19 take you at your word. All right.

All right. There is precedent for the request, AE
380, 3-8-0, BB is an example of where this request has been
done in the past. I will grant 639U. If the parties -- can
you meet back with me here around 1700 this evening?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	That's affirmative response. Okay. I'll be here
2	with the court reporters at 1700 for an ex parte hearing to
3	discuss privileged information.
4	All right. We're in recess.
5	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1514, 26 September 2019.]
6	[END OF PAGE]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21 22	
22 23	
23	

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT