1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0902,

2 25 September 2019.]

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: The military commission is called to
4 order. The parties are present. All of the accused except
5 Mr. Hawsawi are here.

6 Trial Counsel, it appears to me that all of the7 counsel are the same; is that correct?

8 CP [BG MARTINS]: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes, that's
 9 correct. Major Dykstra had been out on commission business
 10 yesterday afternoon. He's returned.

**11** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, sir,

**12** General Martins. I appreciate it. Good morning.

Mr. Sowards, good morning. It appears that all of
your counsel are here this morning as well as your client,
Mr. Mohammad.

**16** LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: That is correct, Your Honor.

**17** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

18 Mr. Nevin.

**19** CDC [MR. NEVIN]: Sorry to interrupt, Your Honor.

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: No problem.

21 CDC [MR. NEVIN]: I wanted just to advise the military
22 commission that a commission- -- convening authority-, rather,
23 appointed consultant is with us today who has all the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

appropriate clearances. She is not -- she is potentially a
 witness on the motion to suppress, but not with respect to any
 of the testimony that's being presented today, and she's here
 pursuant to Military Commission Rule of Evidence 615(c) and
 (d), a convening authority-approved consultant. I just wanted
 to put that on the record for the ----

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. I appreciate the8 heads-up.

9 Ms. Bormann, good morning. It looks -- well,
10 Captain Peer is probably not here, but I see his jacket, so
11 I'm expecting that he will be back at some time.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Captain Peer is away doing other
commission-related business. We are going to match up
Captain Peer and his jacket before he walks in here.

**15** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right.

16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I am here, as is Mr. Bin'Attash and17 Mr. Montross.

**18** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

**19** Good morning, Mr. Harrington.

20 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Good morning, Judge. We are the21 same today, and Mr. Binalshibh is here.

22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Excellent. Thank you, sir. I appreciate23 it.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Mr. Connell, good morning.
2	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Good morning, sir. Mr. al Baluchi and
3	all counsel are present.
4	MJ [Col COHEN]: Excellent.
5	Mr. Ruiz, good morning.
6	LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Good morning, Judge. Colonel Williams is
7	back with us today. Otherwise the same.
8	MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
9	All right. Mr. Swann, will you be doing the
10	testimony?
11	I recognize the Major as the same major who testified
12	yesterday. She is still under oath.
13	WIT: Thank you, sir.
14	MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome.
15	MAJOR, U.S. ARMY, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
16	was reminded of her oath, and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. SWANN]:
19	Q. Major, did you have occasion to advise Mr. al Hawsawi
20	of his right to attend this morning's proceeding?
21	A. I did.
22	Q. Did you do it in English or in Arabic?
23	A. English.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Do you have Appellate Exhibit 660HH in front of you? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Q. And is that his signature that appears on the second 4 page of the document? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Do you have any question about the voluntariness of 7 his waiver? 8 Α. I do not. 9 TC [MR. SWANN]: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you. 11 Mr. Ruiz, would you please remind me -- I remember we 12 talked about this a couple of months ago -- with respect to --13 should it be al Hawsawi when I refer to him or just Hawsawi? 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I would say Mr. al Hawsawi, Judge. 15 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. I just wanted to 16 make sure I was pronouncing his name correctly. 17 Mr. Ruiz, have you had the opportunity to review 18 what's been marked as Appellate Exhibit 660HH? 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I have. 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: Do you have any questions of the witness? 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I don't. 22 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 23 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [Col COHEN]: I find that Mr. al Hawsawi has knowingly
and voluntarily waived his right to be here at today's
proceedings.

I will temporarily excuse you until a future sessionif you testify again. Thank you.

**6** WIT: Thank you, sir.

7 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the courtroom.]
8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Handing Appellate Exhibit 660HH to the
9 court reporter.

10 Standing objection is noted with respect to identity.
11 All right. Trial Counsel, are you ready to call your

12 witness?

13 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I have one ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: One second, Mr. Connell. Mr. Connell, I
should just start -- I should always ask, "Hey, do you have
something you want to say?"

**17** Good morning.

18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Good morning, sir. One housekeeping
19 matter, which is that yesterday, during Judge DeLury's
20 testimony, there was mention of two CSRT exhibits which were
21 referred to as R-6 and R-7.

**22** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

23 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The -- they have over the years been

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the subject of several discovery requests by us, and this --2 just to basically close the loop on this, this morning I am 3 moving to compel the production of those two exhibits. I am 4 authorized by the government to state that they are invoking 5 national security privilege over those two exhibits and will 6 not produce them.

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

**8** TC [MR. RYAN]: That's correct, sir.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Mr. Connell, is there a
10 specific AE series I can look at with respect to the rationale
11 for those two exhibits? If you need some time to think about
12 it, you may have it. I'm -- just want to make sure, if
13 there's something in writing I can go back and read, I wanted
14 to do so.

15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I don't think there is, sir, but I'd
16 be happy to file a short history of the issue ----

**17** MJ [Col COHEN]: If you would, that would assist me.

**18** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- the position that it has.

MJ [Col COHEN]: That would be wonderful. Like I said,
just enough that I understand the context of why you want
them, et cetera.

22 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you. 2 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Anything else? 3 Negative response. 4 Trial Counsel, you may call the witness. 5 CP [BG MARTINS]: Sergeant, please inform the witness to 6 come into the courtroom. 7 Sir, please proceed to the witness stand, remain 8 standing, raise your right hand for the oath. 9 D.J. FIFE, civilian, was called as a witness for the 10 prosecution, was sworn, and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 Questions by the Chief Prosecutor [BG MARTINS]: 13 Q. Could you please state your full name and spell it 14 for the record? 15 Α. My name is D.J. Fife. My last name is spelled 16 F-I-F-E. 17 Q. Could you please state your state of residence. I live in the state of Virginia. 18 Α. 19 Q. And what is your current occupation? 20 Α. I'm a physical scientist, forensic examiner. 21 CP [BG MARTINS]: Thank you. Your witness. 22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Ryan, would you please just go over 23 some general rules with respect to interpreters, those kinds

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** of things, so the witness is aware.

2 TC [MR. RYAN]: Yes, sir.

3 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. RYAN]:

4 Q. Good morning, Mr. Fife.

**5** A. Good morning.

Q. Taking His Honor's instruction, I just want you to
7 know that -- a few things. First of all, very large
8 courtroom, sound doesn't always carry well; although the
9 microphones are extremely good, they're not so good if you're
10 not speaking directly into them.

**11** A. Okay.

12 Q. So I'll ask you to try and keep that in mind, also to13 keep your voice up as much as possible.

14 Secondly, the words you will be saying today, the 15 testimony you will be giving, will be taken down for purposes 16 of creating a record by our stellar court reporting system. 17 But also at the same time, although you may not see them, your 18 testimony will be translated for purposes of the accused. And 19 for their purposes, I would ask that you speak clearly and 20 slowly as well.

To the extent that at some point you speak -- you are
moving too quickly, you may see a signal on the -- a small
screen that might -- I think is in front of you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Actually, he doesn't have one, Counsel. 2 So you and I may get one, so we'll just have to inform him. 3 Q. If we get a signal -- either His Honor or me get a 4 signal that you're going too guickly, we'll ask you to slow 5 down. 6 Α. Okay. 7 Q. Understood, sir? 8 Α. Yes. 9 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, Mr. Ryan. 10 CDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Excuse me, Your Honor. I beg your 11 pardon, Mr. Ryan. 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, Mr. Sowards. 13 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Is there any way to adjust the 14 position of the monitor? We are actually from this end unable 15 to see Mr. Fife. 16 WIT: Is it possible to make this chair ----17 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: If it's not possible, then I guess 18 we'll have to ----19 WIT: Is that better? 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah, Mr. Fife, if you will pull -- Mr. 21 Fife, if you will just pull your mic just a little bit more to 22 your left. There we go. And then I think that's about as 23 much as we can do unfortunately.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir. And is that
2	comfortable for the witness? I don't want to
3	WIT: Yes.
4	LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Okay. Thank you, sir.
5	MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome.
6	TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, may I proceed?
7	MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
9	Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. RYAN]:
10	Q. Sir, you stated that your name is D.J. Fife; am I
11	correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And by whom are you employed?
14	A. I work at the Federal Bureau of Investigation at the
15	laboratory.
16	Q. Could you pull the microphone just a little bit
17	closer.
18	A. Closer?
19	Q. A little closer to you. Is that possible?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And for how long have you been with the FBI?
22	A. Over 15 years.
23	Q. Has it always been in that capacity?

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes, always as a latent fingerprint examiner.

2 Q. Had you done that field of work prior to the FBI?3 A. No.

4 Q. Sir, could you give us your educational background,5 please?

A. I have a Bachelor's of Science in biology with a
7 minor in chemistry from Utah State University in Logan, Utah,
8 and I have master's degree in molecular microbiology from the
9 University of Massachusetts in Boston.

10 Q. And what training and experience do you have in the11 area of fingerprint examination and analysis?

A. So I completed a two-year training program at the laboratory in Quantico where I learned all aspects of the latent print examiner's job, which is I receive items of evidence and I process those items of evidence. I can then compare any latent prints that were detected. I can conduct automated searches. I then compare a final report, and I can testify to those findings in court.

Q. And does the Federal Bureau of Investigation rely
upon your work in the conduct of its official law enforcement
duties?

22 A. Yes.

**23** Q. Within the FBI Laboratory, how much of your work is

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 spent on fingerprint examination and analysis? 2 Α. My job is 100 percent fingerprint examination and 3 analysis. 4 And, sir, have you ever had the occasion to testify Q. 5 in any court of law as an expert in the field of fingerprint 6 examination and analysis? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. How many times, sir? 9 Α. Maybe seven or eight times. 10 Q. And in those situations, were you qualified as an 11 expert in the field? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And were you allowed to testify as an expert and give Q. 14 your expert opinions? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Could you tell us which particular courts that you Q. 17 testified in and were accepted as an expert witness? 18 Α. I've testified in state, federal, and also military 19 commissions. 20 Q. And which military commission case did you testify 21 in? 22 The al Bahlul case. Α. 23 Q. And in terms of federal cases, could you draw our

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 attention to any specific and significant cases in which you
2 provided testimony?

A. I testified in the Chelsea bombing in New York City,
4 the al Rahimi case. I testified in the Boston Marathon
5 bombing.

6 Q. The Chelsea bombing case would have been the Southern7 District of New York?

**8** A. Yes.

9 Q. And the Boston Marathon bombing case would have been10 in the District of Massachusetts?

**11** A. Yes.

12 Q. And was the defendant's name in that case Tsarnaev?13 A. Yes.

14 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I ask for permission to15 question this witness as an expert in the field.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Any objection to his qualifications as an17 expert in the field of latent fingerprint analysis?

**18** ADC [Capt ANDREU]: No objection.

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right, any others?

**20** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, not at this time.

**21** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. I will so recognize him.

**22** TC [MR. RYAN]: Thank you, sir.

23 MJ [Col COHEN]: You're welcome.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Q. Mr. Fife, a couple of preliminary questions. First,
what is the term a "known fingerprint"?

3 So a known fingerprint generally refers to a Α. 4 fingerprint card. It's the intentional recording of the 5 friction ridge arrangements on the fingers on the palmar side 6 of the hand and also on the soles of the feet. There's a 7 special type of skin called friction ridge skin. It's -- the 8 recording of these impressions is usually referred to as a 9 known print, and that is typically achieved using black 10 printer's ink and rolling the finger on a standard white card. 11 Give us some -- give us an idea of the situations in Q.

12 which a known fingerprint or prints are collected from an 13 individual. When does that happen?

A. The prints are collected for all sorts of reasons.
They might be collected for security clearances for jobs.
Sometimes they're collected for financial transactions.
They're collected if you're arrested. They can be collected for testimony -- for trial purposes.

Q. Is it possible or does it happen that a person's
known fingerprints may be taken more than once in a lifetime?
A. Yes.

Q. What is a -- what does the term "latent fingerprint"mean?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

A. So a latent fingerprint is a general term that
encompasses any type of unintentional reproduction of the
patterns of the friction ridges on the skin. So this could
be -- like anytime you touch a surface, there's the
possibility of you leaving behind sweat from your fingers, and
that will represent the pattern of the ridges.

7 A latent print usually indicates that you need some
8 sort of forensic light source or chemicals in order to view
9 that impression.

10 Q. And is this -- the things we just have been talking11 about, is this what you do as your job?

12 A. Yes. The main thing that I do is examine and process13 items of evidence to try and detect latent fingerprints.

Q. And when a latent fingerprint is, in fact, found, is15 it compared against known fingerprints?

A. So when a latent fingerprint is detected on an item,
17 the first thing that happens is that it's photographed,
18 because all of our comparisons are done from a photograph
19 directly next to a known card.

So once the fingerprint is photographed, then an
analysis will take place to determine whether or not there's
enough information present in the print that you could
reliably conduct a comparison and come to a conclusion.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Once you've decided that the print is of sufficient 2 quality and quantity of information to move on to the 3 comparison phase, then yes, those prints can be compared to 4 any number of people. 5 Q. Okay. And if a -- is the term "match" correct, if 6 a -- if a determination is made that a latent print matches a 7 known print? "Match" works. We normally say "identification"; 8 Α. 9 other people say "individualization." It's just the meaning 10 that you feel that that print came from one source. 11 Q. We'll go with your words rather than mine, okay? 12 Α. I generally say identification. 13 Q. Identification. 14 In the case before us right now, the five individuals 15 in this case, were known fingerprints of these five accused in 16 this case collected from them at various times since their 17 arrests? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. And are they -- and have those known -- I'm sorry. 20 First, would that be what you've described as a known 21 fingerprint? 22 Α. Yes.

23 Q. Meaning they exist on those cards that you would use?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

A. Yeah. An intentional recording is what we generally
2 call it.

Q. Thank you. And are those known fingerprints as to
4 these five accused available at the Federal Bureau's Lab -5 the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Lab for examination and
6 analysis?

A. Yes.

8 Q. Did you, yourself, Mr. Fife, ever collect known9 fingerprints from these five individuals?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did that happen?

A. It happened here in Guantanamo Bay.

Q. And what year did that happen?

A. 2008, I believe.

Q. And was it as to one or more or all?

A. All, and additional people as well.

Q. But as far as this case is concerned, all five?

A. Yes, all five.

Q. And you, yourself, collected the prints?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did you look at them afterwards?

A. Yes.

23 Q. And did you -- were you satisfied that they had

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 captured the fingerprints properly?

2 So in this case, major case prints were collected Α. 3 which includes more than just the fingerprint cards. It was 4 also palm prints, lower joints. So it was a series of 5 recordings, which is a more extensive capture than just a fingerprint card, and that was collected. Major case prints 6 7 were collected from all five individuals. 8 Q. You need to slow down just a little bit, sir. 9 Α. Sorry. 10 Q. All right. Mr. Fife, I'm going to direct your 11 attention to the government's consolidated notice of exhibits 12 located at 628FFF/629G/630K/631J/6320. And I'm going to ask 13 you, sir: You have a binder that was prepared for you, 14 correct? 15 Α. Yes, I do. 16 Q. And you have had that with you? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And you've gone through it? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And examined specifically all of the attachments, but 21 for right now I'm interested in, have you reviewed the 22 Attachments at B through T?

A. So Tab B through T?

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Correct.

**2** A. Yes.

**3** Q. Those represent different items; am I correct?

**4** A. They are photographs of different items of evidence,

**5** yes.

Q. Were all of those items in Attachments B through T,
7 Bravo through Tango, received by the FBI's lab for fingerprint
8 examination and analysis?

**9** A. Yes, they were.

10 Q. And do each of them bear a K or a Q number?

**11** A. Yes.

Q. And we had some testimony about this from Agent -Special Agent James Fitzgerald, but if you would tell us for
14 lab purposes and your purposes, what's the significance of a K
15 or a Q number?

A. So when evidence is submitted to the laboratory, it
17 receives a unique identifying number, and that's -- we call it
18 a lab number.

**19** Q. Slow down, sir.

**20** A. Sorry. A laboratory number ----

**21** Q. Sometimes you have to like kind of really

22 concentrate. It takes a while.

23 A. Yes.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

So every item of evidence receives a laboratory
 number, which is a nine-digit number, which represents the
 day, month, and year, and then the submission number. And
 then each specific item of evidence receives either a K number
 or a Q number.

6 The Q in this situation stands for questioned, 7 because it's usually for the Latent Print Unit; the question 8 is who may have touched this item. And if it received a K 9 item, that means it was received in the Explosives Unit. And 10 the Explosives Unit has different standards for what's 11 questioned and what's known. They attach question Qs to 12 explosive items, and they refer to everything else as a K.

So it's just a unique identifier that signifies that
14 item in combination with that lab number that no other item of
15 evidence would have.

Q. As to all of the items -- excuse me -- items in
Attachments B through T, were latent prints identified -- or
I'm sorry, were latent prints detected?

A. Latent prints were detected on all of these items,yes.

Q. And as to all of the items in Attachments B through
T, were latent prints identified as being those of one of the
accused?

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, at this moment, if I could
alert the commission: As to Attachments B through T, which
have been provided, I'm going to direct the witness to each
specific attachment one by one and ask him for the results of
the FBI examination.

Some of the attachments, sir, were displayed during
the course of Special Agent Fitzgerald's testimony; and when I
say displayed, I mean for public viewing. I'll ask to
publish -- to republish only those specific attachments that
were already displayed publicly during Special

**12** Agent Fitzgerald.

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

14 TC [MR. RYAN]: And, Your Honor, one further matter. We 15 provided in the consolidated notice of exhibits various 16 laboratory reports. There is one additional one which have 17 been provided to the parties this morning, and it -- I will 18 note for the commission that it is a classified matter. It is 19 portion marked. I will be asking the witness about various 20 reports -- of various findings within the report, but he has 21 been instructed not to discuss anything that is marked as 22 classified.

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: Understand. For my reference, is there

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 an attachment number for me to look at that? 2 TC [MR. RYAN]: Yes, sir. 3 Q. Mr. Fife, do you have your copy there? 4 Α. I do, yes. 5 TC [MR. RYAN]: May I approach, Your Honor? 6 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 7 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I have it as -- and it gets a 8 whole number, it's not a new attachment to the existing 9 filing. I have it as AE 628000/629H/630L/631K/632P, and I'll 10 probably be referring to it by its Bates stamp number, which 11 ends in the number 18250. 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: I see it. Thank you. 13 TC [MR. RYAN]: May I give this back to the witness, sir? 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 15 WIT: Thank you. 16 Q. Mr. Fife, I'm going to ask you to turn to 17 Attachment B in your binder. 18 Α. Yes. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: And this, Your Honor, is one of those that 20 had been published. I will ask for the feed to Table 3 and 21 for the -- and for our ability to broadcast this or publish it 22 for the gallery. 23 MJ [Co] COHEN]: I recognize the document. You may do so.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: May I do so, sir? 2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Please. 3 Mr. Fife, sometimes we have to wait a minute or two Q. 4 for the feed to take place. 5 Α. Okav. 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Fife, directing your attention to this 7 item, do you recognize it? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And on this photograph of an item, does the -- does 10 there appear an FBI K number? 11 Α. Yes. 12 TC [MR. RYAN]: And I'll ask Master Sergeant Horn to blow 13 up the K number, please. 14 What is the K number for this item, sir? Q. 15 Α. K3757. 16 And was this, in fact ----Q. 17 TC [MR. RYAN]: Court's indulgence, sir. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. 19 And, Mr. Fife, before we go into it, let me ask you Q. 20 this question: Did you, yourself, play some direct role in 21 the examination and analysis of all the items we're talking 22 about today? 23 Yes. Α.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Tell us what that was.

A. So this is an interesting situation, in that some of
3 the evidence was received at the laboratory before I worked
4 there. I started working there in 2004.

So in this case, since some of this evidence was
received and processed before I started working there, what I
did is I went back through and I did an evaluation of all of
the latent prints.

**9** Q. Slow down, sir.

A. I basically redid the examination to determine that
the -- to make my own determine on identification. So in all
of the prints we'll be talking about today, I was either a
verifier or I was the original examiner. But I have conducted
latent fingerprint comparisons on all of the fingerprints
we'll be discussing today.

16 Q. So you personally have looked at every single item17 and done the comparison?

18 A. Every item and every latent that we're going to be19 talking about.

Q. So as to this item in Attachment B, what can you tellus about the findings?

A. So when you look at the Q tag in this photo, it showsa laboratory number, which indicates this evidence came in in

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 2002. And it was -- as we said, it was given the item number
2 K3757, so a laboratory report would have been issued.

In this case, because this case has gone on for a
long period of time, subsequential lab reports were also
issued. And I know that the comparison results for this
report -- for these latents that were detected on this item
were reported out under a laboratory number that was issued in
2007. And that laboratory ----

9 Q. And the laboratory report that we're talking about
10 that bears the results, is that the one I just handed back to
11 you ----

**12** A. Yes.

**13** Q. ---- with Bates number 18250?

**14** A. Yes. This is a report that I issued myself in 2008.

**15** Q. What are the results of the examination and analysis?

**16** A. In reference to this one item?

**17** Q. Yes, sir.

18 A. Okay. So if you -- let's see. K3757, so five latent
19 fingerprints were identified to Ali Abdul Aziz Ali.

20 Q. Thank you. Sir, turn, please, in your binder to21 Attachment C, as in Charlie?

22 A. Yes.

**23** TC [MR. RYAN]: This one, Your Honor, is not for

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** publishing.

**2** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

Q. Sir, it's -- in our copies, because of the printing
4 and all, we -- it's very faint, but does there appear a K or a
5 Q number on this?

A. Yes. There's a handwritten Q number on the bottom
7 right-hand corner of the image on the left, and it reads
8 Q6552. And my handwritten initials are there also.

9 Q. So you, yourself -- I'm sorry, did you put down the10 number or did you initial the number?

**11** A. Both.

12 Q. Very good. And from Q6552, were you able to13 determine what results were found as to this item?

A. Yes. The results are in Attachment Y, which is
Laboratory Number 130718011. And if you look at page labeled
page 13 of 18, you can see on the table it says that Q6552 had
four latent fingerprints and two latent palm prints, and all
six of those prints were identified to Aziz Ali.

Q. Thank you, sir. Would you now turn to Attachment D,as in Delta.

21 A. Yes.

TC [MR. RYAN]: And, Your Honor, I would ask for the feed
again. This is one that was shown to Agent Fitzgerald.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 2 TC [MR. RYAN]: And for the ability to display it. 3 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 4 Q. You see this item, sir? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. And do you recognize it? 7 Α. Yes. 8 TC [MR. RYAN]: And I'll ask Master Sergeant Horn to 9 please blow up the K number. 10 Q. Sir, the K number reads 3312; am I correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. From that number, what are you able to determine? 13 Α. This report references the report you spoke about 14 earlier that's not included in the binder. 15 Q. And is that the one that ends in Bates number 18250? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Q. Go ahead, sir. And, I'm sorry, I should have done 18 this before. 19 We talked about this previously, but on that document 20 in front of you, you're aware that there are certain items 21 marked as classified: am I correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. It is not our intention to discuss any of them today,

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 So just to be clear, though, with you, please do not Q. 4 refer to anything in there that is marked as classified. Ιf 5 you feel the need to tell -- to do so as part of your answer, 6 please alert me. 7 Α. Okay. 8 So go ahead, sir, from that K3312. Q. So going back to K3312, on page 2 of 3, the report 9 Α. 10 that we just referenced, three fingerprints were identified to 11 Aziz Ali. 12 Q. Thank you, sir. Please turn to Attachment E, as in 13 Echo. Are you there, sir? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. All right. And this is not for publishing. 16 First, do you recognize the item? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. And do you see a K number on it? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. What is the K number? 21 Α. K3319. 22 And from K3319, what are you able to tell us as far Q. 23 as the fingerprint examination and analysis?

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Α.	This the results of this are also in the report we	
2	just men	tioned, with the Bates	
3	Q.	Again, with Bates number 18250?	
4	Α.	Correct.	
5		The report the results of this item are actually	
6	on the n	ext page, the second page, Bates number 18251. And it	
7	indicates that one fingerprint detected on K3319 was		
8	identified to Aziz Ali.		
9	Q.	Very good, sir. Please turn to Attachment Foxtrot,	
10	F.		
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	ТС	[MR. RYAN]: And, Your Honor, I ask for the feed again.	
13	MJ	[Col COHEN]: You may.	
14	Q.	Sir, do you see this item?	
15	Α.	Yes.	
16	Q.	And do you recognize it?	
17	Α.	Yes, I do.	
18	ТС	[MR. RYAN]: And I'll ask Master Sergeant Horn to	
19	please b	low up the K number.	
20	Q.	Sir, it appears as K3311?	
21	Α.	Yes.	
22	Q.	From that K number, what can you tell us about the	
23	examinat	ion and analysis?	

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	A. 3311, the results are also issued in the report with
2	the Bates number 18251. And K3311, three fingerprints were
3	identified to Aziz Ali.
4	Q. Sir, I think I missed it. Did you say K3311, but I
5	thought I heard a three after that.
6	A. It's K3311.
7	Q. Okay, and then your analysis revealed
8	A. Three fingerprints were identified to Aziz Ali.
9	Q. Thank you, sir. Please turn to Attachment G.
10	TC [MR. RYAN]: And I'll ask Master Sergeant Horn to
11	please display this one.
12	MJ [Col COHEN]: You may do so.
13	Q. And, sir, do you recognize this item?
14	A. Yes.
15	TC [MR. RYAN]: And could you, Master Sergeant Horn,
16	please blow up the K number.
17	Q. K3170 appears; am I correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And what can you tell us from that as to what the
20	analysis revealed?
21	A. The results are also on Bates page 18251. K3170, two
22	latent fingerprints and one latent palm print were identified
23	to Aziz Ali.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Please turn to Attachment Hotel, H. 2 TC [MR. RYAN]: Master Sergeant Horn, if you would please 3 display this item. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Please. 5 And, Mr. Fife, do you recognize this item? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And for the record, it bears the handwriting -- it 8 bears printing -- printed information of Marwan al Shehhi and 9 also Isam Mansour? 10 Α. Yes. TC [MR. RYAN]: And if you would, Master Sergeant, please 11 12 blow up the K number. 13 K3173, am I correct, sir? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And from that K number, what were you able to tell 16 us? 17 Α. The results of the analysis are also listed on Bates 18 number 18251. K3173, one fingerprint was identified to Aziz 19 Ali. 20 Q. Please turn to Attachment I, India. This is not for 21 publication. Do you see that item, sir? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And do you recognize it? Q.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

**2** Q. Do you see a K number on this item?

**3** A. Yes.

**4** Q. What is that, sir?

**5** A. K2665.

6 Q. And from that K number, what are you able to tell us7 as to the analysis?

**8** A. The report for this analysis is under Attachment Z.

**9** Q. Z as in Zebra?

10 A. Z as in Zebra.

So on the third page of that attachment, Bates number
12 18 -- sorry, it's 16030. Would you remind me which attachment
13 we were just looking at?

14 Q. Yes, sir. Under India, I.

**15** A. I. So that's K2665?

**16** Q. Yes, sir.

A. And referring to the report I just referenced under
Attachment Z, as in Zebra. Let's see. This is on -- there
were -- there was one fingerprint on 2665. All right. This
is broken out in a way that's taking me a minute.

**21** Q. That's all right, sir.

A. There's one fingerprint identified to RamziBinalshibh on K2665.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Please move to Attachment J, as in Juliet. Do you 2 see that item? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. All right. And in this item, there appears a 5 photograph; am I correct? 6 Α. Sorry. I was on the wrong one. 7 Q. Take your time. It's on Juliet, J. 8 Α. Yes. Yes, there is a photograph. 9 Q. Now, when you actually went through the process of 10 taking known fingerprints from the five individuals, that 11 photograph that appears in this document, does it match the 12 person who you took the prints from? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. You were able to identify him? 15 Α Yes. 16 Is there a K number on this item? Q. 17 Α. Yes. It's K2666. 18 Q. And from that item, what are you able to tell us 19 about the analysis? 20 Α. K2666, the results are also on Bates page number 21 16030. 22 That's Attachment Z? Q. 23 Α. Yes. And there were actually ten fingerprints that

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 were identified to Ramzi Binalshibh. 2 Q. And, sir, if you would turn to Attachment Kilo, K. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And do you recognize that item? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. A photograph as well? 7 Α. Yes. It's also a photograph of the same person. 8 Q. And does a K number appear on this item? 9 Α. Yes. K2667. 10 Q. And what does that tell us in terms of an analysis? 11 Α. The results are also under Attachment Z, which is 12 Bates page 16030, and two fingerprints on K2667 were 13 identified to Ramzi Binalshibh. 14 Please turn to Attachment L, as in Lima. Q. 15 Α Yes 16 And I'll direct your attention -- well, first, do you Q. 17 recognize the item? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. And is there a K number that appears on this? 20 Α. K2889. 21 Q. And from that K number, what does the analysis 22 reveal? 23 Let's see. So the results of this are under Α.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Attachment W.

Q. W as in Whiskey?

A. Yes. And they appear on the second page, which is
Bates number 16503. Three latent fingerprints detected on
K2889 were identified to Ramzi Binalshibh.

- Q. Please turn to Attachment M, as in Mike.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recognize this item?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Was there a K number on it?
- A. Yes. It's K3328.

12 Q. And from that K number, what can you tell us about13 the analysis?

A. The analysis of this is reflected back on the
document that is not in the binder that has Bates page number
18252, and the results for K3228 is that two fingerprints
identified on this item were identified to al Hawsawi.

18 Q. Please turn to Attachment November, N. Let me know19 when you're there.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize this item?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Does a K number appear?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. K3165.

2	Q.	And what can you tell us from that K number?
3	Α.	The results from that item are under Attachment X, as
4	in X-ray	, they are on Bates page number 18349. And two latent
5	fingerpr	ints detected on K3165 were identified to al Hawsawi.
6	LDC	[MR. RUIZ]: Objection, Your Honor.
7	MJ	[Col COHEN]: Same objection as yesterday?
8	LDC	[MR. RUIZ]: Yes, sir.
9	MJ	[Col COHEN]: All right. Noted. Thank you.
10	Q.	Please turn to Attachment O, as in Oscar.
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Do you recognize that item?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Does it bear a K number?
15	Α.	K3295.
16	Q.	And what can you tell us from that?
17	Α.	This refers back to Bates page number 18252. K3295,
18	one fing	erprint detected on K3295 was identified to
19	al Hawsa	wi.
20	Q.	Please turn to Attachment P, as in Papa.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And looking at the page that is displayed in this
23	attachme	nt, first of all, do you recognize any markings on it

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 as being your own?

-	a song	Jour onn
2	Α.	Yes, my initials appear on it.
3	Q.	And does a Q number also appear?
4	Α.	Yes. There's a range of handwritten Qs.
5	Q.	When you say "range," what do we mean?
6	Α.	It says Q1782 through Q1789. This is actually a
7	picture	of the primary packaging that I prepared to to
8	store th	is item.
9	Q.	And the item strike that.
10		The items identified with Q numbers, the range that
11	you said	, where would they have been in relation to this
12	packagin	g?
13	Α.	They were inside the packaging.
14	Q.	Now I'll ask you to turn to Attachment Q, as in
15	Quebec.	
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	How does this particular attachment relate to the one
18	you just	displayed for us that what you described as
19	packagin	g?
20	Α.	This is a photograph of the last page of the evidence
21	that was	in that packaging, which is Q1789.
22	Q.	And from that Q number, what are you able to tell us?
23	Α.	So the results of this are under Attachment V, as in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Victor. The specific page, the Bates page number ends 5040, 2 and three fingerprints detected on Q1789 were identified to 3 Bin'Attash. 4 Q. Please turn to Attachment R, Romeo. Do you see that 5 item? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And do you see a Q number on it? 8 Α. Yes. 9 What was the Q number? Q. 10 Α. Q1790. 11 Q. And do your initials appear on this as well? 12 Α. Yes, they do. 13 Did you put them there? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 And from that Q number, 1790, what can you tell us? Q. 16 This also references a report that is under Α. 17 Attachment V. 18 Q. V, as in Victor? 19 Α. V, as in Victor. And the Q is Q1790, correct? 20 Q. Correct, 1790. 21 Four fingerprints detected on Q1790 were identified Α. 22 to Bin'Attash. 23 Sir, just to be really clear, since you asked me, Q.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	would yo	u please just turn back and satisfy yourself that
2	you're t	alking about the right Q number there.
3	Α.	Can you tell me the attachment letter?
4	Q.	Sure. Attachment R, as in Romeo?
5	Α.	Yes. The photo in Attachment R shows the item in its
6	packagin	g labeled Q1790, and the results of Q1790 are on Bates
7	page 504	0.
8	Q.	And again, the results were what?
9	Α.	Four fingerprints on Q1790 were identified to
10	Bin'Atta	sh.
11	Q.	Please turn to Attachment S, as in Sierra.
12	Α.	Okay.
13	Q.	And first of all, do you see your initials again?
14	Α.	Yes, my handwritten initials appear in red ink.
15	Q.	And is there a Q number?
16	Α.	The Q number listed is Q1698.
17	Q.	And from that Q number, what are the results?
18	Α.	Let's see. The results would be listed under
19	Attachme	nt U.
20	Q.	U, as in Uniform?
21	Α.	U, as in Unicorn. And that's item we're referring
22	to item	I'm sorry, to Q1698, correct?
23	Q .	That which appears in Attachment S, you've just

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** testified is Q1698?

A. Yes. Q1698, the results are on Bates page number
a ending 5363. Two fingerprints were identified on Q1698 to
Bin'Attash.

5 Q. And if you would, sir, please turn to Attachment T,6 as in Tango.

**7** A. Okay.

**8** Q. And again, do you recognize your own initials?

**9** A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. And is there a Q number?

**11** A. Yes, it's Q1710.

12 Q. And from that Q number, what are the results?

**13** A. These are also under Attachment U.

**14** Q. U, as in Uniform?

A. U, as in Unicorn. Q1710, the results are listed on
Bates page ending 5362. One latent fingerprint detected on
Q1710 has been identified to Bin'Attash.

18 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, could I have the court's19 indulgence for one moment?

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

Q. All right. Mr. Fife, you've now taken us through the
attachments in this particular government filing; am I
correct?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

Q. Those are all of the actual items from which you've3 just testified as to an examination and analysis?

**4** A. Yes.

Q. Just for purposes of making it clear, am I -- are
6 there other fingerprints as to these five individuals out
7 there that we have not talked about today?

**8** A. Yes.

**9** TC [MR. RYAN]: One more moment, sir?

10 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

**11** TC [MR. RYAN]: Thank you, Mr. Fife.

**12** Your Honor, that's all I have.

**13** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you, Counsel.

**14** [Alarm in courtroom went off.]

MJ [Col COHEN]: Perfect timing. We'll go ahead and take
a recess. During the recess, please make sure that you don't
have any cellular items or anything transmitting. If it's a
false alarm, that will be fine.

We'll take 15 minutes, at which point we'll begin
cross-examination at -- let's go ahead and take until 1010.
All right. Thank you.

22 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, do you wish to instruct the23 witness?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Oh. Sir, please do not discuss your 2 testimony with anyone during the recess. 3 WIT: Yes. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 5 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 0953, 25 September 2019.] 6 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1011, 7 25 September 2019.] 8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Military commissions are called to order. 9 Parties are present. 10 Please recall the witness. 11 Sir, if you'll please come take your seat again. 12 [The witness resumed the witness stand.] 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Counsel. 14 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 15 Questions by the Assistant Defense Counsel [Capt ANDREU]: 16 Q. Good morning, sir. 17 Α. Good morning. 18 Q. My name is Mark Andreu. I'm one of the attorneys 19 representing Mr. al Baluchi. 20 Sir, I've provided you several binders up there. I 21 know it looks like a lot. We're not going to be going through 22 all of that, but those are binders that mostly contain 23 laboratory reports.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Okay.

Q. And there's a few things I want to refer to, and I
provide the entire report in case there's something you want
to direct my attention to.

5 A. Okay.

Q. The binders are marked on top with an AE number, and
7 then the attachments that are found in the specific binder.
8 AE stands for Appellate Exhibit.

9 ADC [Capt ANDREU]: And for the court and counsel, the two
10 appellate exhibit numbers I will be referring to are 628BBB
11 and 628JJJ.

**12** MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, Counsel.

Q. Sir, you and I have not met before; is that correct?
A. No, we have not.

Q. And I'd like to talk to you today -- I'd like to go
16 over a few topics, but I want to start by talking a little bit
17 about your background.

**18** A. Okay.

19 Q. I understand that you have worked for the FBI since20 2004?

21 A. Yes.

Q. And you said that entire time you've worked in the23 Latent Print Unit?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

Q. Over that period of time, you've received training on
3 various subjects related to fingerprint examination.

**4** A. Yes.

5 Q. Have you received training on establishing a chain of6 custody for evidence?

**7** A. Yes.

8 Q. Would you agree with me that that's sort of9 fundamental to documenting evidence?

**10** A. Yes.

11 Q. In general, what have you been trained in regard to12 documenting chain of custody?

A. You definitely always want to document chain of
custody from the time it comes into the Latent Print Unit.
So there are obviously other units that are going to be on the
chain as well; but specifically to me, anytime something is
assigned to me, it's very important that I maintain a correct
chain of custody.

Q. And you said when it comes into the fingerprint -the Latent Print Unit -- when it comes in -- when the piece of
evidence comes into the Latent Print Unit, it already has a
chain of custody sheet attached to it; is that correct?
A. Yes. So the difficulty in speaking about this case

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 is that it's spanned many, many years and a lot of things have 2 changed. So currently, it's electronic currently. In the 3 past there was a paper chain, but there will always be some 4 form of chain for evidence. But sometimes when the evidence 5 comes into the Latent Print Unit, we begin a chain, so it does 6 not already have a chain, or it doesn't have a chain that 7 we're a part of.

Q. Okay. And when it comes in, whether it be electronic
9 or paper, when there's a chain of custody, it tells you who
10 has handled the evidence before it gets to the lab?

A. So that's the -- sometimes no. Sometimes a new chain is started. So there is a chain that shows it was turned over to the lab, but that isn't always provided to the lab. It's sometimes, depending on who the contributor is, sometimes it will stay in with the contributor, and then we pick up the chain where their chain leaves off.

**17** Q. Okay.

A. So I don't always know or see the previous chains.
Q. Understood. But there is a chain out there. It's
just what you're saying, as I understand your testimony, is
that sometimes the lab receives that chain and sometimes the
lab does not?

**23** A. I assume there is a chain, but I don't always know

### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 that there's a chain, so it's hard for me to answer that2 guestion.

Q. You mentioned that once the evidence reaches the lab,4 you also maintain a chain of custody.

5 A. The laboratory always maintains a chain of custody6 for evidence, yes.

7 Q. Do you do that on what the FBI sometimes refers to as8 green sheets?

**9** A. I don't know the term "green sheets."

10 Q. When you establish the chain of custody sheet in the11 lab, is it carefully documented?

**12** A. Yes.

Q. And each time an individual, for example, checks a
piece of evidence out of storage to do an examination, would
that be documented on the chain of custody?

16 A. It depends on what kind of storage you're referring17 to.

18 Q. Well, explain to me how the chain of custody sheet19 works within the Latent Print Unit.

A. Again, we're talking about a lot of -- there's a lot
of years that have passed, so a lot of things have changed
but, in general, its personal custody is tracked. So I take
possession of something. I have my own personal storage

### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

locker. I don't check it in and out of my own personal
 storage locker because no one else has access to it. So in
 that case, it isn't documented that I am retrieving something
 from myself.

5 If I stored it in a location that we refer to as
6 bulky storage and multiple people have access to that, that
7 would be documented, that you put it into a place that other
8 people could then retrieve it from that place.

9 Q. Okay. So it would show different people who accessed10 the evidence?

**11** A. Yes.

12 Q. Sir, I want to go through some of the laboratory13 reports ----

**14** A. Okay.

Q. ---- in this case, and I'll ask you to bear with me a
16 little bit as we talk about the process.

17 As you mentioned in the beginning, we haven't had a18 chance to meet?

**19** A. We have not.

**20** Q. All right. Let's talk about that for a second.

21 Did you meet with the prosecution before your

**22** testimony?

23 A. Yes.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

- 1 Q. And who did you meet with?
- **2** A. I met with Ed Ryan.
- **3** Q. How many times?
- **4** A. Recently, two times.
- **5** Q. When was that?
- 6 A. Yesterday.
- 7 Q. And you said recently two times?

8 A. I think I met with him a month ago, within the last9 two months. I met with him one time.

- 10 Q. In total, how many hours do you think you spent11 preparing for your testimony?
- **12** A. Maybe six. I don't really know a good number.
- **13** Q. That's fine. Approximately six, is that fair?
- **14** A. You mean with other people or personally?
- **15** Q. With the prosecution.
- **16** A. Maybe six to ten.

17 Q. Now, you mentioned that you haven't met with me. You18 are aware that I asked to speak with you?

- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I notified you that I'm a captain in the Air Force

**21** and I'd like to talk to you about your testimony, but you

22 refused that request?

A. I did, yes.

### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Okay. So today will be the first time that we're2 going through your lab reports?

**3** A. Yes.

Q. Before we get to the actual lab reports, can we talk
5 generally for a second about the general procedures for
6 friction ridge examinations?

7 A. Sure.

8 Q. I think you mentioned this on direct, but could you9 again explain for us what are friction ridges?

A. So the words "friction ridges" refers to a specific type of skin on the human body. It's on the palmar side of the hand. It covers the full palm of the hand and the lower joints on the fingers and the actual palm itself. It also appears on the soles of the feet and the toes.

15 It's believed that -- it's called friction ridge
16 because it gives you sort of gripping power. It allows you to
17 hold on to things. And it has a lot of genetic and
18 environmental variability, and it's because of this
19 variability that we're able to use it to distinguish the donor
20 of these prints from one another.

Q. Before you actually do -- before an actual
examination or a comparison takes place between a latent print
and a known print, as I understand it, first the item has to

### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 be processed to see if there's a latent print there,2 obviously; is that correct?

A. Well, in general, yes. But sometimes latents are
just submitted sometimes which have already been processed or
photographed or lifted, so there wouldn't be any processing in
that situation.

7 But if you're talking about evidence being submitted,
8 then, yes, processing would usually -- is usually started with
9 light sources and then the application of chemicals to
10 visualize the impressions.

11 Q. And that's what happened for the evidence that you12 talked about today?

A. Most of it, yes.

Q. And is it -- am I correct that whether or not you're
using light sources or chemicals depends on the nature of the
evidence, or are they used in combination always?

A. So this is actually a much bigger question. There are two main types of evidence that we generally process, and that's porous evidence and nonporous evidence. The type of evidence determines how it's processed and what chemicals are used.

A good example, the best example of porous evidence
is paper. So every -- I think most everything we talked about

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 today was paper, so it would have gone down the porousing --2 the porous processing sequence. The only variation to that is 3 what we called semi-porous, and that would be things that have 4 sort of a glossy finish, like a magazine page or a photograph. 5 And I did talk about some photographs today, and those are 6 processed slightly differently. 7 Q. When the item is processed, that's notated in the lab 8 report? 9 Α. That's notated in the case notes ----10 Q. Okav, sir. 11 Α. ---- which are not in the lab report. 12 Q. And the case notes, though, explain exactly what type 13 of processing the item went through? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And so when someone like me or somebody goes and 16 looks at the report later, they can see by looking at those 17 notes how the item was processed? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Now, if a friction ridge print is detected on an 20 item, the -- am I correct that the examination procedure you 21 employed at the FBI is called ACE-V? 22 Yes. Yes. Α. 23 And that's A-C-E-V. So it's ACE-V? Q.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Correct.

**2** Q. A stands for analysis?

**3** A. Correct.

4 Q. What does that mean?

A. So analysis is when you -- so speaking of a latent
print, because just for clarity, if we're talking about a
latent print that was detected on an item, the first thing we
would do is have it photographed.

9 So the analysis doesn't actually occur on the
10 evidence; it occurs on a photograph with a scale, because
11 comparisons are done one to one, so compared at actual size.
12 The analysis is basically looking at the friction ridge
13 impression, taking in all of the information that's there, the
14 quality of the print, the clarity of the print, the amount of
15 information present in the print.

16 So it's just an overall analysis of the image, and 17 you then make a determination whether or not there's enough 18 information present in that latent that you would feel 19 confident moving into the comparison step. So there are a lot 20 of latent prints that are developed that are -- when they're 21 analyzed, they're determined not to be suitable for 22 comparison, and those wouldn't move forward in the ACE 23 They would be set aside and they wouldn't be process.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 compared to anybody because they're deemed unsuitable. 2 We used to use the term "no value"; that appears in 3 the reports. We don't use that terminology now. But 4 basically, it's just stating you don't think there's enough 5 information in that print that you could conclusively come to 6 a comparison result. 7 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the ones where you -- where 8 there is enough value to move to the comparison stage. 9 Α. Okay. So in the reports, all those prints are -- in 10 most of the reports you have in front of me, those are 11 referred to as prints of value, and that's what that means, 12 that they have cleared the analysis phase. 13 And that moves us to C, in the ACE-V, which is Q. 14 comparison. 15 Α. Comparison, correct. 16 Q. Could you explain to us how the comparison process 17 works? 18 Α. Comparison can take a lot of different pathways. The 19 main pathway is taking a photograph of that image, as I said. 20 It's a black and white photograph. It's true to size, so it's 21 one to one.

The photographs are generally four-by-five-inchphotographs. We score them so that we can place them directly

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

side by side on top of the known collection, which is usually
 an inked card. And then we do a comparison -- a person does a
 comparison by eye, and they go through and they compare the
 three levels of detail, which I can get into, if you want.
 And they then do a comparison, and they look for similarities
 and differences. That's the comparison phase.

7 Q. So as part of that print phase, are you looking for8 points of identity?

9 A. So we don't use points, to be clear. A lot of people
10 use the term "points of identity" when they're referring to
11 level two characteristics, which we do use.

12 So ridges tend to flow in -- there's ridges and 13 furrows. So the ridges are actually the lines that make up 14 the raised portion of the ridges. They tend to flow. They 15 have a sort of a general pattern you expect to see.

But they can end -- and that's what we call an ending ridge, so that's part of a level two characteristic. They can divide or bifurcate and that's when one ridge becomes two. They can combine, where two ridges become one. A short ridge has an end on either side and sometimes those are called dots.

21 So it's these characteristics, these ridge events as 22 some people call them, that you compare with another latent 23 print or sometimes with another known print.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And so that's where the point -- the word "point" and
 "characteristics" -- and it's referring to the specific
 details within the larger picture and their relationship to
 one another.

5 Q. You're doing this side-by-side comparison; is that6 what you said?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are you looking for, I guess, similarities in the9 two?

A. You're looking for similarities and differences,
because we don't only identify prints. We are often asked to
exclude people, so sometimes you're looking at a person to say
that person did not make this print just as often as you're
looking to say a person did.

So you're looking for things in common and things
that are not in common in order to determine whether or not
you feel that that print came from that individual.

Q. Could you tell us about the E, the evaluation?
A. So the evaluation is basically just your decision
after you've compared, your final decision. Your decision -which normally our decisions are one of three, which is:
Identification, which means you feel, as the examiner, you
would not expect to see this formation of friction ridges

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 present on another individual. So you feel that it came only 2 from one person. You make an identification to that person. 3 You can also say that these ridges are too 4 dissimilar; this print did not come from this person. And 5 then you would make an exclusion. 6 And the other one you can use is inconclusive. 7 Inconclusive is a way of saying that you can't make a 8 determination. Sometimes it's due to the quality of the inked 9 print or the known print. There's other factors that can come 10 in, but basically you as the examiner just don't feel 11 comfortable saying yes, it is that person, or no, it isn't 12 that person. Sometimes you don't have the right area on the 13 known card, so you just don't have enough information to come 14 to a conclusive decision. 15 Q. I want to talk for a second about the 16 identification -- if an identification is made. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Q. Does the FBI require an examiner to find a certain 19 number of similarities in order to make an identification? 20 Α. The FBI has never had a point standard or a No. 21 minimum number that you need in order to make an 22 identification.

23 Q. So what -- do different examiners use different

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** standard or what?

2 Α Different examiners around the world probably do use 3 different standards. At the FBI Laboratory, we all -- we 4 don't have a point standard. We just have like a -- it's 5 difficult to explain because it's a visual image you're 6 looking at; so you have to take into account the clarity of 7 the print, the quality of the print, the volume of information 8 in the print, and then you just come to a collective decision. 9 But there is no like countable number, which is a difficult 10 thing to describe.

Q. So even within the FBI then, as I understand your
testimony, examiners might reach a conclusion based upon a
different number of similarities?

A. Well, they're not reaching a conclusion on any number
of similarities, so it's -- they may reach a conclusion using
different information, yes; but it's not a countable number,
so it -- I couldn't say yes to that question.

Q. Okay. Let me ask it a different way. Well, the next
step in the process is ----

**20** A. Verification.

**21** Q. ---- verification.

**22** A. Okay.

23 Q. And that is where someone else comes and also goes

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 through the entire ACE process on that latent print and the 2 known print?

A. Right. So they do an independent analysis,
comparison, and evaluation; they have their own photograph;
they do their own markup, which is marking the points of
similarity or dissimilarity; and they come to their own
decision. And then if those two decisions are the same, then
we can report that decision out.

9 For example, if both people say this print is an
10 ident, then you would report that print out. If they don't
11 say it's the same, then we go into a whole other process; but
12 that print would not be reported out without two independent
13 ACE cycles coming to the same conclusion.

14 Q. The -- I take it the verification step is intended to15 minimize the chance of a misidentification?

A. An erroneous identification or an erroneous
misidentification. So -- so the intention is quality
assurance, yes.

19 Q. The person doing the verification's a human who can20 make mistakes?

**21** A. Yes.

Q. And the person doing the original examination canmake mistakes as well?

### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

Q. Is there anywhere documented within the lab report
3 the number of similarities that were found in reaching the
4 conclusion?

5 A. We don't have a number, so no, it's not documented6 anywhere.

Q. So let me word that one a little bit differently,
8 also. I'm not asking about a required number. I'm just
9 saying if -- if someone reaches a conclusion, and I'm trying
10 to figure out how many points of similarity they found to
11 reach that conclusion ----

12 A. All right.

13 Q. ---- how am I able to see that?

A. So it's a difficult question to answer because
they're not using points to reach that conclusion. So I think
what you're asking is, where is the documentation of the
comparison. And that's actually on the photograph.

So when we do these one-to-one comparisons, we have a photo in front of us and we have like a -- it's a pen, but it has a sharp metal point on the end. And what we can do is we can mark that photograph. And what we can do is we can mark the characteristics that we're using, we can trace the ridges ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** MJ [Col COHEN]: Just slow down just a little bit.

**2** WIT: Sorry.

**3** MJ [Col COHEN]: That's okay.

A. So the image itself is changed. It can be marked
with this metal poker, because it has like sort of a gel
surface. So you can mark the points of similarity, you can
mark dissimilarities, you can indicate areas where there's
excessive pressure or slippage. So you're -- because it's a
visual thing, so we add visual cues to the photo instead of
counting is kind of what you're saying.

11 The other thing is, we are moving more to digital. 12 So that would be another thing. You could digitally trace the 13 steps that you took in -- during your comparison. So there 14 are different ways to do that. You can color code it, you can 15 trace the ridges, you can put marks -- points of similarity 16 between the latent and the known.

17 So you mark up the latent and the known, the inked 18 print and the known -- sorry, the inked print and the latent 19 print. So your analysis -- your documentation of your 20 analysis, which I think is the question you're asking, and 21 your comparison are on a photograph which is maintained in the 22 original case file.

23 Q. Okay. And so there would be photos of that

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 comparison for each of the prints you've talked about today? 2 There would be -- well, yes. There is an Α. Yes. 3 analysis photo -- I'm sorry. There's a comparison -- there's 4 the original examiner's photo, and then there's the verifier's 5 photo. In some cases, there may be a blind verification 6 photo; and in some cases, there's even another verification 7 photo, which is my photo, because that was done at a later 8 date. 9 Q. And that photo also has these markings?

**10** A. Yes.

Q. Okay, sir. If we could now walk through a couple of
these reports. If I show you -- some of them might be marked
classified.

**14** A. Okay.

Q. If that's the case, I'll let you know now that I'm
only going to ask you about the unclassified portions in the
open session.

**18** A. Okay.

Q. Also, I may ask you questions that you are not permitted to answer based upon the government's invocation of the national security privilege. If that's the case, I will be crystal clear about that so that you can wait to answer if the national security privilege is invoked.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Α. Okay. 2 Sir, you -- related to Mr. al Baluchi, you spoke on Q. 3 direct about K3311? 4 Α. Yes, I remember that. 5 Q. K3312? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. K3319? 8 Yes. Α. 9 Q. Okay. K3170? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. K3173? 12 Α. I think so, yes. 13 And K3757? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Sound right? Okay. 16 All right. Let's start, then, with items of 17 evidence -- we'll break it up by where they came from, so 18 let's start with items of evidence that were reported to come 19 from Mr. Hawsawi's apartment. 20 And one last time, could you explain to me what the K 21 for the K number is? 22 A K number indicates that the evidence was first Α. 23 received at the FBI Laboratory in the Explosives Unit. So

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Explosiv	es Unit Ks things, which stands for known, because
2	they're	not questioning whether or not those items have
3	explosiv	e residues on them.
4		A Q or a K can be issued in the Latent Print Unit;
5	but in t	his case, if it has a K, it means it went to the
6	Explosiv	es Unit first.
7	Q.	Okay. Thank you. Sir, if I could direct your
8	attentio	n to this would be the first time we go to one of
9	the bind	ers there, so AE 628BBB Attachment Golf, G.
10	Α.	Okay.
11	Q.	And if we could look at the Bates number LBR-16986.
12	That sho	uld be the first page.
13	Α.	The first page in the binder?
14	Q.	I'm sorry, the first page at Tab G.
15	Α.	Oh, at Tab G.
16	Q.	Yes.
17	Α.	Can you tell me the Bates number again?
18	Q.	Sure. It's 16986.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. So these are items that were received on
21	April 26	th, 2002.
22	Α.	Yes. That's listed on the first page.
23	Q.	And if I could have you flip to page 16994.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Α. Okay. 2 Q. I'm sorry. 16995, I'm sorry. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Q. And at the bottom of the page is K3311. 5 Α. Yes. 6 So that is a ComTecH certificate of achievement that Q. 7 you testified to on direct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. All right. If we go to the next page, 16996. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. This shows the receipt of K3312 from that April 26th, 12 2002 date. K3312 is the CV for Ali Abdul Aziz Ali? 13 Yeah. I'm confused. You said something about a Α. 14 receipt? 15 Q. I'm sorry. At the beginning of this document on page 16 LBR-16986. 17 Α. Oh, yes. 18 Q. Right. This lists all the items that I'm going 19 through now that were received. 20 Sorry. We don't use that word. We use that word for Α. 21 something else; I was confused. So this is -- we call this 22 the worksheet, and the worksheet just indicates it links the 23 specific item and the description with a unique K or Q.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	So yes, I'm sorry, this is what we refer to as the
2	worksheet. It just lists all the evidence that was received
3	under this lab number which appears at the top of the page.
4	Q. But am I correct that is says that all this evidence
5	was received April 26th, 2002?
6	A. It was received at the building in Quantico wait.
7	Were they in Quantico? They weren't in Quantico then, I think
8	they were at Headquarters.
9	It was received by the FBI Lab, but it wasn't
10	received by the Latent Print Unit on that day.
11	Q. I understood. Thank you.
12	So flipping back to 16996.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And K3312 is listed?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And that's the CV I just mentioned?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Also, on that same page is
19	[Alarm in courtroom went off.]
20	MJ [Col COHEN]: We've done a few searches.
21	General Martins, I'm inclined to unplug this one. Does the
22	government have any objection to me doing so?
23	CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, in light of the subject
	UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	matter a	nd the previous triggerings of that, I agree with you.
2	MJ [	Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, sir. We'll get that
3	checked	during the recess afternoon recess.
4		Counsel, sorry about that. Please continue.
5	ADC	[Capt ANDREU]: Yes, sir.
6	Q.	Mr. Fife, also on that page is an item you talked
7	about on	direct, K3319?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And that is a letter addressed to Mr. Ali A. Aziz,
10	System E	ngineer, Prolink?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Now if we could go over to AE 628JJJ Attachment C.
13	So it's	going to be a different binder.
14	Α.	Attachment which?
15	Q.	So it's the binder on the front will say 628JJJ.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Okay. And then if we could look at Attachment C.
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Could you please flip to RAD-2480.
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Do you recognize this?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And what is what are we looking at here?

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 A. These are case notes.

Q. So let's look at the one on the top that's dated
3 May 1st, 2007.

**4** A. Yes.

5 Q. And this is listed as the examinations results; is6 that right?

7 Α. Yes. This is a different scenario, though. So what 8 we were talking about before was the receipt and the 9 processing of the evidence. And what we're talking about now 10 is what we call a request-only, when the request is made from 11 latent prints that were detected on previously submitted items 12 of evidence. So these are the comparison case notes for 13 another -- for evidence that was processed under another lab 14 number.

**15** Q. Okay. So -- okay. One moment. Okay.

16 Is K3311 listed there?

**17** A. Yes.

**18** Q. Now, this section is initialled DJF?

**19** A. Yes.

**20** Q. Is that you?

21 A. Yes.

**22** Q. And what is the significance of that initialling?

**23** A. That initialling indicates that the information below

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** was all done by me.

Q. And for K3311, you said something about this being a
different examination or a different laboratory number? I'm
trying to understand what you're saying.

5

A. Yeah, it's very confusing. I apologize.

6 The way the laboratory numbers work, laboratory 7 numbers are assigned when evidence is received in the 8 laboratory. The laboratory number is the month, date, and 9 year. That's how the laboratory number -- I said that 10 backwards -- year, month, day, and then the last numbers just 11 indicate the submission it was for that day. And that doesn't 12 repeat. That's unique to that evidence.

13 If any requests are made from that evidence at a
14 later date, it's called a request-only or a -- it has no
15 evidence, which is why it's called a request-only. And then
16 it requests a person to conduct usually latent fingerprint
17 comparisons to people -- new people or people with new cards
18 or something like that.

So you have to refer back to the laboratory number where the evidence was received. You take those photos that we spoke about earlier from the file, and then you can conduct new comparisons or some exams that were requested to new people.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	So this this lab report is an extension of the lab
2	report we spoke about earlier, but they don't stand alone, is
3	the point that I was trying to make.
4	Q. So they're part of the same they're part of an
5	analysis of the same item?
6	A. In this case, yes.
7	Q. And is this your analysis of the item?
8	A. No, this is my analysis of the latents. Because the
9	items are this is what I was saying. These this doesn't
10	go far this doesn't go back to the evidence because the
11	evidence is not with us anymore. It just goes back to the
12	case file. It generally just goes back to photographs of
13	latent prints that were captured.
14	Q. So that's I'm sorry.
15	A. So in a way, yes, but just to be clear, it doesn't go
16	back to a processing or a re-examination of the evidence or
17	the claiming, which is the prints that were retained to be
18	determined of value.
19	So it basically just starts with the case file that
20	was maintained, in this case, from the '02 that you
21	referenced. I went to that file. I retrieved the
22	photographs, and then I moved forward in 2007.
23	Q. Okay. So this is a separate examination from from

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 one that's already occurred?

•	one that 3 arready becarrea:
2	A. In this case, it's a new examination because I didn't
3	do any examinations in 2002 because I didn't work there. So
4	these are new examinations done by me in 2007.
5	Q. And what would be the purpose for that?
6	A. So in this case there's probably somewhere in this
7	binder there's probably a request that specifically states
8	what they want me to do. And if you know where that is, I
9	could tell you. It looks like it's a request to compare
10	previously detected latent fingerprints to new individuals.
11	Q. Can you explain the result so it starts with a 3
12	and then like a zero with a line through it. Can you explain
13	what this line of results means?
14	A. Yes. So this is latent print shorthand. You're
15	talking about the line it starts with an arrow, and then
16	there's a 3 and then what we call the ident symbol and then s
17	and the #3. Is that what you are asking?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. So this is getting down kind of into the specifics of
20	what happened. So these are the listing of the results. The
21	3 means three fingerprints. I'm sorry, it means three
22	identifications.
23	The circle with the line through is the ident symbol.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

It's used in all the case notes. It's shorthand to indicate
 that an identification was effected that refers back to the
 photo.

4 On the photo, those symbols would also appear above5 each print that was identified, so there were three of them.

6 The #3 indicates finger number 3. So that's the
7 right middle finger. The second number, #6, indicates the
8 left thumb, and #9 index -- indicates the left ring.

9 So if you -- if you read that out, it basically says,
10 three prints detected on K3311, have been identified to three
11 fingers of Aziz Ali, and those three fingers are finger #3,
12 right middle; finger #6, left thumb; finger #9, left ring.
13 Q. If we can flip over, then, to RAD -- this is the same

14 attachment, RAD-2544.

**15** A. Yes.

**16** Q. Are you there?

**17** A. Yes.

**18** Q. Okay. What is this?

A. These are four photographs of latent -- yes. I'm
just confirming that these are the same item number. So these
are four separate photographs. As I said before, the
photographs are usually four-by-five. So these are four
photographs that were captured digitally together that are the

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 latent prints from K3311. And ----

Q. And so that's -- that corresponds to the results that
3 we were just talking about?

A. To a portion of the results, because not all of them5 are on this page, but yes.

**6** Q. And these are initialled by you?

A. So all of the photos are initialled by me because
8 this was my case file. The two photos on the -- well, the two
9 photos on the bottom, if you're looking at it upright, are my
10 analysis photos, and the two photos on the top are the photos
11 of the verifier.

12 Q. I know these are not the greatest images, but would 13 these be the ones that have the notations of the similarities 14 that you found?

**15** A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. So if this was a better photo, we could see17 the actual similarities that you notated?

**18** A. Yes.

19 Q. And that higher-quality photo is something that's20 maintained?

**21** A. Yes.

Q. Now, I understand that a -- for that item, for that
photo that we just looked at, would a chain of custody log

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 document where -- when that was handled?

**2** A. The photographs?

**3** Q. Yes.

**4** A. No.

5 Q. Okay. So just a chain of custody for the actual item6 of evidence?

7 Α. Yes. So these photos, we refer to them as secondary 8 evidence. So if secondary evidence is produced, it's 9 documented. If secondary evidence was sent back to the field, 10 which they used to do, that was documented. So there is --11 there is a chain, but not for these, because these are the 12 ones that were part of the case file. So basically they're in 13 what we call it a 1A, which is a strong envelope with a 14 closure, and it's documented how many photos are inside. But 15 it's not the same thing as a chain of custody.

16 Q. All right. The chain of -- there would be a separate17 chain of custody, then, for the actual item of evidence.

A. There is -- yes. And in this case, the item of
evidence was never used because the evidence -- the item of
evidence was returned at this point.

**21** Q. Okay.

A. That's kind of what I was trying to make the pointbefore. This has nothing to do with the evidence itself at

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	this point because that was documented somewhere else.
2	Q. All right. So then let's go back to AE 628BBB
3	Attachment G.
4	A. That's the one from before?
5	Q. Yes, sir.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And at 1 we were earlier at 16994.
8	A. 16994, yes.
9	Q. Okay. So there's a paragraph there that says and
10	it's I'm not going to ask you about any of the classified
11	information in that paragraph.
12	There's a paragraph there that says when the actual
13	item of evidence was received. Do you see that?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. So
16	A. Well, it's I can't read it because it's redacted.
17	Q. Right. It's a little confusing, but if we go to the
18	first page of this document, again, it said when the items
19	were received, that was at 16986. That was the April 26th,
20	2002 date where you said this is when it was initially
21	received in the laboratory but not the Latent Print Unit; is
22	that right?
23	A. Probably. That's probably correct, but that's

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the general procedure, yes. So I would assume that that is
2 when it was received in the Explosives Unit because these
3 items have Ks.

4 Q. And then going again to 16994.

5 A. Yes.

Q. And this is where I'm going to be crystal clear. I
understand it's redacted, but if I were to ask you about the
origin of this item, K331 -- K3311, the national security
privilege would prevent you from answering that question.

10 A. Yes.

**11** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Sir, can we have one second?

TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, can we have a moment, please?
 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, please. You may confer with counsel
 if you need to.

**15** [Counsel conferred.]

Q. Okay, sir. If we could go now to back -- and we're
going to be using pretty much these same two binders -- 628JJJ
Attachment C. If we could go to RAD-2 -- or -- yes, RAD-2478.
If you just let me know when you're there.

20 A. Yes.

Q. And between pages RAD-2478 and RAD-2479 are
April 19th, 2007 examination results by you. I understand
this is going to be the same circumstance as the evidence we

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 just talked about; is that right? 2 Α. The same circumstance meaning that it was requested 3 at a later date -- the comparisons were requested at a later 4 date? 5 Q. Yes. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Now, this has the results for -- on page 2479, 8 the results for K3312? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. That is the CV that we discussed earlier? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And I won't -- we won't do this every time, but just 13 once more here, can you explain the line under it with the 14 zero with the line through it and then the numbers for this 15 one? 16 Α. Can you give me the item number again? 17 Q. Yes, sir. K -- there's a lot of K numbers. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. K3312. 20 Okay. K3312, the line reads, "3 fingerprints Α. 21 developed on K3312, C.V. (Curriculum Vitae) for Ali Abdul Aziz 22 Ali, Lab #020426016." And then it has the arrow, which 23 indicates results. Then it has the 3, which means three

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 identifications. The zero with the line through it is the 2 identification symbol. And this one says #1, which means the 3 right thumb; it says #3, which means right middle; and it says 4 #4, which means the right ring finger. And then it says that 5 the result -- the result shows this was identified to Ali Abd 6 Al Aziz Ali and it lists the FBI number, which is a unique 7 number associated with known cards or individuals. So it's 8 just a unique number that no one else has.

9 Q. Okay. That was a question I had when I was looking
10 through this. So that number is associated with the
11 individual, not associated with the actual print card that was
12 used for comparison?

A. That number is associated with an individual, and
by -- and, therefore, it's associated with all known
recordings we have from that person. So that number is on
every recording we have of that person, on each one.

So it doesn't refer to any specific one. It, in
fact, refers to anything that's in the possession of
Clarksburg, West Virginia, where the fingerprints are held for
the FBI, or in our files at the laboratory.

**21** Q. Okay. Sir, if we could flip to 25 -- RAD-2546.

A. Is that in the same binder?

**23** Q. Yes, sir. Just a couple of pages later.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. 2546.

Q. Is this the -- are these the photos for the -- that
3 correspond to the results we were just talking about? It's
4 hard to read. It looks to me like it says K3312.

A. That's what it looks like to me as well, K3312.
Q. Now, on this one we can see a little bit of what
7 looked to me like loops around what, to me, look like the
8 latent. Is that for orientation?

9 A. So what that means, that -- we call it a horseshoe,
10 and it does look kind of like a half circle. That half-circle
11 indicates that that print was claimed, meaning that that print
12 was of value, so that's the latent print examiner's shorthand
13 notation to this print is of value. And in this one you can
14 see there was three, so it was determined that there are three
15 prints of value.

And it's difficult to read, but you can see the ident symbol and the finger numbers are written about the horseshoes. And yes, the impression below the horseshoes are the latent fingerprints photographed from the original evidence.

Q. And there's a -- a better quality photo than this
22 exists within the FBI?

**23** A. The original photograph, yes.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT 27206

1 Q. And again, that original photograph would have the 2 actual similarities notated? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Sir, if I -- and you mentioned as with 3311, with 5 3312, there would also be a chain-of-custody sheet for the 6 actual item within the FBI? 7 Α. Can you repeat the question? 8 Q. For K3312, the item that we're discussing, a 9 chain-of-custody sheet would exist that documents the custody 10 for the actual item -- I know not the print. 11 Α. Yeah. 12 Q. The actual item. 13 The chain of custody from that would exist in the Α. 14 original lab number, which is 020426016, yes. 15 Q. However, if I were to ask you the origin of K3312, 16 the national security privilege would prevent you from 17 answering that question? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Sir, if we go to RAD-2478 again. And between 2478 20 and 2479, do you see the result for K3319? It's on 2479. 21 Can you repeat the K number? Α. 22 Yes, sir. K3319. Q. 23 There are comparison results, yes. Α.

#### 27207

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. The reason I was referring to RAD-2478 and 2479 is 2 because it looked like it had the same date of examination, so 3 I just wanted to orient you to that. 4 So was that examination done by you on April 19th, 5 2007? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And if we go, then, to RAD-2549. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. This is the corresponding photograph for K3319, or 10 photographs? 11 Α. These are photographs of K3319, yes. 12 Q. Again, the original better quality photograph would 13 have the points of similarities marked? 14 Digital -- yes. But digital images -- digital Α. 15 quality photos of these have been provided; they just aren't 16 reflected in this printout. 17 Okay. And those would -- but those would have the Q. 18 points of comparison marked? 19 Α. Yes. 20 For this item, for the actual item, K3319, the FBI Q. 21 Laboratory would maintain a chain of custody? 22 For the item of evidence? Α. 23 Q. Yes. sir.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Α. Yes. That item of evidence is going to be under the 2 original 02 lab number. 3 Q. And that chain of custody would say who handled it 4 and when? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. If I were to ask you, however, about the origin of 7 this item, the national security privilege would prevent you 8 from answering that question? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Sir, if we could go back to -- those are the Hawsawi 11 If we could go over now to 628BBB Attachment G. items. 12 That's the other binder we had a minute ago. 13 Α. Yes. 14 And if I could direct your attention to LBR-16986. Q. 15 Α Yes. 16 Q. This lists, again, items that were received on 17 April 26th, 2002? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. And it lists item K3170? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. That's one of the items you spoke about on direct? 22 Α. I think so, yes. 23 The next page, LBR-16987, it shows the receipt of Q.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 K3173? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And that's the other U.A.E. Exchange Centre item that Q. 4 you spoke about on direct? 5 Α. I believe so, yes. 6 Q. I know it's hard to remember the K numbers. 7 Α. Right. 8 If we go now to the other binder at AE 628JJJ Q. 9 Attachment C. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And if we could go to RAD-2478. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. This lists the comparison that you did, same Q. 14 situation as we talked about before, but the comparison you 15 did for item K3170 at the bottom of page 2478. 16 Α. Thank you. Yes. 17 Q. Again, for that item, there would be a corresponding 18 photograph, high-quality photograph where we could see the 19 actual similarities that you noted? 20 Α. Yes. 21 For the item itself, K3170, the FBI would maintain a Q. 22 chain-of-custody log? 23 Α. Yes.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. The chain-of-custody log would tell us who handled 2 the item? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And it would tell us when? Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. However, if I were to ask you for the origin of item 7 K3170, the national security privilege would prevent from you 8 answering that question? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. So on page RAD-2479, which is the following page ----11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. ---- is the results of your examination for K3173? 13 It's at the bottom of the page. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. That was on May 1st, 2007? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. There, again, would be a corresponding photograph to show the -- high-quality photograph to show the points of 18 19 similarity you found? 20 Α. Yes. 21 For that item, K3173, for the original item, the FBI Q. 22 would maintain a chain-of-custody sheet? 23 Α. Yes.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Q.	However, if I were to ask you about the origin of
2	that ite	m, the national security privilege would prevent you
3	from ans	wering that question?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	The last item I want to talk about is found at
6	RAD-2479	, and the item is K3757. Do you see that?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	That's an item that you talked about on direct?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q .	You did an examination of it on April 19th, 2007?
11	Α.	Not the actual item, no, just the photographs.
12	Q .	Okay. The photographs were taken on April 19th,
13	2007?	
14	Α.	The photographs weren't taken that day. The
15	photogra	phs were new photographs were examined that day.
16	Q.	Okay, I'm sorry. When you said the photographs, you
17	meant th	at you examined the photograph, not the actual item?
18	Α.	Correct.
19	Q.	Okay. I'm tracking.
20		And the results of your examination would be
21	document	ed on a high-quality image?
22	Α.	Yes. Well, on the original photo, yes.
23	Q.	The FBI would maintain a chain-of-custody log for

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 K3757? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Telling us who handled it? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 And when they handled it? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. I mean, would you agree with me that's important for 8 us to know -- you would agree with me that these items could 9 be used in evidence later? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And would you agree it's important to know who 12 handled the item? 13 Α. Yes. 14 However, if I were to ask you about the origin of Q. 15 that item, the national security privilege would prevent you 16 from answering that? 17 TC [MR. RYAN]: Objection, sir. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Basis. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: Areas beyond this witness' ability to 20 answer. 21 MJ [Col COHEN]: Are you allowed to disclose what the 22 origin of this is? 23 The item he's asking me about right now is not the WIT:

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 same origin as the other items he was asking about, so I don't 2 actually know the answer to the question. This is referring 3 to a different collection that we haven't referenced yet. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Counsel, based on that response, 5 do you have -- do you want to ask different questions in a 6 different way? 7 ADC [Capt ANDREU]: Sure. 8 Q. Do you know where that item came from? 9 Α. That -- just to be clear, you're asking about 3757, 10 correct? 11 Q. Correct. 12 Α. This is a different lab report than the one we've 13 been referring to before. The other one was 020426016 and 14 this is 020805005. 15 Q. Yes. 16 Α. I don't -- I don't know the answer. I can look at 17 it, but I don't -- it's not the same one we've been talking 18 back and forth about, so I don't know.

**19** Q. Okay. Okay. We'll come back to that.

Sir, if I could -- if we could change gears now and
talk a little bit about the print cards or major case prints
that the FBI had on file for Mr. al Baluchi.

23 A. Sure.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Q.	You talked about on direct how at one point you and
2	some oth	ers came down to Guantanamo to take the prints of
3	Mr. al B	aluchi and other detainees?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	That was between 9 and 14 May 2008, does that sound
6	right?	
7	Α.	Yes. Can I put this binder away?
8	Q .	Yes, sir. Actually, why don't we go to AE 628BBB
9	Attachme	nt F.
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	And I'm at LBR-6131.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Same question. And maybe this will refresh your
14	recollec	tion
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	since it's a date from in the past, you know,
17	years ba	ck. Between May 9th and May 14th, 2008, is when you
18	came to	Guantanamo?
19	Α.	Yes. That's what it says on the page you're
20	referrin	g to.
21	Q .	And do you agree with that?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q .	And that was for the purpose of recording major case

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 prints of the detainees? 2 Α. Yes 3 Q. On May 10th, 2008, you recorded the major case prints 4 of Mr. al Baluchi. 5 May I move to the page to ----Α. 6 Q. Yes, sir. That's going to be on LBR-6133. 7 Α. On May 10th, 2008, yes. It indicates that major case 8 prints were collected that day. 9 Q. And that would be by you? 10 Α. By me and three other people, yes. 11 Q. When you say by you and three other people, how does 12 that work? 13 In this case, because of the nonstandard mess of this Α. 14 case, the intention -- the intention of recording prints is 15 twofold: That you get all of the area that you need of a 16 sufficient quality, and also that the person collecting the 17 prints is going to be available to testify. 18 And because in this situation, we knew it was not a 19 normal time frame, multiple people witnessed the collection of 20 the prints to ensure that one of those people would be 21 available to testify to their origin in this court.

Q. It says major case prints. Are major case printsdifferent than other recorded prints?

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** A. Yes.

**2** Q. How so?

3 Α. Generally when you refer to known prints, it's a 4 10-print card, which is ten rolled fingers and ten slaps 5 across the bottom. Major case prints are a complete 6 collection of the friction ridge skin on the palmar surface of 7 the hand. So it includes palm prints, it includes lower 8 joints, it includes multiple captures of each finger in order 9 to try and collect the entire area of friction ridge skin. 10 Q. The examinations that we've talked about today that 11 you did occurred in 2007; was that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 That I've talked about? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And this is from 2008. So obviously that means the 16 FBI had other prints of Mr. al Baluchi available for 17 comparison before this -- before these major case prints were 18 taken. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Is there a way to figure out how many print cards or Q. 21 major case prints for Mr. al Baluchi the FBI had in its

22 custody?

23 A. Yes.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** Q. How so?

**2** A. I have turned them over and they're numbered.

**3** Q. Okay. You've turned them over to?

**4** A. They were part of discovery.

5 Q. And they -- they date exactly when the FBI had6 custody of those print cards?

**7** A. No.

Q. So they are -- what information would they have?
9 Would they have the date the print was taken, or the ---10 A. They have the date of collection, but it doesn't
11 indicate when they came to us. So in this case, there was a
12 lot of cards that came to us on a variety of ways, which some
13 I believe are classified.

14 Q. Okay. I'll tell you what, we will -- we'll just pick15 that up in the classified session.

16 Α. Okay. To answer your question, all the prints that 17 are associated with an FBI number are maintained in this case 18 by me, and we call it the KSL, which is the Known Standards 19 Library. Every recording that was collected is there, and 20 each one is numbered in the top right-hand corner. And that 21 indicates -- so you, when you get a full set of prints, you 22 know that you have the full set. And we want examiners to 23 have the full set, so they don't have any inconclusives or

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

prints they're not able to come to a decision on. So it's in
 the examiner's best interests to have every card.

And the reason we number them is so that the examiner
4 looking at them can confirm, I have numbers 1 through 24, I
5 have all cards within the FBI's holdings, and I used every -6 all available resources to make this decision.

7 Q. So the examiner then would document which card they8 used?

9 A. They don't document which card they used. They
10 document the person that they used, and they indicate that
11 they had all available cards. Because one comparison might
12 come from multiple cards.

So it's often the situation that an area of an inked print is -- let's say it's better around the pattern area in one print, but the tip, which they also need, is better in another. So we actually encourage examiners to use all of the information instead of only one recording, because no two recordings are the same.

So often when you make a comparison, you might use the rolled and the slapped, or you might use the rolled on two separate cards. So it does not indicate in any way where that comparison was performed within the stack of known cards.
Q. Sure. But it would then document what the known

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 cards that they had -- the specific known cards that they had
2 available to them?

A. Yes. It would indicate that the person had, you
4 know, the prints -- this is an unusual situation because we
5 don't normally have 25 sets of cards. We normally have one or
6 two cards, so you just have those two cards.

7 But it is policy that you use all available resources 8 to come to conclusions rather than inconclusives, which leaves 9 it sort of open ended. In that situation, you would normally 10 ask for a new card. But in this situation, that wasn't really 11 an option, which is why we wanted them to have everything they 12 had instead of -- normally in the report you would write that 13 you need additional cards, but this was not a situation where 14 we could do that.

**15** ADC [Capt ANDREU]: Could I have one moment?

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

17 [Counsel conferred.]

**18** ADC [Capt ANDREU]: Thank you, sir. That's all I have.

19 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Are there any additional open20 session questions of this witness?

21 Negative response from the parties.

22 Mr. Ryan, with respect to closed session testimony,23 do you have any of this witness?

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: Well, first, Your Honor, no redirect in2 open.

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Oh, sorry. Yeah. Right. I was -- that
4 was one of my questions, so ----

5 TC [MR. RYAN]: I have no redirect in open session
6 testimony -- in open session I have no redirect. As far as
7 closed is concerned, I have no direct.

**8** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you, sir.

9 Captain Andreu, it was -- it is pronounced Andreu,10 correct?

**11** ADC [Capt ANDREU]: Yes, sir.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Like I said, things have moved
along fairly well. How -- ballpark is fine. The number of
questions you might have, for example, how many of those do
you have for closed session?

ADC [Capt ANDREU]: Sir, I don't think my closed sessionquestions would take any longer than 20 minutes.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I tell you what then, I'm going to
try to have the setup done in about 30 minutes so we can begin
at about 1145 with the closed session testimony. Then I
have -- I want to ask the parties -- well, let me see what
Mr. Connell has to say first.

**23** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I was just going to throw out the

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

idea of we have a fair amount of time left before the lunch
 break. We could probably cover most, if not all, of the other
 pending motions, the 628B/538/561, which is all in one, and
 then the 655 from the government and then the 637.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I will -- okay. Is the government
amenable to that? I'll just release the witness for now and
bring him back this afternoon after lunch and we just take up
some oral argument?

9 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, we are amenable to that. 10 And I'll add another item is I would request and recommend 11 that we do that, and then when we break, everyone take their 12 gear out, because we're going to be going into a closed 13 session ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, sir. I think that's a good idea.
CP [BG MARTINS]: ---- and turn back on the device. But
let's do a careful scrub of everybody.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Sir, I absolutely agree. We'll do that.18 Everyone, if you'll just take your stuff out.

Sir, I'm going to temporarily excuse you. I will
have you return at -- just to be safe, I'm just going to say
we're going to do closed session testimony at 1400, so if you
could be available at 1400 today.

WIT: Okay.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: And then you may have an extended lunch, 2 or whatever, but that will also allow us to take security 3 precautions with respect to the system and gives us some time 4 to have that checked out. 5 You are released until 1400. Please do not discuss 6 the content of your testimony with anyone other than the 7 prosecution and the defense. 8 WIT: Okay. Thank you. 9 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you. Carry on. You're temporarily 10 excused. 11 [The witness was warned, temporarily excused, and withdrew 12 from the courtroom.] 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Counsel, I'm going to take a -- a quick 14 10-minute recess just for comfort. And we'll get back on the 15 record no later than 1130, which we'll continue to -- until 16 1230 or as needed. All right. We're in recess. 17 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1118, 25 September 2019.] 18 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1136, 19 25 September 2019.] 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: The commission is called to order. All 21 parties are present as were previously present when we 22 recessed. Okay. All right. 23 Mr. Connell, why don't we just start. You had asked

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 for 20 minutes to kind of briefly address, I believe it was
2 the 538/561 discussion ----

**3** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.

MJ [Col COHEN]: ---- as well as some other matters. And
so I will -- I will definitely -- let's call it a discussion
for now.

7 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Let me get my -- yes, sir. And my
8 time hack is 1139.

9 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's fine. I won't hold you to the10 very minute, I promise.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The -- I have produced a set of slides
which are -- have been marked AE 538Y. I have been informed
by the CISO that they have not been approved for public
display, and ----

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I'm aware of what this is then.16 He had mentioned this to me.

17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We have agreed that we will just show18 them to the parties and to the court.

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: Sounds good.

20 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you. So the first issue that I21 want to address is the proposed path forward on witnesses.

**22** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Sounds good.

**23** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I took the military commission's

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	comments the other day about the military commission's
2	intention is to move forward on witnesses as expeditiously and
3	as fulsomely as possible. And so I have do you have the
4	slides in front of you, sir?
5	MJ [Col COHEN]: I will now. Thank you.
6	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. I have laid out a proposal,
7	I gave this to the government yesterday for them to work. But
8	essentially, in the first column, which is for the next
9	hearing, just to give you my thoughts on it yes, please.
10	May we have the feed from Table 4?
11	MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. Just not to the public. Just
12	to
13	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Not to the public.
14	MJ [Col COHEN]: Just to counsel and myself.
15	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you, sir. I appreciate that.
16	The and I'll just summarize the positions of the parties.
17	The with respect to Antoon, the government is waiting for
18	the resolution of 650
19	MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.
20	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: and the pieces that flow from
21	that to make it to formalize its position.
22	MJ [Col COHEN]: We are busily working on that. My goal
23	is to get that to you all as soon as possible.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you, sir. 2 With respect to the camp commander, the government 3 has been working hard to produce the additional discovery that 4 it committed to. And as soon as they produce it, I will have 5 it to the analysts for their review, and we'll intend to be prepared at the next hearing to go forward. 6 7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 8 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Fitzsimmons is -- so my idea on --9 those are two carryovers from this time. 10 With respect to the third -- the other three, my idea 11 was to group them by Karachi 2002-2003, is the idea ----12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Makes sense. 13 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- because they're basically all 14 about the same subject matter. It's easier for everybody to 15 follow, easier for people to prepare, et cetera. 16 And so that would be Special -- retired Special 17 Agent Fitzsimmons, and I understand that the government is 18 checking his availability. 19 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 20 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Special Agent Butsch, who I don't 21 think there's any real issue with. 22 The -- Special Agent Zebley -- or retired Special 23 Agent Zebley is marked in blue there because he is not a

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 person that the government thus far has agreed to produce.

**2** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: If called upon to do so, I am prepared4 to argue his one piece of 628B to compel him.

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: But I think his name will be very
7 familiar to the military commission from how often he was
8 mentioned as a sort of central coordinator around flow of
9 information between CIA and FBI by Special Agent Fitzgerald
10 and Special Agent Perkins.

In addition, I will tell you that Special Agent Perkins testified a little bit about her experience in Karachi in 2002. Special Agent Zebley's experience was much more in-depth, and he has the -- she mentioned sort of generally the ideas, but his experience was much closer tied to the ultimate issues which are before this military commission ----

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Copy.

**19** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- in the motions to suppress.

**20** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Furthermore, he actually has a lot of
 information about the number, quality, sequence, and
 circumstances of the exchange of information between the FBI

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and the CIA in the requirements. And the court may recall
2 that in the <u>Moussaoui</u> decision from the Fourth Circuit, he is
3 the only special agent that is mentioned by name because he
4 was so central to that process and provided information to the
5 Fourth Circuit and to the Eastern District of Virginia about
6 that.

If -- he prepared a declaration, which we'd be
prepared to file with the military commission, if necessary.
But I'm not sure that -- I'm not sure -- the government is
assessing its position on Special Agent Zebley, but our
position is, let's do the Karachi witnesses at one time, let's
get these people here, and this seems like about two weeks'
worth of work.

**14** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I understand.

15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, with respect to the former
16 interpreter, we have a -- it seems like a consensus is
17 emerging over dates for ----

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Let's not discuss the dates at this19 point.

20 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: No, no, I'm not ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: No, no, I just wanted to make -- I think
that's one of the issues that we're dealing with.

23 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: It's not -- we can -- it's not exactly

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 what I had notated here.

**2** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Okay.

3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: But it's not far off of that. I will
4 let the court reporters know, or we'll do an 802, however you
5 choose.

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Yeah. So the way I anticipate 7 that I will do this is I meant it when I said you guys just 8 tell me the dates we can do it, and I will be there. I'm 9 going to be the deposition officer, and it's the -- a proposed 10 location and time. I will issue an order, most likely under 11 seal, and -- yeah, you guys just let me know, whatever the 12 consensus is. I am ready to help the parties get the 13 information so that we can address this issue once and for 14 all.

15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.

With respect to January, you know, one of the things
we were all trying to do this week was assess how these things
work and what takes longer and what can move faster.

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: Right.

**20** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We've seen examples of both.

21 MJ [Col COHEN]: We have.

22 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Special Agent Fitzgerald took longer
23 -- took 50 percent longer than the government thought and 25

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

percent longer than I thought; whereas Special Agent Perkins,
 we were able to move through very expeditiously.

You asked me once how long I thought my -- just my questioning of Mitchell would take, and at the time I gave a three-day estimate. I now think that that was optimistic, having watched how this process works. In part because there are -- the Mitchell examination is so document intensive. I mean, it has so many documents which are attached to it, which creates some friction, slows things down.

**10** MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely.

11 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Especially, if he is the sort of 12 person who wants to review each document before answering 13 questions about it. I'm not blaming him for that, but that --14 it's also a -- an examination that involves a lot of video and 15 audio, which can also add some friction, slow things down at 16 some times.

So I -- I also think, from my conversations with my
colleagues, that it will be difficult for at least some of
them to let Mitchell go by without questioning him. So that's
my belief.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I would -- that would not shock me. So I
understand.

23 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Right. And then I've also proposed --

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 obviously, this is a little farther out. We can cross that
2 bridge maybe when we come to it, but I have proposed a path
3 forward for February as well.

But the important part is that my proposal for
February is in a different color because I think the best
thing in February would be to do depositions in the National
Capital Region, and I think that we could avoid some of these
witnesses traveling to Guantanamo. And there are reasons why
some of them should -- would not want to.

First, the first three are fairly short, and bringing them down here for a week for a half day or an hour and a half of testimony might not be the most efficient. With respect to the UFI witnesses, obviously those present their own special challenge. It's likely that they will be in closed session entirely, and doing them as a deposition sort of -- sort of evades a lot of problems.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: And I will tell you that the
government asked would my -- and I speak only for myself -intention be that it might be possible that we could play some
of these depositions as trial depositions, and in many
situations, I don't see why that wouldn't work.

**23** Obviously, there could be examples, more discovery,

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 change of circumstances, something else that might change 2 that, but, you know, in general I will tell you that when it 3 comes to, especially sentencing phase, we may be playing some 4 videos, and I -- it seems like that could work. 5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okav. 6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: So that's my proposal. 7 I'd like to turn from that -- I have 11 minutes left. 8 I'd like to turn from that to the last slide, which is what I 9 think we've learned about discovery this week. 10 The -- my thought, sir, and you've asked -- have 11 allowed me some time to do those thoughts -- is that there are 12 fundamentally two problems. The main problem is that the 13 government's discovery practice has come unmoored from the 14 rules, and the second is that the legal environment has 15 changed while the discovery has remained static. 16 With respect to the major problem, like the theme 17 that underlies so many of the difficulties in examining 18 witnesses last week, and the invocations of national security 19 privilege, and where it's unclear what's happening there, when 20 witnesses can't answer because something's redacted, have to 21 do with the unilateral redactions -- not the 505 process, but 22 the unilateral redactions of the government which they claim 23 under the authority of relevance.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And I'll just give just a little bit of history of
 that. I'm conscious of my time. But if you look at AE -- the
 AE 161 series, it lays out sort of the origin of this and how
 it all went wrong. Just to give you an example, in the first
 tranche of 302s the government produced, it produced 6,384
 302s and in those 6,384 302s there were 128,833 redactions.

7 The -- I remember well that I calculated at the time
8 that if you considered each of those redactions an inch and
9 laid them out end to end, it would be 1.3 miles, which happens
10 to be the exact distance from the ferry landing on the Leeward
11 side to the ferry landing on the Windward side.

We objected in the AE 161 series. The government
defended their position in AE 161B on relevance redactions,
and then there were some subsequent productions which were
less, but still heavily redacted versions.

16 The -- given the witness testimony this week, one 17 thing that we know is that more than 90 percent of the 302s in 18 the case have not been produced by the government. 165,000 19 302s, generously -- I'm under pressure to slow down as well, 20 as well as to go fast.

MJ [Col COHEN]: You're fine, Counsel. We're doing fine.
 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Generously, 13,000 302s produced by
 government, and so we're well under 10 percent.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

This leads to the situations, as it did this week,
 where, for example, the government had to produce a 302 after
 I had asked the witness, "Hey, why isn't there a 302 on this?"
 And I do appreciate that effort. I do not want to be
 misunderstood. I appreciate the conscientiousness of going
 back and checking and the effort of doing so, but it really
 should not be necessary that that have to happen.

8 There -- what we've seen, for example, is redactions
9 on a lot of key items. And if I could show you -- Your Honor,
10 if I could have access to the document camera?

11 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

12 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I'm showing you FBI-21253, which is 13 found in the record at AE 628CC Attachment H. It's hard to 14 see how these, for example, are relevance redactions. This, 15 you might recall, is the loop about al Shorabi. And the 16 al Shorabi loop -- information loop was unique in that it 17 suggested, at least, that Special Agent Grave de Peralta, with 18 the assistance of Special Agent Fitzgerald, was interrogating 19 someone at Guantanamo and then at least receiving information 20 from the interrogations of Mr. Bin'Attash and Mr. Khalid 21 Shaikh Mohammad, and then returning back and using the answers 22 to that.

23

And so when you look at the redactions on, for

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 example, FBI-21253, it seems to be redactions relating --2 describing the very detainees who were at issue. The --3 al Shorabi insisted that he knows those who have been talking 4 about him are being tortured to say things about him, an echo 5 of Mr. Mohammad's statements in the CSRT. al Shorabi stated, 6 "If" -- long redaction -- "are brought to him and they tell 7 him they are not being tortured, then he will tell everything 8 that he knows." It's hard to see how that is a redaction for 9 relevance, a unilateral redaction for relevance, as opposed to 10 for actually hiding information.

11 There's one more good example -- there's lots of good 12 examples, but another example of the same thing in this at 13 FBI-21255 is, "al Shorabi stated" -- redaction, redaction --14 "are brought to him and they tell him personally they have not 15 been tortured, then he will tell everything that he knows."

16 It looks very much like the same reference because we
17 know -- and I won't take the time to put it up there, but we
18 know from the next 302 in the series that Grave de Peralta
19 leaves for a substantial period of time, comes back and says,
20 "You told me that I should talk to Mr. Mohammad and
21 Mr. Bin'Attash. I held up my end of the bargain. Now it's
22 time for you to hold up your end of the bargain."

So this is like a fairly -- it's unique in my

23

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

experience of this discovery, a uniquely powerful example of
 the coordination between FBI, CIA, and how powerful the CIA
 information and access to it is in interrogations. But to the
 actual point, it's very difficult to see how that is a
 relevance redaction.

6 It leads us to the same issue -- and we know since
7 this is a 302, that it was originally unclassified, because
8 Special Agent Fitzgerald testified that 302s -- they had to
9 use an LHM in this case, for example, because 302s could not
10 be classified.

11 But we have -- I don't know what this paragraph says. 12 It follows -- and I'm on page 21254. It follows another 13 discussion of possible use of torture, and the interviewing 14 agent here is mocking the idea of torture. And then there's a 15 completely blacked-out paragraph. And it's hard to see how, 16 given the context of the paragraph above it and the context of 17 the paragraph before it, as well as every other paragraph in a 18 long series of 302s, that this would be redacted for relevance 19 as opposed to something else.

**20** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Now, we are at a structural
disadvantage there, Your Honor. Because we can go with -- and
sometimes do, with individual 302s and say, "Hey, can I have a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 less redacted version of this?" And sometimes, you know, they 2 will unredact an item. Recently one, we asked for a 3 less-redacted version of a 302 and they unredacted the word, 4 "source." So we still didn't know who the witness was, it was 5 just it said "source." But the key thing to that is there's 6 been zero judicial review of that process. It is not like the 7 505 process, it is an entirely unilateral decision made by the 8 government.

9 Now, the -- when the military commission addressed in
10 AE 161J, it held that the government could make unilateral
11 redactions in unclassified material for relevance, although
12 not for PII. But the government has taken that same view and
13 applied it to classified, which falls under a much different
14 rule. 506 is structured very differently than 505 is.

15 And M.C.R.E. 505(f)(1)(A) is quite clear: If the 16 government seeks to delete or withhold classified information, 17 it must go through the 505 process. Relevance redactions do 18 not apply in -- within a document, do not apply to classified 19 information because obviously the government can entirely 20 withhold some documents. Like 99.999 percent of classified 21 documents in the world are irrelevant to us. But having 22 decided that the document is relevant, if it wants to withhold 23 or delete information under 505(f)(1)(A), it has to come

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 through the military commission. However, the government has2 done so unilaterally.

I won't put this example on the screen because it is marked SECRET, although every paragraph on this page is, in fact, marked UNCLASSIFIED. But the government -- but the example is found in AE 628DD at FBI-20557, and this is the follow-up to the example that I just gave about al Shorabi.

8 And the -- Special Agent Grave de Peralta says, 9 "However, there are a number of items in which al Shorabi did 10 not answer accurately" -- redacted, redacted. "The 11 interviewing agent reminded al Shorabi that it was al Shorabi 12 who stated that the interviewing agent should go and talk with 13 Bin'Attash regarding al Shorabi's involvement in al Qaeda and 14 the trip to Malaysia. The interviewing agent told al Shorabi 15 he had lived up to his end of the bargain, but now it is up to 16 al Shorabi to address the issues."

I think that redaction -- which would be hard to see
how it would be for relevance -- would shed a lot of light
about the debate which sort of took place about, was Special
Agent Grave de Peralta -- had he actually gone to see
Bin'Attash and interrogate him in CIA custody, or was he
referring to some sort of ruse? What's the answer to that?
We don't know, and there's no real way for us to know. It's

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 not a 505 redaction because it was never approved by the2 military commission.

Now, the government, however, what we -- now that
we're actually into testimony, we see that the government has
gone beyond deletions and withholding under
M.C.R.E. 505(f)(1)(A) to unilateral substitutions, which can

7 only be authorized by a judge under M.C.R.E. 505(f)(2)(C).

8 And the example that I want to give, and I can't put 9 it on the screen, but is found in AE 628T Attachment B, at 10 PRG-777. This is the piece of information that I have 11 described as the most important single piece of discovery that 12 I ever received. And it's an e-mail which is from CIA officer 13 to CIA officer, and then a bunch of other CIA officers are 14 mentioned, and then one real name, and one UFI.

And when I went -- and, sir, I'll tell you that my 20
minutes are expired. If I could have just a couple of more
minutes, I'll wrap up.

18 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The -- when -- this is not a document 20 that the government ever put through the 505 process. And so 21 I sent a request to the government: Could you assign these 22 people UFIs so that we could at least debate about them, you 23 know, with a number as opposed to just one of dozens or

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 hundreds or maybe thousands of CIA officers.

The government's response was to decline that request
but to say that they would treat it as a request for an
interview under Protective Order #4, meaning that they would
send their -- their request to them.

6 And I said, no, don't do that, because that's not 7 actually what I'm asking for here. If I could figure out who 8 they were, if I had a UFI, I might want to exercise option 2 9 under Protective Order #4, as I described to the military 10 commission that I've done before, where we leave the 11 government out of it altogether and I go through OSS to ask 12 for delivery of the letter.

13 But worst of all, Your Honor, in the discovery 14 practice is 914. There's a direct and unambiguous order in 15 AE 502ZZZ, but not only that, there's a very specific rule 16 in -- in M.C.R.E. 505(1)(4) -- no, excuse me, (i)(4), India 4, 17 which very specifically says that when we're talking about 18 withholding prior statements of a witness, only a judge, a 19 military judge, can authorize the withholding of portions of 20 914 materials because, obviously, there's otherwise a direct 21 rule requiring their production.

And an example of that, Your Honor, is found at
AE 628T Attachment C, and AE 628 Attachment C at FBI-23728.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And this is a document which was -- excuse me. Let me get a
 better example. The 628T Attachment C is actually a statement
 by Special Agent Fitzgerald that the government has made
 unilateral redactions to without coming through the military
 commission.

6 The last point I want to address is the problem of
7 dates. This problem has flowed throughout numerous AE series:
8 The 534 series, the 562 series, the 286 series. But I just
9 want to give you this example.

10 And if I could have access to the document camera?
11 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, I'm showing the military
commission AE 573 Attachment B, and this is FOUO. Could it be
displayed to the gallery?

**15** MJ [Col COHEN]: It may.

16 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, while that's coming up to the 17 gallery, I'll say -- I'll represent that this is a summary of 18 a CIA cable regarding statements by Mr. al Baluchi very early 19 in his -- in his custody. The question of Karachi will come 20 into play here. The -- there will probably be some testimony 21 about this. And it just describes mid-2003 with no additional 22 information.

23

It so happens, however -- and I'm showing you ----

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: What's the citation on that again, 2 Counsel? Where will I find that at? 3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: AE 573 Attachment B. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you. 5 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: It so happens that the -- thanks to 6 the conscientious work of Jason Leopold and BuzzFeed, the --7 the same cable was released under the Freedom of Information 8 Act, and you can find that cable at AE 573 Attachment C. 9 And I just want to bring the military commission's 10 attention to the sort of information which is being released 11 to the public, which is not being -- so it's obviously 12 unclassified, that is not being contained in these summaries 13 that we receive. 14 The -- for example, it has headers that we know 15 where -- first of all, we can tell it's a cable. Second of 16 all, it has headers on the cable. Like we know it's going to 17 Alec Station. We have a subject to it, "2 May 2003 Ammar 18 al Baluchi interview," which means that we also know the 19 date that the interrogation takes place. 20 Furthermore, we know -- and this is at the middle of 21 the page -- the date that the cable was sent because we can 22 read 021643 Zulu time on May 2003.

23

It gives us a little bit of other information as

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

well. For example, this is the document from which we know
 that American authorities were involved in this interrogation
 because unlike the cable, the FOIA version contains -- and
 this is the highlighted material at the bottom of the first
 page of AE 573 Attachment C -- that an officer monitored via
 CCTV and actively participated in the planning.

7 We know from the SSCI Report, which describes this
8 particular cable in a footnote, that that was a CIA officer,
9 which although that's blocked out of this, it's clearly a CIA
10 cable.

The -- there are some other changes. For example, the -- there are -- for reasons that I've never fully understood, the -- there are some materials, and I was just showing some examples, some -- which appear in the document itself, some examples of material which is left out of the cable for no clearly apparent reason.

17 And I'm done with the document camera.

**18** MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you.

19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: So the -- to wrap this up, I do
20 believe that systemically there's a problem with the discovery
21 process.

Now, at the 802 the other day, the government askedfor the opportunity to try to address some of these problems,

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and I met with the government for a substantial period of time 2 and described my priorities; and to be fair to myself, I also 3 described proposals for how I thought, within the interagency 4 process, the government could actually solve some of these 5 problems.

6 Now, my knowledge of the interagency process is very 7 minimal. I'm sure I'm naive and don't really understand it, 8 but I did my best to pitch -- not just here are my problems, 9 but here are my solutions. And the government has said that 10 it will report back by 10 October with the results of its 11 investigations on those questions.

12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

13 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you so much for your indulgence, 14 sir.

15 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

16 Are there any other comments -- before we go into any 17 other types of issues, are there any additional comments with 18 respect to the matters, particularly the dates and the way 19

forward, from any other defense counsel?

20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Dates of?

21 MJ [Col COHEN]: With respect to -- it would be the second 22 slide of the one that went up. Ms. Bormann?

23 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: The dates of the ----

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah.

**2** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- deposition, the ----

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah, the witnesses slide that was not4 published to the gallery.

5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, as I understand it right now, I
6 can't talk about possible deposition dates in December because
7 we can't go there; is that right?

8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Or November or January or whenever it is.9 Right.

10 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, what Mr. Connell has down was11 not ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah, I'm not looking for a final date onthat. I was just looking to see if there was ----

14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, we have an e-mail that's been15 going around amongst all of the parties.

**16** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Those dates were not part of that18 e-mail.

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

**20** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: It's very close to those dates.

**21** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

22 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So -- but I just don't know how many23 days you were anticipating.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Four days is what I planned on allotting. LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Okay. So I think we have ----2 3 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 4 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- within a week of -- I mean, what 5 I got from Mr. Ryan, via Mr. Trivett, was a particular week, 6 and ----7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Great. So it sounds like we're 8 all pretty close, then. Because I'll do whatever dates you 9 guys tell me we need do, so ----10 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: We've narrowed it to a one-week 11 period, and -- yeah, so ----12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- from our perspective. 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: Excellent. Thank you, ma'am. 15 Mr. Ryan. 16 TC [MR. RYAN]: I imagine another e-mail or two and we'll 17 have it ----18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Perfect. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- solidified, sir. 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: Like I said, you guys just tell me the 21 date and the location and I'll put it in an order and issue 22 that under seal. 23 Mr. Trivett.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So, sir, are you instructing us to 2 find the location?

MJ [Col COHEN]: If you have a proposal of where -- what
makes sense, that would be ideal. I'm -- I won't talk about
the location. I know where I'm stationed. I don't have a lot
of SA on other locations where we could do this. I'm
stationed at Langley Air Force Base, so I know we probably
can't do it there.

**9** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir, we'll look into it.

**10** MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.

11 Ms. Radostitz.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Your Honor, we're going to address
some more in our argument on 639, 653 ----

**14** MJ [Col COHEN]: Perfect.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: And I have spoken with Mr. Connell,
I would appreciate if we could do that tomorrow morning which
is when I had understood we were going to do it.

**18** MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, ma'am.

ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: I do want to make the record that we
are opposed to doing any depositions when we cannot have
access to Mr. Mohammad or cannot make an argument for him to
be present.

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: So we will make a record on that for 2 now but we'll also address it in the other argument. 3 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. 4 ADC [MS. RADOSTITZ]: Thank you, sir. 5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am. All right. 6 TC [MR. RYAN]: Pardon me, Your Honor? 7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Ryan. 8 TC [MR. RYAN]: May I address the issue of scheduling 9 going forward as it pertains to witnesses over the next few 10 sessions? 11 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may, sir. Please. 12 [Counsel conferred.] 13 TC [MR. RYAN]: I'm sorry. 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: That's okay. 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I ask for the feed from that 16 side of the room, Judge. 17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah, absolutely, guys. Not to the 18 public, just to the judge and counsel. Thank you. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: Yes, sir. If I may? 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may, absolutely. 21 TC [MR. RYAN]: For the first week, I believe counsel's 22 comments for the most part are consistent with what I believe. 23 As for Mr. Antoon, it's not just 650. More

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** specifically, 641 was ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. I'm going to -- I'll set up a time
or I'll issue an order for a date at the conclusion of this.
I just don't -- I -- I want to be able to review those
materials, probably the day before I have that discussion with
you all.

7 TC [MR. RYAN]: Understood.

8 MJ [Col COHEN]: I've reviewed them several times already.
9 TC [MR. RYAN]: Understood.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Probably -- I hope to get 650 out before
I have that discussion with you. I'm hoping to get 650 out
towards the end of next week, if I can.

**13** TC [MR. RYAN]: Understood.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Bear with me. Give me a couple of days left or right of that. And then probably towards the Thursday and Friday of the -- so the 7th of October is a Tuesday, I believe, or a -- maybe a Monday -- yeah, I think it's a Tuesday -- or it may be a Monday. Yeah, it's a Monday. Sorry. No calendar in front of me.

20 So the week of the 14th, probably towards that21 Thursday-Friday.

22 TC [MR. RYAN]: Very good, sir. We're at your service,23 then. Let us know.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. And if you guys 2 could then start looking for a location to have that. 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: All right. I can clarify a little bit 4 further as to some of the witnesses ----5 MJ [Col COHEN]: And will that be enough time then to 6 address the Antoon? If I can have that discussion with you 7 all on the 16th -- or the -- between -- somewhere between the 8 16th and 18th of that week, can you all then produce Antoon 9 for the 26th of October? 10 TC [MR. RYAN]: It's certainly possible, sir. 11 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. 12 TC [MR. RYAN]: And we'll take that under advisement, 13 we'll be planning accordingly. 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okav. 15 TC [MR. RYAN]: As far as the other persons, I agree. We

16 have agreed to produce former Special Agent Fitzsimmons. As
17 counsel noted, he is not with the government, so we'll have to
18 check his availability. But in principle, in theory, I don't
19 disagree with him being scheduled for the next session.

We agree with the Mike Butsch being treated as well.
 After consultation with fellow prosecutors and with
 representatives of the FBI, we believe the production of
 Zebley, Mr. Zebley is appropriate.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

TC [MR. RYAN]: We will agree to that. Again, he is out
of the government. We will have to check as to his
availability.

5 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right.

6 TC [MR. RYAN]: I at this point have no idea what that may7 or may not be.

8 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. And I understand the
9 limitations on subpoena power for nongovernment employees. If
10 he -- if he's unwilling to travel to the island but we can
11 still take his testimony via VTC, I mean, I think that will be
12 preferable to not having his testimony at all.

13 TC [MR. RYAN]: All right. And Your Honor, as to this -14 that next session, 26 October to 9 November, although I think
15 we've nailed down a few names for certain, if -- barring
16 something unforeseen, I also want to point out that there are
17 several witnesses that we -- that we, the prosecution, seek to
18 bring down and have testify, to include Mr. Antol, who we made
19 reference to ----

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes.

21 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- over the last few weeks, and also
22 Special Agent Pellegrino ----

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- who was the FBI's statement witness 2 as to ----

3 MJ [Col COHEN]: I did hear that name quite a bit last4 week.

5 TC [MR. RYAN]: I would suggest, Judge, that maybe the
6 best move is the parties can ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, yeah. It doesn't sound
8 like -- it sounds like, as far as the witnesses, in particular
9 between the AAA team and the government, that there's probably
10 some consensus. I don't think they would be opposed to Antol
11 or Pellegrino testifying either if we can fit it in, so ----

12 TC [MR. RYAN]: And the only other mention I wanted to 13 make regarding witnesses, Your Honor, is for the 20 through 14 31 January session. Mr. -- Dr. Mitchell and Mr. Jessen, I 15 think, everyone understands, are closely situated in terms of 16 expected testimony, involvement, and so on. Those two 17 persons, we believe, over the course of a two-week session 18 should be able to be accomplished by ----

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

20 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- a military commission sitting for a 21 significant period of time each day. So we would request --22 and also, sir, I note that Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Jessen are 23 both represented by counsel, who we have been in touch with.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Counsel would wish to be present for their testimony, so
 obviously it helps -- it would help things if they were
 scheduled for the same time period as well. So we would seek
 to add Mr. Jessen to that 20 to 31 January time period.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I tell you what, I think everyone
wants to question them. I think -- I think -- like I said, I
will not be surprised if there are multiple questions of these
witnesses by multiple -- by multiple parties.

9 We'll just plan on a general trial schedule for that
10 time period of 0800 to 1800 for that two-week period, building
11 in some additional time with an hour-and-a-half lunch each
12 day.

**13** TC [MR. RYAN]: Very good, sir.

MJ [Col COHEN]: And then if you all want -- both in those
parameters want to get together and decide how much you really
think you can get done in that two-week period, I'm open to
all suggestions.

**18** TC [MR. RYAN]: Very good.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I'll let you all talk about that. I
don't want to go earlier than that, I don't want to go later
than that on any given day.

**22** TC [MR. RYAN]: Agreed.

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: Judge, I also failed to say, I hope I -- I 2 don't think I was clear -- the counsel who represents these 3 men is actually the same person. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 5 TC [MR. RYAN]: So being able to handle them both in the 6 same session, I'm sure, would be ----7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Understood. 8 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- conducive for their schedules as well 9 and certainly that should be taken into consideration, I 10 think, to the extent possible. 11 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right. I understand. And like I said, I 12 understand the significance of this testimony for everyone. 13 TC [MR. RYAN]: All right. May I have one moment, Your 14 Honor? 15 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 16 [Counsel conferred.] 17 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I just wanted to point out 18 that, based on communications the prosecution had with those 19 individuals as well as counsel, we believe that date -- those 20 dates are available, are being kept available for them. 21 MJ [Col COHEN]: Excellent. 22 TC [MR. RYAN]: They have, I should note for the 23 commission, agreed to be witnesses. They are private parties,

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

they have agreed to be witnesses. They have agreed thus far
 to be present in Guantanamo, which I think Your Honor would
 agree, after the last couple of weeks, makes things
 logistically easier in terms of displaying and showing
 things, so ----

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: I agree. It definitely would be7 preferable if they're willing to travel down here then ----

8 TC [MR. RYAN]: Right. So I think some good faith on the 9 part of the parties of trying to work with them, their counsel 10 to accomplish it, in what seems to me to be a significant 11 period of time would make sense. So I just ask Your 12 Honor ----

MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. Yeah, like I said, I'll go
ahead and give that as the generalized schedule, and then I
will let you all talk about what we can do. If I needed to go
into a Saturday that week, I can go into a Saturday.

17 TC [MR. RYAN]: Understood.

Your Honor, counsel is correct. We did meet for a
significant period of time regarding, I'll call them, specific
requests emanating from the 538/561 series. Your Honor was
kind enough to give us a suspense date, I believe, of 10 of -0 October ----

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: That is correct.

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 TC [MR. RYAN]: ---- in which to make our assessments and 2 hand over anything that we deem appropriate at this time. Ι 3 assure the commission we will work on that. I certainly can't 4 assure the commission we'll come -- we come to an agreement on 5 everything that counsel raised today, nor will I try to argue 6 it in a vacuum. We'll take our time that's been allotted to 7 us, we'll make our decisions, and we'll go from there. 8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, sir. 9 TC [MR. RYAN]: With that, Your Honor, subject to any 10 questions. 11 MJ [Col COHEN]: No. No. Like I said, I think -- like I 12 said, the way forward that's been proposed makes sense to me. 13 I think we'll be able to get -- we'll be busy over the next 14 three or four months. I'm going to let conferencing within 15 the defense community go and with the trial counsel with 16 respect to depositions in February. I am not 17 personally opposed to that idea for some -- for some of these 18 witnesses. Obviously, we know that at least one party would 19 not want that to happen. 20 So I guess just in general, what is the -- especially 21 with some of the UFI witnesses, what is -- does the government 22 have a preference with respect to depositions as opposed to 23 bringing them down here in some kind of disguise or something

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 like that? 2 TC [MR. RYAN]: That requires a conversation with my boss, 3 sir. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. 5 TC [MR. RYAN]: If I may? 6 MJ [Col COHEN]: You may. 7 [Counsel conferred.] 8 MJ [Col COHEN]: Mr. Ryan. 9 TC [MR. RYAN]: Thank you, sir, for the consideration. 10 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. 11 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, if -- and I emphasize if --12 the commission was to make a determination that testimony in 13 some form or another from a UFI witness was appropriate, 14 meaning necessary under all of the rules that govern this 15 very, very sensitive area, we would -- the prosecution would 16 request that the testimony take place during a normal session 17 of the commission where the witnesses could appear via VTC. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. 19 TC [MR. RYAN]: Thank you, sir. 20 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. 21 Mr. Sowards. 22 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Just a couple of other thoughts, Your 23 Honor ----

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely, sir.

2 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- for your consideration. Thank
3 you for the opportunity.

Just very briefly, I note that the decision, I
believe, has not yet been made with respect to calling
Mr. Reismeier and Foster.

MJ [Col COHEN]: That is -- that is correct. I need to -8 I, like counsel, probably need to see that -- those documents
9 before I can make that decision, but I would have to -- I
10 would need to factor that in to when we would be able to do
11 that.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Okay. And just for your thinking in
that regard, with respect to the 26 October to November
period, given some of the witnesses that Mr. Connell has
listed, I can represent that we most likely would be examining
some, if not all of them.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Excellent. Thank you for letting me know18 that.

**19** LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Sure.

20 MJ [Col COHEN]: That helps build that into it. All21 right.

22 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And that I think -- also, with respect
23 to the time allotted, that if -- just in terms of -- I mean,

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 it's -- obviously, it's the government's decision if they want
2 to add somebody on, but I think a witness of the significance
3 of Mr. Pellegrino makes that pretty unrealistic to slot him in
4 for the 26 October to -- I mean, 26 October to 9 November
5 slot.

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

7 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: But if the military judge were to
8 decide that Reismeier and Foster were to be called, that would
9 make more sense to put them there.

**10** MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: With -- and also we have requests, as
 you know, pending for 524 witnesses ----

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- which may be of a more economical
nature. I don't want to call them fillers because we think
they're important.

17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: But people who may be a little more
flexible, but people like, I think, Pellegrino, as well as
Drs. Mitchell and Jessen are fairly significant witnesses, so
I just bring that to your attention.

**22** MJ [Col COHEN]: No. Absolutely.

**23** LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And with respect, very briefly, to the

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

20 to 31 January proposal, I would just say, Your Honor -- and
 2 I know that you -- you have suggested before and we have done
 3 before the 0800 to 1800 time schedule and considering extra
 4 time.

Two pretty important considerations: One is that
these will be -- as we know, these are the doctors who were
directly involved with the torture ----

**8** MJ [Col COHEN]: Definitely.

9 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- of the defendants. They're 10 people that it's very important for not only the defendants to 11 be present and alert and watching their testimony so they can 12 consult with us before or after sessions to bring us up to 13 date as to things that the witnesses may be saying that we 14 should follow up on, in a certain cross-examine --

15 cross-examination way.

16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. I understand that. I understand17 that need.

18 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And that the hours that you're 19 proposing would require, as I understand it, probably for them 20 to depart the camp sometime around 5:00 a.m. to be able to get 21 here to then be in the container modules outside around 6:45 22 to capture ----

**23** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- the sort of time that we
ordinarily have available with them before court.

**3** MJ [Col COHEN]: Copy.

LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And the other consideration is that -and what I would very much want to avoid, and I know Your
Honor has been scrupulous and generous in allowing everyone
time to explore witnesses and take the time we reasonably need
to cover different subjects.

9 I'm a little worried that even with that sort of
10 latitude, there's going to be a sense of -- not quite
11 Draconian, but a real impetus to meet the schedule with these
12 two big witnesses.

13 And the one thing I can say about Mr. Connell is he's 14 never been less than credible in telling you what he needs 15 and, if anything, probably being optimistic. And given his --16 his estimate of the time he needs, and I know what we are 17 talking about on our team, what we would need, again for the 18 government's benefit -- they may want to bring down these 19 folks anyway together, but that Dr. Mitchell is probably going 20 to require most of that time.

And what I'm asking you not to do is to expand the
work hours of each individual day because the other
consideration is we have the sort of Hobson's choice of having

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the benefit of the clients to be able to be present in the 2 room with people -- and then you have to -- I think we can 3 probably, just as human beings, without having clinical 4 expertise, understand the psychic cost of being in a room with 5 someone who has tortured you over the course of three or four 6 years and hearing them answer questions in probably a very 7 clinical, objective, scientific-sounding way about what they 8 did to you and -- we also understand that that takes a big 9 toll on the clients.

And so to -- to minimize the number of -- or reduce
or mitigate the experience of that day by day would be
something we would ask the court to consider.

**13** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

14 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: And also understand that there is15 going to be a cumulative load in that regard.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. Yes, sir. I understand. I'll definitely consider all of that. Yeah, with respect to whether to produce both of them or not, the parties can keep me apprised. You're welcome to send -- if you just want to send an e-mail to my staff, joint e-mail, as far as what those discussions are. I can always attach it as an appellate exhibit ----

**23** LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you very much.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [Col COHEN]: ---- later. 2 That's the parameters, left and right parameters. Ι 3 would be willing to go 0800 to 1800 -- willing, not 4 necessarily saying I will do that. I'm just saying I want 5 everyone to consider all the different alternatives to include 6 everything that you just said as well. 7 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Okay. And we're also happy -- to the 8 extent it would help the military judge to present clinical 9 expert testimony on that, because we think both from a 10 clinical and a humane experience ----11 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 12 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- it would be ill advised. 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: All right. Thank you. 14 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir. 15 MJ [Col COHEN]: And then the reality is, yeah, if 16 everyone -- if significant cross-examination will be done by 17 multiple parties, that's also something we need to take into 18 consideration as to how many witnesses we can actually get 19 through. No, absolutely, sir. 20 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir. 21 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. So I think everyone's in 22 agreement. We definitely should call Dr. Mitchell, confer, 23 and we'll decide whether or not Dr. Jessen can actually also

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 testify realistically that week or during that time slot. 2 Ms. Bormann. 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I want to reiterate what Mr. Sowards 4 just -- the issues he just brought to your attention ----5 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am. 6 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- involving the sensitivity of 7 what's going to happen in January and also the timing of it. 8 So for us to get here -- you know, if court starts at 9 8:00, I meet with my client at 7:30, and so I need to get here 10 by about 7:00, which is fine. But I don't have to leave my 11 room at 5:00. 12 MJ [Col COHEN]: Right. 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So there's that, right? 14 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. 15 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: But beyond that, I wanted to address a 16 little bit of what's happening with Mr. Reismeier. 17 MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay. 18 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So just to bring everybody full 19 circle, right, because there's been no filing on this yet, you 20 ordered the government to provide you some discovery 21 materials ----22 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am. 23 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- that they clearly had not seen

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

yet because they still don't have them, and so they advised us
 earlier this week that they were going to need additional
 time.

4 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am.

5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Originally, your order was to have6 them to you by Friday. This coming Friday ----

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: Correct.

**8** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- two days from today.

9 They indicated in an e-mail that they were not going
10 to be able to comply with that order. I raised no objection.
11 And you told them in an oral order that they would have an
12 additional week to comply.

13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: The whole point of doing a motion to compel the discovery materials before the rest of the motions with respect to Mr. Reismeier and Mr. Foster is to apprise you and, I think, eventually the parties on the need for and the parameters of any witness examination.

**19** MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am.

20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That would inform the argument for the
21 motion to compel Messrs. Reismeier and Foster.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I agree. And that is exactly what
Mr. Montross -- was it Mr. Montross last week?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.

MJ [Col COHEN]: That's understood that's what he was
saying, as well as was, hey, I want to address that after I
have actually seen, because then I can make a more informed
decision.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right. I don't know what the
documents say, but if they follow a certain way, it may be
that the defense doesn't need to call Mr. Reismeier because
the case has been made. We don't know.

**10** MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So -- but we're not in a position to
argue for compelling either of those witnesses until we
actually have the discovery.

MJ [Col COHEN]: I definitely understand that as well. LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So assuming we get the discovery -you know, I don't know how long the commission will take to review it, but let's say we get the discovery a week after you review it, we could argue the motion to compel Mr. Reismeier at the beginning of the series of dates.

**20** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Then if you were to grant the motions
to compel their testimony, Mr. Foster and Mr. Reismeier, we
could possibly do them at the end of the October-November

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** hearings.

2 So it's possible to have them testify, but at this 3 early juncture, we're not in a position yet to argue it. 4 MJ [Col COHEN]: I understand. And I definitely 5 understood that before, and I have not changed my thought. 6 You know, another option that I -- that I have considered is, 7 depending on what the discovery is, not only may you decide 8 the case is made, I may decide that, yeah, I definitely need 9 to hear from them as well, just after I -- after I see that, 10 so --11 But yes, I will definitely give -- unless I sua 12 sponte order the -- order the testimony based on the filings 13 and what I see, I'll give you the opportunity to be heard. 14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you. That's all we ask for. 15 Thank you. 16 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yes, ma'am. 17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That's all we ask for. Thank you. 18 MJ [Col COHEN]: Absolutely. 19 All right. Mr. Harrington. Good to see you today, 20 sir. 21 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Good to see you, Judge. 22 Judge, the first thing is with respect to the 23 witnesses for October 26th. Four of those witnesses are UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 important witnesses on Mr. Binalshibh's case.

**2** MJ [Col COHEN]: Okay.

3 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: We haven't decided yet what we're
4 doing, but it's anticipated we will probably be questioning
5 them, which will take a significant amount of time, I just
6 wanted to alert you to that.

7 MJ [Col COHEN]: No. Thank you, sir. I appreciate --8 appreciate you doing that.

9 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And then the second thing, Judge, 10 is -- and this is just a housekeeping matter, but apparently last night in the rainstorm, the cameras at Echo II where we 11 12 visit our clients, went out. And that's -- and they're not up 13 today. And the SJA told me they probably will not be up 14 tomorrow. So if we have -- we're going to have open session, 15 as I understand it, tomorrow in court. I'm just alerting the 16 court that we would be requesting that we be able to visit 17 with our clients here in the courtroom in the afternoon 18 whenever we finish.

MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah. Not a problem. Like I said, in
fact, as long as we can start the closed session at 1400
today, how you all choose, if the government is going to let
you use that time with your clients, whatever, I have no
objection to that.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT 27268

1 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: I meant tomorrow, Judge. 2 MJ [Col COHEN]: Oh, right. But, yeah -- but tomorrow, 3 like I said, I will -- my understanding, my general 4 preference, I'll just state it now so you guys don't have to 5 keep asking is, to the extent that the government can accommodate a reasonable amount of time to meet with clients, 6 7 I understand the importance of that, it just makes sense, like 8 I said. 9 So I would encourage the parties to continue to use 10 the time that you have to meet with your clients, especially 11 given the geographic separation, et cetera. 12 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Okay. Thank you. 13 MJ [Col COHEN]: Thank you, Mr. Harrington. Absolutely. 14 Tomorrow will be just fine as well. 15 I anticipate tomorrow we'll take argument from 0900 16 to 1200 hours, if -- as needed. If we only need an hour and a 17 half of argument, I'm not going to ask you to fill up the 18 other hour and a half. 19 But then if you need to meet with your clients 20 afterwards for a reasonable amount of time afterwards, just 21 let the government know what that is, and -- like I said, it 22 just makes sense to go ahead and allow that to happen. All 23 right.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** Mr. Connell.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, my parting comment on that last
question is: You heard a lot of different views, a lot of
ideas. How is that going to be decided, and could you give us
the suspense? Just so we know whose ----

6 MJ [Col COHEN]: Yeah, okay. I tell you what, that's a 7 great question. How about -- how about by 7 October the 8 parties confer, and if the parties can let me know by the 7th 9 of -- well, no -- yeah, that would be right. That would be 10 next -- or not by the 7th, by next Friday, whatever that is. 11 4th maybe, 3rd or 4th? 4th is what my -- that's why I have 12 staff, let me know what the calendar says.

4th of October. If the parties can let me know by
the 4th of October exactly who we can agree makes sense to
bring down here and if there are some others that are in play,
let me know those as well. And then if I need to rule and
like, hey, let's go ahead and bring those just in case, I'm
more than happy to issue an order along those lines.

**19** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you, sir. Will do.

MJ [Col COHEN]: And the same will go with respect to
Mitchell and Jessen. And if you guys can let me know by next
Friday, based on what the parties believe will be the level of
cross-examination by, you know, potentially up to four or

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	five, you know, defense teams on them, I understand that
2	that that that will that will extend testimony as well.
3	So I'm not opposed to trying to help the parties get
4	to this evidence; but at the same time I don't want to rush
5	through it either because I understand the the significance
6	of this to to the defense and what you all have already
7	indicated you're going to argue.
8	So all right, let's go ahead and take a lunch break.
9	We'll reconvene at 1400, and I will see you guys at that time.
10	Thank you.
11	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1235, 25 September 2019.]
12	[END OF PAGE]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT