- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0901, 08 May 2024.]
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Commission's called to order.
- 3 Good morning, Mr. Trivett. Could you identify who's here on
- 4 behalf of the United States, both in the courtroom and at the RHR?
- 5 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. Good morning.
- 6 Representing the United States today in the courtroom in
- 7 Guantanamo is myself, Mr. Clay Trivett; Lieutenant Commander Robert
- 8 Baxter; Mr. Christopher Dykstra. Present is paralegal Rudolph Gibbs.
- 9 And present from the FBI today is Supervisory Special Agent
- 10 Joseph Hokanson, as well as Assistant Section Chief Morgan Bailey.
- Representing the United States today from the Remote Hearing
- 12 Room is Mr. Jeff Groharing, Colonel Joshua Bearden. Paralegals
- 13 present are Ms. Karissa Grippando and Staff Sergeant Samantha
- 14 Resendiz.
- 15 We are expecting Major Dastoor later this morning, sir.
- And these proceedings are being broadcast via closed-circuit
- 17 television to sites in the continental United States pursuant to the
- 18 military commission's orders.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- Good morning, Mr. Sowards.
- LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 22 Appearing on behalf of Mr. Mohammad, who is not present in
- 23 the courtroom, are Gary Sowards; Kathleen Potter, Lieutenant Colonel,

- 1 United States Air Force; and Gabriela McQuade.
- 2 For the commission's information, we anticipate being joined
- 3 later by Lieutenant Xu. And I -- at my request, Captain Leahy and
- 4 Major Theis -- I'm sorry, I had that wrong. Captain Leahy and
- 5 Lieutenant Xu are engaged in some negotiations with the prosecution
- 6 about our 3300 discovery, and Major Theis will be joining us later.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Understood.
- 8 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- 10 And I'm not sure. Is it going to be Mr. Montross?
- 11 DC [MR. MONTROSS]: It would be.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: Good morning.
- DC [MR. MONTROSS]: William Montross for Mr. Bin'Attash, who
- 14 is not present.
- 15 In the RHR, Ms. Tasnim Motala, Captain Marian Messing,
- 16 Matthew Engel, Chris Pipe, Prax Kennedy.
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- 18 Good morning, Mr. Connell.
- 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Good morning, sir.
- For Mr. Al Baluchi is myself, James Connell; Alka Pradhan.
- 21 And in the Remote Hearing Room, Lieutenant Jennifer Joseph.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- Good morning, Mr. Ruiz.

- 1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Good morning, Judge.
- 2 Walter Ruiz on behalf of Mr. al Hawsawi, along with
- 3 Ms. Suzanne Lachelier, Captain Kerry Mawn, Captain Patrick Tipton,
- 4 and Mr. Sean Gleason.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ruiz.
- 6 All right. I don't see any of the accused in the courtroom.
- 7 Mr. Dykstra?
- 8 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Dykstra, go ahead.
- 10 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Leaning forward, sir.
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: I just heard somebody's alarm going off, but
- 12 I think it's been resolved.
- Can you -- do you have a witness to account for these
- 14 absences?
- 15 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: I'm always leaning forward, as you
- 16 commented last night, so...
- 17 CAPTAIN, U.S. Air Force, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
- 18 was previously sworn, and testified as follows:
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 Questions by the Deputy Managing Trial Counsel [MR. DYKSTRA]:
- Q. Please have a seat, Captain. Good morning.
- 22 A. Good morning, sir.
- Q. Now, for purposes of the record, what is your call sign or

- 1 pseudonym?
- 2 A. Doc.
- 3 Q. And you've previously testified in these proceedings,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'd just remind you that you remain under oath.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Now, this morning, did you have the opportunity to advise
- 9 the accused of their right to be present?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. Between approximately 0615 and 0635 this
- 11 morning, I advised each of the accused of their respective rights to
- 12 attend today's session, using the English version of the Statement of
- 13 Understanding, Right to Be Present for Commission Proceedings. I
- 14 also had a translated version and a linguist present with me when I
- 15 did so.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Your Honor, if I may approach the
- 18 witness? I'm going to hand him what has been previously marked as
- 19 Appellate Exhibit 943UU (KSM), 943VV (WBA), 943WW (AAA), and 943XX
- 20 (MAH).
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead.
- Q. Are these the forms that you used to advise the accused
- 23 this morning?

- 1 A. Yes, sir. They are.
- 2 Q. Thank you. And once you advised the accused, what was
- 3 their response to whether or not they wanted to attend this morning?
- 4 A. They declined to be present for the commission sessions
- 5 but did accept meetings either at the ELC or at other locations
- 6 throughout the day.
- 7 Q. Thank you. Did you have any concerns regarding the
- 8 voluntariness of their decision this morning?
- 9 A. No, sir. I did not.
- 10 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Your Honor, no further questions for
- 11 the -- this witness. But I will flag just for Your Honor that
- 12 Mr. Groharing wants to address a housekeeping matter before
- 13 proceeding to Dr. Morgan this morning.
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Understood.
- And if the AV people can just turn up the mics a little bit.
- 16 It sounds like it's a little bit low for the court reporters.
- 17 All right. Mr. Connell, do you have a question for this
- 18 witness?
- 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. CONNELL]:
- Q. Sir, Mr. al Baluchi is actually present here in the ELC;
- 23 is that right?

- 1 A. I don't know if he's present here. I didn't watch his
- 2 movement, but he did indicate that he wished to attend his legal
- 3 meetings at the ELC.
- 4 Q. So he's in the holding cell, right?
- 5 A. I don't know if he's in the holding cell, sir. I didn't
- 6 witness his movement, but he did accept his legal meeting. And when
- 7 I asked where it was, he said it was at the ELC holding cell.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: I can note for the record that my
- 9 understanding is that Mr. Ali is in one of the adjacent facilities.
- 10 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: And I believe Mr. Mohammad is as well.
- 12 All right. Any other questions for this witness?
- 13 Mr. Sowards?
- LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: I was going to say, as always, you're
- 15 correct, sir.
- 16 MJ [Col McCALL]: I appreciate that.
- 17 All right. You're excused. Thank you for your testimony.
- 18 WIT: Thank you, sir.
- 19 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the courtroom.]
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission finds that Mr. Mohammad,
- 21 Mr. Bin'Attash, Mr. Ali, and Mr. al Hawsawi have knowingly and
- 22 voluntarily waived their right to be present at today's session;
- 23 although, it sounds like Mr. Ali and Mr. Mohammad are in the adjacent

- 1 facility where they can listen in to the proceedings, and they may
- 2 join us at some point today.
- 3 All right. A few administrative matters. So I do want to
- 4 first address witnesses.
- 5 Are the parties prepared to discuss this at this point? Do
- 6 we have any further fidelity on just next week and next session?
- 7 Whoever wants to address it. First up to the podium.
- 8 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Good morning, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Good morning.
- 10 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So we're just actually looking for some
- 11 fidelity on the decision on Special Agent McFadden. He's available.
- 12 We've begun his preparation. He is not available preparation-wise
- 13 from Wednesday through Sunday.
- 14 So if there's some thought that the doctor who's going to
- 15 begin her testimony tomorrow is not going to be done by Friday, I
- 16 would prefer to be able to give him, like, a set day next week where
- 17 we can start.
- 18 It doesn't necessarily matter to us, but we just would like
- 19 to be able to provide that information to him for his planning
- 20 purposes and for our preparation purposes.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Well, let's start first with, I
- 22 quess, WKI. Is she available next week? I know that that was in the
- 23 works, as far as checking her availability.

1 [Counsel conferred.]

- 2 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: I believe those conversations are
- 3 happening today ----
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 5 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- with one of our prosecutors, so we'll
- 6 be able to update you as soon as we hear on that.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Understood.
- 8 And then, yeah -- Mr. Engle, has your position changed at
- 9 all? I know that before you had concerns on trying to prepare for a
- 10 key witness on short notice, but I don't know if in the intervening
- 11 time if you had had an opportunity to prepare for that.
- 12 Again, my concern is we've had witnesses -- yeah, I'm
- 13 prepared to go forward with at least getting the direct, as I
- 14 mentioned before, but I know in the past we've had multiple witnesses
- 15 where we started with them. And, I mean, we're still trying to
- 16 finish off the Camp VII commander just -- and some of the other
- 17 witnesses based on health issues that arose. And so I would like to
- 18 accomplish his testimony, if possible.
- But what's your position?
- 20 LDC [MR. ENGLE]: Yes, Your Honor. Our position really hasn't
- 21 changed. I don't think we would be ready to cross next week. You
- 22 know, the -- the government indicated the last time we discussed this
- 23 that they were still working through their affirmative discovery

- 1 obligations. I assume that's still the case, because we haven't
- 2 received anything, which is not a criticism. I wasn't expecting to
- 3 receive anything yet.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 5 LDC [MR. ENGLE]: But we are expecting discovery. We are also
- 6 finalizing a discovery request of our own related to Special Agent
- 7 McFadden, so -- so I don't think we'll be ready until we can get
- 8 those materials, work through 505 notices, and put our cross
- 9 together.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 11 LDC [MR. ENGLE]: But I would anticipate being ready to do
- 12 that at the next session, and we have no problem with him doing his
- 13 direct next week, if that's what the commission wants to do.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. And then, again, I know in past
- 15 practice some of the judges tried to change it up per witness and
- 16 have a random rotation on the defense teams. I typically defer to
- 17 the defense teams on the order in which they desire to cross-examine
- 18 a witness.
- Do any of the defense teams feel prepared and desire to
- 20 cross-examine Agent McFadden next week? Again, I'm not going to
- 21 force it because of the -- the fact that we've been having a busy
- 22 schedule and this was a last-minute change to the lineup of
- 23 witnesses, and it's an important witness. But if parties are ready

- 1 to question, I'm certainly open to hearing the questioning and the
- 2 testimony.
- 3 Mr. Connell?
- 4 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, can I address that when I address the
- 5 witness issues more globally?
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Well, why don't we do that,
- 7 then.
- 8 Why don't you have a seat, Mr. Trivett. Let's hear from
- 9 Mr. Connell, and then we'll kind of continue to figure out what data
- 10 we have so we can make some of these decisions.
- What do you have, Mr. Connell?
- 12 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, so I have a few observations. The
- 13 first one is: As far as Mr. al Baluchi is concerned, the government
- 14 is almost done with its presentation, which to us is a critical
- 15 milestone, right?
- The -- there's cross -- finishing cross-examination and any
- 17 redirect of former Camp VII commander. There's whatever tiny bit of
- 18 Mr. Kohlmann might still be out there. I haven't tracked. I don't
- 19 know what the resolution of that issue is. And then there's Welner,
- 20 where the government has refused to commit to whether they are
- 21 calling him in their case in chief or whether he's rebuttal.
- 22 That's actually important because if -- if he's case in
- 23 chief, like, theoretically we could cut him off by resting.

- 1 The -- but if he's rebuttal, then they would call him in rebuttal.
- 2 So, you know, it is really important, and I feel a little manipulated
- 3 by the government's position on that.
- 4 The -- I say that because, you know, the court made the
- 5 comment the other day that September will be upon us before we know
- 6 it, and that's absolutely true, especially since the government is
- 7 making an intentional strategic choice to hang on to Dr. Welner as
- 8 long as they can and, you know, hear all the defense witnesses
- 9 testify, which would not normally happen for a government
- 10 case-in-chief witness; you know, normally we would go second.
- And if we get to September and the government is like, oh,
- 12 I'm sorry, we don't have enough time or, oh, I'm sorry, he has a
- 13 health issue, then we're in a very difficult position for our hard
- 14 stop on arguments in November.
- The reason why I say all this is a couple of things, which
- 16 is: First, it's really important who is going to testify in the
- 17 first two weeks of the July hearing because we think it's most
- 18 important -- you know, right now Jessen is scheduled to testify for
- 19 those weeks ----
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- 21 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- or at least penciled in. I won't say
- 22 scheduled. But McFadden and Gaudin are more important to the overall
- 23 progress of moving the case forward than ----

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Jessen?
- 2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- than Dr. Jessen is because, you know,
- 3 Dr. Jessen is our witness and theoretically there is some
- 4 accommodation that we could make around that.
- 5 So that's really important. And so it's important to hear
- 6 from the government about McFadden and Gaudin for that time.
- 7 That brings me to my answer to your question, which is: We
- 8 are not prepared to cross-examine McFadden. Until very recently we
- 9 had no idea that he was going to testify. And these
- 10 cross-examinations that we prepare take between 100 and 200 person
- 11 hours, you know, spread over about six people.
- 12 That said, if it is a question of do we need to do the
- 13 cross-examination to move forward and get all the government's
- 14 witnesses in in the appropriate amount of time, then I will take one
- 15 for the team. And if it -- if it needs to be done, I will do it.
- And -- because the last thing that I think any of us want is
- 17 to get to September and the government still says, oh, we've come up
- 18 with some more witnesses or they're not available or whatever.
- 19 Because they have been able to string this out long enough, and there
- 20 has never been a single subpoena issued as far as I know.
- 21 And, you know, I know comparisons with other courts are not
- 22 always useful, but, like, it's astonishing to me that no
- 23 witness --- no subpoenas get issued for witnesses. In a stop sign

- 1 case in Fairfax, Virginia, where I started my practice, you had to
- 2 issue subpoenas for your witnesses.
- 3 The -- so I do think it's really important, and so my answer
- 4 is: If we need it, I will do it.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. I appreciate that.
- So yeah, I don't want you to feel like you're in a position
- 7 where you have to do it. And what I'm hearing is that there still
- 8 needs to be prep done for a proper cross-examination. And again,
- 9 that's -- actually what is useful for me is, you know, intelligent
- 10 questioning by counsel help pull out the important facts for me to
- 11 consider in making a decision. And so, yeah, I'm fine with just
- 12 doing the direct if -- if the parties are not ready to do a cross.
- And, again, maybe -- if it ends up being where we can't have
- 14 a hearing on the Bin'Attash motion to suppress, I'm prepared to go
- 15 forward with the other accused and we'll do what we have
- 16 accomplished, so...
- 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Understood, sir.
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. And just on a couple points,
- 19 because -- so, I guess, let me talk to Mr. Trivett, because then
- 20 maybe he can give me a little bit more if he has more information
- 21 on -- let's -- let's go ahead and talk about Jessen and Gaudin.
- Like, I assume you're probably giving Agent Gaudin a chance
- 23 to adapt to the current situation he's in? I don't know if there's

- 1 been any contact with him about potential testimony.
- 2 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: I spoke with him late last week, and the
- 3 issue is that he doesn't know what his treatment protocol is going to
- 4 be yet, right? They're still going through it, they're still
- 5 diagnosing and performing biopsies and all of those things.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So that -- we just don't know what his
- 8 life situation is going to look like in August. That's why we
- 9 decided, at a minimum, to call Special Agent McFadden on it.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: No, I get that.
- 11 So at this point, what is your direct looking like on
- 12 McFadden? Two days? One day?
- 13 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Less than two.
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: It's a little bit longer than ----
- MJ [Col McCALL]: That sounds about right based on prior LHM
- 17 witnesses.
- 18 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: It is, understanding that there's three
- 19 different interviews they did with Mr. Bin'Attash, which is going to
- 20 make it a little bit longer than the others who tended to have just
- 21 one set of interviews. There's a ----
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: So ----
- 23 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- January '07, October '07,

- 1 February '08. So it's a little bit longer in that respect.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Understood. So we can budget him for two.
- 3 Again, I don't -- until I know whether, one, how quickly we
- 4 can resolve Dr. Morgan. Do we know if Dr. Morgan's available -- I
- 5 assume he's available tomorrow. I mean, he's down on island and
- 6 there's limited flights back.
- 7 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: He's here all week, sir.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: Perfect. So if we run into -- continue with
- 9 Dr. Morgan tomorrow, which is a possibility, then -- I think we first
- 10 need to know if WK5I needs to slide to next week, but it sounds like
- 11 we could accomplish her and Special Agent McFadden next week.
- 12 So I'm open with -- from hearing from McFadden and WK5I,
- 13 however it works next week for their schedules, if that gives you the
- 14 information you need to get back with them and try to schedule that.
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: It should. Once we talk to the doctor,
- 16 then we'll be able to give you our -- our definite slots for that.
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Perfect.
- 18 So next piece would be -- so Dr. Jessen. Have we had any
- 19 more contact with him?
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: I'm going to have to defer to Mr. Dykstra
- 21 on that.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. And just on the point that
- 23 Mr. Connell raised about subpoenas on witnesses, sure, I get it. We

- 1 do it also in the military. It's not unusual. Typically it's not
- 2 quite the issue because we have military witnesses who we can just
- 3 order, and so you don't have to necessarily rely on a subpoena.
- 4 The witnesses that we've had that have been scheduled and
- 5 then have fallen off, if I thought it was some type of gamesmanship
- 6 or a witness that's really just trying to dodge testifying, I could
- 7 have easily pushed for a subpoena. They actually sounded like very
- 8 credible reasons.
- 9 But we are getting to the point where I think we need to
- 10 have a subpoena in place or something to make sure that the person
- 11 knows they need to be here. And if not, then we need to have a
- 12 backup plan to look at, well, how are we going to take the testimony
- 13 if -- if they're not going to be able to come here because of some
- 14 kind of health issues. So, like, a deposition or something like that
- 15 where we can preserve the testimony.
- And, again, I will say I appreciate the -- all the parties
- 17 seem to be understanding my drive to attempt to have the motions
- 18 hearing in November. As I mentioned at the -- earlier in this
- 19 session, I believe, that is aspirational. I put that on there sort
- 20 of like a trial date as a goal to try to line up the other witnesses.
- 21 Again, I'll put it out there. I have not asked to extend my
- 22 retirement a third time, but I still have not applied for any jobs
- 23 and I have a lot of flexibility. And so if I have to adjust, I can.

- 1 I would rather not. But -- so it's just -- that's out there as well.
- 2 But I think at this point, things are still lined up where that
- 3 November date is -- is more than aspirational.
- But how are -- so do we have any information now? And I'll
- 5 let Mr. Dykstra address it on Dr. Jessen. I think the parties know
- 6 I'm not one wedded to one counsel/one cause, so go ahead.
- 7 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Thank you, Your Honor. This is a team
- 8 effort on lining up witnesses and so forth.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- 10 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: We appreciate your patience as well as we
- 11 work through different schedules and so forth. I think the record
- 12 shows that we have been fully gainfully employed with witnesses since
- 13 September of last year. So even when there has been a hole opened,
- 14 we've -- we've filled that in some fashion or ----
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: As far as Dr. Jessen, what I can say is
- 17 his situation remains the same as far as his availability that first
- 18 week of July.
- 19 We would -- obviously, he's been on the stand for a day
- 20 and -- and Dr. Mitchell has also been on the stand for three weeks.
- 21 So the question becomes as far as time -- timeline-wise, how much of
- 22 his testimony becomes cumulative with Dr. Mitchell's at some point in
- 23 time.

- 1 And that's why we feel -- we strongly believe that five days
- 2 of additional testimony from Dr. Jessen, in combination with the
- 3 three weeks of testimony -- and I think it was 180 hours or so forth
- 4 of testimony from him -- should, overall, be sufficient.
- 5 But obviously, Your Honor, we'd leave that to you as far as
- 6 if additional time is necessary of Dr. Jessen. But we would strongly
- 7 encourage Your Honor to curtail -- or not curtail, but just ask
- 8 defense counsel to bring focused questions that weren't already asked
- 9 of Dr. Mitchell, so...
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: I understand the government position. I do
- 11 understand some of the reason that you would probably ask some of the
- 12 same -- cover some of the same ground to confirm what Dr. Mitchell
- 13 said. But yeah, obviously if defense counsel can just be
- 14 appreciative of the fact that we have limited time.
- 15 But it's a pretty key witness. And just with the limited
- 16 witnesses we've had in the RDI program, I can understand why there's
- 17 going to be some overlap. But, yeah ----
- 18 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Yes. Absolutely, Your Honor.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: So we'll see how that goes.
- 20 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Okay.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: But -- all right. And Agent McFadden is
- 22 available for that second week if we end up bringing him potentially?
- 23 I know we are looking at Jessen potentially the first week, 15 July

- 1 to 19 July. And then we had Jessen, Gaudin, and McFadden somewhat
- 2 penciled in as possibilities for the week of 22 July to 26 July.
- 3 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: If I may have one moment to consult?
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Please, go ahead. Take your time.

5 [Counsel conferred.]

- 6 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Your Honor, we'll certainly run those
- 7 dates past Special Agent McFadden. We weren't -- he didn't indicate
- 8 that he had any, like, availability issues during the July month, but
- 9 we certainly want to ask him first before we ----
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: No, I understand. He might have -- in the
- 11 summer people have holidays, right? So -- but he's in the NCR.
- 12 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Yes, he is ----
- MJ [Col McCALL]: So that ----
- DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: ---- which makes it a little bit easier.
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. All right. If you could run that
- 16 down, and then let's try to discuss that again next week. As we get
- 17 closer, we're going to continue to work these.
- 18 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Absolutely.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. And then ----
- 20 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: And then ----
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead, if you had something for me.
- 22 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: I was going to add, for purposes of the
- 23 September and October hearing, we're already beginning reaching out

- 1 to witnesses as far as availability, starting with Special Agent
- 2 Soufan and -- and so forth.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 4 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: So we'll have a -- and I know Your Honor
- 5 wanted to discuss witnesses for the September/October hearing next
- 6 week, Wednesday.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Yep.
- 8 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: We're on a good glide slope to be able to
- 9 address that.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Perfect.
- 11 And so, yeah, again, I know we -- I forget who had
- 12 mentioned -- I believe it was Mr. Trivett -- saying that we are
- 13 starting to run low on the witnesses that both sides had agreed to.
- 14 Again, I'm not looking to just fill up the docket to fill up
- 15 the docket. If it's a useful witness that people think they need to
- 16 push to the top of the list, let's have argument like we did earlier
- 17 in this session over some of the witnesses that we could fill in on
- 18 week five.
- Obviously, I would like -- we need to hear from Dr. Welner,
- 20 and so I think it's going to be, again -- the things to discuss next
- 21 week are going to be, as Mr. Connell had raised, so is Dr. Welner
- 22 testifying in the case in chief or is he going to be a rebuttal
- 23 witness? If we can go ahead and get him lined up and how many days

- 1 we're expecting, if he's submitting a report, when it's going to be.
- Obviously, tracking that, the amended defense motions are
- 3 due on 9 October and then the -- you know, the government response on
- 4 23 October, just to make sure that -- I don't know that the defense
- 5 needs to incorporate anything from Dr. Welner in their defense
- 6 motions because they'll have a chance to reply on 30 October. But
- 7 I'm also trying to leave the parties flexibility.
- 8 So things to be considering in preparation for discussing
- 9 witnesses next week.
- 10 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: And something, Your Honor, just -- just
- 11 to -- we bring up the fact that our brief is due in the middle of the
- 12 October hearing. And obviously that curtails ----
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, and ----
- 14 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: ---- kind of figures into our overall
- 15 strategy of who we're calling. Because obviously anybody that's
- 16 called after that date ----
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: And I understand that. And my thinking on
- 18 that was -- no promises. Don't get your hopes up -- but I may cancel
- 19 some of those dates. If -- if we really are going to end with
- 20 Dr. Welner, perhaps we'll cancel those sessions to allow the parties
- 21 to work on briefs.
- I'm also not too sympathetic, though. I mean, these briefs
- 23 have been pending for years and have been massaged and polished, and

- 1 there's a certain point where you're just adding in a little bit of
- 2 extra data to the arguments that are already in place.
- 3 But I am open to having some adjustment if maybe we
- 4 have -- we're down here on island but we cancel some of the days or
- 5 we're just going to be focused on starting to move on to some of
- 6 these other issues that -- this is the big rock but there are other
- 7 rocks that we need to move on to.
- 8 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: So there's some flexibility there, but I'm
- 10 not making any promises.
- DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: I will say this, that -- and I'm not here
- 12 to quibble or anything like that, but the brief is a big rock for us.
- 13 It's not something that has been, as Your Honor -- that's being
- 14 polished or anything like that.
- 15 As testimony has developed over the last -- even over the
- 16 last four or five months, that has had -- that has changed the course
- 17 of our brief.
- 18 So I just want Your Honor to -- or I just wanted to bring
- 19 that up to Your Honor that it's not some sort of thing that we have
- 20 been working on for -- for a year or so forth, because the testimony
- 21 has changed how things have formulated into our strategy.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Understood.
- DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 2 All right. Anything else to take up?
- 3 Mr. Sowards?
- 4 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to
- 5 address a couple of points that were raised in your comments with
- 6 Mr. Dykstra.
- 7 First of all, I understand Mr. Connell's emphasis on the
- 8 importance of Agents Gaudin and McFadden.
- 9 However, with regard to Dr. Jessen, the -- my concern is the
- 10 notion of his -- any of his testimony potentially being cumulative to
- 11 Dr. Mitchell's and then falling victim to some implicit or inherent
- 12 pressures created by our time constraints.
- I would like to just caution the commission, or at least be
- 14 explicit on the record, that my anticipation is that Dr. Jessen is a
- 15 co-equal participant in what we regard -- you know, you've heard or
- 16 characterization of what we think about the -- the RDI program, the
- 17 CIA's involvement in it.
- 18 There's also the -- the fact, and we certainly don't mean
- 19 to, by any means, extend unnecessarily the proceedings with any
- 20 witness. We're as eager as Your Honor is, if possible, to hear what
- 21 you think about this motion before you go into your currently
- 22 scheduled retirement. We don't want to -- we don't want to impose
- 23 upon you to -- and we appreciate the efforts you've made to date to

- 1 accommodate this litigation.
- 2 But Dr. Jessen is a very necessary and material witness. He
- 3 has very specific details about his understanding of what they were
- 4 doing that sometimes, yes, corroborate Dr. Mitchell's, but also
- 5 deviate slightly from his.
- 6 And we know from the al Nashiri litigation that he was a
- 7 prominent source of evidence upon which the judge relied in that case
- 8 in suppressing these -- these same sort of letterhead memorandum
- 9 statements.
- 10 Secondly is, when we began this odyssey of the -- the sort
- 11 of what we characterized as kind of a dry run of a suppression
- 12 motion, and as further refined under Judge Cohen's regime, is the
- 13 idea that this would, perhaps for purposes of judicial economy or
- 14 maybe practicality, also for the parties, not so much a discovery
- 15 opportunity but an opportunity to get some limited focus, but
- 16 nevertheless, relevant evidence as regards potential other issues in
- 17 the case, including outrageous governmental misconduct.
- 18 And finally, what we now unfortunately contend with -- and
- 19 we are -- you know, we share with everyone, regrets and compassion
- 20 for any witness who may potentially be unavailable because of life
- 21 crises, but the fact remains that time has passed and people may
- 22 not -- just may not be available at trial.
- 23 And particularly when a witness is currently being postponed

- 1 because of health concerns, that raises all the more the concern for
- 2 that. And so this may be the only time a key witness in the case is
- 3 available to have his or her -- his or her testimony preserved for
- 4 the record.
- 5 And as Your Honor alluded to, this is really one of exactly
- 6 two insiders we have in terms of what was really done and why it was
- 7 done and the effect. So I would just ask you to keep that in mind.
- 8 With respect to the -- what to do about accommodating some
- 9 of the -- or budgeting our time that we have available, two things:
- 10 One that I raised before, and I think I promised you a formal motion,
- 11 but what I would say until I make good on that promise, is that at
- 12 the time, both preceding the November -- the currently scheduled
- 13 November hearings on the motion for briefing purposes and at
- 14 the -- the motions hearing itself, that the commission is open to
- 15 also hearing the theory that under -- under the compulsion of
- 16 Miranda, standing alone for the failure to give advice, explicit
- 17 advice, required advice on the right to remain silent in the presence
- 18 of counsel, that ground alone is sufficient for suppressing the
- 19 letterhead memorandum.
- 20 That, I think, is sort of a -- while I regard that as
- 21 actually more -- perhaps the more predominant ground for ruling and,
- 22 in fact, was designed by the -- by the United States Supreme Court to
- 23 dispense with the sort of very protracted litigation, I think

- 1 it's -- you know, it's something that -- that is at least worthy
- 2 of -- of equal consideration and could serve as sort of a safety
- 3 valve if -- if we're running out of time.
- 4 The second potential way to maybe eliminate some of the fat
- 5 from the schedule is -- with respect to Dr. Welner, I know that the
- 6 government in the past on certain issues has been open to
- 7 stipulations.
- 8 Thus far, what I understand the purpose of Dr. Welner's
- 9 testimony to be is to establish that -- and I will limit it just to
- 10 Mr. Mohammad. I won't speak for the other defendants -- but that
- 11 Mr. Mohammad, based on the information available to Dr. Welner,
- 12 retained the capacity to make voluntary decisions. He was not
- 13 incapacitated from voluntarily doing certain things, including
- 14 waiving his rights.
- 15 And I'm happy to confer with the prosecution and agree to,
- 16 you know, to the extent it is, as we say, tethered to reality, a
- 17 stipulation that would dispense with that testimony. Because I
- 18 think, otherwise, what we're going to be doing is spending a week or
- 19 two going into all sorts of information perhaps aimed at Dr. Welner's
- 20 credibility, among other things, that we really needn't expend.
- 21 Because at least from Mr. Mohammad's perspective, we don't -- I
- 22 think, depending upon what their -- what their point is, I don't
- 23 think we disagree with his capacity to make decisions to preserve his

- 1 life.
- I mean, he can voluntarily do that, but that's not the legal
- 3 definition of voluntariness. So I would invite them, if they want to
- 4 talk to us about that, to reach a stipulation that would -- would
- 5 save us considerable time and the government expense in that regard.
- 6 Thank you, sir.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Thank you, Mr. Sowards. And I understand
- 8 your position on that.
- 9 All right. And so two saved rounds from me just on the
- 10 issue of witnesses and then I'll let you speak, Mr. Connell.
- So one -- one other issue I did want to go ahead and bring
- 12 up was: With some of these witnesses that the parties maybe are
- 13 discussing for not the next session but the September/October
- 14 session, I'd like the parties to start to discuss what procedures we
- 15 can use or should be used if we are calling a witness that is
- 16 testifying under a UFI.
- Because I know -- I believe it was Mr. Dykstra brought up
- 18 the fact of there may be a lot of coordination involved in getting
- 19 somebody. Again, I know this -- we do many unusual things in this
- 20 court, but it's not the first time that somebody has had to testify
- 21 in a manner where it's protecting their identity.
- 22 So I'm very open to allowing testimony, whether it's not
- 23 just from the courtroom or the RHR, but my understanding from my

- 1 staff is that there is the capability of taking testimony from people
- 2 at other locations. Again, this is motions practice, so I'm open to
- 3 having identities obscured, potentially having just, like, telephonic
- 4 testimony.
- 5 Again, I'm not wedding myself to it, and please don't think
- 6 that I'm hinting that I'm going to grant any of the motions to
- 7 produce these witnesses. But I don't want to make that decision, and
- 8 then the long pole in the tent is that we haven't figured out how
- 9 exactly it's going to work. So I don't want that to cause the delay.
- 10 So I need -- so the parties need to start figuring out what
- 11 that's going to mean and talking to the various equity partners on
- 12 how they may want to protect the identities of some of these
- 13 witnesses.
- 14 Mr. Dykstra?
- 15 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: I appreciate Mr. Connell's patience now.
- I think that's part of the logistics -- logistics issue with
- 17 regards to UFIs, and I appreciate Your Honor's flexibility -- stated
- 18 flexibility on that issue.
- 19 The other piece and parcel of this is walking through the
- 20 various steps under 949p-4 and so forth and making sure that the
- 21 government preserves its rights to seek a statement of any relevant
- 22 facts, what this witness would testify to, maybe a stipulation of
- 23 expected testimony that would obviate their need for testimony.

- 1 Now, obviously, the defense have a say in regards to a
- 2 stipulation of expected testimony and so forth, but that -- that's
- 3 part -- in addition to logistics Your Honor spoke about, it's -- it's
- 4 also the other steps that we have to go through.
- 5 And then while I'm up here, I do -- Mr. -- I think it was
- 6 Mr. Sowards that mentioned something about -- as far as filing
- 7 additional motions and so forth. We note that -- and it's something
- 8 that we ----
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: And I don't believe he was saying filing
- 10 additional motions. I believe he was referencing back to the -- in
- 11 their motion to suppress that they had raised the issue on Miranda
- 12 and whatnot and believing that was a separate issue that could be
- 13 resolved based -- just on that point, if I was understanding
- 14 Mr. Sowards.
- 15 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Yes, Your Honor. It was actually going
- 16 back to the fact that we -- green light's on. Can you hear me?
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: I can hear you.
- 18 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: --- that we had, in our supplemental, had
- 19 responded to the government's response, which had -- had raised the
- 20 inapplicability of Miranda and had triggered the discussion of the
- 21 United States Supreme Court's decision in Dickerson.
- 22 And so we, because the issue had been unsettled as to the
- 23 place of Miranda in this, we went ahead and briefed it in response to

- 1 the government's response to us. So our view was that it had been
- 2 framed and set up.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: But I could rule on that bases ----
- 4 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: You could rule on that ----
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- and issue more of a narrow ruling.
- 6 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- and allow them to have an
- 7 opportunity.
- 8 That was specifically left in question with Judge Cohen and
- 9 Judge Parrella's rulings -- or orders, I'm sorry. And the government
- 10 had taken the opportunity to assert the inapplicability, so we were
- 11 addressing that. If it obviously is applicable, then our position is
- 12 the statements are excludable.
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: I'm tracking.
- 14 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir.
- 15 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: Yes, Your Honor. And I wasn't -- to be
- 16 clear, I wasn't -- I wasn't saying that they were going to file
- 17 additional motions and so forth.
- 18 I recognize that certain teams -- I think it was
- 19 Mr. Hawsawi's team that filed a direct motion on the applicability of
- 20 Miranda and so forth.
- I -- the -- the thing that we have noticed, Your Honor, is
- 22 that as we draw near to the potential end of the suppression
- 23 litigation on voluntariness as it relates to the LHMs, that Your

- 1 Honor in the previous trial scheduling order in 639M, I think it was
- 2 fifth amend, withdrew the deadline for the filing of additional
- 3 motions and so forth.
- 4 It's our belief and our position that Your Honor should
- 5 consider reinstating that deadline at some point in time to at least
- 6 give this commission something to focus on after the suppression
- 7 litigation.
- 8 Obviously, there's additional rocks that come with that,
- 9 but -- but we're interested in moving forward and getting this
- 10 commission moving forward, so that if -- if and when Your Honor
- 11 retires, that your successor has something to focus on after that,
- 12 so...
- MJ [Col McCALL]: I was thinking along those same lines. So
- 14 it's something I'm considering.
- 15 DMTC [MR. DYKSTRA]: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. And Mr. Connell.
- Oh, and I had a second point -- I'm sorry. Just -- you can
- 18 go ahead and come up to the podium, but ----
- So the second issue that I just wanted to put out there
- 20 again to the parties: In my scheduling order and then when we're
- 21 discussing, we're always talking Monday to Friday. Just as in the
- 22 past couple of sessions, people need to be prepared to go late and
- 23 people need to be prepared to go on the weekends.

- 1 So go ahead, Mr. Connell.
- 2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir. And -- and I'm on board with
- 3 that. It's just occasionally we have to schedule things in the
- 4 evening.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Understood. And I understand that.
- 6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: So I have a separate issue, and I know
- 7 that Mr. Groharing has been patiently waiting to bring up his issue.
- But on these issues that have come up here, the first one is
- 9 Miranda. The -- the original order from Judge Parrella, of course,
- 10 excluded anything -- a requirement of filing anything other than
- 11 voluntariness. In our -- different teams took different approaches
- 12 to that.
- In our motion, we went beyond voluntariness and went to the
- 14 whole 948r obtained by torture position, but we carved out Miranda,
- 15 we carved out prompt presentation, we carved out other incommunicado
- 16 detention, you know, other bases that might be used to suppress.
- 17 Our current intention is to file a motion to -- for leave to
- 18 file a supplement and to rejoin Mr. al Hawsawi's position on Miranda.
- 19 We have additional facts that have been developed, you know,
- 20 during -- since the filing of that, which we'll lay out. But we
- 21 think that's the cleanest way to squarely present the issue to the
- 22 military commission because, obviously, the Miranda issue has become
- 23 disjointed between filing and opting out and then, you know, a sort

- 1 of supplemental position attached to something else in response. You
- 2 know, it's become disjointed.
- 3 We don't have any problem, if you decide to set a date for
- 4 the filing of a Miranda motion specifically, we don't have any
- 5 problem with that whatsoever. We are very close to prepared to -- to
- 6 go forward on that.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 8 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: With respect to other motions, however,
- 9 you know, we have all adapted to an extremely high
- 10 witnesses -- witness OPTEMPO and all of our resources are being
- 11 devoted to that.
- 12 Listen, let me tell you that I took very strongly when the
- 13 military commission said to the government earlier, you know, if
- 14 you're having trouble with the OPTEMPO, you know, get some more
- 15 people, right? There's a convening authority for that. And we are
- 16 fighting hard for that.
- I have taken that transcript to leadership and said, look,
- 18 that -- the instruction from the government -- I mean, from the
- 19 military commission is we're going at the speed that we're going, and
- 20 if that means additional resources.
- Now, there's a long lag on that occurring ----
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Of course.
- 23 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- right?

- 1 We're down four people in 2024 already, including two
- 2 attorneys. So, you know, we're -- we're not asking for any
- 3 accommodation for that; we're pushing forward. But it's not the time
- 4 to distract from that key effort to get past the big rock by setting,
- 5 you know, motion deadlines for other things that are -- we have not
- 6 been devoting resources to.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: I can understand that.
- 8 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay. The -- the other thing that I
- 9 wanted to say is that we are very strongly considering the
- 10 possibility of taking up the government's invitation to negotiate a
- 11 stipulation of facts around SG1.
- 12 And we have been working on a stipulation of facts that we
- 13 could present to them. And then, you know -- I'm not going to lie,
- 14 part of it is to test this idea that they are willing to agree to
- 15 anything tethered to reality, which we've never believed, but we
- 16 could be wrong, right?
- And so in one way, we intend that -- it's not the whole RDI
- 18 program, but let's try one witness, you know, sort of as a test case
- 19 and see what happens.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 21 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: So we strongly intend to do that. I can't
- 22 tell you exactly when that's going to happen, but it is in train, it
- 23 is in progress being worked right now.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. I appreciate you letting me know
- 2 that.
- 3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay. And that's all I have to say about
- 4 motions. Then I'm happy to address Mr. Groharing's issue, but I have
- 5 another completely separate issue as well.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, let's move on to your separate
- 7 issue ----
- 8 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- and then hopefully the -- you and
- 10 Mr. Groharing's issue will lead right into Dr. Morgan testifying.
- 11 But go ahead.
- 12 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Very good.
- Sir, I want to bring up -- because we are on a ticking
- 14 clock, I want to bring up the issue in AE 948. In AE 948, the
- 15 government gave notice that yesterday, on 7 May 2024, the government
- 16 has claimed, inaccurately in my opinion, but I'm the ----
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: On the classified ----
- 18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: My opinion doesn't matter very much on
- 19 this topic -- the -- that we need to destroy certain evidence. I am
- 20 tracking the order in AE 911E. I understand my responsibilities
- 21 under AE 911E and will comply.
- But the question that I -- we actually went to comply last
- 23 night, and -- but ran into a very serious problem. And the problem

- 1 is that in the AE 911 material, it was -- it was -- it had had
- 2 restricted impact on the case. No one had ever attached the 911
- 3 material to a pleading. No one had ever questioned a witness about
- 4 it. It was on its own, right? We had never incorporated it into
- 5 work product. It was -- it was encapsulated.
- 6 This situation is much more like what happened in 112.
- 7 The -- the evidence was -- the document which the government is
- 8 asking us to destroy not only is -- is present on the appropriately
- 9 classified system, has been -- and as the government notes, has been
- 10 filed in two separate pleadings with the military commission, but
- 11 also both Mr. al Baluchi and Mr. Bin'Attash questioned the witness
- 12 specifically about the document and its contents in -- Mr. Zebley in
- 13 closed session. And I went and checked this morning, and it's
- 14 incorporated into nine different work-product documents that we
- 15 maintain on the appropriately classified system.
- So this is not like 911 where literally we can hit delete
- 17 and we can get rid of something. This is much more like 112 where we
- 18 had incorporated it into work product, we had argued it and presented
- 19 it to the military commission, and ultimately we were not required to
- 20 destroy our work product or what had been presented to the military
- 21 commission.
- 22 We were only ordered to destroy the underlying document,
- 23 which here the government has given no additional version, right? In

- 1 both 112 and in 911, the government had given substitution versions
- 2 that they wanted us to use. Maybe that's in the works. I don't
- 3 know.
- 4 But what my real question to the military commission is:
- 5 What are you -- what does 911E order me to destroy? Do I have to
- 6 destroy my nine work-product documents? Do we have to get rid of the
- 7 classified version of the transcript that we have received from the
- 8 court reporters?
- 9 How -- how far do we have to go? Or is it just we have to
- 10 eliminate the document that the government gave us? Like, how do we
- 11 deal with the tendrils of this document?
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: Fair question.
- 13 All right. Mr. Trivett, I don't know if the government has
- 14 a position that we can discuss this in open.
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So we are working on providing another
- 16 copy to the defense counsel. I think our position would be that
- 17 they'll -- they should be able to determine, based on this new
- 18 document, what the concern was. And that to the extent that that
- 19 concern is not present in the record or in their work product, then I
- 20 don't think there's anything to do.
- But we don't know, obviously, because we don't know what's
- 22 in their work product. But we are working on providing a further
- 23 redacted copy of that document.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. And then once you've done that,
- 2 if we need to discuss -- I mean, first I'd ask the parties to confer,
- 3 and then let's discuss either in open or in closed session if there's
- 4 questions on how to proceed forward.
- 5 I'm somewhat tracking what the issue is, and so I can
- 6 picture multiple different ways on how it may work itself out. But I
- 7 think that's all I can say right now.
- 8 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Connell?
- 10 So bottom line to the defense teams is not to do anything
- 11 other than returning that one piece of discovery.
- 12 Is that correct, Mr. Trivett? Or deleting that one piece of
- 13 discovery?
- 14 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. That's what we're requesting
- 15 right now.
- 16 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay. So just so I'm clear -- first of
- 17 all, all of this is over my objection, right? This was not an
- 18 inadvertent disclosure.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: I'm tracking.
- LDC [MR. CONNELL]: All the arguments that we made in 911 ----
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 22 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: ---- they're lost.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.

- 1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: The second piece is, just to be clear what
- 2 you are -- what the order is, we are to destroy -- which really just
- 3 on a computer -- on a network means delete because we don't have any
- 4 capacity beyond that -- to delete the information from our classified
- 5 system and return the disc that the government provided to us to the
- 6 government. Is that the order?
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Do this for now: I mean, is there a harm in
- 8 waiting until the government has more fidelity on the way forward on
- 9 some type of summary and substitution? Again, I believe I know what
- 10 the issue is, but it's ----
- 11 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We don't have any ----
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: I don't believe it's in any kind of position
- 13 where it's going to be inadvertently disclosed at this point if it's
- 14 just in their files. Correct?
- 15 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We won't do anything with it.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: I think we're ----
- 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We don't intend to ask any of these
- 18 witnesses about it.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: It's a bit of a chicken-and-egg issue ----
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- 22 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: --- for the government.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.

- 1 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Because we don't want to have to identify
- 2 with particularity ----
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Right.
- 4 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- what was the inadvertently disclosed
- 5 classified information.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: I understand that.
- 7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: And so we're not going to be able to
- 8 provide that further redacted copy until they've confirmed that
- 9 they've destroyed the copies that they have.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. I'm just going to have to
- 11 consider how to work this, especially with you mentioning that it's
- 12 brought -- it's incorporated into some work product. I don't see how
- 13 that would impact the work product. But again, it's hard for me to
- 14 say in a vacuum.
- So here's the way forward. We're going to just stay -- keep
- 16 the status quo for now. Don't make any actions, even though that
- 17 delays the government doing any summaries and substitution.
- I'm going to consider the issue. I may have to do an
- 19 ex parte with the government and I may have to do ex partes with the
- 20 defense teams to see how this has been incorporated into any work
- 21 product, and then that may give me a way forward. Because, yeah, I
- 22 understand both sides, the concerns. So let's leave it at that for
- 23 now.

- 1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 3 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We will not do anything and wait for
- 4 further instructions.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Correct.
- 6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Mr. Groharing, thank you for
- 8 your patience. What do you have for me?
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, just briefly. Yesterday it
- 10 came up that Dr. Morgan had some kind of exchange with Dr. Mitchell.
- 11 And that's something that ordinarily we would -- we would want to
- 12 maybe reach out and talk to other witnesses about to include, in this
- 13 case, Dr. Mitchell.
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 15 TC [MR. GROHARING]: But you provided an admonishment to
- 16 Dr. Mitchell following his testimony that indicated he shouldn't talk
- 17 to the parties. So I wanted to raise that with you and ensure that
- 18 if we were able to -- I haven't done anything to contact him because
- 19 I wanted to wait on the court's guidance, but if we are able to make
- 20 contact, if we can ask him about that information.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: So I will authorize the prosecution to reach
- 22 out to Dr. Mitchell to ask him that ----
- LDC [MR. CONNELL]: May I be heard first?

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Hold on. I'll let you be heard.
- 2 So I'm inclined -- before I hear from the defense, I'm
- 3 inclined to allow you to reach out to Dr. Mitchell to ask him about
- 4 that conversation, I mean, with the understanding that then, I mean,
- 5 so we're bringing Dr. Mitchell back?
- 6 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Well, that's -- that's definitely
- 7 not -- that's not our intent. Just to have a better understanding of
- 8 what it might have entailed or not entailed to maybe be able to ask
- 9 the witness about.
- 10 And it could be something that -- something we don't want to
- 11 pursue, but just to attempt to, you know, to get knowledge on that as
- 12 best as we can.
- I do not and -- have any desire to recall Dr. Mitchell and
- 14 bring him down for additional testimony. So I don't envision calling
- 15 Dr. Mitchell to rebut this testimony and opening that door. But it
- 16 would be helpful to know what he says about it, just to know how to
- 17 handle it with Dr. Morgan.
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Connell?
- 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I so appreciate Mr. Groharing's
- 20 dilemma. The fact that one would want to talk to a witness about
- 21 what information they would provide and how they interact with
- 22 another witness makes so much sense.
- Mr. Groharing is a hundred percent correct about the

- 1 critical importance of reaching out to witnesses, particularly those
- 2 who are mentioned in the testimony of other witnesses, to see what
- 3 they have to say about a topic.
- 4 They might want to call them as witnesses. They might not
- 5 want to call them as witnesses. They might want information to
- 6 inform their future strategic vision of how they proceed with their
- 7 case. I agree 100 percent.
- 8 The path forward that I will propose is, in this particular
- 9 issue, that why don't we just issue an -- craft between the parties
- 10 an interrogatory to Dr. Mitchell? It would be something like: Did
- 11 you have an interaction with Dr. Morgan and what was it like? And
- 12 then jointly we send it to them -- him, and he gives us an answer and
- 13 then we proceed accordingly.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: I don't have a problem with that. So -- all
- 15 right. I'll allow the parties during the recesses to confer on
- 16 potentially going forward with that approach.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor ----
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Again, I'll just -- I'm not going to say
- 19 anything more.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: But is it the case, Your Honor -- I mean,
- 21 Dr. Mitchell's testimony appears done, right?
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: I certainly was hoping so.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: And so absent some indication he's going

- 1 to testify, normally parties would be able to talk to witnesses about
- 2 relevant matters throughout the course of litigation.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 4 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And so now we're -- we have an
- 5 admonishment which typically wouldn't be the case after the witness
- 6 left the stand. And so I could envision other issues coming up along
- 7 the way where we want to -- and again, Dr. Mitchell may tell me to
- 8 pound sand when I reach out for a request.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: He may have had his fill ----
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 12 TC [MR. GROHARING]: ---- on involvement with this.
- But normally with witnesses, we'd be able to at least reach
- 14 out and attempt -- I mean, just like the defense and with
- 15 Dr. Mitchell, through his attorney, make a request to see if we can
- 16 talk to him. And so it doesn't seem like there's any reason to
- 17 prohibit that at this point.
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, and I know you said that -- and I was
- 19 being a little bit glib as well, on just the -- Dr. Mitchell being
- 20 done with his testimony. I meant in the pretrial phase.
- 21 Again, I'm not trying to guess whether or not the defense or
- 22 the government would want to call him in the actual trial. I could
- 23 imagine reasons why he might testify, given his knowledge and what

- 1 he's testified about previously. So that's why I gave him the
- 2 admonishment that I gave him.
- 3 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Right.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Again, I'm open potentially to you asking
- 5 him about this conversation with Dr. Morgan, but I don't see why it
- 6 would be problematic to go the route that Mr. Connell had suggested.
- 7 But go ahead.
- 8 TC [MR. GROHARING]: But, Your Honor, if he is going to
- 9 testify at trial, surely we'd be allowed to speak to him before he
- 10 testifies, right? There wouldn't -- we wouldn't be stuck with your
- 11 prior admonishment about not being able to call -- to talk to a trial
- 12 witness in advance of his testimony, I assume.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Of course. And I actually think it was the
- 14 prosecution that first brought up this procedure of some of the
- 15 witnesses coming back to testify and saying that we want to prep
- 16 these people for their testimony. Are we good to show them their
- 17 prior -- the prior transcripts of their testimony ----
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Right.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- and their statements?
- 20 So, yeah. Again, I don't anticipate that's going to be a
- 21 problem for the prosecution.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: So then ----
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: But the problem is, with the state of the

- 1 case, I didn't want any of the parties, in particular the
- 2 prosecution, talking to witnesses that may be in the middle of their
- 3 testimony or being brought back later as more discovery comes out,
- 4 and then we're trying to figure out what was said and prepped
- 5 and -- I'm actually doing you a favor.
- 6 But go ahead.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay. I'm just -- our preference
- 8 obviously is to have a discussion with him where it could involve a
- 9 back-and-forth as opposed to any type of interrogatory.
- 10 I don't feel like that right now the admonishment is
- 11 necessary to be in place. Obviously, we'll defer to the court's
- 12 quidance and direction and follow whatever direction you provide.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Yeah, the admonishment's still in
- 14 place. Discuss with -- confer with defense counsel.
- And, again, this seems like a very limited scope issue as
- 16 far as one conversation in time and what happened. So that seems
- 17 kind of perfect for an interrogatory.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. What other issues? Anything
- 20 else?
- 21 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I don't have any other issues related to
- 22 the commission, Your Honor.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Perfect.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I'm ready to go. I don't know if -- with
- 2 the time, it might make sense to take our health and comfort now and
- 3 then just roll until lunch.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: That makes sense.
- 5 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I can do either.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: No, I like that idea. Let's do that. So I
- 7 hate for Dr. Morgan sitting back there, but it will give him a chance
- 8 as well to go take a comfort break.
- 9 So it's just about 1000. Let's be back on the record at
- 10 1015.
- 11 Commission's in recess.
- 12 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 0958, 08 May 2024.]
- 13 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1014, 08 May 2024.]
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is called to order.
- The parties are present. The accused are absent.
- 16 All right. If we ----
- 17 Yes, Mr. Connell.
- 18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, during the break it was brought to my
- 19 attention that -- obviously, there's no transcript yet that I've
- 20 reviewed, but that Judge Pritchard just made a statement on the
- 21 record that he had received an e-mail from what he described as OMC
- 22 leadership, to him in his judicial capacity, asking him not to issue
- 23 any ruling on the issue of press freedom of movement.

- I don't know whether this iteration of the military
- 2 commission received a similar e-mail. Obviously, it's inappropriate,
- 3 raises issues of unlawful influence and other things.
- 4 And so I don't -- I don't know if I'm asking for voir dire
- 5 for what, but I wanted to ask if the military commission has any
- 6 information about that topic.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. And I'll allow voir dire on this.
- 8 So I had the -- I received the same e-mail. It was after I
- 9 had already ruled on both your motion and Mr. Sowards' motion, so I
- 10 considered the issue resolved in my case.
- 11 So it had no influence on me. And, yeah, I think I've been
- 12 more than clear with my rulings that, you know, I'm not going to be
- 13 influenced by outside parties.
- 14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, my voir dire will be brief, but who
- 15 sent you the e-mail?
- MJ [Col McCALL]: It was from Mr. Taylor, the -- I guess he's
- 17 the director of staff, I believe, chief of staff. I'm not sure what
- 18 his title is exactly for OMC TJ.
- 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay. And would you be in a position to
- 20 put a copy of the e-mail in the record?
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: I can do that.
- 22 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Okay. Thank you, sir.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. Any other parties desire to question

- 1 me about this?
- 2 Apparently not -- Mr. Sowards, go ahead.
- 3 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm disappointed
- 4 to hear that you considered the issue resolved, which I gather means
- 5 no change under the current policy. And I -- was that then before
- 6 you received the e-mail?
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: So my understanding is that the policy has
- 8 changed as far as the press does -- are able to move between the two
- 9 commissions, just given the manning that's available.
- But, no, my view was the issue had been raised, the -- both
- 11 you and Mr. Connell had asked for certain remedies, and I put on the
- 12 record that I felt although the policy in place at the time didn't
- 13 make a lot of sense, it was -- it did not impact the right to a
- 14 public trial and the press's access to the -- the commissions since
- 15 they could access the proceedings from the media center where they
- 16 could switch back and forth between the two commissions. And so
- 17 that's why I felt it had been resolved.
- I was open to hearing more information, more data on the
- 19 security concerns. But it had no impact on me, this e-mail.
- LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you, sir.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- But I will put it in the record just so that the parties can
- 23 see it. And if you desire more voir dire on it, I'm open to that.

- 1 All right. Let's bring Dr. Morgan in.
- 2 [The witness, Dr. Charles Alexander Morgan III, resumed the witness
- 3 stand.]
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Good morning, Dr. Morgan.
- 5 WIT: Good morning.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: Welcome back. Please have a seat. I just
- 7 remind you you're still under oath.
- 8 WIT: Yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right, Mr. Groharing, your witness.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 12 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. GROHARING]:
- Q. Good morning, Dr. Morgan.
- 14 A. Good morning.
- 15 Q. I think it was in your testimony on Monday, you talked
- 16 about PTSD and someone who, you know, was involved in an act -- and
- 17 it was a defendant, I believe -- who then got PTSD themselves from
- 18 the act for -- which they were involved in.
- 19 Does that sound familiar?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you talk through that a little bit more
- 22 about -- about what happened there.
- 23 A. Yes. That was an individual who, with his girlfriend, had

- 1 decided they would experiment with ketamine. And while both of them
- 2 were using ketamine, the thought occurred to them it would be
- 3 interesting to see, while they were playing with a pistol, what it
- 4 looked like to see what a bullet would do to a person. And so he
- 5 shot her through the head.
- When he came down off the ketamine and realized he had
- 7 murdered his girlfriend, you know, he was arrested, convicted, and
- 8 serving time. And I saw him in the prison system. He had a complete
- 9 array of symptoms of PTSD and depression, mourning the loss of
- 10 someone he loved.
- 11 So it was -- it's one of those situations in which a person
- 12 can be the perpetrator of an act and suffer from PTSD from the act.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Lots of people -- that's a surprise to some people, but if
- 15 you study victims of trauma, it's the exposure to the event that can
- 16 result in PTSD.
- Q. Okay. So I want you to assume some facts for me and I'm
- 18 going to ask you a question.
- 19 In this case Mr. Ali sent \$120,000 -- over \$120,000 to
- 20 hijackers in the United States in support of the operation and that
- 21 he bought a flight simulator video with Marwan al Shehhi, who was the
- 22 pilot for Flight 175.
- 23 He facilitated nine hijackers' travel to the United States

- 1 through United Arab Emirates and helped them make travel plans and
- 2 helped them disguise their efforts to avoid detection.
- 3 He then applied for a visa himself on September 4th, 2001,
- 4 to travel to the United States, presumably to be part of the
- 5 operation. And September 11th happened. And then Mr. Ali watched on
- 6 television all of the death and destruction that his actions caused.
- 7 Could that give Mr. Ali PTSD?
- 8 A. It might. From the studies of the 9/11 event -- there's
- 9 actually a number of studies that looked at who developed PTSD in
- 10 New York. And if people were below a certain street level in
- 11 New York or knew someone in the building, they were more likely to
- 12 have PTSD than any American who just watched it on television.
- So it's a horrifying event, but the people who were at the
- 14 highest risk and who developed PTSD were people who knew loved ones
- 15 on the plane or were in very close proximity to, I believe it was
- 16 Water Street or Canal Street or something where -- I'd have to go
- 17 back and look at the study, but it was the proximity to the event.
- 18 So it's -- it would be possible. It would depend on how
- 19 he -- connected he was or felt to people on the plane or his -- his
- 20 views of the event.
- 21 By history, we know that pilots who dropped the bomb on
- 22 Hiroshima later in life expressed some negative feelings and regret
- 23 about the incident, for the loss of life. So it's ----

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. --- it's a possibility that he has experienced
- 3 psychological distress over things he may have been related to.
- Q. Sure. And what types of symptoms might present from
- 5 Mr. Ali in that scenario?
- A. Well, if he developed post-traumatic stress from that
- 7 event, we would see the same types of -- the same categories of
- 8 symptoms.
- 9 So in post-traumatic stress disorder, it doesn't really
- 10 matter which traumatic event you had. The -- the classifications of
- 11 symptoms are the same. So we would expect to see re-experiencing
- 12 symptoms, which may be intrusive thoughts, dreams about the event,
- 13 cued reactivity on reminders to the event or cued emotional
- 14 reactivity. You might see numbing and avoidance and depression
- 15 symptoms related to the activity, altered cognition about himself,
- 16 views of himself related to the activity.
- 17 And then under the hyperarousal cluster, we would expect to
- 18 see symptoms of exaggerated startle, irritability, difficulty
- 19 concentrating, anger, irritability, and hypervigilance, scanning.
- 20 And those symptoms would have to be -- they'd have to extend
- 21 beyond the -- at least the period of a month and then extend forward
- 22 in time and show an impact on behavior in some way. Then he
- 23 would -- if he had adequate symptoms, he could meet the threshold or

- 1 the criteria for PTSD.
- Q. So what about anxiety? You know, separate from PTSD, how
- 3 might that cause him anxiety? And if so, how might that present?
- A. For the anxiety, it would -- it would be difficult to tell
- 5 without seeing what triggered the anxiety. So in -- anxiety
- 6 is -- from a behavioral science perspective, the distinction we make
- 7 between fear and anxiety is that with fear, you can say
- 8 what it -- you can point to the thing or the -- or an entity or a cue
- 9 that you're -- that is eliciting the emotion.
- 10 And when -- when you cannot, when you can't identify the
- 11 cue, then we kind of -- we refer to it as anxiety. So think of it as
- 12 it's -- it's a very similar response. But if you can't identify the
- 13 cue, we label it as anxiety. And if you can say what it is that's
- 14 making you afraid, then we'll call that fear.
- 15 So without, you know, exploring that, someone may not know,
- 16 then, how to think about the anxiety, whether it's ruminative and
- 17 non-clinically relevant or whether it is the side effect of a formal
- 18 mental disorder, of which there are many kinds in which people have
- 19 anxiety. Not only post-traumatic stress disorder, but any of the
- 20 anxiety disorders, like panic or generalized anxiety disorder or
- 21 major depression in the mood disorders.
- 22 People can have anxiety for many different reasons. So you
- 23 wouldn't know just by looking at someone if they were anxious

- 1 independent of other information, other symptoms to see what the
- 2 constellation of symptoms was, whether or not it made sense within
- 3 the category of one diagnosis versus another.
- Q. And assume that Mr. Ali has a conscience, you know, for
- 5 purposes of this question. How might quilt weigh into that? As far
- 6 as the feeling of guilt for participating in mass murder, how might
- 7 that present itself?
- 8 A. That's -- we don't measure conscience, somebody's
- 9 conscious -- or conscience. The sense of conscience is a term that
- 10 we think about socially, but we don't measure that scientifically.
- Clinically, we just ask people: Has this, in your view,
- 12 kind of been a violation of your beliefs and your values? And we
- 13 might get at it that way. But there's no -- there's no animal model
- 14 of, you know, guilt and that.
- But clinically, typically -- because we often get asked in
- 16 evaluations, does someone have remorse? And I often have to explain
- 17 to the court we don't have a measure of remorse. So we don't know
- 18 what that is, really, psychiatrically. That's -- that's a construct
- 19 that exists in the courtroom and in society, but it's not a -- it's
- 20 not a criteria symptom for what we think of as a mental illness.
- 21 So in your -- in your scenario, as you describe it to me,
- 22 I'd probably have to ask him, "Did this violate something that you
- 23 value?" And it may not have, right? Because we train our own

- 1 soldiers to do things that sometimes later they -- they have regret
- 2 about, the acts they've been involved in, but they'll still say, "I
- 3 was doing the right thing." They don't believe they've violated
- 4 their oath to the country, but they can develop PTSD, yeah.
- 5 Q. And, for sure, sometimes be conflicted, right?
- 6 A. Oh, I'm sorry? It's ----
- 7 Q. And sometimes be conflicted about those feelings, I would
- 8 think.
- 9 A. That's usually how we see them in -- in psychiatry.
- 10 Someone has presented because they're experiencing some sort of
- 11 tension or conflict or trouble related to that.
- 12 Q. And what about shame? How does that play into it, if at
- 13 all?
- 14 A. In post-traumatic stress disorder, shame is a very
- 15 prominent symptom under the avoidance and cognitive change cluster.
- 16 So in DSM-5, as opposed to DSM-IV, the avoidance cluster was split
- 17 into two categories: Trying to not think about the trauma and avoid
- 18 talking about it, and then the ways people think about themselves.
- 19 So it's very common to hear things talked about like
- 20 survivor guilt. Sometimes people wonder why they're the only one
- 21 alive after a bad accident or an attack. So guilt and shame are
- 22 integral parts of that symptom category in PTSD.
- 23 And they're very much what you'll see when you're looking

- 1 like -- like The Feeling Good Handbook or other cognitive behavioral
- 2 therapy handbooks, there's pretty routinely a section on shame,
- 3 quilt, lack of trust.
- 4 The -- it's just -- it's part of the -- it's part of the
- 5 disorder when we look at traumatized individuals.
- Q. Okay. Doctor, you testified about the impact of SERE on
- 7 memory. And you talked about an experiment with -- at SERE school
- 8 where you asked -- you put soldiers, sailors, Marines,
- 9 under -- airmen, under extreme stress and then asked them to look at
- 10 a figure and then attempt to draw it.
- 11 Are you -- do you know what experiment I'm talking about?
- A. Well, we did a number. But, no, I didn't put them under
- 13 stress. The school did.
- 14 O. Well ----
- 15 A. But right after the stress, I could give different kinds
- 16 of -- depending on the study. In that particular study ----
- 17 Q. Right.
- 18 A. --- 15 minutes after their exposure to interrogation
- 19 stress, we also did it an hour later in a different study, but we
- 20 would ask them to do a neuropsych task to be able to assess, compared
- 21 to baseline when they were not under stress, what the impact of
- 22 stress exposure itself was on the -- the prefrontal cortex
- 23 functioning ----

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. --- with the particular test I referred to the other day.
- Q. And those studies were focused, if I understand, on the
- 4 memories, how that was affected during the high stress as far as
- 5 information presented to them and how they would recall that. They
- 6 weren't focused on the impact of prior memories. Something that
- 7 happened, you know -- what unit they came from, you know, trying to
- 8 figure out if they could still remember what unit they came from
- 9 after being -- going to SERE school. Like if it would have a -- some
- 10 type of impact on their memory, right?
- 11 A. Well, we collected data like that, but for a different
- 12 reason, to control for differences in MOSs or time in the service.
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. I was more interested in previous exposure to trauma to
- 15 see whether previous exposure or the number of times a person had
- 16 been previously exposed to combat-related trauma might influence
- 17 their reactivity while they were going through SERE or trauma before
- 18 they came into the military.
- 19 So that was ----
- 20 O. So ----
- 21 A. --- that was the purpose, to see who was more -- would
- 22 that present a vulnerability to being even less capable during and
- 23 after stress of performing. The -- yeah.

- Q. Okay. So in all of these studies, is there any evidence
- 2 from -- from your studies that showed the impact of SERE had an
- 3 impact on the participants' memories that they encoded prior to going
- 4 to SERE?
- 5 A. That wasn't the focus of our study. So I don't -- I don't
- 6 have data about someone's memory for childhood or something else they
- 7 did in the military.
- 8 O. So we know ----
- 9 A. We did a childhood trauma questionnaire. I had to accept
- 10 at face value that what they were saying was true.
- But no, the focus of our study was not to examine reactivity
- 12 at survival school with respect to what someone could say about their
- 13 past because I didn't have ground truth about their past. So it
- 14 would just be subject to recall bias ----
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. --- if that makes sense? It's like asking someone at a
- 17 later date what happened before. We just don't know where the ground
- 18 truth is in that. So that wasn't the focus of the study.
- 19 Q. And are you aware of any study that would suggest that
- 20 high stress, like SERE, causes someone to forget things that happened
- 21 in their past?
- 22 A. At SERE, no; but studies outside of SERE, yes. We know
- 23 that victims of trauma with PTSD, their recollections from the past

- 1 are highly subject to distortion, which is how some of the false
- 2 memory literature came about, about people mis-recalling the past
- 3 about being sexually abused or being assaulted.
- 4 So there is a ----
- 5 O. Sure.
- 6 A. --- relationship between something that some -- if
- 7 somebody becomes traumatized and develops a mental disorder, that can
- 8 significantly affect what they recall from the past or their ability
- 9 to do that.
- 10 Q. And is that connected to the trauma?
- 11 A. We think so. Because the -- what we seem to see in -- in
- 12 both highly traumatized nonhuman animals or in humans, the basic
- 13 mechanism of how memories both form and get recalled seems to be
- 14 damaged. So the -- some of those enduring traits that I talked about
- 15 with safety signaling, it turns out that seems to be a pretty good
- 16 marker for severe PTSD over one's life.
- 17 So those kind of geeky studies in the lab, we say startle,
- 18 we're really looking at the building blocks of how a person has a
- 19 memory, and we know that that is damaged by trauma.
- Q. Right.
- 21 A. And, therefore, the implication of that is we -- it's a
- 22 very real possibility that what they attempt to recall from the past,
- 23 because they're resurrecting and re-creating memory -- when you pull

- 1 it up in mind, you're re-creating the past. And so it's -- it's
- 2 really generally believed in my community, it's subject to
- 3 substantial error.
- And so when we're training psych residents, we tell them you
- 5 can go with what someone says they remembered, but we don't go
- 6 digging to do, like, regressive therapy to find out ----
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. --- what someone remembers because it's a rabbit hole.
- 9 And we just tell therapists to focus on the present ----
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. --- and work with that.
- 12 Q. Fair to say you are not concerned that we subject our
- 13 servicemembers -- and these are some of the best Americans there
- 14 are we're sending there to be trained and we're subjecting them to
- 15 this experience. Fair to say that you're not concerned that we're
- 16 damaging them in a way that we're -- that we're damaging their prior
- 17 memory in a way that they won't have access to that anymore?
- 18 A. In part, that was, I think, why they let me start studying
- 19 people at survival school. One of the colonels wanted to know, are
- 20 we -- is the stress is enough or too much? Are we damaging our
- 21 folks, right?
- 22 Because the principle at SERE is to create enough fear
- 23 conditioning that once they leave, even years later, if they're

- 1 exposed to a reminder, we want all that training to flash back into
- 2 their mind so they can -- they can be safe, right?
- Q. Exactly.
- A. So in one respect, we are -- we are doing severe fear
- 5 conditioning in them and hoping it's not too much to become
- 6 debilitating, but we do know that some people have developed
- 7 PTSD ----
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. ---- from the training experience. So it has damaged some
- 10 people, but most people know, we -- you know, I mean, I think most
- 11 people stay healthy.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. But the fear conditioning we're hoping is -- it's for
- 14 life, for somebody that goes through SERE school.
- That's why I think I disagreed the other day on the drawing
- 16 about maybe it's unlikely reexposure to a cue would elicit, you know,
- 17 memories of EITs.
- 18 But the whole principle of SERE school is that years later,
- 19 exposure to a cue should bring all that back into a person's mind.
- 20 But the goal is not to damage people at SERE. That's why the ----
- 21 O. Sure.
- 22 A. --- experiences are limited and ----
- 23 Q. And ----

- 1 A. --- and also framed and supported by people around
- 2 them ----
- 3 Q. Right.
- A. --- to help them understand, this is for your own good,
- 5 right?
- Q. Yeah. Like, we still need a sniper to be able to, you
- 7 know, apply his training and shoot somebody from a thousand meters,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It would serve no purpose to then lose the benefit of that
- 11 training by taking him through this, right? Where he'd forget
- 12 everything he learned about his other training, right?
- 13 A. And that's -- you know, when we do the psychological
- 14 screening in different units, people have different profiles. The
- 15 snipers look different, yeah. So do the submariners, so...
- 16 Q. Indeed. Okay.
- 17 Next subject. I want to ask about your thoughts on
- 18 observations of CIA and Guantanamo Bay medical professionals.
- Are you aware that Mr. Ali was routinely treated by -- and
- 20 observed by mental health professionals throughout the course of his
- 21 detention?
- 22 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Would dissociative condition have been detectible by

- 1 someone following an examinee or conducting a psychiatric exam?
- 2 A. It may have. People can experience symptoms of
- 3 dissociation. They're an internal event. And so to an outside
- 4 observer, very often dissociation may look like a person losing their
- 5 train of thought and sort of being lost -- lost in thought.
- To a layperson, it might just look like someone was
- 7 daydreaming for a moment, momentarily out of touch with what's
- 8 immediately going on around them. And so they might label it as
- 9 seemed preoccupied or look distracted or couldn't concentrate. But
- 10 sometimes you -- you can't observe it. You have to ask people about
- 11 the symptoms that they're experiencing.
- 12 Q. Do you have any basis to believe that psychological
- 13 assessments done by successive examiners who did not note the
- 14 presence of dissociation were ignoring signs of dissociation?
- 15 A. You'd have to ask them if they were trained to look for it
- 16 and think about it. I don't know. I mean, not seeing something
- 17 doesn't tell us it's not there.
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. And it really depends on the nature and focus of the
- 20 evaluation and then the rapport they have with the person they're
- 21 evaluating and then the degree of trust, which is typically
- 22 significantly impaired.
- 23 That's why I was alarmed when I saw a censored medical

- 1 record with information blanked out on it, not -- not blacked
- 2 out ----
- 3 Q. Sure.
- 4 A. ---- removed, so ----
- 5 Q. Now, in that sense ----
- A. --- if medical records are censored, it's difficult to
- 7 understand what's really in the record, because I honestly don't know
- 8 if people were encouraged not to mention things, which I know
- 9 happens.
- 10 I've been in government a long time. People might get the
- 11 message ----
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. --- you write notes and you write them minimally and ----
- 14 Q. But you don't ----
- 15 A. ---- and don't ----
- Q. You don't know with regard to that record whether or not
- 17 that was something classified and it's contained in another
- 18 classified record or something like that and that was just the
- 19 unclassified version, right?
- 20 A. All the information was gone from that except the name at
- 21 the bottom of the page. It was supposed to be the report of abuse.
- 22 So I can't imagine that every aspect was classified. It's ----
- Q. All right.

- 1 A. ---- you know, it -- it does -- it really strains
- 2 credulity, too, to say it's just all blanked out. It looks like an
- 3 effort to avoid anything really going anywhere.
- Q. Do you agree you're pretty much speculating on that,
- 5 though?
- A. I'm not speculating that the chart was censored.
- 7 Q. But why -- but why it might be redacted.
- 8 A. I -- I can only speculate as to why it might be redacted.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. There's a limited number of hypotheses that would explain
- 11 it.
- 12 Q. Okay. Would properly defined learned helplessness have
- 13 been undetectable by mental health professional -- by a mental health
- 14 professional following an individual or conducting a psychiatric
- 15 exam?
- A. Well, learned helplessness is a term we use for nonhuman
- 17 animals. It's an animal model to explain why people do
- 18 self-defeating things and fail to actually be able to behave in ways
- 19 that would really be beneficial to them.
- In working with people, we might refer to it as conditioned
- 21 defeat or depression. The clinical ----
- 22 O. Well ----
- 23 A. --- term is people can look depressed, discouraged,

- 1 defeated. They may believe that there is -- all the options are
- 2 illusory, and so ----
- 3 Q. And would ----
- 4 A. --- nothing will really change if they make an effort.
- 5 Q. Would conditioned defeat have been undetectable by a
- 6 mental health professional who is following an individual or
- 7 conducting an exam?
- 8 A. It could be, yes.
- 9 Q. Do you have any basis to believe that psychological
- 10 assessments done by successive examiners who did not note the
- 11 presence of conditioned defeat were ignoring signs of conditioned
- 12 defeat?
- 13 A. I don't know. Yeah.
- Q. Would regression to an earlier state of development and
- 15 dependency have been detectible by a mental health professional
- 16 conducting a psychiatric exam who was looking for signs of regression
- 17 to an earlier state of development and dependency?
- 18 A. I'm not sure I understand what you mean when you say
- 19 "regression to an earlier state of dependency." I -- can you just
- 20 explain a little bit? I don't know what I'd be answering. I'm
- 21 sorry.
- 22 Q. Is that a -- is that a term that's familiar to you?
- 23 A. I've heard people talk about regression therapy when they

- 1 would try to recover memories. It's not something we approve of
- 2 ethically because we know ----
- 3 Q. Did ----
- A. --- that it produces false memories and ----
- 5 Q. It ----
- A. ---- erroneous memories.
- 7 Q. Would -- the concept of regression to an earlier state of
- 8 development, is that a concept that's familiar to you?
- 9 A. That's a concept that we talk about when we have an adult
- 10 who then begins to behave like a child, and that may be because they
- 11 have a reduction in their cognitive capacity or they become
- 12 psychotic.
- 13 For example, as an adult, someone will use the restroom and
- 14 not bring their feces to their doctor. When I have psychotic
- 15 patient, I've had them wrap them in a facecloth and bring them to
- 16 rounds because they have a gift. Which is something like a toddler
- 17 might do because they're proud they've used the toilet and want their
- 18 parent to come and see what they've done. That would be a
- 19 regression.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. So it's a term that refers to the person shouldn't behave
- 22 in this way for their age ----
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. --- and developmental progress.
- 2 They have moved back in the age timeline and are thinking
- 3 and reacting much more like a child or -- or a toddler.
- Q. Okay. And is that something that a mental health
- 5 professional conducting a psychiatric exam would be able to see?
- A. We typically notice it if a person is psychotic.
- 7 It's -- I don't know what meaning it would have if a person is not
- 8 experiencing psychosis. And what I mean by psychosis, actively
- 9 hallucinating, having delusional thoughts.
- There is a comment in the chart that he reported having
- 11 auditory and visual hallucinations, but I haven't seen any
- 12 evaluations of those ----
- 13 Q. All right.
- 14 A. --- but the doctor did note them.
- 15 Q. And when you refer to the chart, you're referring to that
- 16 one medical record. Not his entire medical record, right?
- 17 A. No. But it -- that was in the rule-out and follow-up part
- 18 on ----
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. --- whether or not it would be why he would be having,
- 21 you know, visual and auditory hallucinations.
- 22 Most people with a schizophrenia disorder don't have visual
- 23 hallucinations, they usually have auditory. So the auditory and

- 1 visual combination means on the differential diagnosis you'd want to
- 2 know if it was related to head trauma ----
- Q. Okay.
- A. --- or -- or a tumor growing in the person's head. Yeah.
- 5 Q. You mentioned psychosis. Would psychosis have been
- 6 undetectable by a mental health professional conducting a psychiatric
- 7 exam looking for signs of psychosis?
- 8 A. It can be. It -- it would depend on the in-depth nature
- 9 of the evaluation.
- 10 Some people who are psychotic -- I, myself, have treated
- 11 patients where I didn't know for several sessions that they believed
- 12 they were communicating to an unseen entity in the room while I was
- 13 interviewing them. And I slowly became aware. They seemed to be
- 14 distracted while I was speaking to them. And I finally had to ask,
- 15 "Are you listening to somebody else?" And the patient said, "Yes."
- 16 And then I could ask about it.
- 17 But sometimes it's not obvious at all when a person is
- 18 having a psychotic experience.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you have any basis to believe that psychological
- 22 assessments conducted by successive examiners, who did not note the
- 23 presence of psychosis, were ignoring signs of psychosis?

- A. Well, I haven't seen any successive evaluations, because
- 2 my focus was on whether or not there was substantial evidence that he
- 3 suffered from PTSD ----
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. --- and there's adequate data for that. But no, I didn't
- 6 see other evaluations.
- 7 Q. Do you have any basis to believe that psychological
- 8 assessments done by successive examiners, who did not note the
- 9 presence of depression, were ignoring signs of depression?
- 10 A. Well, looking at the neuropsych testing that has been
- 11 recently done and the brain scan imaging, the changes in the brain
- 12 are not acute. In other words, they've been around for a long time.
- So I would assume if people had done a neuropsych eval
- 14 during his course of detention, they would have noted the same
- 15 neuropsychological difficulties that Dr. Shea reported because those
- 16 aren't new findings. So I'm assuming if they did notice something
- 17 and evaluate it, they -- they would have noted the
- 18 process -- information processing deficits and ----
- 19 Q. And do you have any basis ----
- 20 A. --- memory problems much sooner.
- Q. Do you have any basis to believe that examiners who did
- 22 not note the presence of depression were ignoring those signs?
- 23 A. No, I don't know what -- I don't know what they thought or

- 1 what they saw or ignored.
- Q. Okay. Would persistent hopelessness and suicidal ideation
- 3 have been undetectable by a mental health professional conducting a
- 4 psychiatric exam?
- 5 A. They can be. It depends whether the patient decides to
- 6 let the person know.
- 7 I think some of the observations that are in the OIG record
- 8 do indicate there was a sense of fear and despair, a fear of dying, a
- 9 fear of being killed. But those symptoms can be hidden from an
- 10 evaluator.
- 11 Q. Are you aware that doctors were evaluating Mr. Ali on the
- 12 basis of having seen him get EITs as to whether he was at risk for
- 13 developing a serious psychological condition like PTSD?
- 14 A. You faded at the initial part of your question. Can you
- 15 repeat it for me, please?
- Q. Sure. Are you aware that doctors that were evaluating
- 17 Mr. Ali on the basis of having seen him get EITs, they were
- 18 evaluating him as to whether he was at risk for developing a serious
- 19 psychological condition like PTSD?
- 20 A. I am aware from the comments in the report that people saw
- 21 him and made an assessment as to whether or not the EITs would or
- 22 would not be harmful to him, which is surprising to me because ----
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. --- they wouldn't have any scientific basis and clinical
- 2 evidence basis on which to make that judgment.
- 3 Q. Are you aware of -- that doctors were evaluating him
- 4 during the EITs to assess whether, as a result of the EITs, he was at
- 5 risk of developing a serious psychological condition like PTSD?
- A. Yes, I'm aware that -- that he -- that doctors were
- 7 present during EITs for the purpose of doing that. But once again,
- 8 I'd say that would be based on an erroneous sense of what we know in
- 9 medicine and psychiatry.
- 10 It would be like asking someone while being raped, "Do they
- 11 look like they can take any more of it?" And the doctor saying,
- 12 "Yes, I believe they can. I see no reason why this would produce a
- 13 subsequent injury."
- Q. So is it your opinion, then, that they -- they were not
- 15 evaluating him as directed?
- 16 A. It's my opinion, whether they saw it directly or
- 17 indirectly, they were making medical judgments that are not possible
- 18 to make based on what we know.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the doctors did not apprise Mr. Ali of -- to be
- 20 experiencing a threat to his body, integrity, or to his life,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. I'm not aware of them asking him for his informed consent.
- 23 He didn't ask -- he didn't ask to be the test dummy for people

- 1 learning how to wall for two hours.
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. I don't think he asked if -- for his permission to be ----
- 4 O. No ----
- 5 A. --- doused or slapped or -- I don't think he asked for
- 6 any of it, which is very different than what's ----
- 7 O. Yeah.
- 8 A. --- done at SERE. So I don't know how doctors could
- 9 imagine they could predict that something would be okay in the
- 10 future ----
- 11 O. I ----
- 12 A. --- based on the known scientific data at that time, at
- 13 least ----
- 14 Q. Yeah, I think ----
- 15 A. ---- in 2002.
- Q. I think you may have misunderstood my question or maybe it
- 17 was a poorly worded question.
- 18 What I was asking is whether the doctors -- whether the
- 19 doctor did not assess him -- I used the word "apprise" but I didn't
- 20 mean apprise as if they were saying something to them, but whether
- 21 they assessed him to be experiencing a threat to his body integrity
- 22 or to his life.
- 23 A. I'm not aware whether they did or didn't. It's my

- 1 understanding from some of my colleagues that they did.
- Q. For Mr. Ali?
- 3 A. No. I mean from while I was at the CIA and knowing what
- 4 was going on between the DoD and the CIA, I'm aware that people were
- 5 present during some of them to consult. This is why we have the
- 6 debate in both APAs about whether or not psychologists and
- 7 psychiatrists ----
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. --- could render consultation to interrogation.
- 10 Q. But this is not specific to Mr. Ali.
- 11 A. I'm just saying in general ----
- 12 Q. That discussion you're talking about wasn't specific
- 13 about ----
- 14 A. No. It was whether or not our profession could
- 15 ethically ----
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. --- consult to government interrogations.
- Q. Which is a different question. The ethics question is a
- 19 different question than whether they could actually assess ----
- 20 A. The question -- the question arose because colleges were
- 21 participating ----
- Q. Right.
- 23 A. --- and consulting to interrogation. So it isn't

- 1 hypothetical. They were. And that's why we had the debate in both
- 2 APAs ----
- 3 Q. Yeah.
- A. --- about whether or not for our ----
- 5 Q. I'm not ----
- A. ---- professions, whether we could.
- 7 Q. I'm not challenging ----
- 8 A. So -- no, I'm not -- I'm not arguing with you. I'm just
- 9 saying they were.
- 10 Q. Yeah, and I get that. The question was whether or not
- 11 they were actually assessing him and what their observations were
- 12 when they were actually assessing him. Putting aside the question of
- 13 whether or not people are arguing about whether it's ethical, just
- 14 the fact that they actually made that assessment and were in a
- 15 position to assess him. That -- that was the purpose of the
- 16 question.
- 17 A. Oh. I haven't seen a document saying that this doctor
- 18 observed him while receiving a specific EIT, no.
- 19 Q. Okay. You talked about studying hardiness and resilience,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I have.
- Q. And the role they play in an individual's ability to
- 23 respond to stress.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you assess Mr. Ali's hardiness at any point?
- 3 A. I've already said I have not assessed Mr. Ali, so I have
- 4 not ----
- Q. Okay.
- A. ---- assessed his hardiness.
- 7 Q. Okay. And a significant stressor would be indefinite
- 8 confinement, correct?
- 9 A. That would be considered a significant stressor, yes.
- 10 Q. Or harsh interrogation.
- 11 A. The different kinds of stressors, right? I mean, any
- 12 confinement is stressful to someone who doesn't want to be in jail or
- in prison or something, so -- so ----
- 14 O. And ----
- 15 A. --- stressors vary in their intensity, but those would be
- 16 considered stressful if it's against a person's will, yes.
- 17 O. And resilience also affects a person's impact from
- 18 confinement, correct?
- 19 A. Resilience is a -- is a term that we are using to try and
- 20 describe why some people can either bounce back more easily from a
- 21 traumatic event, or don't seem as severely impacted by a traumatic
- 22 event, or that they just never get as sick when they're exposed to a
- 23 traumatic event, or whether or not they simply recover faster. They

- 1 might get just as sick and then show a recovery.
- 2 So it's a -- it's a clinical model when we ----
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. --- talk about stress resilience and ----
- 5 Q. And ----
- A. --- we're exploring why do -- why do we vary as human
- 7 beings and the degree to which we get sick after terrible things
- 8 happen.
- 9 Q. And lots of different factors go into that, right?
- 10 A. Oh, yes. There's a number of ----
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. ---- genetic factors, environmental factors that
- 13 contribute to a person's risk for post-traumatic stress disorder.
- Q. What about their faith? Strength in faith, is that a big
- 15 factor in determining whether someone will bounce back from a
- 16 traumatic event?
- 17 A. I don't know if it determines it, but we do know that
- 18 having a belief in something, that can help a person deal with
- 19 something terrible that's happened in either reframing it, in helping
- 20 them cope in a better way and not suffer quite as much. A person
- 21 doesn't have to be religious, but they may have a belief in something
- 22 that organize -- that helps them organize their thoughts and
- 23 feelings.

- So in -- so Steve -- my colleagues, Steve Southwick and
- 2 Dennis Charney did a nice book on stress and resilience, and one of
- 3 the sections is devoted to faith or having a belief in something.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. So is optimism, being able to be optimistic means a person
- 6 is trying to get a different perspective on the situation they're in.
- 7 And we believe faith or a belief in something helps a person cope.
- 8 O. And ----
- 9 A. It doesn't mean they don't get PTSD. I'm -- I published a
- 10 paper. It's on my CV. We looked at social support and social
- 11 networks as maybe helping prevent PTSD. They don't, but they do
- 12 help -- they don't affect the incidence rate of PTSD but they do help
- 13 a person deal with their ongoing symptoms.
- 14 O. Well ----
- 15 A. And that's the same as with something like diabetes. If
- 16 you have a good support group or you have faith, it helps you
- 17 modulate stress in a different way.
- 18 Q. And hardiness is certainly something that -- do you agree
- 19 that that -- a person's hardiness impacts whether or not they would
- 20 get PTSD or could impact it?
- 21 A. Well, that's the -- that's what we've been looking at in
- 22 our Special Operations. And so I have a colleague who developed the
- 23 hardiness scale or the grit scale, and trying to figure out if people

- 1 fill out a number of items on the hardiness scale or the grit scale,
- 2 do they look different after we expose them to stress? Do they show
- 3 fewer medical issues over time or psychological issues over time?
- 4 So we're still trying to understand what it is we all mean
- 5 when we say they're hardy.
- 6 Q. Yeah.
- 7 A. But when you back up from it, you're saying, wow, stress
- 8 impacts some people more than others. So compare the airline pilot
- 9 who sounds very calm when he goes, "We have one engine that has
- 10 failed but we'll be landing shortly," and it's supposed -- we're all
- 11 calm, right? And then you have characters who are much like George
- 12 Costanza on Seinfeld where everything is expressed in its full volume
- 13 of what they're feeling.
- In our Special Operations folks, through selection, what's
- 15 weeded out is anyone who's high in emotional reactivity. They
- 16 represent the bottom 5 percent of the population in emotional
- 17 reactivity, which is a risk factor for PTSD.
- 18 Q. And ----
- A. So for hardiness, we do think that it's a person who, by
- 20 temperament, is less prone to anxiety, fear, depression, and who more
- 21 easily can tolerate ----
- 22 O. Sure.
- 23 A. --- stress. Those are -- those are actually the items

- 1 like on the "neo" for assessing neuroticism or emotional reactivity.
- 2 Q. And ----
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. And different people react differently to confinement or
- 5 harsh interrogation?
- 6 I'll give you an example. John McCain, shot down and
- 7 detained in Hanoi for over six years. Are you familiar with his
- 8 treatment?
- 9 A. I am. I actually was at the Mitchell Center for POW
- 10 studies and know a great deal about his case and diagnoses.
- 11 Q. And, you know, in people like Admiral Stockdale who were
- 12 detained in Hanoi. And for whatever reason, some of these folks that
- 13 were -- that were exposed to the very same treatment developed PTSD
- 14 and some didn't, right?
- 15 A. Without being specific, whether we see them in public or
- 16 not, a large number of PTSD and other issues -- the -- the issue in
- 17 psychiatry that we're trying to help -- we want to understand more is
- 18 because in spite of those symptoms, some have been able to go on to
- 19 serve in public life or ----
- 20 O. Sure.
- 21 A. --- or that -- and that was true with the veterans I saw
- 22 at the VA. Some had been able to hide their symptoms for years and
- 23 work at IBM ----

- 1 Q. Right. But some ----
- 2 A. --- and also keep it away from their spouse, right?
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. And then suddenly ----
- 5 O. Sure.
- A. --- they get exposed to a cue and it all reemerged.
- 7 Q. Different categories. Like, some folks got PTSD and were
- 8 able to hide symptoms and do other things but some folks just didn't
- 9 get PTSD, period ----
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. --- that were exposed to similar treatment. It depends
- 12 on the person ----
- 13 A. Well ----
- Q. --- and how they're impacted.
- 15 A. John Henry Krystal did the studies of Holocaust survivors.
- 16 And from the camp at Auschwitz for survivors of Auschwitz, it wasn't
- 17 100 percent PTSD rate from being in a concentration camp.
- They suffered from a lot of other things if it wasn't PTSD.
- 19 There was alexithymia, difficulties in mood regulation and things
- 20 like that. So they were damaged, but they didn't have classic
- 21 post-traumatic stress disorder.
- Q. Okay. Now, Dr. Hanrahan, he didn't assess resilience in
- 23 Mr. Ali, did he?

- 1 A. No, but that wouldn't be -- that's not a traditional
- 2 clinical assessment. So, I mean...
- 3 Q. But he didn't as far as you know, right?
- 4 A. As far as I know.
- 5 Q. Or -- and he didn't assess hardiness in Mr. Ali, did he?
- A. No. If he said he did, I'd kind of wonder how he would
- 7 know the scale would be valid. We -- we've never validated the
- 8 current scales that are -- that purport to measure hardiness in -- in
- 9 sort of non-American populations or non-Norwegian populations or
- 10 non-Canadian populations and perhaps non-Australian populations. So
- 11 if he did, I wouldn't know whether the findings ----
- 12 Q. Yeah.
- 13 A. ---- would be valid or not.
- Q. Right. And that's important -- right? -- because knowing
- 15 the normative set, you know, knowing who you're comparing this person
- 16 to or a person to is important?
- 17 A. If you're using a testing system, yes.
- 18 Q. Yeah. I assume also Dr. Shea, you're not aware of any
- 19 testing he did to measure Mr. Ali's hardiness or resilience?
- 20 A. Well, the neuro -- I think that's a misunderstanding of
- 21 the evaluation. The evaluation is to look at neuropsychological
- 22 functioning and specific functioning that parts of our brain do. And
- 23 those things are -- our brains function cross-culturally in very

- 1 similar ways with respect to those building-block activities that
- 2 some of the neuropsych testing elements are challenging a person to
- 3 do.
- With respect to the PTSD evaluation, it doesn't have a
- 5 hardiness component in it, but the CAPS is normed across different
- 6 populations. So it is valid ----
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. --- it is valid to use in non-American,
- 9 non-English-speaking populations.
- 10 Q. Are you saying that -- that there are not tests that can
- 11 be done for hardiness or resilience to measure someone ----
- 12 A. I'm saying there are no measures of hardiness and
- 13 resilience that have been normed in other populations that we could
- 14 use predictively. It -- it would be an experimental phase right now.
- 15 Because I've been a part of some of that research. I have measures
- 16 that do predict which U.S. special operators will and won't do well
- 17 when they're interrogated or at dive school or at other schools with
- 18 measures that I get at baseline.
- I did green team selection assessment for Dam Neck for three
- 20 years. And by assessing them in week one, I could predict 92 percent
- 21 of the drops from their selection program and 75 percent of the
- 22 keepers without knowing anything else about them, right?
- 23 So we're -- but, I wouldn't say that's what a clinician

- 1 should go out and do.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Even though we have great data from three years running on
- 4 it, it needs to be normed in different populations than just that
- 5 version of SEALs.
- Q. Okay. Okay. During your testimony you talked a lot about
- 7 PTSD and the impact that that has on extinction in the classical
- 8 conditioning construct, okay? I want to just make sure I understand
- 9 your testimony.
- In your declaration, your supplemental declaration ----
- 11 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And if I -- if I could get the feed from
- 12 Table 2, please, I'll -- I'll pull it up for you.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead. I'll let you know when it's
- 14 showing down here.
- 15 All right. It's up.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Judge, I'm just trying to see if it's
- 17 been cleared. I believe that's been cleared for display.
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: My understanding -- my understanding is it's
- 19 not cleared for the gallery, but we can have it for the witness.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay. And that's 628ZZZZZ (AAA)
- 21 Attachment B.
- 22 Q. All right. So if we can go to paragraph 12.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Sir? Sorry. Just a brief correction for

- 1 the record. It's 628TTTTTTT Attachment B.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- 3 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Yep.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- 5 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I apologize, Your Honor. This ----
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: Oh, take your time.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: This -- this font is smaller than I might
- 8 have ever seen on a piece of paper, but...
- 9 Q. Okay. Paragraph 12, the last bottom lines, the last two
- 10 lines, it says: Fear-based contextual conditioning in people with
- 11 PTSD is more resistant to extinction, i.e., harder to eliminate than
- 12 that of healthy individuals.
- Okay. That was your declaration that you swore to in
- 14 January. I thought you said during your testimony that it was
- 15 impossible to extinguish conditioned fear ----
- 16 A. That's ----
- 17 Q. ---- in people that had PTSD.
- 18 A. ---- in ----
- 19 Q. Maybe I misheard that, but if you could clarify that,
- 20 please.
- 21 A. I can clarify it so it's not misunderstood.
- The very nature of the problem clinically in post-traumatic
- 23 stress disorder is that their fear is not extinguished. They -- the

- 1 enduring and reproducible findings, they do not learn safety
- 2 signaling like normal human beings. And that is the persistent
- 3 nature of their illness over time, and we don't have a cure for it.
- 4 So the -- the general understanding of the -- the
- 5 fundamental pathophysiology of the disease PTSD is that they lack the
- 6 capacity to extinguish their fear. And I think the mixup in the
- 7 language is I think that some people -- when we use "extinction" in
- 8 one term, it means you can't observe the behavior, that you don't
- 9 observe the behavior.
- And what we find in people with PTSD is that under the
- 11 conditions you want, you can continuously observe the behavior. You
- 12 can see the behavior reemerge over time and that it fails to do
- 13 extinction like it does in most people.
- 14 But extinction is not the vanishing of the fear-conditioned
- 15 memories. Extinction is the -- is the outward appearance because
- 16 people can learn a safety signal that inhibits the expression of the
- 17 fear.
- And what's well known in PTSD, some people argue, like
- 19 Jovanovic -- I believe you have at least their name in the reference
- 20 for their paper. They've been arguing it should be a biomarker for
- 21 PTSD because the findings are so stable.
- 22 But the point is, is that what clinicians have known for
- 23 years is the reason why the person is sick is because their mind and

- 1 body keep responding over time as if the danger is still present ----
- Q. Right.
- 3 A. --- and it affects the way they think and behave.
- 4 So that's what I'm getting at when I say in PTSD, we don't
- 5 get fear extinction. The fear remains ----
- Q. Right.
- 7 A. --- in an abnormal way.
- Q. But you -- that's not what you said in the declaration,
- 9 though, right? In the declaration you said it was more resistant,
- 10 harder to eliminate. That would imply, to me at least, that it's not
- 11 impossible, but ----
- 12 A. Well, we don't have ----
- 13 Q. ---- the PTSD ----
- A. We don't have a cure for it, so I don't know which word
- 15 you would prefer, but the clinical ----
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. --- the clinical -- the clinical issue is that the fear
- 18 never goes away.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- A. And most therapy is designed to try and help a person
- 21 suppress it as much as possible in order to have as normal a life as
- 22 possible. The more severe the trauma, the greater that challenge.
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. If you have a mild case of PTSD, the more successful they
- 2 appear to be at suppressing the expression of -- of fear.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So -- but if you look at any of the studies of cognitive
- 5 behavioral therapy or flooding, people aren't cured when it's over.
- 6 They've just reduced their symptoms to a degree on a -- on a
- 7 threshold scale that either does or doesn't match now either a
- 8 diagnosis or they've moved from the severe category to the moderate
- 9 category. And because the shift is significant, we say, oh,
- 10 that -- that treatment is effective.
- But -- but to believe that that means they can extinguish
- 12 fear and it goes away would -- would be a misconception entirely.
- 13 That's the core problem in post-traumatic stress disorder ----
- 14 O. And ----
- 15 A. --- is they can't extinguish the fear.
- Q. And so assume -- even assuming, just for argument's sake,
- 17 that Mr. Ali did have PTSD or does have PTSD, that diagnosis alone
- 18 does not prevent him from providing a voluntary and reliable
- 19 statement, correct?
- 20 A. No. I know that he does have PTSD based on ----
- Q. Right.
- 22 A. --- the neuropsych testing and the psychological
- 23 assessments I've seen ----

- 1 Q. But ----
- 2 A. ---- from the doctors.
- 3 Q. But it's not ----
- A. But hypothetically, if we say would that be alone enough
- 5 to affect his decision-making capacity to believe what was in his own
- 6 interest, the answer would be yes.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. We know that it impairs frontal lobe functioning, and
- 9 people are impaired in their ability to make decisions that are in
- 10 their own best interest.
- 11 Q. So ----
- 12 A. And as I said previously in testimony, the purpose of the
- 13 EIT program was to render people malleable in order to have them act
- 14 in ways that were not in their own interests. And I'm quoting ----
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. ---- Dr. Mitchell and Jessen, right? So ----
- 17 Q. So just ----
- 18 A. --- I would say, yes, that would impair him.
- 19 Q. If you focus -- the question I asked was: A diagnosis of
- 20 PTSD, a diagnosis alone, doesn't prevent Mr. Ali from providing a
- 21 voluntary statement?
- 22 A. It may.
- Q. You'd have to look at all ----

- 1 A. It may.
- 2 Q. The question is ----
- A. I can't say that it wouldn't.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Let me stop both counsel and the witness.
- 5 You're starting to talk over each other a little bit.
- 6 WIT: Yeah.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: So, Mr. Groharing, go ahead and ask your
- 8 question. Just pause ----
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- Dr. Morgan. Allow him to complete his
- 11 question ----
- 12 WIT: All right.
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- and then answer.
- 14 WIT: Okay.
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: And I'll acknowledge that that's at least
- 17 half my fault.
- 18 Q. People with PTSD make voluntary decisions for themselves
- 19 all the time, right?
- A. Some do, yes.
- Q. Yeah. They are -- they are able to vote. They don't lose
- 22 the ability to vote in an election, right?
- A. Yeah. I mean, some people do. Some people don't.

- 1 Q. Are you aware of a case ever of a person who was not
- 2 allowed to vote because they were diagnosed with PTSD?
- A. No, I'm not aware. So it may have occurred. It may not
- 4 have occurred. I wouldn't know.
- Q. What about care for themselves? People with PTSD, they're
- 6 allowed to care for themselves?
- 7 A. Sometimes. I've had patients with PTSD who have been
- 8 involuntarily detained in the hospital because they were identified
- 9 as being gravely disabled ----
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. --- which means they were unable to care for themselves.
- 12 Q. But millions of people who have been diagnosed with PTSD
- 13 go about their daily lives and care for themselves, right?
- 14 A. Some do.
- 15 Q. Okay. And they're allowed to make decisions about medical
- 16 care for children?
- 17 A. Some are.
- 18 Q. Sure.
- 19 A. Some -- some actually have their -- their child privileges
- 20 revoked because of the severity of their condition. I've worked ----
- 21 O. But ----
- 22 A. --- with veterans who have lost their custody issues
- 23 because of the severity of their illness. So some people will and

- 1 some people won't.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. There's not -- yeah. It could go either way.
- 4 Q. What percent of folks with PTSD would you put in that
- 5 category? One percent?
- A. Oh, it would be more than that. In our clinic ----
- 7 Q. Do you think ----
- 8 A. --- of PTSD patients, it would be hard to say. I'd have
- 9 to look and see if someone has studied the exact numbers.
- 10 Q. Would ----
- 11 A. But clinically -- clinically I know for the fact just
- 12 because you have the diagnosis doesn't mean that you have all those
- 13 things that you're describing, the ability to make good decisions or
- 14 sound decisions.
- Q. Right. You can sign official documents? There's
- 16 no -- you're not prevented from signing -- you know, buying a house
- 17 or signing a will?
- 18 A. Well, most people don't announce I'm a mentally ill
- 19 patient, I'd like to sign a lease for the apartment. Their medical
- 20 history is usually private.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. So of course they might be allowed to get a driver's
- 23 license, do all kinds of things.

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. People with schizophrenia are allowed to go get a driver's
- 3 license and they're psychotic.
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 A. So they suffer with delusions and psychoses and they can
- 6 drive. So, yeah, sure, mentally ill people ----
- 7 Q. All right.
- 8 A. ---- can get a driver's license.
- 9 Q. And they can act as jurors?
- 10 A. That's entirely ----
- 11 Q. That does not disqualify you from being on a jury, right?
- 12 A. Well, in voir dire, you guys get to decide with the number
- 13 of votes you have who you want on a jury ----
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. --- and who you don't want on a jury, so...
- Q. It's the guy or gal with the robe decides whether or
- 17 not -- who sits. But I agree, the preemptories, we get to exercise
- 18 those.
- But there's not any kind of rule that you're aware of that
- 20 would prevent a juror from sitting by just the mere fact they had
- 21 PTSD, right?
- A. No, I'm not aware of a rule about that. It's usually
- 23 about function and attitude.

- Q. Sure. And act as counsel in a case. Lawyers have PTSD
- 2 and are able to act as an advocate before a court?
- 3 A. I would agree with the first part. I'm not sure about the
- 4 second part.
- 5 Q. How so?
- A. I don't know if they function adequately or not adequately
- 7 as the attorney representing their client. That speaks to an
- 8 assessment of how well they're doing their job.
- 9 Q. But individually, that would be an individual ----
- 10 A. But they may have a -- they may have a mental illness.
- 11 Their functional capacity may or may not be impaired by that illness.
- 12 Q. It would depend on the person, right?
- 13 A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
- Q. It would depend on the person.
- 15 A. It does. It depends on ----
- 16 O. At ----
- 17 A. --- the person at a particular point in time.
- 18 Q. And a diagnosis of PTSD alone would not make someone
- 19 incompetent to stand trial?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. It would not make them incompetent or unable to understand
- 22 a rights advisement?
- 23 A. It -- it may. It doesn't necessarily. That was the

- 1 case -- that was a case I did two years ago in federal court in
- 2 Colorado. The very issue was the relationship of his PTSD to his
- 3 ability to be competent to stand trial. And because of his PTSD, he
- 4 was unable to assist in his own defense and was ultimately declared
- 5 noncompetent, nonrestorable.
- 6 Q. Yeah.
- 7 A. And that was his diagnosis. So a person may.
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. It depends on the condition ----
- 10 Q. Yeah.
- 11 A. --- and the severity of their PTSD.
- 12 Q. Competency or a rights advisement, you know, if they were
- 13 advised of rights in a particular situation, a diagnosis of PTSD
- 14 alone would not mean that a person cannot understand a rights
- 15 advisement? It would depend on the particular person, right?
- 16 A. And the severity of the illness.
- 17 O. A diagnosis of PTSD alone would not prevent a person from
- 18 making an informed decision about whether they want to speak to law
- 19 enforcement personnel, right?
- 20 A. Well, again, it depends on the severity of the ----
- Q. Right.
- 22 A. ---- PTSD. A severity indicator is actually a way of
- 23 communicating in psychological reports about -- that it's having a

- 1 very real and meaningful impact on the person's life ----
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. --- the way they think, the way they act.
- 4 And so Mr. al Baluchi has been diagnosed with severe
- 5 post-traumatic stress disorder.
- Q. But you'd have -- a judge would have to look at the
- 7 particular facts of the case ----
- 8 A. I -- sure.
- 9 Q. --- make all those considerations and then assess whether
- 10 or not he could make an informed decision, right?
- 11 A. I would agree with that, yes.
- 12 Q. And a person with PTSD alone, that fact alone does not
- 13 prevent a person from providing information that's reliable?
- 14 A. Well, again, it -- it would depend on the state at the
- 15 time of the person with PTSD. Because the symptoms increase and
- 16 decrease in their severity.
- 17 I've actually published papers on that as well. Over the
- 18 longitudinal course of PTSD, they may be functioning very well one
- 19 week and very poorly another week. So the symptoms are always there,
- 20 but the degree of impact varies.
- 21 O. Sure.
- 22 A. So we'd have to know how severe the condition was, whether
- 23 it was mild, moderate, or severe PTSD.

- 1 Q. And look at all the other factors and information
- 2 available to figure out how it all -- how it all factored in and then
- 3 a judge would decide ----
- A. Yeah, to see if it was relevant, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Your declaration and testimony, much of it
- 6 responded to Dr. Mitchell's testimony, his writings, and what you
- 7 think he intended to convey when he described classical and operant
- 8 conditioning and the concepts of extinction.
- 9 So I want to talk through that with you and just make sure
- 10 that the court is in a position to really understand your views, how
- 11 they contrast with his views, make sure we're all using the same
- 12 terms so we're all on the same page. Okay?
- 13 A. All right.
- 14 Q. And these terms are well known in the scientific
- 15 literature: Classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and the
- 16 concept of extinction, right?
- 17 A. Those are commonly used terms and then depending on which
- 18 discipline in science, people may describe ----
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 A. --- aspects of those terms in a different way.
- Q. Right. So, yeah, term -- extinction and fear reduction
- 22 and conditioned defeat, a couple additional terms that came up in
- 23 your testimony?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. So -- and it's important we're precise when talking about
- 3 these medical terms because they can be misunderstood. Is that fair?
- 4 A. Medical terms can be misunderstood. That's true.
- 5 Q. And so do you agree that in classical conditioning, the
- 6 specific goal is to create a conditioned response?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. That -- that's classical -- that's classical conditioning.
- 9 A. Classical conditioning is the pairing of a stimulus with
- 10 an unconditioned response so that the presence of the stimulus will
- 11 now evoke the unconditioned response.
- 12 Q. And I understand you -- you disagree with Dr. Mitchell on
- 13 a lot of things. But do you agree that Dr. Mitchell's goal was not
- 14 to cause detainees to suffer from PTSD?
- 15 A. I don't know that. I believe his goal was to create
- 16 conditioned fear that could be manipulated over time based on his
- 17 drawing and his writings.
- 18 Q. He wasn't attempting to cause detainees to have PTSD. You
- 19 have no reason to ----
- 20 A. I don't know what his intent was.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. It -- I think it resulted from what he did, but his
- 23 intention ----

- 1 Q. He ----
- 2 A. --- wouldn't have anything to do with whether or not a
- 3 person ultimately developed PTSD or not.
- Q. But you -- you testified that you reviewed all of his
- 5 testimony, right?
- A. I have.
- 7 Q. So you're familiar, then, with his intention or his
- 8 testimony regarding his intention, right?
- 9 A. Well, his views have changed over time. I'm aware of what
- 10 he said, but I -- I can't say that I truly know what his intention
- 11 was, no.
- 12 Q. Well -- and he testified that his goal was to get
- 13 information to stop the next attack.
- 14 A. I think that was his goal, yes.
- 15 O. Okay. And that the CIA believed that the individuals that
- 16 were subjected to EITs had perishable, actionable intelligence?
- 17 A. Yes, I think ----
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. --- other people call that the ticking time bomb
- 20 syndrome. They believe they can do anything because it's an
- 21 emergency. But, yeah, I think that was the attitude people
- 22 were -- were functioning under.
- Q. And he wanted a conditioned response, a response of not

- 1 deceiving and providing intelligence? That's the response he was
- 2 shooting for. And again, you take ----
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. I got it, everyone understands you take issue with how he
- 5 went about it. But that was his intent ----
- 6 A. ---- that appears ----
- 7 Q. ---- to ----
- 8 A. --- to have been his intent. It's not just me that
- 9 disagrees with that.
- 10 Q. And that -- that's fine.
- 11 A. It's different than how it's thought about in the science
- 12 community. But, yes, I believe that was his intent.
- 13 Q. And he never said his -- his aim was to elicit a
- 14 conditioned response of an enduring startle response or anything like
- 15 that?
- 16 A. He wanted conditioned fear to be able to be evoked.
- 17 O. Right. And so to do that, he was pairing an unconditioned
- 18 stimulus, EITs, with the conditioned stimulus, like a particular
- 19 interrogator or an object like a towel.
- 20 A. No. The unconditioned stimulus is the fear a person has
- 21 when they're experiencing being beaten or doused or having something
- 22 painful or aversive.
- 23 The unconditioned response is the ----

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. --- natural human reaction to being attacked.
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. That's the unconditioned response.
- 5 The conditioned stimulus would be the jingling of the keys.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. If that's paired, like an interrogator appearing, it's
- 8 just like a bell. If when the interrogator appears, what
- 9 subsequently follows or they're present during, then the -- when the
- 10 unconditioned response is evoked, the fear, helplessness, terror ----
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. --- and they're in that presence, the two become paired
- 13 so that soon the jingling of the keys can remind the person right
- 14 away of the experience that they went through.
- 15 O. And ----
- A. And it's become what you call the -- the CS+. The -- the
- 17 CS+ means conditioned stimulus linked to the unconditioned response.
- 18 Q. And I think you guys completely agree on that. And you
- 19 can correct me if I'm wrong as far as -- whether or not ----
- 20 A. Yeah.
- Q. ---- he should have done it, that's a different question.
- 22 But -- but the actual relationship between the conditioned stimulus
- 23 and the unconditioned stimulus.

- 1 A. Correct, based on -- based -- I believe we are in
- 2 agreement on that, yes.
- 3 Q. All right. And his testimony on extinction was in the
- 4 context of conditioned fear.
- 5 A. I believe that is the case, yes.
- Q. And under classical conditioning, in order to extinguish
- 7 the conditioned response, you introduced uncoupling. Is
- 8 that -- that's correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. And so the idea is to introduce frequent and
- 11 repeated exposures where the unconditioned stimulus is disconnected
- 12 from the conditioned stimulus?
- 13 A. In -- in other words, if a -- if a rodent assumes it's
- 14 going to get a foot shock every time the light comes on ----
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. --- to uncouple the relationship, they would go through
- 17 several hundred trials of just turning a light on without a shock,
- 18 just turning a light on without a shock, just turning a light on, no
- 19 shock.
- 20 Q. Yeah.
- 21 A. And after a while, when you turn the light on and without
- 22 a shock, the -- the animal probably wouldn't startle as much.
- 23 Startle would go down, meaning the animal is no longer fearful when

- 1 the light is turned on.
- 2 Q. Right. And ----
- A. And we refer to that as extinction, yes.
- Q. And his -- and his concept was, you know, the -- assume
- 5 the interrogator was -- was the unconditioned -- or the conditioned
- 6 stimulus ----
- 7 A. Correct. Yeah ----
- 8 O. ---- and ----
- 9 A. --- the interrogator isn't the unconditioned ----
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. --- the interrogator would be the conditioned stimulus.
- 12 Q. Right. The interrogator performs EITs, the detainee
- 13 associates that interrogator with the EITs, the fear. And then the
- 14 idea is that every time that person comes in, that fear comes back,
- 15 right? Because they think that that means that's what's coming.
- 16 A. They were told that ----
- 17 Q. They connect to that person.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Or a towel. Just the interrogator walking in with a
- 20 towel, the detainee says, oh, that's the towel they put around my
- 21 neck during the walling ----
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. --- and in their mind, they connect those things?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And so ----
- 3 A. They would be paired.
- Q. And Dr. Mitchell's testimony was that then over time, if
- 5 that person or that towel or a chair or whatever the conditioned
- 6 stimulus was, if the detainee was exposed to that conditioned
- 7 stimulus over time, at some point it would get uncoupled from the
- 8 unconditioned stimulus. It would no longer be connected, right?
- 9 A. That's where -- that's where Dr. Mitchell is wrong. For
- 10 people who have been traumatized, that uncoupling does not occur.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Like I said, the analogy would be continuing to meet with
- 13 one's rapist and saying, "Well, they didn't rape me today. They
- 14 didn't rape me tomorrow. They didn't" ----
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. ---- "rape me for three weeks in a row." The person will
- 17 never forget. It's never uncoupled ----
- 18 Q. If ----
- 19 A. --- because that's the person who's responsible for
- 20 their ----
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 A. ---- life-threatening experiences.
- 23 Q. Sure.

- 1 A. So it works in the lab for mild foot shock where we can
- 2 make the relationship uncoupled. But the fear doesn't go away
- 3 because when they're reexposed to a cue subsequently, we can see the
- 4 reemergence of all the fear in the rodent.
- 5 Q. And that would be -- that would depend on how strong that
- 6 cue is. Some -- some cues are probably stronger than others, right?
- 7 If the interrogator who walled you comes back in the room, that's
- 8 probably a strong cue?
- 9 A. It would depend on the -- it depends on the experience of
- 10 the person who ----
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. --- has it, what element they're more terrified of.
- 13 Q. Sure.
- A. But what I'm saying is that the -- it's Dr. Mitchell's
- 15 idea that it's fundamentally wrong. It's incorrect scientifically to
- 16 say if I just sit in their presence and they no longer look anxious,
- 17 they're no longer afraid of me.
- 18 Because we know for a fact the deficit in people with PTSD
- 19 is they don't learn safety.
- 20 O. And ----
- 21 A. They might mask -- they may be able to mask their fear for
- 22 a while in the presence of it to endure it, but the fear is there.
- Q. Right.

- 1 A. And we know that for sure. It's like ----
- 2 Q. Assume ----
- 3 A. --- 30 years -- 30 years of studies looking at PTSD,
- 4 the -- it doesn't go ----
- 5 Q. So ----
- A. ---- away. So it's a fantasy on Dr. Mitchell's part.
- 7 Q. Sorry. I'm not sure not trying -- I keep -- I'm not sure
- 8 when you've finished. Sometimes I ----
- 9 A. It's okay.
- 10 Q. --- start a new question but then you get going again.
- 11 So assume that the conditioning with the towel worked, that
- 12 that became a conditioned stimulus. That, you know, at first you
- 13 walked in and then in the days after, anytime you walked in, just
- 14 holding a towel was enough to get the -- regardless of who it was.
- 15 You know, it could have been the interrogator, could have been
- 16 someone else ----
- 17 A. Well ----
- 18 Q. --- and they had the same thing, this towel that he put
- 19 around his neck. But assume, then, that person saw towels repeatedly
- 20 to the -- to the point where, you know -- even, you know, they used a
- 21 towel to dry themselves in the shower. They used, you know, towels
- 22 throughout the course of detention. And never -- they never went
- 23 back to EITs.

- 1 A. They'd still remember.
- 2 Q. The deal would be that that mere sight of the towel
- 3 doesn't invoke that kind of response, right?
- 4 A. No. If it was ----
- 5 Q. At some point ----
- 6 A. If it was paired ----
- 7 Q. ---- that's extinction ----
- A. I'm sorry. If it was paired with a traumatic stress? No,
- 9 the person never forgets it. They -- they may be able to then divert
- 10 their attention.
- I've worked with people who they've changed the towels in
- 12 their house. They get a different kind of towel. They get a
- 13 different kind of carpet. They -- they rearrange things so there are
- 14 no more reminders of their traumatic event.
- 15 So I'm saying the towel would be conditioned with it. It
- 16 would not extinguish for someone who's experienced the EITs.
- 17 Q. Help me understand what you just said.
- 18 What -- a towel, you've worked with someone who had a towel
- 19 that was a trigger for them, and then because of that, they got
- 20 rid ----
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. --- of those types of towels in their house?
- A. Yes. With patients with PTSD, they'll tell you they'll

- 1 rearrange the furniture. If it's a towel, if it's a smell, if
- 2 it's ----
- 3 Q. You're ----
- A. --- a different kind of -- the configuration of the room,
- 5 they'll either not get the apartment or they'll change the furniture
- 6 so it's no longer reminding them of the traumatic event.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. People -- people make -- they make these memories and the
- 9 memories last for life.
- 10 O. And ----
- 11 A. So if they can, they change the cue. They don't want that
- 12 stimulus around.
- Q. Sure. But uncoupling or coupling can -- I'm sorry.
- 14 Strike that.
- 15 Yeah. And I want to focus on your understanding of what
- 16 Dr. Mitchell said, okay? And just make sure that you understand what
- 17 his understanding of the use of EITs and conditioned stimulus,
- 18 unconditioned stimulus, classical conditioning was.
- And so I do want to ask you, you testified that -- that some
- 20 things that weren't intended to be conditioned stimulus could become
- 21 conditioned stimulus. You know, even if -- even if Dr. Mitchell
- 22 didn't intend a chair to be a conditioned stimulus, that could, in
- 23 the eyes of a detainee, that could become a conditioned stimulus.

- 1 They could associate that with the ----
- 2 A. Yes. That's what's known as either context conditioning
- 3 or cue generalization. Fear generalizes over time in rodents and in
- 4 humans, and it expands to anything else it can associate with the
- 5 danger so that the animal will avoid that danger in the future.
- Q. And Dr. Mitchell said that the uncoupling of the
- 7 conditioned stimulus and the conditioned stimulus, that can occur
- 8 while a person is still simultaneously experiencing fear.
- 9 A. I think his idea is that they would be uncoupled, yes.
- 10 His -- as far as I understand his belief, he believed that by -- by
- 11 appearing but not presenting the person with EITs, that the
- 12 conditioned fear would go away.
- Q. Yeah. And they could ----
- 14 A. Yeah.
- Q. And a detainee could still be fearful for many other
- 16 reasons other than just because of the conditioned fear. They could
- 17 be concerned about the nature of their indefinite detention, what's
- 18 going to happen to them next. Any number of things could cause fear
- 19 other than the conditioned fear that was used with them. Is that
- 20 fair?
- 21 A. Yeah. That's fair, although the fear induced by the EITs
- 22 is quite a bit more intense than the ultimate worry about how long
- 23 one's detention might be. But for fear conditioning, it would be

- 1 pretty powerful.
- 2 Q. But they could be -- they could be fearful of being tried
- 3 and executed for murdering 3,000 people. Like, that could be a very
- 4 intense fear, right?
- 5 A. A fear of -- I'm sorry?
- Q. Of being tried and executed for murdering 3,000 people.
- 7 A. That might -- that might evoke anxiety, if that's what
- 8 someone wanted to avoid, sure. That's different than saying the fear
- 9 from a traumatic stress.
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. That's a different kind of fear.
- 12 Q. Sure. And that could exist completely separate from the
- 13 conditioned fear.
- 14 A. Sure.
- Q. And, you know, the uncertainty of their families and their
- 16 well-being, that's a fear. You talked about that a little bit with
- 17 Mr. Sowards.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. That's a fear that would exist completely separate from
- 20 the conditioned fear.
- 21 A. It would -- it could be separate from the conditioned
- 22 fear. It depends on the -- it depends on what's in that person's
- 23 mind. Their -- it's their perception of what's going on that -- that

- 1 is significantly at play in how the brain is making an association
- 2 between ----
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. ---- stimuli and fear.
- 5 Q. And he never said that extinction equaled the absence of
- 6 fear, right?
- 7 A. Well, on his line on his graph, he implies that. Because
- 8 way over to the right when -- that drawing where he said it's
- 9 possible or highly unlikely that something would reemerge, he's
- 10 positing that it might show up later, but probably not, which is a
- 11 complete 180 in what our actual scientific understanding is about
- 12 conditioned fear.
- When re-presented with a trauma cue, it will reemerge. It's
- 14 not highly unlikely. It's very highly likely. And it emerges
- 15 faster.
- In fact, that's the purpose of the SERE program in a healthy
- 17 way. It's highly likely we want you to -- we want stuff flashing
- 18 back into your head and you're responding in the way you were trained
- 19 at SERE just so you can save your life if you are captured.
- So it's baffling to me how someone who ran SERE programs
- 21 would draw a picture like that on a -- on a piece of paper and say
- 22 it's highly unlikely that fear-conditioned responses would show up
- 23 later in time when they're reminded of it. Because that was the very

- 1 function, that was the very purpose of this whole program of military
- 2 training.
- 3 Q. Sure.
- A. And it's completely -- it's completely at odds with what
- 5 we actually know for scientific fact.
- 6 O. Was that ----
- 7 A. So that part of his drawing was baffling to me ----
- 8 O. His ----
- 9 A. ---- and I can't explain it.
- 10 Q. His testimony, though, was that the conditioned stimulus,
- 11 the towel, things like that would go away and not be likely to bring
- 12 that fear back if there was -- there was regular exposure to that
- 13 conditioned stimulus and it was then not associated with the
- 14 unconditioned stimulus. That was his testimony, right?
- 15 A. I understand ----
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. --- that is his belief.
- 18 Q. That's all I'm asking you.
- 19 A. All right. No, we're clear. He believes that ----
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. ---- it's true.
- 22 Q. And the fear itself might still extend out even though
- 23 separate from that conditioned fear? I think we've already covered

- 1 that, right? That's your understanding of his testimony?
- 2 A. That's my understanding of his testimony, yes.
- 3 Q. And we've established that in your forensic examination,
- 4 you did not conduct a day-by-day timeline for Mr. Ali's experience in
- 5 the RDI program, right?
- A. Yeah, I think it was clear about that, that that wasn't
- 7 necessary for what I was asked to render an opinion on.
- 8 O. So ----
- 9 A. I think if there were more data, it would help fill out
- 10 the degree to which there were more cues that trigger his responding.
- 11 So since there's enough data to say he has PTSD, more data, if I were
- 12 able to review it, I would be able to identify a full array of cues.
- 13 Q. So ----
- 14 A. Those information might be in Dr. Shea's notes from the
- 15 CAPS.
- Q. Which you haven't reviewed, right?
- 17 A. Well, I didn't need to. But I'm ----
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. --- assuming you'll get to speak to Dr. Shea.
- Q. So there might be details in the record, though, that
- 21 would counteract concepts like conditioned fear, right? What would
- 22 be inconsistent ----
- 23 A. I don't know what would be in the record that would

- 1 counteract the conception of conditioned fear. I don't know what
- 2 that means. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q. Okay. I think it was a bad question.
- 4 There -- there might be details in the record that would
- 5 impact your assessment of whether conditioned fear was still present,
- 6 you know, whether -- there might be examples of reaction to a -- one
- 7 of the conditioned stimulus or not a reaction to one of the
- 8 conditioned stimulus -- stimuli.
- 9 Those types of things would be something you could consider
- 10 to assess whether or not conditioned fear was still present?
- 11 A. Well, for example, if the records had data of progressive
- 12 increasing exposure to EITs in tolerable doses, that would be an
- 13 example that they were actually doing flooding and trying to ----
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. --- treat someone for PTSD. But -- but it's not just the
- 16 absence of the cue. But if I looked at the records, it's possible
- 17 they would have more data about the kinds of triggers that would
- 18 exacerbate ----
- 19 O. Sure.
- 20 A. ---- his condition.
- Q. Sure. And whether these other conditioned stimuli,
- 22 chairs, tables, shackles, movements, all of those things were
- 23 impacting the detainee in a way that would suggest he was still

- 1 experiencing the condition to fear, if he was having reactions to
- 2 those things?
- 3 A. It might elaborate on it.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. It's clear he met full criteria nearly 20 years later.
- Q. And Dr. Mitchell testified -- and again, you agree with
- 7 how he went about it -- but do you agree that he testified about
- 8 wanting the accused to get into the operant conditioning phase as
- 9 quickly as possible? To move away from classical conditioning and
- 10 then move to using operant conditioning as quickly as they could.
- 11 A. Yes. That's my understanding.
- 12 Q. Okay. Okay. And that he wanted to use social influence
- 13 techniques to -- because that would result in more reliable
- 14 information coming from them, right?
- 15 A. Yes. This is Dr. Mitchell's theory ----
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. ---- and what he's ----
- 18 Q. You ----
- 19 A. --- retroactively drawn on a piece of paper, but it fits
- 20 theoretically with his idea of exploitation ----
- 21 O. But then he testified that was ----
- 22 A. --- that's in his writing.
- Q. I'm sorry.

- 1 A. I just ----
- 2 Q. Did you ----
- 3 A. Yeah, I'm sorry. The -- it is compatible with the idea he
- 4 expressed in the writing that operant conditioning, giving reward and
- 5 punishment, it is -- essentially it's shaping behavior later.
- 6 Operant condition is rewarding behaviors you like, dis-attending to
- 7 behaviors you don't like. So that would fit with the model as
- 8 expressed in the writing that he and Dr. Jessen gave out on the -- on
- 9 the ----
- 10 Q. And that ----
- 11 A. --- on the layout of the program.
- 12 Q. And yeah. And that's not something that -- that he
- 13 determined for the first time in the chart that he drew. That's
- 14 something that was -- that formed the basis of the program in his
- 15 mind. That was his testimony, right?
- 16 A. Yes. But I ----
- Q. That's what's in his ----
- 18 A. I don't know. It would have been nice to see that drawing
- 19 from more than 20 years ago. But it certainly is compatible with the
- 20 ideas laid out ----
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 A. --- in the writing, so ----
- Q. Right.

- 1 A. Yeah, so I believe that. It's just that it was produced
- 2 so late. It's a -- it's a retrospective reconstruction of what he
- 3 believed he was doing.
- 4 O. Well, he was ----
- 5 A. So I don't know if that's what he actually did.
- Q. Do you agree that he was trying to explain that to people,
- 7 you know, with the graphic demonstration?
- 8 A. I would agree with that. I think he was trying to explain
- 9 what he thought he did.
- 10 Q. And it was clear that it was -- it was explaining the
- 11 whole program and how -- you know, how to look at it on a chart,
- 12 basically?
- 13 A. That's my understanding of what he was trying to do, yes.
- 14 Q. Got it. Now, Mr. Ali was transported with shackles and
- 15 without incident on hundreds of occasions. And the record shows that
- 16 he had no expectation when he was shackled that anything was to occur
- 17 other than just the mundane exercises of security.
- 18 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection. Objection, Your Honor.
- 19 If -- if counsel has a document he'd like to point to that says that,
- 20 that's fine. But this is assuming facts actually not in the record
- 21 and contrary to the record.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Groharing?
- 23 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I don't intend to ask -- to show him a

- 1 document. I'm asking him what his understanding of -- and if counsel
- 2 wants to go and say they have a different understanding of the
- 3 record, that's fine. But I'm not going to go and produce every
- 4 record of every movement Mr. Ali had to show to the witness, nor
- 5 should I have to.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: Yeah ----
- 7 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: This is directly contrary to the record.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: So ----
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: That's why we have cross.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: Let's not have a back-and-forth.
- 11 So objection is overruled. I'll allow the question.
- 12 I'm taking it as -- again, with the -- the volume of
- documents we have in this case, I'm going to allow counsel to ask
- 14 questions if the witness is aware of what may have happened, or if
- 15 not -- you know, I can interpret what -- the facts that the counsel
- 16 is putting out there and what the doctor has to say about it.
- 17 So go ahead and ask your question again, Mr. Groharing.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- 19 Q. And I believe -- I'll try to ask a better question.
- In your declaration you asserted that shackles were a
- 21 fear-based cue, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What can you point to in the medical record that supports

- 1 that? What evidence of Mr. Ali's reaction to being shackled, can you
- 2 point to anything that supports that?
- 3 A. Well, that's not based on the medical record to know that
- 4 cues that are associated with being immobilized, losing your freedom
- 5 of movement, being sort of temporarily blind, not having sound, not
- 6 being able to speak, those are what we call immobilization stress,
- 7 sensory deprivation stress.
- 8 And those are all techniques that are used to produce
- 9 uncontrollable stress in nonhuman animals. And they are techniques
- 10 that are used to produce stress in humans and to restrict their
- 11 ability to orient to where they are and to control their movement.
- 12 So whether the intent on the part of the person doing it is
- 13 malevolent or benign doesn't matter. It's the experience of the
- 14 person ----
- 15 O. Sure.
- 16 A. ---- in that situation. So I ----
- 17 O. And ----
- 18 A. Those -- those kinds of things, shackling, hooding,
- 19 restricting vision, those are uncontrollable stressors. Those are
- 20 cues that become -- he is not in control of those and they are
- 21 stressful.
- 22 Q. They certainly could be. Let's go back to my question.
- I asked you what you'd point to that shows a reaction that

- 1 Mr. Ali had that is tied to being shackled. Not all of this other,
- 2 just shackling.
- A. At what point? Oh, just shackles. No, it's kind of
- 4 common sense when you understand the literature on uncontrollable
- 5 stress.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. It -- it's -- it's a cue. When paired in the context that
- 8 we're talking about, constitutes a cued -- a conditioned stimulus.
- 9 Q. Okay. But you can point to nothing in the record that
- 10 supports that, though?
- 11 A. Well ----
- 12 Q. That was the question.
- 13 A. --- the record is often censored. So no, I don't know if
- 14 there's something in the record where he would say that to someone.
- 15 We know that he expressed fear about his conditions, fear
- 16 about what had been done to him, nightmares about what had been done
- 17 to him.
- 18 Q. Well ----
- 19 A. And so those -- those are pretty good indications. And
- 20 when we think about PTSD, we think about the context associated with
- 21 trauma ----
- 22 Q. Doctor, if I could ----
- 23 A. --- and shackling is.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, if I could have some help
- 2 here?
- 3 Q. I'm trying to ask you questions to -- to move this along
- 4 as efficiently as possible, and relatively straightforward questions.
- 5 Your nature -- and I respect that you've got a lot to say. You've
- 6 said a lot of this already and you're going back and, you know, just
- 7 repeating your prior testimony.
- 8 I'm trying to just ask you particular points, and so if you
- 9 could just help me and focus on the questions. And if I ask you a
- 10 question that doesn't make sense or you can't answer, just let me
- 11 know ----
- 12 A. Well, I thought the premise was ----
- 13 Q. ---- and I'll rephrase.
- 14 A. ---- I thought the premise was incorrect. And usually if
- 15 I'm asking a question to which the premise is incorrect, the answer
- 16 can be misleading.
- 17 Shackling was done, my understanding, at other sites for
- 18 standing and isolation. And so shackling itself would become a
- 19 conditioned cue.
- 20 Q. Yeah, I ----
- 21 A. There doesn't have to be a note in the medical record to
- 22 let me know, in my professional expertise, that that is a cue.
- 23 That's a conditioned cue.

- 1 Q. Doctor ----
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan -- hold up, Mr. Groharing.
- WIT: Yeah.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan, it will be helpful if you can
- 5 try to confine yourself to answering the questions ----
- WIT: Okay.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- that counsel's asking.
- 8 Ms. Pradhan will get an opportunity to come up ----
- 9 WIT: Okay.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- and ask you to elaborate. But ----
- 11 WIT: Sure.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: So let's go with that ----
- 13 WIT: --- all right.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- process.
- Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- Q. So let's go all the way back to my question.
- 17 You can't point to anywhere in the record that documents a
- 18 response from Ali to being shackled, a response of conditioned fear?
- 19 A. I don't respond -- I don't recall anything pinpointing
- 20 that precisely, but I ----
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. --- do recall his statements about his condition.
- Q. Okay. I want to ask you about plastic chairs.

- 1 Are you aware of anything in the record anywhere that
- 2 supports -- or that documents a conditioned fear response from
- 3 Mr. Ali to a plastic chair?
- 4 A. Specifically to the chair itself?
- 5 Q. Right.
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. How about plastic tables?
- 8 A. No. Again, no.
- 9 Q. How about being blindfolded when a -- during a movement to
- 10 having his vision -- you know, being unable to see.
- 11 A. I'd have to look back at the record, but I believe there
- 12 are comments about his feelings about what he experienced ----
- Q. Where did he do that?
- 14 A. --- and not being able to -- being blindfolded.
- 15 Q. What record are you referring to?
- 16 A. I think it's in the OIG report.
- 17 Q. I want to shift gears and ask you about your understanding
- 18 of Mr. Ali's conditions of confinement throughout his detention. And
- 19 I'm not -- I'm not going to ask you anything that elicits -- that
- 20 would elicit classified information. That's not my intent. So let
- 21 me know if a question I have happens to do that. That's not my
- 22 intent.
- If there is something we have to take up in closed, we will.

- 1 I don't think any of these questions would elicit that -- that
- 2 information, and they're certainly designed not to.
- 3 So your understanding is Mr. Ali was EIT'd -- he was
- 4 subjected to EITs at Location 2, right? Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was in May of 2003?
- 7 A. That's my understanding. I'll have to go back and look at
- 8 the exact date, but yeah.
- 9 Q. And he was held at Location 2 until sometime in September
- 10 of 2003?
- 11 A. It's my understanding.
- 12 Q. Does that sound right?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. And that after his enhanced interrogation, he was
- 15 debriefed for the remainder of the time at Location -- if he was
- 16 questioned, it was during the debriefing mode vice interrogation
- 17 mode; is that your understanding?
- 18 A. That is my understanding.
- 19 Q. And interrogation and debriefing had distinctly different
- 20 meanings for the CIA.
- 21 A. I'm aware it did for the CIA, yes.
- 22 Q. And so interrogation was more adversarial, more
- 23 confrontational, whereas debriefing was more question and answer; is

- 1 that your understanding?
- 2 A. That's my general understanding of it, yes.
- 3 Q. More open-ended questions designed to elicit, you know,
- 4 not yes or noes, more information from the detainees?
- 5 A. My understanding is that was their -- that's what they
- 6 said they were doing.
- 7 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to think that that was
- 8 not what they were doing during debriefing?
- 9 A. Well, the videotapes were -- the CDs were destroyed, so I
- 10 can only speculate. I'm trusting that they did what they say they
- 11 did, yeah.
- 12 Q. To what CDs are you referring to?
- 13 A. At the Agency when there was the request for the
- 14 interrogation videos.
- Q. Are you talking about Abu Zubaydah's enhanced
- 16 interrogation?
- 17 A. I'm just talking about the CDs that were -- DVDs at the
- 18 CIA and they vanished. So I would say I have to trust that they did
- 19 what they said they were doing.
- Q. You're talking about CDs. Are you talking about the tape
- 21 destruction of Abu Zubaydah's enhanced interrogation techniques?
- A. Not exactly, no.
- Q. You're talking about CDs of Mr. Ali's debriefings or

- 1 something? Are you suggesting that those existed and somehow
- 2 vanished?
- 3 A. I can talk about that in a closed session once we talk to
- 4 the Agency.
- 5 Q. Sometimes the debriefing sessions were lengthy. Sometimes
- 6 they were just asking him whether he recognized a photo, right? Is
- 7 that your understanding?
- 8 A. That is my understanding.
- 9 O. And not all black sites were outfitted the same? You've
- 10 looked at the photos of black sites and reviewed descriptions of that
- 11 in the OIG report. They had different configurations, different
- 12 amenities?
- 13 A. I believe they were fairly similar based on my observation
- 14 of things.
- 15 O. But as far as amenities to which the -- were made
- 16 available to the detainees, that was different throughout the black
- 17 sites?
- 18 A. There were some differences, yes.
- 19 Q. And is it fair to characterize that as the amenities, the
- 20 things that the detainees were able to have, improved over time?
- 21 They increased over time?
- 22 A. That is my understanding.
- 23 Q. They got greater access to reading materials, ultimately

- 1 video games, those types of things? Is that your understanding?
- 2 A. That's my understanding.
- 3 Q. As it transitioned from a interrogation setting to a
- 4 debriefing setting, a more comfortable setting.
- 5 A. Yes. And I believe on Dr. Mitchell's chart, that would be
- 6 the operant conditioning phase of offering rewards for behavior he
- 7 liked.
- 8 Q. Right. Or whoever else. Like ----
- 9 A. Or whoever else.
- 10 Q. And Location 2, as far as the physical location, was much
- 11 different than the actual locations. Do you agree with that?
- 12 A. Yeah. I think the similarities are pretty striking
- 13 between the two, but they are different.
- Q. But Location 2 was complete darkness, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. No other place was complete darkness.
- 17 A. No other place was complete darkness, but I think there
- 18 are other features that are very similar.
- 19 Q. Okay. Just with regard to, you know, whether or not there
- 20 was light or not, Location 2 was dark.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And the other locations were light.
- 23 A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. And within the OIG report and the SSCI Report, both
- 2 of which you've reviewed, there are a number of characterizations of
- 3 that facility, right?
- 4 A. Yes, there are.
- 5 Q. And it was described as dreadful, traumatic, CIA officers'
- 6 house of pain, freezing, hard to imagine, having squalid conditions,
- 7 something out of a horror show, a slimy side of what we do, a
- 8 primitive prison, and it looked like a Nazi concentration camp. Are
- 9 you familiar with all of those descriptions?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of any other description of any other
- 12 facility that's anything like that?
- 13 A. I didn't see descriptions by people of the other
- 14 facilities like that.
- 15 Q. But -- and you reviewed photos?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 O. And the other facilities were more sterile? They had been
- 18 described as sterile?
- 19 A. I described them as they're sterile environments, and
- 20 they're -- they're highly similar in their sterility across the
- 21 sites.
- Q. Very clean -- right? -- the other facilities?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And Dr. Mitchell described the cells the detainees were
- 2 held at at Location 2 to be horse -- like horse stalls.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Much different than the photos you saw of the other -- I
- 5 would assume, of the other facilities, right?
- A. Yes, I think part of that's obvious. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that's where Mr. Ali was subjected to the enhanced
- 8 interrogation techniques? It was that Location 2 site?
- 9 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that, according to the OIG investigation,
- 11 that Mr. Ali was assessed prior to EITs being applied to him? There
- 12 was a psychological assessment prior to enhanced interrogation
- 13 techniques being applied?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. You're familiar with that assessment?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. What's your understanding of that assessment?
- 18 A. It would be invalid. An assessment -- a psychological
- 19 assessment before applying EITs would be really simply an assessment
- 20 of whether the person was alert, oriented. There would be no ability
- 21 to determine by doing a psychological assessment other than seeing if
- 22 they were awake, could pay attention, to determine the impact of it
- 23 on them.

- 1 So I find the assessment would be really questionable from a
- 2 psychiatric perspective.
- 3 Q. Because you have no idea of what they actually did or
- 4 didn't do, right?
- 5 A. I do know what the psychological -- psychological
- 6 assessments consisted of.
- 7 Q. But you don't know how a particular psychiatrist or
- 8 psychologist went about conducting this psychological assessment.
- 9 A. Well, I did speak to my colleagues at the CIA when I was
- 10 consulted.
- 11 Q. You have no knowledge of this psychological assessment of
- 12 Mr. Ali, do you?
- 13 A. Not his specifically, no ----
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. --- but in psych, the assessment is not unique to the
- 16 individual. There's an assessment of concentration, vigilance,
- 17 attention, awareness of one's surroundings.
- 18 Q. Okay. And Mr. Ali described himself, he said his mood was
- 19 down at this time, below five on a scale of one to ten, and he was
- 20 very sensitive. Is that type of information, that type of
- 21 information that may have been provided before he was subjected to
- 22 enhanced interrogation techniques, is that something that could be
- 23 important to assess Mr. Ali's mental state and the impact of EITs on

- 1 his mental state?
- 2 A. I think it would be.
- Q. Okay. He also noted that he had a history of stomach
- 4 problems and was treated at the American Hospital in Dubai prior to
- 5 being detained.
- Are prior health issues important to your evaluation?
- 7 A. Prior health issues with respect to psychiatry may be
- 8 important, depending on whether the condition has side effects that
- 9 affect a person's mental state. For example, if someone has an
- 10 adrenal problem called pheochromocytoma, they may experience panic
- 11 attacks. People may have different medical disorders that contribute
- 12 to depression.
- 13 Q. Sure.
- 14 A. So understanding a person's medical condition is really an
- 15 important part of the psychological assessment to make sure that
- 16 we've ruled out organic causes to a person's issues.
- 17 For example, sleep apnea. It's -- it produces depression in
- 18 people. So if someone's evaluated and appears to have major
- 19 depression, we have to make sure that we can rule out sleep apnea
- 20 because it's a treatable cause for major depression.
- Q. Sure. And all that's important to ultimately assessing
- 22 later on his mental state and what may or may not have caused changes
- 23 to Mr. Ali's mental state. All that would be important to consider,

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Oh, I don't know what was in the mind of the person
- 3 evaluating him. If -- if the intent was to compare how a person was
- 4 from timeline A to B to C to D, we would expect to have a record of
- 5 standardized assessments on those variables over time.
- Q. And so back to Location 2. He was debriefed for almost
- 7 three months with no more EITs. That's your understanding, right?
- 8 A. Yes, and reminded that they could go back to them.
- 9 Q. And -- but he never did.
- 10 A. It wouldn't matter. But he wasn't, no.
- 11 Q. And he was never subjected to sleep deprivation after his
- 12 initial period of enhanced interrogation techniques.
- 13 A. Not that I'm aware.
- Q. And are you aware of any harsh treatment toward Mr. Ali
- 15 following his period of enhanced interrogation techniques?
- A. I don't know what you mean by harsh treatment. Do you
- 17 mean EITs? If we're going to be specific. I don't -- I mean, I
- 18 don't know ----
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. ---- I don't know if -- my understanding is he was not
- 21 reexposed to EITs.
- Q. Okay. What -- is your understanding that he was exposed
- 23 to any other harsh treatment?

- 1 A. I don't know what you mean by harsh treatment. What would
- 2 be harsh? I'm -- you're asking me to answer a question, but I don't
- 3 know what you mean by harsh.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. What's harsh?
- Q. Fair enough. That's a good example of me asking a bad
- 7 question that's not easy for you to answer. And it's helpful for
- 8 you, then, to then explain.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. I'll represent to you that -- I want to give you one
- 11 description of an individual who interacted with Mr. Ali on 13
- 12 occasions during debriefings during his time at Location 2. And this
- 13 is from mid-June until towards the end of August, okay? And -- and
- 14 the individual is NE5, for -- for everyone else's purpose.
- 15 But he indicated that he spent a lot of time -- this
- 16 is -- this is when he was interviewed for the IG investigation where
- 17 Mr. Ali had made his complaints and the IG went and interviewed a
- 18 bunch of people and got their statements about what they knew or
- 19 didn't know about the allegations. Okay?
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Ms. Pradhan?
- 21 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Sorry. Just can I ask for a record cite
- 22 for what exactly Mr. Groharing is referring to in the OIG report?
- 23 What page ----

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 2 TC [MR. GROHARING]: It's -- it's -- this is not in the OIG
- 3 report. But I can -- let me just confirm -- I mean, I can give them
- 4 the actual -- the underlying report. The ----
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, ask you question and then maybe that
- 6 will ----
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: Like, is there a document you're referencing
- 9 or are you just referencing, in general, when he was questioned?
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I'm talking generally about information
- 11 he provided during his -- when he was interviewed by the -- not
- 12 Mr. Ali, this -- this NE5, when he was interviewed by the OIG.
- 13 This information I don't believe all made it into the
- 14 ultimate report. But it's in -- it's in a report that's been
- 15 provided to the defense. So I can provide that report to them.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Yeah, if you can give a citation for that.
- 17 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And I'm -- just one moment, if I could
- 18 ask the court's indulgence.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. Take your time.
- 20 [Counsel conferred.]
- 21 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Judge, I don't think I can get that
- 22 quickly. I can certainly find that during the break.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, about -- well, let's do this. About

- 1 how much longer do you have? If you're getting close -- and I'm not
- 2 trying to rush you at all -- we will go ahead and have a later lunch
- 3 hour. If it's a good point to break, then we'll break.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: I didn't realize it was noon. Yeah, I
- 5 think breaking probably makes sense. Then we can find this and just
- 6 pick up right where we are.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. That works.
- 8 All right. So what we're going to do now is take a recess
- 9 until 1330.
- And I see that there is housekeeping. Should I go ahead and
- 11 excuse the witness?
- 12 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Dr. Morgan, thank you for your
- 14 testimony this morning. Just like yesterday, please don't discuss
- 15 your testimony with anyone, to include counsel for any of the
- 16 parties. But at this time you can go ahead and leave the courtroom.
- 17 We're going to be back on the record at 1330 ----
- 18 WIT: All right.
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- hopefully.
- 20 [The witness was warned, was excused, and withdrew from the
- 21 courtroom.1
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: The witness has left the courtroom.
- What do you have, Mr. Connell?

- 1 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Sir, I just wanted to let you know that
- 2 it's our understanding from the government that WK5I is available
- 3 next week.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Perfect.
- 5 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Gives us flexibility around ----
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: No, I appreciate the government working
- 7 that. And we will -- yeah, it seems that we will be in open the rest
- 8 of today. We'll see how far we get and then go into the closed
- 9 portion when we get there at some point tomorrow, it seems like.
- 10 Mr. Trivett?
- 11 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So based on that, sir, can I inform
- 12 Special Agent McFadden that his testimony won't begin any earlier
- 13 than Tuesday?
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: Seems to me -- well, and again, I don't know
- 15 where WK5I is. Is this -- are we going to be able to start on Friday
- 16 and then have them stay over the weekend? Which is fine with me, but
- 17 I don't know, like, what their travel arrangements are. Or start
- 18 with them on Monday and we can do oral argument on Friday. I'm
- 19 flexible.
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So the doctor's in the -- in the Remote
- 21 Hearing Room area.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Then let's ----
- MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: She's available to start tomorrow, she's

- 1 available to start Friday. And she -- it's really just an estimate
- 2 as to whether or not she's going to take longer than a day or two.
- 3 And if so, then it's likely she would move to Monday, but she is
- 4 available.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Let's tell Agent McFadden to plan on
- 6 starting on Wednesday. And then that way, if we run long with WK5I,
- 7 great. And if not, well, we'll fill it with oral argument.
- 8 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Roger that, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 10 All right. Commission's in recess.
- 11 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1204, 08 May 2024.]
- 12 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1337, 08 May 2024.]
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission's called to order.
- 14 The parties are present. The accused is absent. The
- 15 witness is not on the witness stand.
- Any housekeeping matters to take up before we bring the
- 17 witness back in?
- 18 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, there was a pending sort of
- 19 classified question, record question, that was before the military
- 20 commission when we broke.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: I'm tracking.
- LDC [MR. CONNELL]: We've spent some time working through
- 23 that.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: And I don't know if the government wants
- 3 to say its position first, but we're prepared to address it.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. What do you have? What do you have,
- 5 Mr. Connell?
- 6 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, the government did give us the
- 7 AE number of the document it plans to question from, and it's our
- 8 understanding that the government wants to question on the substance
- 9 of a classified document, which is something that we've never been
- 10 allowed to do.
- 11 There are certain very narrow ways in which one can use an
- 12 open -- classified document in open, and there are certain carve-outs
- 13 but this doesn't fall into either that in a special way or that
- 14 carve-out.
- 15 So I am intensely aware that ultimately it's the
- 16 government's classified information privilege and they can assert it
- 17 or waive it over themselves. But it seems to me that this would be a
- 18 much better topic for closed if you -- if the government intends to
- 19 question from STA-00005773, as it advised.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Mr. Groharing?
- 21 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And I'm -- Your Honor, I don't think it's
- 22 correct to say I'm questioning from the document. There's some
- 23 information in a classified document that in essence describes this

- 1 particular person that I was describing before the break, his
- 2 interactions with Mr. Ali. It's completely unclassified. It's ----
- 4 TC [MR. GROHARING]: It's not portion marked. But I'm
- 5 confident that I -- the information is not classified or I wouldn't
- 6 have been asking it.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, I mean, I'll say this. I mean,
- 8 Mr. Trivett, let's hear from you because I know you're addressing the
- 9 classified issues on this.
- 10 Are we good with using this procedure going forward for the
- 11 defense?
- 12 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Sir, there's no reading directly from any
- 13 classified document. I'm not that familiar with what Mr. Groharing's
- 14 intending to do or ask in this issue.
- 15 So there is a distinction, obviously, between reading from a
- 16 classified document verbatim and then discussing something that might
- 17 be in a classified document that you know to be unclassified.
- 18 So nothing would be changing in that regard. But again, I'm
- 19 not sure exactly. I'd have to talk to Mr. Groharing to see exactly
- 20 what the intent was on this ----
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 22 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: ---- just to make sure we're being
- 23 consistent. We do want to be consistent with other practices. I

- 1 just don't see this as being inconsistent.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. Mr. Groharing?
- 3 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Yeah, I -- yeah, I don't want to have any
- 4 kind of advantage. I just -- you know, it's information that
- 5 I -- that was contained within a classified information that I was
- 6 confident was unclassified information that we have. And
- 7 unfortunately in many, many, many instances there are documents that
- 8 aren't portion marked that contain classified information, so ----
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: I know.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: ---- I don't want to muck up this issue.
- 11 It's -- frankly, it's a pretty minor point. And ----
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: Let's just save it for closed, then.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Any other issues to address?
- 15 All right. Let's bring the witness in.
- 16 [The witness, Dr. Charles Alexander Morgan III, resumed the witness
- 17 stand.1
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Welcome back, Dr. Morgan. Please have a
- 19 seat. I remind you you're still under oath.
- 20 All right. Over to you, Mr. Groharing.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 22 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. GROHARING]:
- Q. Okay. Dr. Morgan, we were talking about Location 2 and

- 1 Ammar transitioning to the -- to debriefing from interrogation. And
- 2 is that your understanding, that after being subjected to EITs, he
- 3 was transitioned to what I'll call debriefing mode or only subjected
- 4 to debriefings after the EIT period?
- 5 A. Yes. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And then in September of 2003, Mr. Ali was
- 7 transferred to Location 7. Is that your understanding?
- 8 A. That is, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the OIG report to which you've testified about
- 10 described Location 7 as clean, sterile, efficient, and modern. Is
- 11 that consistent with your understanding?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. The cells were described as spotless and Agency officers
- 14 provided good food, showers, and regulated the temperature of the
- 15 facility. Is that consistent with your understanding?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Didn't have loud music playing?
- 18 A. I'm not aware of whether loud music was played or not
- 19 played at Location 7.
- Q. Okay. Did your review of photos or otherwise provide any
- 21 information that was inconsistent with the description that I've just
- 22 provided?
- 23 A. In the cell -- I know in my observation, there

- 1 were -- it's consistent with what you're describing, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And then in the report, SM1 is quoted as -- this is
- 3 again in that same report -- saying that the role of the
- 4 interrogators at that point was to enhance Mr. Ali's comfort with his
- 5 new surroundings.
- Is it your understanding that the conditions of confinement
- 7 starting at Location Number 7 were improving?
- 8 A. Yes. That's my understanding.
- 9 Q. And interrogators noted that at Location 7 Mr. Ali
- 10 appeared bright, fully engaged, and interacted easily and pleasantly
- 11 with debriefers.
- 12 A. That's my understanding of what they said, yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding that at Location 7, they used
- 14 plastic furniture, plastic tables and chairs similar to what was used
- 15 at Echo II?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. Are you aware of any information that Mr. Ali demonstrated
- 18 symptoms of PTSD when he was being debriefed at Location Number 7?
- 19 A. I don't know. I'd have to go back and look at the report.
- 20 But I -- as I sit here now, I don't -- I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding he was shackled during
- 22 those debriefings as well?
- 23 A. That is my understanding, yes.

- 1 Q. Do you have any evidence to suggest that he demonstrated
- 2 signs of PTSD when he was shackled during those debriefings?
- 3 A. No, I haven't seen any notation about that.
- 4 Q. Have you reviewed the reports from the psychologists who
- 5 examined Mr. Ali during the time he was at Location Number 7?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And it is your understanding that when he was questioned,
- 8 it was either in his cell or at -- in a plastic table -- at a plastic
- 9 table with a plastic chair?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The OIG report references -- you've already talked about
- 12 this, I believe it was on Monday -- an instance where the
- 13 interrogator thought Mr. Ali was untruthful. Do you recall that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And during that exchange, his debriefer indicated that
- 16 Mr. Ali got very, very nervous when she told him that the information
- 17 didn't add up. Do you remember that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. And getting nervous after being confronted about lying,
- 20 that doesn't equate to PTSD, right?
- 21 A. I'm not sure I interpreted that scenario in the way you
- 22 have, so I'm not quite sure how to answer. He was nervous after
- 23 being told that he was effectively lying.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. All that tells me is that being told that the interrogator
- 3 did not believe him, that it -- the person did not believe him
- 4 created a state of anxiety in him. It doesn't speak to whether or
- 5 not he was lying.
- Q. Sure, yeah. Fair enough. But getting nervous is a very
- 7 common reaction to someone who was -- is caught in a lie, right?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. No?
- 10 A. Scientifically, we know it is not a significant indicator
- 11 of lying or truth-telling. As a matter of fact, in some situations
- 12 truthful people may exhibit more anxiety than deceptive people.
- We do know that interviewers, psychiatrists and police
- 14 alike, often interpret anxiety as a sign that someone's lying. But
- 15 scientifically that is -- that has never held up as an empirical
- 16 fact.
- 0. And Mr. Ali was not threatened with -- there's no evidence
- 18 that he was threatened with repercussions or other measures,
- 19 right ----
- 20 A. I didn't see anything that was documented.
- 21 Q. --- in that report?
- 22 A. I didn't see any documentation that he was or wasn't.
- Q. And there's no indication that any display of anger or

- 1 yelling or anything towards Mr. Ali, right?
- 2 A. I didn't see anything documented about that.
- 3 Q. And in the same report, another interrogator described
- 4 Mr. Ali as never being anything other than cooperative at Location 7.
- 5 Is that consistent with your understanding of Mr. Ali's experience at
- 6 Location 7?
- 7 A. It's my understanding of their description of how he
- 8 appeared to them.
- 9 Q. But is that your understanding based on your review of
- 10 information of Mr. Ali's experience at Location Number 7?
- 11 A. It's my understanding that he had experience of anxiety,
- 12 and it's my understanding from the notation about how that was
- 13 perceived by people who saw him. But that's all I know from that
- 14 moment.
- 15 Q. To what document are you referring?
- A. Well, how he -- how he appeared later in the 2006
- 17 evaluation.
- 18 Q. Oh, so you're referring to something -- a medical report
- 19 three years later ----
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. --- not in real time?
- 22 A. But in those notes, it's referred to him as thinking back
- 23 in time. So that would be the reference point.

- 1 But at -- from notes at that time, no, I have not seen
- 2 anything to indicate that.
- Q. And then in the spring of 2004, Mr. Ali was transferred to
- 4 Location 5. And at Location 5, is it your understanding he was only
- 5 debriefed there?
- 6 A. That was my understanding, yes.
- 7 Q. And the OIG investigation did not reveal any mistreatment
- 8 of Mr. Ali at Location 5, did it?
- 9 A. I am not aware of any report of mistreatment.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of any information inconsistent with the IG
- 11 investigation ----
- 12 A. I am not.
- 13 Q. --- regarding ----
- 14 A. Not at present, no.
- 15 O. And then in early 2005, Mr. Ali was transferred to
- 16 Location Number 8. And the investigation revealed that Mr. Ali was
- 17 only debriefed at Location Number 8 and he received good care. Is
- 18 that your understanding?
- 19 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And at Location 8, Mr. Ali was described as one of the
- 21 more cooperative, likable, and even gentle detainees. Do you recall
- 22 that from the report?
- 23 A. I do.

- 1 Q. And Location Number 8 was a nicer facility; is that fair
- 2 to say?
- 3 A. That's in the eye of the beholder. I have no evidence to
- 4 say that it was better or worse than the previous place he was.
- 5 Q. You -- you reviewed photos of all the locations?
- 6 A. I have.
- 7 Q. And Location 8 had a bathroom and shower in the cell of
- 8 the detainee?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that was not available at previous locations, right?
- 11 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 12 Q. So it was an improvement in amenities, more things
- 13 available to -- consistent with what you talked about before.
- 14 As -- as his time in the RDI program went on, Mr. Ali and other
- 15 detainees were provided with additional amenities.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And then he was transferred to Location 9 in early 2006
- 18 after about a year at Location 8. And again, Location 9 was also
- 19 only used for debriefing, correct?
- 20 A. Yes. That's my understanding.
- Q. And again, at Location 9, he had an in-room shower and
- 22 climate control.
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Access to an outdoor gym.
- 2 A. I believe so, yes.
- 3 Q. With a basketball hoop.
- 4 A. I don't recall whether there was or wasn't a basketball
- 5 hoop. It's possible.
- Q. You didn't see that in the photos you looked at?
- 7 A. I don't recall as I sit here whether I saw a basketball
- 8 hoop or not. I'll take your word for it there's a basketball hoop.
- 9 That's -- yeah.
- 10 Q. What about a multipurpose machine for weight lifting? Do
- 11 you recall seeing that in the photos?
- 12 A. I'd have to relook at the photos. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. A stair-stepper -- or, I'm sorry, elliptical
- 14 machine?
- 15 A. I don't recall seeing an elliptical machine.
- Q. Okay. And they had access to video games -- Mr. Ali and
- 17 the other detainees -- video games, movies, books at that location.
- 18 A. Yes. That's my understanding about that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any physical abuse of Mr. Ali at
- 20 that location?
- 21 A. I am not.
- 22 Q. And that location was described as a low-stress location.
- 23 Are you familiar -- have you seen that description?

- 1 A. I don't quite recall that -- that phrasing, but it could
- 2 have been, yeah.
- Q. Based on Dr. Mitchell's testimony -- you indicated you're
- 4 familiar with his testimony -- he said by that point in detention,
- 5 the debriefers were using social influence techniques. Is that your
- 6 understanding?
- 7 A. That's my understanding of what they thought they were
- 8 doing, yes.
- 9 Q. But it was question-and-answer interviews with Mr. Ali;
- 10 that's your understanding?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, in September of 2006, they were -- Mr. Ali was
- 13 transferred, and the others, to Guantanamo Bay. Have you read the
- 14 testimony of the first camp commander from Guantanamo Bay?
- 15 A. You might want to refresh my memory with a document, and I
- 16 can tell you whether I've seen it or not. I don't recall.
- 17 O. It was not among the items you listed at any point during
- 18 our prior discussion of -- of something you reviewed in advance of
- 19 your testimony?
- 20 A. It doesn't -- it doesn't ring a bell, so I -- I don't
- 21 believe I've seen it, but...
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. I'd be happy to -- happy to see it if you'd like me to

- 1 look at it.
- Q. Okay. It was an Army lieutenant colonel. Does that ring
- 3 any bells?
- A. Again, if you could just -- I don't like guessing games.
- 5 If you have a document you'd like me to review, I'd be happy to
- 6 review it.
- 7 Q. Well, I'll see if I can jog your memory with -- with some
- 8 questions.
- 9 He -- he -- he met with the accused upon their arrival at
- 10 GTMO. Are you familiar with what happened upon the accused's arrival
- 11 at GTMO?
- 12 A. Again, if you'd like to refresh my memory, I can comment
- 13 on it.
- Q. So as you sit here, you don't know what happened to -- at
- 15 their arrival?
- A. If you'd like to show me something to review, I'd be happy
- 17 to be able to tell you my opinion. I don't recall as I sit here.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection, Your Honor. It's -- it's
- 19 really not clear whether the question pertains to the specific
- 20 testimony of the former Camp VII commander or whether it's just a "do
- 21 you know what happened to Mr. al Baluchi when he arrived at GTMO?"
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, I think the questioning changed,
- 23 but ----

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Right.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: So, Dr. Morgan, try to answer the question.
- 3 I know that he was previously asking you about the Camp VII
- 4 commander. But ----
- 5 WIT: Oh.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- Mr. Groharing, go ahead and ask that
- 7 last question that you had.
- 8 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Sure, sure.
- 9 Q. What is your understanding of what happened when the
- 10 accused arrived at Guantanamo Bay?
- 11 A. You'd have to be more specific. What is my understanding
- 12 of what happened is that he was transferred to Guantanamo Bay. I
- 13 know that he underwent a mental health evaluation because I saw the
- 14 initial mental health evaluation from 2006. But I don't know what
- 15 happened once -- when he directly arrived.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of how they were in-processed at
- 17 Guantanamo Bay, how that process worked?
- 18 A. I'm aware of some of that process. It's sort of
- 19 whether -- how people were transported and when they arrived.
- Q. So I'm getting at once at Guantanamo Bay, there
- 21 was -- they were in-processed. Are you familiar with that process as
- 22 you sit here today?
- A. Well, that's why I was asking if you'd like to show me

- 1 something, because I can tell you whether I am or am not familiar
- 2 with it. I -- I don't know. I don't know what you're referring
- 3 specifically to ----
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. ---- whether you're referring to what kind of clothing
- 6 they were wearing, were they shackled, were they -- were they
- 7 deprived of seeing what was going on around them, was their hearing
- 8 blocked and things like that when they were being transported.
- 9 I don't know what you're asking me to answer, so
- 10 I'm -- I'm ----
- 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware they were told they were in the
- 12 custody of the United States military?
- 13 A. I am aware of that.
- Q. And that they were being held at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And that the camp commander told them that they would be
- 17 treated humanely in accordance with the Geneva Conventions?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that is something that the detainees were never told
- 20 during their CIA detention, right?
- 21 A. Okay. I have no idea what they were told specifically
- 22 during -- throughout their detention, but I accept the fact they were
- 23 told that when they arrived here.

- Q. Okay. Do you have any information to suggest that anybody
- 2 in the CIA told the accused that the Geneva Conventions applied to
- 3 them?
- A. No, I don't. But I didn't want to assume.
- 5 Q. And there were rules posted in the camp, at Camp VII?
- 6 A. That's my ----
- 7 Q. That's your understanding?
- 8 A. That's my understanding.
- 9 Q. And the guards were in military uniforms at the camp,
- 10 that's your understanding?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And this was different than when the accused were detained
- 13 at CIA black sites, right?
- 14 A. It's my understanding there were some differences, yes.
- Q. And the camp commander also advised the accused that they
- 16 would have access to the International Committee of the Red
- 17 Cross ----
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. --- is that your understanding?
- 20 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. And that they would be able to send and receive mail
- 22 through the Red Cross?
- 23 A. That is my understanding.

- 1 Q. And is it your understanding that that is not something
- 2 they were able to do while detained by the CIA?
- 3 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. While detained by the CIA, they -- they could not have any
- 5 communication with their families, right?
- 6 A. That is my understanding.
- 7 Q. Or anybody else?
- 8 A. That is my understanding.
- 9 O. And their families could not send information to them?
- 10 A. That is my understanding.
- 11 Q. And the accused were never visited by the Red Cross?
- 12 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. And at Guantanamo Bay they were able to interact with
- 14 another detainee during their recreation time, correct?
- 15 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. And that was different than CIA custody, right?
- 17 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. And we talked a little bit about the Red Cross meeting
- 19 with Mr. Ali at Guantanamo Bay. Is that something that you knew
- 20 before your testimony here this week or is that something you
- 21 had -- you had learned before today?
- 22 A. I believe I learned it before, about the mail and the Red
- 23 Cross.

- 1 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding that it was his
- 2 decision about whether or not -- Mr. Ali's decision about whether or
- 3 not he wanted to meet with the Red Cross?
- 4 A. That's my understanding.
- 5 Q. Okay. And he then first in October did elect to meet with
- 6 the Red Cross, right?
- 7 A. That's what I understand, yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Do you have any reason to believe his decision
- 9 to meet with the Red Cross was involuntary?
- 10 A. I don't have information of what was going on in his mind
- 11 at that time, so...
- 12 Q. Okay. But no information to suggest, based on any of your
- 13 review in this case, that it was involuntary?
- 14 A. I haven't seen anything that said visiting the Red Cross
- 15 was involuntary.
- Q. Okay. And that was Echo II, right? That's your
- 17 understanding?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Ali was transported there in a van?
- 20 A. That's my understanding.
- O. And shackled?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And you've not reviewed medical reports or any

- 1 psychological reports during that time frame, right?
- 2 A. From that specific time frame?
- 3 Q. I ----
- 4 A. I think I said that the other day.
- 5 Q. Yeah. And so you wouldn't know if there was any
- 6 information in there that would or would not suggest a reaction from
- 7 Mr. Ali based on being shackled or transported in the van or anything
- 8 like that?
- 9 A. It's unlikely there's information that would change what I
- 10 commented on as noted in the 2006 report.
- 11 Q. Right, I'm not asking about ----
- 12 A. I know. I haven't seen any information from that moment
- 13 in time, no.
- 14 Q. Okay. Same thing. Any information -- if there was a
- 15 reaction to having to sit in a white plastic chair at a white plastic
- 16 table, you're not aware of anything to suggest that that caused the
- 17 symptoms of PTSD in Mr. Ali when he met with the Red Cross?
- 18 A. Look, I don't have information that people who were
- 19 looking at him had the -- the capability of describing it, so I don't
- 20 have any information about it. I have no information about whether
- 21 something was written down or whether it's credible or anything. So
- 22 no, I don't.
- Q. Are you aware he was meeting regularly with a

- 1 psychologist?
- 2 A. I'm aware that he did meet.
- Q. And so -- I'm sorry, a psychiatrist. And that's somebody,
- 4 though, that would have training to identify those types of things,
- 5 right?
- A. I would assume that they had the training. I am
- 7 not -- that doesn't mean they would necessarily identify them.
- Q. Okay. And any record of -- are you aware of any record of
- 9 anxiety demonstrated by Mr. Ali as a result of his meetings with the
- 10 ICRC?
- 11 A. I'm not aware of anything that pinpoints that
- 12 specifically, no.
- Q. And after that meeting Mr. Ali sent a note to the
- 14 guards -- through the guards providing the ICRC with a phone number
- 15 in connection with one of the letters that he had provided them.
- 16 Were you aware of that?
- 17 A. I believe I was aware of that, yeah.
- 18 Q. Do you think that Mr. Ali could meaningfully appreciate
- 19 the difference between ICRC personnel and his former CIA captors?
- 20 A. It depends on what you mean by meaningfully.
- 21 Q. I'm using the term you used in your declaration.
- 22 A. By "meaningfully," it refers to a distinction as to
- 23 whether or not the degree to which he can place any trust and

- 1 confidence in them.
- In my declaration, I meant there's no meaningful distinction
- 3 in terms of who has control over what he can do and not do. In my
- 4 declaration, the intent of my word "meaningful" is that although the
- 5 meaning may look different to us, to a detained individual, they
- 6 still know what they can do is really dependent on someone else
- 7 approving that action or behavior.
- Q. Okay. So that -- your declaration was in reference to FBI
- 9 personnel. And you used that term, which is why I used it. What I
- 10 want to ask you is whether, as you understand that term, Mr. Ali
- 11 could meaningfully appreciate the difference between ICRC personnel
- 12 and his former CIA captors.
- 13 A. I think with respect to the threat of things perhaps going
- 14 back to being -- having the experience that he had with EITs, no, I
- 15 don't believe there is a significant difference.
- Q. So in his mind, the ICRC personnel that met with him were
- 17 just like the CIA debriefers from his CIA detention?
- 18 A. Based on my work with trauma victims, they don't make that
- 19 distinction. People who have been traumatized tend to view that fear
- 20 generalizes, and they don't really make a meaningful distinction
- 21 between who is a source of something bad for them and someone who's
- 22 new. They're constantly trying to evaluate that.
- But the distinction -- the reason why I say the distinction

- 1 is not meaningful is because they're symptomatic. They're ill. Part
- 2 of the illness is the inability to make meaningful distinctions
- 3 between safety and threat, and that includes people.
- Q. And you've never asked Mr. Ali about this interaction with
- 5 the ICRC, right?
- 6 A. No, I think it was clear.
- 7 Q. Based on those two records you reviewed?
- 8 A. And the subsequent evaluations that confirmed the
- 9 diagnosis from that previous time.
- 10 Q. Do you ----
- 11 A. That's just how mental illness works. So, yeah, I
- 12 wouldn't need their notes from that time.
- 13 Q. So ----
- 14 A. If I had their notes from that time, it would simply
- 15 clarify it in more detail.
- Q. And you know that without even looking at them?
- 17 A. I do, yes.
- 18 Q. Is that training that all psychiatrists get, this ability
- 19 to predict what's in records without even looking at the records
- 20 themselves?
- 21 A. That's like me asking you if you speculate spontaneously
- 22 all the time.
- No. The training varies in different programs. I am

- 1 trained in indirect assessment. I was trained in that by the
- 2 government for the government. I have just finished a six-year grant
- 3 for the CIA on indirect assessments. I performed them for over 15
- 4 years. That was my job at the Agency.
- 5 I am familiar with that process of making diagnoses from
- 6 information in a chart, and in my declaration I say why, and I'm
- 7 confident in that. It's not a -- it's not a speculation. It's not
- 8 predicting the future, so I just ----
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. --- thought your comment was kind of out of line.
- 11 Q. Do you think the statements Mr. Ali made to the Red Cross
- 12 were reliable?
- 13 A. I can't judge the reliability of his statements to the Red
- 14 Cross.
- 15 Q. Okay. That's obviously something that a judge would have
- 16 to do, to take into account all the circumstances surrounding those
- 17 statements, right?
- 18 A. Oh, that's up to a judge.
- 19 Q. Professionally, you're in no position to opine on the
- 20 reliability of statements that Mr. Ali made under these circumstances
- 21 to the Red Cross?
- 22 A. It would depend on the information I was given. I am
- 23 trained in evaluating statements with respect to truth and deception,

- 1 and I published extensively on that. So it would depend on the kind
- 2 of information someone wanted me to review, and I could comment on
- 3 what we know from an evidence-based science on what we see in
- 4 truthful ----
- 5 Q. Okay.
- A. --- versus deceptive statements.
- 7 Q. And Mr. Ali then in December met with the ICRC again. Is
- 8 that -- that's your understanding?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I mean, any -- any evidence that you're aware of that he
- 11 attempted to avoid meeting with the ICRC?
- 12 A. I'm not aware of any evidence, but the absence of evidence
- 13 is not evidence.
- Q. Are you -- is it your understanding it was his voluntary
- 15 decision on whether or not he wanted to meet with the ICRC the second
- 16 time?
- 17 A. It's my understanding that it stated it was voluntary,
- 18 yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So he decided and he was capable of making a
- 20 voluntary decision to meet with the ICRC?
- 21 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. And he did this knowing he'd be transported in the same
- 23 manner, right?

- 1 A. I think that was the only option for transportation.
- 2 Q. Sure.
- A. I don't think it was a choice, so yes. He did that
- 4 knowing the same transportation process would likely occur.
- 5 Q. Wearing shackles ----
- A. But that's not a choice.
- 7 Q. Well -- but if that process was such that it was traumatic
- 8 for him, he may avoid the process, right?
- 9 A. No. In PTSD, the avoidance criteria is that they may
- 10 avoid it completely or it can be endured with great emotional effort,
- 11 which is invisible to you, the observer. So no, it doesn't tell you
- 12 that he's not experiencing anxiety just because he went along with
- 13 the transportation.
- Q. There's no way to tell other than what's in his head,
- 15 right?
- A. For certain symptoms, that's exactly right. Trauma is in
- 17 the eye of the beholder.
- 18 Q. So ----
- 19 A. And for someone outside their head cannot say that
- 20 something was not traumatic or not distressing.
- 21 Q. But there are signs and there are symptoms that are
- 22 demonstrated by people with PTSD. You've already testified to that
- 23 at length ----

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. --- about that at length.
- 3 A. Yes, there are.
- Q. Are you aware of any of those signs or symptoms that were
- 5 demonstrated by Mr. Ali as a result of his transport to meet with the
- 6 ICRC?
- 7 A. I'm not aware of any notation describing those during his
- 8 transportation, but that would depend on who was making the
- 9 observations.
- 10 Q. You might want to ----
- 11 A. I'm not aware of any notes. I'm not aware of any notes
- 12 about the specifics of his demeanor during transportation.
- Q. Okay. And you've never asked for those records?
- 14 A. I think I was clear about that.
- 15 Q. Yep. So do you think during that second December meeting
- 16 with the ICRC he could meaningfully appreciate the difference between
- 17 ICRC personnel and his former CIA captors?
- 18 A. I think that he knew they were different teams. I don't
- 19 think -- I don't think there was a meaningful distinction in that
- 20 they had control over what would happen to him.
- Q. So you think they -- he thought that they had control over
- 22 what would happen to him? The ICRC folks he met with.
- 23 A. I suspect so, yes. He has post-traumatic stress disorder.

- 1 People fail to make a meaningful distinction between that with
- 2 respect to threat.
- 3 They can say consciously "I know you are different than
- 4 person B." We've seen that both in the laboratory experiments and
- 5 for years in doing therapy with people. They can conscious -- they
- 6 can say I know there is a difference. It does not mean that their
- 7 reasoning and decision-making is significantly based on that
- 8 state -- that kind of a statement.
- 9 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Ali had access to medical
- 10 personnel every day at Camp VII?
- 11 A. I'm aware he had access to medical personnel. I -- I did
- 12 not know whether or not it was every day.
- Q. And routine contact with a psychiatrist and trained psych
- 14 assistant?
- 15 A. Yes. I'm aware he had -- he had contact with trained
- 16 mental health personnel.
- 17 O. And that those personnel were experienced in dealing and
- 18 treating with prisoners?
- 19 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Both at Guantanamo Bay and in other prison settings.
- 21 A. It's my understanding.
- 22 Q. Their job ----
- 23 A. I don't know the extent of their experience.

- Q. Okay. And their job was to care for the detainees?
- 2 A. I would assume it is.
- Q. Okay. Any reason to think it's -- it's otherwise?
- A. Yes. But because the physicians and psychologists had
- 5 been recruited to participate in BSCT teams, the Behavioral Science
- 6 Consultation Teams, and I have no idea whether those personnel ever
- 7 functioned in any of those capacity for the Department of Defense.
- 8 So I don't know what their experience is, but that was
- 9 a -- that was very active during that time frame.
- 10 Q. And -- so do you have any evidence to suggest that they
- 11 were not attentive to the needs of detainees?
- 12 A. No, I'm not saying that. I don't know what their
- 13 attention was focused on. That would depend on what they thought
- 14 their intent was and what they thought their focus was. I -- I
- 15 haven't seen notes from them, so I don't know what their intent was.
- Q. And -- and you did not ask to look at the notes from them,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. I think I've answered that several times. No ----
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. --- that was -- that was not the scope of what I was
- 21 asked to do.
- Q. Any doubt that they were qualified to provide medical
- 23 care?

- 1 A. I didn't hear that. I'm sorry.
- 2 Q. Any doubt that the medical personnel were qualified to
- 3 provide care?
- A. I am assuming they were qualified to provide medical care.
- 5 Q. Any reason to -- to suggest they were not attempting to
- 6 provide the best care possible to detainees?
- 7 A. I have no evidence either way. They were providing care.
- 8 I don't know what they were attempting to deliver.
- 9 Q. Okay. You indicated that you did review the LHM, the
- 10 letterhead memorandum, that documented the January 2007 interviews of
- 11 Mr. Ali, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. We talked about that yesterday, the 40-or-so-page ----
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. --- report.
- And I want to ask you some questions about your opinion that
- 17 we talked about a little bit a few minutes ago: That within a
- 18 reasonable degree of medical and scientific certainty that Mr. Ali
- 19 experienced fear conditioning responding that negatively impacted his
- 20 ability to meaningfully appreciate the distinction between FBI agents
- 21 and the CIA personnel who had previously exposed him to torture.
- 22 Okay?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Could I have the feed from Table 2,
- 2 please?
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead.
- 4 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Is this ----
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: It's showing up down here.
- 6 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Just a second, Your Honor. I'm just
- 7 checking to see if it's displayed -- I think it's display only to the
- 8 courtroom.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I'm just confirming that.
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: That's correct.
- 12 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- Q. Doctor, have you seen this form? Have you seen this
- 14 document?
- 15 A. I believe that I have.
- Q. Okay. I represent to you that it was a checklist that was
- 17 used by the agents interviewing Mr. Ali. Does that sound right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And that checklist required agents to assure the
- 20 detainee that the agents do not work for and are independent of any
- 21 organization that previously held the detainee, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And that -- that's line number 1, and that -- that's

- 1 checked, right?
- 2 A. They checked the box.
- 3 Q. Any reason to doubt that they provided that advisement to
- 4 Mr. Ali?
- 5 A. I certainly hope they did. I believe they did because
- 6 they checked the box.
- 7 Q. And that agents were required to ask the detainee about
- 8 his belief that he is in the custody of the Department of Defense.
- 9 A. I have no reason -- I have no reason to doubt that that's
- 10 what they told him.
- 11 Q. Okay. And they were required to advise the detainee that
- 12 if he had made prior -- I'm sorry -- that they were aware that he may
- 13 have made prior statements but the agent wasn't interested in prior
- 14 questioning or answers he may have given. That's your understanding?
- 15 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And he checked that box as well, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then they were -- the agents were required to
- 19 determine whether the detainee was willing to answer questions.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's line 4 and that box is checked.
- 22 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And, Your Honor, this is in the record at
- 23 628C (Gov) Attachment E.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 2 Q. And with regard to documents, the agents were required to
- 3 tell the detainee that they -- he may or may not have seen a
- 4 detainee -- a document before, but the agent does not care what he
- 5 may have said in the past about the document.
- 6 A. That's -- that's what they said they told him. I don't
- 7 have any reason to doubt that's what they told him.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: We can take down the feed.
- 10 Q. And during the interview, the agents introduced themselves
- 11 to Mr. Ali in true name, at which time they showed him their law
- 12 enforcement credentials; is that your understanding?
- 13 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Are you aware of any CIA agents at any point showing
- 15 Mr. Ali their credentials?
- A. I'm not aware of that, no.
- 17 Q. Any identification of any kind?
- A. I'm not aware what kind of identification CIA showed him.
- 19 Q. And it -- do you have any knowledge of CIA agents showing
- 20 Mr. Ali any identification at all?
- 21 A. I don't know what kind of identification they showed him,
- 22 if any at all.

- 1 And the LHM documents that if -- after Mr. Ali was asked if
- 2 he knew who the FBI was, Mr. Ali said he was previously with the CIA,
- 3 who he described as the external people, and he understood the FBI to
- 4 work within the U.S. And this is after the FBI agent showed Mr. Ali
- 5 their credentials.
- 6 Does this not reflect Ali appreciating the difference
- 7 between his January 2007 interviews and his debriefings with the CIA?
- 8 A. No. That means he's cognitively aware of a difference
- 9 between them. That's different than to appreciate from a psychiatric
- 10 perspective.
- 11 To appreciate means integrating that information within a
- 12 context and knowing its implications. But he can -- he can say, "I
- 13 know the difference between the CIA and the FBI."
- 14 We -- we do this all the time in competency evaluations.
- 15 The one prong is, does the person know and understand charges and the
- 16 way the court works. The second prong is appreciate, which means to
- 17 integrate that knowledge in a meaningful way and the way different
- 18 possible outcomes can occur and what's required to achieve an outcome
- 19 that's in their self-interest.
- Q. Sure. But you've already testified that people with PTSD
- 21 are not automatically not competent to stand trial, right? That's
- 22 not an automatic ----
- 23 A. No.

- 1 Q. ---- he's not competent. So ----
- 2 A. Sometimes their symptoms render them incompetent, and that
- 3 speaks mainly to the appreciating and assisting in
- 4 their -- appreciating the context, assisting in their own defense.
- 5 The cognitive prong about understanding the charges and how
- 6 the court works is usually something people with PTSD can do quite
- 7 well.
- 8 It's not a disease of intellect. This -- this mental
- 9 illness, it doesn't mean a person is dumb. So they can tell you the
- 10 difference between the CIA or the FBI or where they are and what they
- 11 know. Those are all aspects of semantic memory, things that we've
- 12 learned, things that we know.
- 13 It's the emotional appreciation and processing of that,
- 14 which is part of what our frontal lobe activity is involved in, that
- 15 is highly impaired.
- Q. And that's not based on anything that Mr. Ali said about
- 17 his interactions with the FBI, right?
- 18 A. No. I just -- I know that Mr. Ali -- I haven't seen any
- 19 data to suggest he's different from, you know, just dozens of studies
- 20 on human beings with post-traumatic stress disorder. And at this
- 21 point in time, he's already exhibited more than enough symptoms to
- 22 have PTSD that cause him pain and distress and psychological despair.
- 23 He also has headaches and there's been a report of abuse.

- 1 So they are interviewing a person who's been traumatized and
- 2 a person who suffers from a significant mental illness. And that's
- 3 why I say I wouldn't automatically assume that he does understand
- 4 everything. He's being compliant. He can acknowledge what's going
- 5 on. But ----
- Q. There's a difference ----
- 7 A. --- they're talking to a mentally ill person at this
- 8 point.
- 9 Q. But you're saying -- I think you just said you wouldn't
- 10 automatically assume. That's an awful lot different than you saying
- 11 he did not appreciate the difference. You're saying
- 12 categorically ----
- 13 A. So we're kind of talking past one another.
- 14 At this point in time in my mind, I already know he suffers
- 15 from a case of post-traumatic stress disorder. And what I said in my
- 16 declaration, I adhere to. I don't believe he could meaningfully
- 17 distinguish the difference.
- 18 It's a -- it's a distinction without a difference when he
- 19 says, yeah, you're one part of the government, you're another part of
- 20 the government. They're interviewing a mentally ill person. And
- 21 although their intent may be good in checking all the blocks, they
- 22 know what they mean and they're assuming they know what the mentally
- 23 ill person means, what their understanding is of that situation.

- Q. Okay. When he's asked if he knew where he was, he said
- 2 Guantanamo. He said Guantanamo was 100 percent under the control of
- 3 the Army. Is that your understanding?
- 4 A. That's my understanding.
- 5 Q. And does that not reflect that Mr. Ali appreciates the
- 6 difference between this interview and his CIA debriefings?
- 7 A. It means he understands locations.
- Q. Ali was told he was not in the custody of the CIA.
- 9 Mr. Ali said he understood because he had not seen them anymore.
- 10 Does that not demonstrate Ali's knowledge that he was being
- 11 interviewed by someone other than the CIA?
- 12 A. It looks like it might be on the surface. However, he had
- 13 been told that perhaps he could be exposed to new people at different
- 14 points of time previously.
- So it's -- it certainly sounds like he can identify where he
- 16 is. He's cognitive -- he's cognizant that he's in a new geographic
- 17 location. He can identify the people he's with. But there's no data
- 18 to suggest that he's made a meaningful difference in that -- in what
- 19 these people are capable of doing versus the ones prior.
- Q. And there's no data to suggest that he's not capable ----
- 21 A. Well, based on the ----
- 22 O. ---- of ----
- A. Based on the plan that was illustrated by Dr. Mitchell,

- 1 this was the goal, that EITs could be administered early, and then
- 2 you moved into an operant conditioning phase where people could be
- 3 manipulated without exposing them.
- 4 This is now in that phase. They're no -- we know that the
- 5 EITs were no longer a possibility for him. He does not because he's
- 6 been told it could go back at any time.
- 7 And when we work with traumatized people, we know fear does
- 8 not extinguish ----
- 9 Q. Right.
- 10 A. --- and this would be -- this would be an example where
- 11 they're just asking him questions and he -- he's compliant and going
- 12 yes. I know where I am.
- Q. That's something you're able to tell without ever having a
- 14 conversation with him or anyone else asking him those questions.
- 15 That's what's you're saying?
- 16 A. I'm saying I've seen the evaluation that was a year
- 17 before, and he's got post-traumatic stress disorder that's quite
- 18 severe.
- 19 Q. Mr. Ali asked why they called him Ali instead of Ammar.
- 20 He said, "It seems like you're looking at me officially." Did those
- 21 statements of Ali not demonstrate his understanding that the FBI
- 22 agents interviewing him are different from the CIA?
- 23 A. Those statements, like on neuropsych testing, he's -- he's

- 1 got a normal intellect. So he can say those things. He can
- 2 acknowledge who they are.
- Q. Is it your understanding that during Mr. Ali's CIA
- 4 detention, they asked him whether he would participate in
- 5 debriefings?
- 6 A. That was my understanding, yeah.
- 7 Q. Do you agree that Mr. Ali was expected to participate in
- 8 debriefings in CIA detention?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That that was his expectation, that he had to participate
- 11 in debriefings. They didn't ask him whether or not you're willing,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Well, that's my understanding, yeah. It wasn't -- it
- 14 wasn't a voluntary thing.
- 15 Q. Right.
- A. But the goal of the program was to render people helpless.
- 17 O. I understand all that. We don't -- Doc ----
- 18 A. You're asking me what I think and why I made the
- 19 declaration.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Counsel. Mr. Groharing.
- 21 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan, Mr. Groharing, please don't talk
- 23 over each other. Again, it's -- it makes it impossible, one, for us

- 1 to get a good record. And it also helps -- it doesn't help me in
- 2 tracking the testimony.
- WIT: Right.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Pause for a second.
- 5 Ms. Pradhan, was that the objection you were going to make?
- 6 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: That was the objection, sir.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 8 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: If we could just wait until the witness
- 9 finishes his answer and then ask an actual question instead of
- 10 testifying.
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection sustained.
- 12 So go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- Q. The question that I asked you was whether it was Mr. Ali's
- 14 choice to participate in debriefings when he was held by the CIA.
- 15 Just yes or no. What's your understanding?
- A. My understanding is that whether he said yes or no, he was
- 17 going to be in a debriefing. It was an uncontrolled situation. He
- 18 had no control over that situation at all.
- 19 Q. But that he wasn't given the choice; is that your
- 20 understanding?
- 21 A. My understanding is that he did not have a choice.
- Q. I'm asking you ----
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection. Asked and answered.

- 1 Q. ---- listen to the full question.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection overruled.
- 3 So, Dr. Morgan ----
- 4 Q. I ----
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- listen to the question and please
- 6 answer the question.
- 7 Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- Q. What's your understanding? Was Mr. Ali advised that he
- 9 could choose whether or not he wanted to participate in debriefings
- 10 in CIA detention?
- 11 A. I'm not aware that he was given that option, that he was
- 12 advised that he could -- or that he had a choice. No.
- Q. And is it your understanding that at the LHM interview
- 14 that he was told it was his choice whether or not to participate in
- 15 the interview?
- 16 A. I am aware he was told that, yes.
- 17 O. Are you aware of any statements that Mr. Ali made during
- 18 the LHM that are untrue?
- A. I didn't hear the last part of your sentence. I'm sorry?
- Q. Are you aware of any statements Mr. Ali made that are
- 21 documented in the LHM interview that are untrue?
- 22 A. I'm not -- I'm not aware of whether they are true or not
- 23 true.

- 1 Q. So you're not aware of any information about them being
- 2 untrue?
- 3 A. I haven't been shown any information that would say that
- 4 they were untrue.
- 5 Q. And Special Agent Fitzgerald, and Perkins, and McClain
- 6 have all testified in this military commission about the
- 7 circumstances of those interviews, and you've reviewed those
- 8 transcripts, right?
- 9 A. I have.
- 10 Q. And the agents testified that the interviews were
- 11 courteous, professional, and respectful. Do you have any reason to
- 12 doubt that?
- 13 A. Well, I hope I don't, no. I'm -- I'm -- I believe their
- 14 statement that they were courteous and professional.
- Q. And they conferred with Mr. Ali on the schedule that they
- 16 would keep?
- 17 A. That's my understanding.
- 18 Q. And when they would take breaks?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. When Mr. Ali wanted the break to pray, those types of
- 21 things?
- 22 A. That's what we do in a psych eval as well, yes, if they
- 23 request a break.

- Q. And the agents never raised their voice throughout the
- 2 interview?
- 3 A. They say they didn't. I don't know if they did or didn't,
- 4 but my understanding is that it was a polite interview.
- 5 Q. They never confronted Mr. Ali and told him they thought he
- 6 was lying?
- 7 A. I didn't see any evidence of that in the transcript.
- 8 Q. The agents testified that he did not appear disoriented at
- 9 any point. Is that your understanding?
- 10 A. That's my understanding of what they thought they were
- 11 assessing, yes.
- 12 Q. Well ----
- 13 A. They're not -- they're not mental health professionals, so
- 14 I just have to take them at their word that that's what they believed
- 15 was occurring, yes.
- Q. That they wouldn't be able to tell if he was -- looked
- 17 disoriented during the interview?
- 18 A. No. They're -- they're not specialists in evaluating
- 19 mental health status with a mentally ill person. So I can only take
- 20 their statements for what they are. They believed he was not ----
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. ---- disoriented.
- Q. Did not appear depressed?

- 1 A. Again, that's what they believe. I don't doubt their
- 2 opinion that they didn't think he was depressed.
- 3 Q. Did not appear anxious?
- A. Once again, they're not mental health people, so I can
- 5 only say I believe that that's what they thought was the case.
- Q. Okay. But are you suggesting that -- that people who are
- 7 not mental health professionals have -- can't observe if someone's
- 8 depressed or anxious?
- 9 A. I am saying I've met plenty who cannot. Part of the
- 10 consultation to many people in the Department of Corrections is that
- 11 many signs and symptoms of mental illness are invisible to people who
- 12 are not well trained in them.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. So they may say someone looks comfortable, non-anxious,
- 15 maybe slightly depressed or not depressed. And when we evaluate
- 16 them, we find out no, they have a full-blown mental illness. They're
- 17 able to mask it from people they don't want to reveal it to.
- 18 So all I can say from the statements in that is the agents
- 19 believed that that was the case. But from a psychiatric perspective,
- 20 I -- I don't have to take that at face value as a true -- as a fact
- 21 because I've seen an evaluation from the year before indicating that
- 22 this person suffers from mental illness and possibly a traumatic
- 23 brain injury.

- 1 Q. The agents testified they didn't see any physical signs
- 2 which caused them any concern about his ability, Mr. Ali's, to
- 3 voluntarily participate in the interview. Is that your
- 4 understanding?
- 5 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. They saw no evidence that Mr. Ali had lost the ability to
- 7 offer statements that were favorable to him throughout the course of
- 8 the interview. Is that your understanding?
- 9 A. That's my understanding.
- 10 Q. And at no point did Mr. Ali have difficulty concentrating.
- 11 A. Again, that's my understanding of how they perceived his
- 12 degree of concentration.
- 13 Q. That he did not appear distracted.
- 14 A. Again, it's a nonmental health evaluation, so I have to
- 15 take them at their word that that's what they believed was the case.
- Q. Didn't see any signs of Mr. Ali hallucinating.
- 17 A. Again, they believed they did not. I don't know if that
- 18 was or was not the case.
- 19 Q. And did not see any signs of him dissociating.
- 20 A. Again, I don't even know if they're trained in assessing
- 21 dissociation. But I -- I take them at their word, they believed they
- 22 did not observe anything.
- Q. That he did not say things that were irrational.

- 1 A. Again, if you -- I will agree with you that what they
- 2 write in their report is what they believed they saw.
- 3 They're not mental health professionals. They're not
- 4 qualified to evaluate mental states. This is a mentally ill person.
- 5 It's been previously documented in the medical record.
- 6 So if you want to read me each line, I can say I agree,
- 7 that's what they believe to have been the case when they met with
- 8 him. But it -- it -- it is unrelated to whether or not they're
- 9 accurate in making valid psychiatric assessments of a person's mental
- 10 status and the nature of their illness. They are not qualified to do
- 11 that.
- 12 Q. Of course, they're not diagnosing him with some kind of
- 13 disorder.
- 14 A. No, but they're ----
- 15 Q. They're just ----
- A. --- identifying ----
- 17 Q. ---- observing his behavior ----
- 18 A. You're asking about very specific kinds of psychiatric
- 19 symptoms, and it does require training to learn how to evaluate them
- 20 to know what they are and what the differential is.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 A. That's one reason people go into residency programs and
- 23 train for years. So I -- I take it at their word. They went in in

- 1 good faith. They said what they believed that they saw. I don't
- 2 think they're trying to distort anything or hide anything.
- 3 I'm just saying they're not mental health professionals. So
- 4 when I look at the record with them, the only kind of data that's
- 5 relevant is if there's a positive indicator of an illness that a
- 6 layperson might notice. But the absence of noticing something is not
- 7 evidence that it's not there. And they're not -- they're not trained
- 8 in it, so...
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. But you can keep reading each line, and I'm happy to go,
- 11 "That is my understanding."
- 12 Q. Okay. And Mr. Ali, throughout the course of the
- 13 interview, was able to provide accurate details regarding
- 14 information.
- 15 A. That -- that is my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And that's something FBI agents could
- 17 professionally -- you don't dispute that, right?
- 18 A. That I would dispute that they would know that a statement
- 19 in and of itself was accurate or not, meaning ----
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. --- detecting deception?
- 22 Q. They were observing him providing details, accurate
- 23 details, that's something that an FBI agent could do, right? They

- 1 don't need to have an advanced psych degree to do that, right?
- 2 A. If they have ground truth on something to which they can
- 3 compare his statements and say those are accurate details, I would
- 4 agree with you.
- If they're listening to him and say, "I hear detail in what
- 6 he's saying; therefore, it must be accurate," I would disagree with
- 7 you. And all the science on detecting deception would back me up on
- 8 that.
- 9 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any GTMO medical or psychiatric
- 10 record that documents complaints from Mr. Ali about his participation
- 11 in the multiday law enforcement interviews?
- 12 A. I'm not aware, no.
- Q. Any concerns about transport to the interview?
- 14 A. I think -- I think I mentioned that. No, I'm not aware of
- 15 a note documenting his concern about being transported.
- Q. Okay. And this is the same location that he was
- 17 interviewed by the ICRC in December -- first in October, then in
- 18 December?
- 19 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And so the first day was January 17th, and then
- 21 Mr. Ali was asked if he was willing to return the following day. Is
- 22 that your understanding?
- 23 A. That's my understanding.

- 1 Q. And then returned to his cell back in the camp, and then
- 2 Mr. Ali returned on the 18th. Is that your understanding?
- 3 A. That's my understanding.
- 4 O. To the same location, Echo II?
- 5 A. That's my understanding.
- 6 Q. Following all those same procedures.
- 7 A. That's my understanding.
- 8 Q. And he sat at a white plastic table.
- 9 A. That is my understanding.
- 10 Q. In a white plastic chair.
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. And was shackled.
- 13 A. I believe so.
- Q. And those were the circumstances of his first interview at
- 15 Echo II; is that your understanding?
- 16 A. That is my understanding.
- 17 O. And do you have any reason to doubt that Mr. Ali would
- 18 assume those would be the circumstances of his second interview, the
- 19 second day of interviews?
- 20 A. I didn't hear the middle part. I would assume what?
- 21 Q. That those same circumstances would be in place for the
- 22 second day of interviews, the 18th of January?
- A. I'm assuming he would expect them to be similar.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then he chose to return.
- 2 A. He returned. We see that in compliant people.
- 3 Q. But it -- it was his decision. You don't dispute that it
- 4 was his decision?
- 5 A. I don't dispute it was his decision.
- Q. Okay. And then they met again on the 18th. And at the
- 7 end of the day he was asked if he wanted to come back on the 19th,
- 8 right? That's your understanding?
- 9 A. That's my understanding.
- 10 Q. And then he returned to the camp, and then Mr. Ali
- 11 returned back to Echo II on January 19th.
- 12 A. That's my understanding.
- 13 Q. And that was his decision?
- A. It's said to be his decision, yes. A person's decision is
- 15 a complicated thing.
- Q. Any reason to think that he didn't assume the
- 17 circumstances of that third day of interviews were going to be the
- 18 same as far as his transport, sit in a white chair, sit at a white
- 19 plastic table?
- 20 A. Well, it's a misunderstanding of mental illness to say a
- 21 person could decide to go back day after day and not experience
- 22 conditioned fear. So it's his decision. Yes, he would go back.
- 23 He'd expect it to be the same, so...

- 1 Q. He was -- you're suggesting that wasn't his decision?
- 2 A. I'm saying at that point you have a mentally ill person
- 3 who is going along with a procedure. There's an opportunity
- 4 available to meet with people. Many people choose to meet with
- 5 people rather than to be alone. I can't speak to his motivation for
- 6 meeting.
- 7 Q. Sure. That -- and that's a voluntary decision, though.
- A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Choosing to meet with someone rather than staying alone in
- 10 your cell, that's a voluntary decision, right?
- 11 A. I'm -- I'm assuming he would have to agree to go have the
- 12 meeting because he wasn't being told he had to go, so you could say
- 13 that's a voluntary decision.
- Q. So he voluntarily elected to go back a ----
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. --- second and third day to meet again with the agents,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yeah. He can -- he can choose to go meet with them.
- My patients choose to go to the mailroom. They choose to go
- 20 to the post office. They choose to go to dinner.
- Q. And here, he knew that he was being interviewed at that
- 22 point by agents. And he would assume that that same practice would
- 23 follow the second and third day, right?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. He was going back to be interviewed further.
- 3 A. That would be consistent with ----
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. --- the program for EIT, yes.
- Q. I didn't -- I didn't ask if it was consistent with the
- 7 program for EIT.
- 8 A. You're -- I'm just saying yes, that's consistent with what
- 9 you would expect him to be doing. Yes.
- 10 Q. But you agree that those are voluntary actions on his
- 11 part?
- 12 A. I am agreeing that he's -- he seems to be doing that of
- 13 his choice, of his choosing. I can't speak to whether or not -- what
- 14 his emotional state was that made him make that decision.
- 15 Q. Okay. Right. Now, I note that he also meets with his
- 16 attorneys at Echo II. Would he consider, you know, those meetings
- 17 also to -- not be able to appreciate a difference between those
- 18 meetings and his former CIA captors?
- 19 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Basis?
- 21 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: What's the foundation for this question?
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Repeat your question, Mr. Groharing.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: I asked if -- that -- if he's aware that

- 1 he meets with his attorneys at Echo II. My understanding is that's
- 2 where they have met. If I'm -- correct me if I'm wrong.
- 3 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Yeah, he didn't ----
- 4 The court's indulgence?
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 6 [Pause.]
- 7 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: I'd ask for a time frame on this question,
- 8 Your Honor, because for two years Mr. al Baluchi did not meet with
- 9 his legal team at Echo II.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Groharing, can you rephrase?
- 11 Q. Well, if at any point, whatever time frame, Mr. Ali met
- 12 with his attorneys at Echo II, would he be able to meaningfully
- 13 appreciate the difference between meeting with his attorneys and
- 14 meeting with his former CIA captors?
- 15 A. Well, I know for a fact that at times he did not, from an
- 16 emotional standpoint. He knew he was meeting with his attorneys and
- 17 he's lashed out at them and accused them of betraying him because
- 18 there's suspicion. I know that from communicating with his attorney.
- I do believe that he knew he was meeting with an attorney
- 20 and could cognitively know that it should be to his advantage to meet
- 21 with an attorney. But there's also evidence that parallel to that he
- 22 suspects they're all working for the same government and ultimately
- 23 are going to betray him.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. So he could make that choice, but it's -- it's not the
- 3 same kind of a choice that a person without a mental illness makes.
- Q. Okay. You conducted research on the impact of
- 5 misinformation on the reliability of statements, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Have you conducted research on why suspects confess to
- 8 crimes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that the perception of the strength of
- 11 incriminating evidence in the hands of law enforcement is an
- 12 important factor in a suspect's decision to confess to a crime?
- 13 A. Yes. It's a gamble. There -- because our police can lie
- 14 to people.
- 15 Q. Right.
- A. So they have to weigh their options. That's the reason
- 17 why the Reid -- the interrogation folks have gotten into trouble and
- 18 been sued for creating false confessions.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. It's part of their -- it's part of their technique, part
- 21 of their program.
- Q. Have you reviewed the information that was shown to
- 23 Mr. Ali during his LHM interview?

- 1 A. During his what interview?
- Q. I'm sorry. The interview with the FBI agents that
- 3 resulted in documentation in the LHM.
- A. I've not seen that material, no.
- 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that he was presented with physical
- 6 evidence that documented his involvement in the 9/11 attacks?
- 7 A. I am aware of that, yes.
- 8 O. At times in true name?
- 9 A. I'm sorry, you're muffled.
- 10 Q. At times in true name, in his own name.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Can I have the feed from Location
- 13 Number 2?
- 14 WIT: All right.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: I'm sorry, a feed from Table Number 2. I
- 16 don't know if we have a feed from Location Number 2.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure. Go ahead. Feed from Table 2.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Is it time for a break? Okay.
- 19 All right. Judge, this only -- my understanding, this is
- 20 only for the courtroom.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: That's correct.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: And let me get the -- it's in the record
- 23 already. I just want to make sure I have it.

- 1 It's AE 628AA Attachment U.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- Q. Okay. You've never seen this document before?
- 4 A. I saw this document yesterday.
- 5 Q. Oh, correct. Right. Before yesterday you'd never seen
- 6 it?
- 7 A. I had not, no.
- Q. Okay. And I'll represent to you that Mr. Ali was shown
- 9 this document during his interview with the FBI. And when he was
- 10 shown that document, Mr. Ali acknowledged that he was familiar with
- 11 the document. Are you aware of that?
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. And this is a wire transfer that has Mr. Ali's -- I'll
- 14 represent to you -- his name and P.O. Box on the document, if you
- 15 look at the middle of the page. Do you see the "applicant" line?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. So that's -- Mr. Ali was shown a document and asked about
- 18 a document that had his name, his P.O. Box reflecting a transfer of
- 19 \$5,000.
- 20 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection, Your Honor. This is an
- 21 expert -- this is a psychiatric expert. I'm not sure of the
- 22 relevance of this.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: We'll get to it, Your Honor.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, let's get to it now. So I mean, if
- 2 you want to explain ----
- 3 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Sure.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- we can have the witness step out. Or
- 5 if you don't mind explaining with him on the stand, I'm open to
- 6 either.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: My next question I think will make it
- 8 obvious.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: Okay. All right.
- Objection overruled. I'll give you a little bit of leeway
- 11 on this.
- 12 TC [MR. GROHARING]: All right.
- Q. Do you agree that being confronted with evidence of his
- 14 guilt is a possible explanation for Mr. Ali's admission in
- 15 January 2007?
- 16 A. It's a possibility.
- 17 Q. That's perception of proof, right?
- 18 A. Well, they're interviewing a mentally ill person, so it
- 19 would depend on how that person perceived it, yes. So it's possible.
- 20 I don't -- I don't know if that's the case, but ----
- 21 Q. Do you ----
- 22 A. ---- it's possible.
- Q. Any evidence to suggest he couldn't perceive his own name

- 1 and P.O. Box on this document?
- 2 A. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. Okay. So that's not a false memory, right? If Mr. Ali
- 4 said this is ----
- 5 A. Well, no. If he's saying that's my address and it is his
- 6 address, then that would appear to be accurate information.
- 7 A false memory might be the context in which something was
- 8 done and how it was used and when things occurred. I wouldn't know
- 9 without knowing more detail but ----
- 10 Q. Are -- are you aware his fingerprint was found on this
- 11 document?
- 12 A. That's what I've been told.
- Q. Okay. Does that not corroborate Mr. Ali's statement that
- 14 he made this \$5,000 transfer?
- 15 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection.
- A. I'm not sure.
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: Hold on, Dr. Morgan.
- 18 Ms. Pradhan.
- 19 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection, Your Honor. This is
- 20 just -- this is just not his field of expertise. This is a legal
- 21 argument.
- 22 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, earlier he talked about the
- 23 importance of corroborating information in statements, that's one

- 1 thing you would consider in determining whether or not a statement
- 2 was reliable in his earlier testimony.
- 3 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: He's just been asked if he recognized his
- 4 own fingerprint.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: I'll -- if there's a pause, it's not
- 6 inviting argument from either side. It's I'm thinking about the
- 7 objection. If I need more information, I'll ask for it from either
- 8 counsel.
- 9 I'll give you a little more leeway on this, Mr. Groharing,
- 10 but I think we're getting a little far afield from the direct
- 11 testimony.
- 12 But objection overruled.
- Q. Would you agree that Mr. Ali's fingerprint found on this
- 14 document would corroborate his statement that it was, in fact, a wire
- 15 transfer that he made?
- 16 A. It might if the fingerprint was accurate. You know,
- 17 people have been renditioned from Seattle over the Madrid bombing and
- 18 the fingerprint data was erroneous and falsified by the FBI. So it's
- 19 possible if it really is his fingerprint, that would be corroborating
- 20 information that, in fact, he had been in contact with the document.
- 21 If the fingerprint identification was on fewer than the necessary
- 22 points, there's a system on how many points have to match, then it
- 23 might not be credible. It might be erroneous.

- Q. Sure. Any evidence that there was some kind of conspiracy
- 2 to get Mr. Ali's fingerprint on this document?
- A. Well, look, I've seen documents that have been censored
- 4 and edited. We know that the government makes mistakes. You're
- 5 asking me this hypothetical if it is. I don't know if the
- 6 fingerprint is his or not. You're telling me that it is.
- If it says it's a match, then I'd say, well, there are
- 8 degrees to which fingerprinting is done. I know that. And I know
- 9 that the government has made errors before. If it matches, if it
- 10 goes on, he can identify his address, I'd say that would be what I
- 11 would consider ----
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. --- an external piece of data with which to try and check
- 14 a memory. But -- yeah.
- 15 Q. Assuming it was valid.
- 16 A. Assuming it was valid, yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Could I have -- could we please pull up
- 19 AE 628AA Attachment W?
- Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 21 A. No, I have not.
- 22 Q. I would represent to you that that's an identification
- 23 card for Mr. Ali that he provided to the Wall Street Exchange Center

- 1 that -- to make the transfer that you just ----
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Groharing, let me -- let me stop you.
- 3 So I understand the point that you are making as far as the
- 4 witness testifying about corroborating evidence and its potential
- 5 impact on a person. Are we going to go through every piece of
- 6 evidence?
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: No.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: Is there something to be gained from this
- 9 with this witness?
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, my intent is to go through
- 11 three different exhibit -- four.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: How about this? Let's -- let's do this.
- 13 Let's cut to the chase.
- Dr. Morgan, if there's corroborating evidence, why don't you
- 15 just say that.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: But, Your Honor, my point is the ----
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: ---- perception of -- it's the perception
- 19 of proof.
- 20 What I want to establish is there was a powerful perception
- 21 of proof in Mr. Ali's mind. He was confronted with evidence of
- 22 transferring money in true name, sometimes an alias. But that was
- 23 clearly connected to him and he was confronted with that proof.

- 1 And based on the doctor's testimony, he thinks that
- 2 makes -- that would make a statement more reliable, the fact that
- 3 somebody made it under those circumstances. So that -- that's what
- 4 I'm trying to establish.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Well, get to that. I mean,
- 6 again ----
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: That's what ----
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- I don't know that going through each
- 9 piece for -- with a witness that doesn't know the underlying facts
- 10 and how strong a corroboration that is and all the other
- 11 pieces -- why don't you just ask him the fact pattern of the stronger
- 12 the corroborating evidence, is there more pressure on the person to
- 13 perhaps want to voluntarily say something?
- 14 Get -- get -- get to the heart of the question. I really
- 15 don't want to go through all of the evidence with a witness that is
- 16 not familiar with this evidence.
- 17 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Right. But the witness is also rendering
- 18 an opinion that these statements were involuntary, right? That's a
- 19 very important opinion in this case.
- 20 And to the extent you're going to give it any kind of
- 21 weight, it's -- it's incumbent upon the government to demonstrate
- 22 how -- how reliable this statement was. And I ----
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: And I'm not stopping you at that. I'm

- 1 stopping you from going through each piece of the evidence.
- 2 All right. You said you're going to go through three more
- 3 pieces of evidence?
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: That's -- that's -- yes, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. I'll allow that, and then move
- 6 on.

7 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. GROHARING]:

- 8 Q. So this -- this document is an -- a photocopy of an
- 9 identification card that Mr. Ali, I'll represent to you, provided to
- 10 the Wall Street Exchange Center. And Mr. Ali during the interview
- 11 acknowledged that it was his ID card and that he did provide that to
- 12 the Wall Street Exchange Center.
- Do you agree that being confronted with this evidence of his
- 14 quilt is a possible explanation for his admission in January of 2007?
- 15 A. It -- it is possible. I mean, Saul Kassin has done a
- 16 great deal of work on why people decide to take a plea or -- or, you
- 17 know -- or why they confess to -- to something ----
- 18 Q. Sure.
- 19 A. --- when the police confront them with data.
- I think what I've testified to before in other courts as
- 21 well is that when we see two different memories being reported by
- 22 someone, without something independent of that, we don't -- by -- by
- 23 just listening to a memory, a statement, a story from someone,

- 1 there -- it's very difficult to tell. You can't tell a false memory
- 2 from a true one, and that's not the same as detecting a lie from a
- 3 truth.
- And what I have said is that with respect to human memory,
- 5 without having something external to anchor the assessment of the
- 6 memories, human memory is unreliable because we -- we don't know
- 7 which version is true.
- 8 So I'm not saying that it boosts somebody's -- somebody's
- 9 feelings about I need to confess. That's -- that's an opinion that
- 10 people who do interrogations have. It's something that's taught in
- 11 the interrogation programs. I've taken the interrogation course.
- 12 So that's the belief. I -- I think you have to pay
- 13 attention to why the -- why the person would confess to something is
- 14 something that they only know and if they're willing to divulge that.
- 15 With respect to my expertise, I would say if there was a
- 16 story being told, let's say about this document. There's -- I
- 17 remember doing X, Y, and Z and this was involved. But then you had a
- 18 different version of the story and you didn't know, but then you
- 19 found this and you found that the data matched one story versus the
- 20 other. As an eyewitness memory expert, I would say, well, we can't
- 21 use memory as the defining characteristic of what may be more
- 22 reliable, what's something we can count on more valid. I'd say go
- 23 with your forensic -- go with your forensic data that you have.

- 1 So -- but that's all I'm saying. I'm not saying that it
- 2 boosts one's confidence in why someone might decide to say I confess
- 3 to something or admit to a crime. I mean, what we know from that
- 4 literature is people are weighing their options. And the reason why
- 5 that is relevant to PTSD is that that's actually what's shown in the
- 6 frontal lobe dysfunction in PTSD. The region that's deeply affected
- 7 is the one about weighing future options, pros and cons. Will this
- 8 help me or not help me?
- 9 And this is what's hard -- it's hard for other people to
- 10 appreciate, that with this mental illness they are damaged with
- 11 the -- in the capacity to weigh what is really in their own interest.
- 12 And that's why the issue of frontal lobe dysfunction comes up in many
- 13 different kinds of cases in court, because we know that region of the
- 14 brain is damaged in people with PTSD. We know it is in this
- 15 individual from the brain scans. We know it is from the neuropsych
- 16 testing.
- And so the very region of the organ in his body known as his
- 18 brain that is damaged is the region we rely on to make these very
- 19 kinds of decisions. When confronted with data, what do I do if the
- 20 police say I know this?
- So my own ----
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. --- view based on the science is if there's external

- 1 forensic data, go with that and not somebody's memory, because memory
- 2 is fluid. If you've got two different versions of a memory and I
- 3 had -- I had to decide, I'd say, well, I need something else to try
- 4 and figure out what is more reliable. That's -- that's all I'm
- 5 saying.
- 6 But -- but the decision to confess, not to confess or to
- 7 plea or not involves this -- this complicated form of reasoning of
- 8 weighing options. And that's the very region of the frontal lobe
- 9 that's severely impaired in this illness, so...
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Can we pull up 628AA Attachment Y,
- 11 please?
- Q. And I'll represent to you that this is a copy of a wire
- 13 transfer that was shown to Mr. Ali during the interview, which also
- 14 contained the same P.O. Box as the prior transfer that I showed
- 15 you ----
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 O. --- but it contained a different name.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And then he was shown this document during the interview.
- 20 And during the interview, Mr. Ali noted that he did recognize this
- 21 transaction as being sent by him.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is that another example of perception of proof?

- 1 A. It could be. I don't know whether fingerprints were found
- 2 on this or not. If there were no fingerprints on this, I would say
- 3 this could be the creation of a false memory in the sense that being
- 4 paired with another piece of data, he could assume that it,
- 5 therefore, was something that was sent by him.
- 6 Like, if I didn't know ----
- 7 Q. So ----
- 8 A. --- any other information, if you pair it with something
- 9 that they know -- that they know, we know that we can create a false
- 10 memory about it.
- 11 So I ----
- 12 Q. So you ----
- 13 A. --- wouldn't know whether that was true or not true when
- 14 he says, "I do recognize this." Because ----
- 15 O. Yeah.
- 16 A. --- if it has been paired with something previous that he
- 17 did recognize in the context of an interview, that's how we were able
- 18 to create the paired association between now that and a false memory,
- 19 and the person remembers it as being true. So this might be
- 20 something that corrupts his memory and is a false memory statement,
- 21 but you wouldn't know.
- Q. Would the fact that it was his own P.O. Box and in his own
- 23 handwriting ----

- 1 A. Well, again, that would depend on your forensic expert and
- 2 that you'd have to make your decision ----
- 3 Q. Well, you ----
- A. --- how much you believe those, yeah.
- 5 Q. He could recognize those things, right? Like, if in his
- 6 mind he knew he had done these things, right?
- 7 A. Right.
- Q. And then he saw proof that -- of something that he knew he
- 9 had done, that's -- that's perception of proof, right? That's
- 10 powerful?
- 11 A. Well, that's what -- that's what usually the police or law
- 12 enforcement is hoping -- right? -- to have an impact if someone
- 13 recognizes something.
- But, again, that's the decision on the part of
- 15 the -- the -- you know, the prosecution or the police or whatever to
- 16 sav ----
- 17 O. Sure.
- 18 A. --- what evidence do you want to use and what do you
- 19 think is the most reliable?
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I just know that when we pair information together, people
- 22 can create a -- an erroneous narrative, a false memory about it ----
- 23 Q. Sure.

- 1 A. --- that they then believe is true. And that's what
- 2 makes it hard -- it makes it very hard sometimes in looking at this
- 3 data to know what do I believe and what don't I believe.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. And that's why I have testified before, go with something
- 6 that's external, corroborative ----
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. --- and independent.
- 9 Q. Fair enough.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Can we pull up 628AA Attachment Z?
- It's the last one, Your Honor.
- Q. And I'll represent to you this is a copy of another wire
- 13 transfer that was shown to Mr. Ali during his interview, which also
- 14 contained the same P.O. Box number. This time a bit of an alteration
- 15 of the fake name that he provided the first time. It was Isam
- 16 Mansour instead of Isam Mansur.
- 17 A. A variation.
- 18 Q. A variation, sure. And I'll represent to you that Mr. Ali
- 19 said he also recognized this transfer as one made by him. Is that
- 20 not also an example of perception of proof?
- 21 A. I would anticipate that being a -- generating a perception
- 22 of proof in his mind with the ----
- 23 Q. Yeah.

- 1 A. ---- FBI showing him that, yes.
- 2 Q. And being confronted with this evidence of his guilt is a
- 3 possible explanation for his admission in January 2007?
- 4 A. It's a possibility, yes.
- 5 Q. You said you reviewed the testimony of those who
- 6 questioned him in the LHM. Is there any documentation of startle?
- 7 A. Well, no. There -- they're not evaluating his startle, so
- 8 no. There's not.
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, I don't know where we are in
- 10 time. I'm good keeping going.
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: Yeah, let's press.
- 12 TC [MR. GROHARING]: I didn't realize there was a clock.
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: That's fine. Let's press.
- 14 TC [MR. GROHARING]: All right.
- 15 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Ali was recorded in a conversation
- on January 26th, 2007, with Ahmed Ghailani?
- 17 A. I'm not aware of that, no.
- 18 Q. Okay. That's a week or so after he was -- participated in
- 19 these interviews. Does that sound about right?
- A. All right.
- Q. Okay. And so you haven't reviewed the transcripts of
- 22 those conversations?
- A. I don't believe I have, no.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: All right. Can you please pull up the
- 2 next document?
- Q. And I'll represent to you that this is a summary of a
- 4 verbatim translation of a recording of Mr. Ali and Mr. Ghailani on
- 5 January 26th, 2007.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you know who Mr. Ghailani was?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. He was a member of al Qaeda who was convicted of
- 10 his role in the East Africa embassy terrorist attacks in Kenya ----
- 11 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection. Counsel's testifying.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Groharing?
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: It's -- that information too, it's
- 14 him -- the point I offered it is to show Mr. Ali talking to another
- 15 member of al Qaeda. I'm representing to him that's who he's talking
- 16 to, explaining who this person is that's listed on the page.
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. I think that's fine. Go ahead.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay. And this is AE 628AA Attachment F.
- 19 Q. And if we could go to page 2. Page 2 in the second line.
- 20 It says: Ammar asked G -- Ghailani -- about details of when they
- 21 told Ghailani that he would go for an interview again and who the
- 22 people were and what they looked like.
- 23 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection. This transcript is not a

- 1 verbatim transcript.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection overruled.
- 3 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Could we go to page 5, please?
- Q. And so Mr. Ali, Ammar, says during this conversation:
- 5 Things, disasters. They obtained the bank accounts, the banks that I
- 6 had opened, things that I bought. I mean, disasters.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Go to page 6, please.
- Q. So everything was there, so disasters, I mean, they showed
- 9 me papers. Disasters. I mean, like that. So they, uh,
- 10 that is why they, uh, they were asking me to sign because they were
- 11 official papers. Hmm. To their advantage. So the more official
- 12 paperwork you have, they will benefit more.
- Mr. Ali continues: So that's why I was thinking myself and
- 14 Ubaydah have the most official paperwork.
- And I'll represent to you that Ubaydah is Ramzi Binalshibh.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Mukh -- is a reference to Mr. Mohammad -- has nothing at
- 18 all. I mean, they only asked him did you know this or not know this,
- 19 right? So we used to move around using our names and our passports
- 20 and our ID cards, so everywhere we would leave behind a picture. I
- 21 mean something.
- 22 Mr. Ali continues: We would leave behind a bank account.
- 23 We would leave uh my travel tickets. They found and brought all of

- 1 them. All of them.
- Now, do you agree with me that this provides further
- 3 evidence of perception of proof and the impact it had on Mr. Ali?
- A. It might. I was wondering, what's the -- the "glory be to
- 5 God" after that last one? It -- culturally is that a celebration
- 6 of -- of the awareness of the statement from one person to another?
- 7 O. It ----
- 8 A. I don't understand the context of that.
- 9 Q. I don't -- it's what Mr. Ghailani said, so we'd have to
- 10 ask him what he meant by it. But I don't -- I can't answer your
- 11 question.
- 12 A. Well, the reason I ask -- I'm a psychiatrist -- it's nice
- 13 to know what somebody means when they say something to somebody else,
- 14 the meaning it has.
- 15 It -- you know, in the context when people are being
- 16 interviewed by law enforcement to try and present as much data you
- 17 have to get a statement out of a person, it -- it -- I really don't
- 18 know what is going on in the person's mind. I know that they're
- 19 working with someone with a mental illness, and part of the danger
- 20 is -- and that's where we talk about conditioned defeat -- is that
- 21 very often patients do not do something that is in their own
- 22 self-interest. And their own self-interest would be to not confess.
- 23 Q. True.

- A. Right? So the dilemma is that you're confronted with a
- 2 couple possibilities here. You have a mentally ill person who's
- 3 still a human being and understands they need to try and weigh
- 4 options. We know with his condition, people are -- they have
- 5 deficits in formulating those kinds of options in their mind.
- 6 So it's certainly possible that he would say what he
- 7 said ----
- 8 Q. Yeah.
- 9 A. ---- from the perspective that, okay, you have enough
- 10 data. It's also certainly possible that from the defeatist position,
- 11 being a mentally ill person, it's -- you know, it's going to happen
- 12 anyway, so I won't defend myself in that way.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. And we certainly -- we certainly have seen that in other
- 15 cases with PTSD. People don't want to defend themselves. And that's
- 16 part and parcel of symptomatology, which is why evaluations are
- 17 important.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. They don't think about the odds in the same way that we
- 20 do. So it's possible that -- that that played a role in his decision
- 21 to say what he said.
- 22 O. Sure.
- 23 A. But -- but I want to be clear, you're not dealing with a

- 1 healthy individual.
- 2 Q. I -- Doctor ----
- 3 A. Whether or not, you know, I'm just wondering ----
- 4 Q. I don't think at this point anybody would think that
- 5 that's not your opinion. I understand that that's your opinion.
- 6 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Could we please pull up the next
- 7 document? It's 340.
- Q. And I'll represent to you this is another recording of a
- 9 conversation again with -- between Mr. Ali and Mr. Ghailani, and it
- 10 was on April 8th, 2008.
- 11 TC [MR. GROHARING]: If we could go to page 2.
- 12 Q. So Ammar -- and on page 2, about two-thirds of the way
- 13 down, it says -- and they're talking about what names they've used.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Mr. Ghailani asked if he only goes by Ammar. Ammar says:
- 16 No. The most thing I have are the names. There is a name repeated
- 17 three times, Ammar, Ali, Hani. They were transfers. I sent
- 18 transfers through the bank. So these are the names for those
- 19 transfers.
- Now, you'll recall on the transfers that -- I'm sorry.
- 21 And he continues, he says: Oh, Hani. Is Hani Hanjour, Hani
- 22 Isam Mansour and Isam Mansar at the bottom.
- 23 And you'll recall from the previous exhibits two of the

- 1 names Mr. Ali used ----
- 2 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection, Your Honor.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Basis?
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: I don't see any difference between the
- 5 facts that Mr. Groharing is asking this psychiatric expert about now
- 6 and the facts that he was asking about when he was putting pieces of
- 7 paper in front of him that he couldn't corroborate.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: I assume he's getting there, so I'll give
- 9 him a little more room to get there.
- 10 If you can do so, Mr. Groharing.
- 11 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Sure.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection, overruled.
- Q. Does this information not corroborate Mr. Ali's statement
- 14 about sending the wire transfers we previously discussed?
- 15 A. Well, it would be consistent with what he said to the FBI
- 16 before, so they might consider it corroborating.
- I don't know whether there's additional bragging going on.
- 18 I don't know how candid the conversation is. I don't know the nature
- 19 of what he was intending to convey, but it could be seen as
- 20 corroborating, yeah.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Please pull up -- I'm sorry, in the
- 23 record -- let me make sure I have that. Just one moment, Your Honor.

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Take your time.
- 2 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, that was 628AA, I believe
- 3 Attachment H.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'm showing you another conversation between
- 6 Mr. Ali and Mr. Ghailani.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Could you -- there we go. That's 628AA
- 8 Attachment I, the current document that's on the screen.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And I believe these documents are
- 11 displayable to the public, Your Honor. I'm not sure if that's
- 12 already happening, but I don't think I indicated that earlier.
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: Hold on.
- 14 All right. Go ahead. It can be displayed to the gallery.
- 15 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And if I could go to page 2, please.
- Q. Again, Mr. Ali and Mr. Ghailani are talking. And
- 17 Mr. Ghailani asks: You sent it?
- 18 Mr. Ali says: I did send it. Plead guilty. Signed. A
- 19 complete letter, complete. There's no chance. We cancelled all the
- 20 motions.
- 21 Ghailani responds: The problem is, Ammar, that you cannot
- 22 change your statement.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Next page, please.

- 1 Q. Let me ask you, when the brothers wanted to do this
- 2 action, did you know about it?
- Which one?
- The operation, that the brothers wanted to do; you didn't
- 5 know!
- 6 Ammar responds: No, I did know.
- 7 Okay. So where is the guilt here?
- 8 The guilty is, look. I did the action that I wanted to do.
- 9 Not the one they did, the one I did. You understand?
- 10 Yes.
- And if I am guilty in regards to the action I did, then I am
- 12 guilty. That is only what -- unintelligible.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Page 4, please.
- 14 Q. Ammar says: I did. I said it like it happened. The
- 15 issue is, there were a lot of questions that needed answers. We sat
- 16 down and answered them.
- 17 The biggest question was, that we take the responsibility of
- 18 September 11th. We announce it, not them putting the charges on us.
- 19 These charges are in court. Believe me; they are there, printed on
- 20 paper and with the evidence. No one believes it in the whole world.
- 21 The Sheikh talked a thousand times. There are books with the
- 22 American people. There are programs that they watch. They say the
- 23 Sheikh works for the CIA. Okay? You understand? Up until now,

- 1 there are people who do not believe the Sheikh. They say this is a
- 2 fictional thing; the CIA did this and it is a game by the CIA. Until
- 3 now! Until now, inside America.
- So for me, my lawyers did not help me to understand things.
- 5 There are people who do not believe it and still don't. You see? So
- 6 we have to accept reality and give a very clear picture, not leave
- 7 any shadow of a doubt.
- 8 Ammar talks further: I will say what I did. My role was
- 9 this and I did this. And you judge me. So I will not add or
- 10 subtract, I will say this is my role. This thing, this idea is not a
- 11 new idea. I have been working on it since August.
- And that's -- that's not a statement you're familiar with,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. No. That's the first time I've seen that.
- 15 Q. And that's a statement made -- made to Mr. Ghailani by
- 16 Mr. Ali. That wasn't coerced by the FBI, right?
- 17 A. It doesn't appear to be, no.
- Q. Do you have any evidence that that was a false memory?
- 19 A. I wouldn't know the veracity of it. I -- it does appear
- 20 to be someone who believes that he needs to say what he did to be
- 21 able to prove a different point, that the American perception is
- 22 wrong ----
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. --- it seemed.
- 2 But within the context of someone with a mental illness,
- 3 I -- I wouldn't know the difference between this and a defeatist
- 4 attitude.
- 5 He sounds proud of it, wants to change the opinion of the
- 6 public. I don't know if that's true. We know the -- he believes the
- 7 Americans think the Sheikh worked for the CIA and you could see his
- 8 beliefs listed.
- 9 So his reasoning is -- is interesting to follow
- 10 psychiatrically, though, about why he would then say he did it. It
- 11 seems to have a different purpose, something about validating the
- 12 perception of the Sheikh ----
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. --- rather than just owning personal responsibility for
- 15 something. So it -- but it's an interesting statement.
- Q. Okay. Sure.
- Are you familiar with al Qaeda efforts to use propaganda?
- 18 A. With some of them, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what -- what's your understanding of how
- 20 al Qaeda uses propaganda?
- 21 A. Well, when we were in Sharana in Afghanistan ----
- 22 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection ----
- 23 A. ---- we got to see some of it.

- 1 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: ---- Your Honor.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Hold on, Dr. Morgan.
- 3 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: This is not one of the many areas in which
- 4 Dr. Morgan was qualified as an expert. This is not relevant to his
- 5 testimony here. I'm not just -- is there somewhere that counsel
- 6 intended to go with this?
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Beyond the scope? Is that ----
- 8 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Yes.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 10 Mr. Groharing?
- 11 TC [MR. GROHARING]: It goes directly to Mr. Ali's -- you
- 12 know, this, again, corroborates the statements he made to the FBI in
- 13 the LHM interview. This is another example of corroboration, an
- 14 important point that ----
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: No, I -- I understand the ----
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: ---- the witness has indicated ----
- 17 MJ [Col McCALL]: I understand the corroboration piece.
- 18 What's the piece on the propaganda?
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Well, it -- I had asked if he was aware
- 20 they used propaganda. It sounds like he is. And I wanted to ask him
- 21 if that was an explanation in his mind. It sounds like he has some
- 22 knowledge in that regard.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection sustained. Move on.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay.
- 2 Q. I want to refer you to something that I'll represent
- 3 Mr. Ali co-wrote in 2008.
- 4 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Pull up -- just one moment, Your Honor,
- 5 and I'll get the appellate exhibit number.
- 6 [Pause.]
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: AE 511B (Gov) Attachment C.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 9 Q. I'll represent that -- yeah, oh.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, this is displayable as well.
- 11 Where are the highlights? Are there no highlights?
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. It can be displayed to the
- 13 gallery.
- 14 Q. And I'll -- and I'll just read to you from it.
- 15 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor, I apologize. I highlighted
- 16 this document further, and I -- no doubt my own error -- didn't save
- 17 the highlights on the document. So I'll read this ----
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Take your time.
- 19 Q. In the second line -- and this is from the 9/11 Shura
- 20 Council. I'll represent to you that that was the accused in this
- 21 case, the defendants in this case, something they refer to
- 22 themselves, as the 9/11 Shura Council.
- 23 With regards to these nine accusations that you were putting

- 1 us on trial for, to us, they are not accusations. To us, they are
- 2 badges of honor which we carry with pride. Many thanks to God for
- 3 his kind gesture in choosing us to perform the act of jihad for his
- 4 cause and to defend Islam and Muslims. Therefore, killing you and
- 5 fighting you, destroying you and terrorizing you, responding back to
- 6 your attacks are all considered to be great legitimate duty in our
- 7 religion.
- 8 Fair to say that Mr. Ali and the other defendants are
- 9 justifying their actions, right?
- 10 A. Just ----
- 11 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Your Honor, as to the reference to other
- 12 defendants, I would object. A lack of foundation.
- 13 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Same here, Judge.
- And Mr. Groharing continues to make references to what he
- 15 represents as the Shura Council and defendants representing
- 16 themselves. Continues to testify, facts that are not in evidence,
- 17 facts that this witness does not know. So object to that.
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection overruled.
- 19 So I understand what Mr. Groharing is doing. He's testing
- 20 the witness' opinion. I -- so it's proper.
- 21 Objection overruled.
- 22 Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Yes, Your Honor, just so it's clear ----

- 1 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Sowards?
- 2 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: ---- there's never been any authentication
- 3 of this document as coming from anyone.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Understood.
- 5 LDC [MR. SOWARDS]: Thank you.
- O. And does this document reflect someone who's fearful of
- 7 his captors and how they will respond?
- 8 A. It doesn't appear to. It reminds me of the Mark Twain War
- 9 Prayer -- war poem where there's great praise for going to war by
- 10 Americans and then the opposite side of the prayer is the destruction
- 11 and the impact of war.
- 12 So it -- I don't know how to evaluate that. I don't know
- 13 how it was generated or who wrote it, so ----
- Q. And just assume for ----
- 15 A. But it -- it appears to be ----
- 16 O. Assume that for ----
- 17 A. ---- writing.
- 18 Q. --- my question that Mr. Ali did participate in the
- 19 drafting of this report.
- 20 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection, Your Honor. This assumes facts
- 21 not in the record.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: That's why he said "assume."
- Objection, overruled.

- 1 Q. And so I believe you were answering whether or not this
- 2 reflected someone who is fearful of his captors and how they will
- 3 respond.
- 4 A. I didn't say that. I think it reflects -- it
- 5 reflects -- whoever wrote it is expressing how they believe and
- 6 perceive something. I don't -- I don't know how you'd read more into
- 7 it without knowing a context and specifically who wrote it and why.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Right? It could be exaggeration. It could be metaphor.
- 10 It could be direct. It could be specific. But it could be pure
- 11 speculation to try and apply this to anything on ----
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. --- my part.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Page 2, please.
- Q. And I'll go to the fourth full paragraph: You were
- 16 attacking us in Palestine and Lebanon by providing political,
- 17 military, and economic support to the terrorist state of Israel,
- 18 which in turn is attacking unarmed civilians.
- 19 In addition, Israel attacks Palestine and lesbian
- 20 [sic] -- sorry -- Lebanese civilian objects by bombing them and
- 21 destroying them. Furthermore, Israel is causing grave bodily harm by
- 22 using weapons that are forbidden internationally.
- Do you recall reviewing the notes of Mr. Ali's interviews

- 1 with the FBI?
- 2 A. I was going to say, this sounds like the current news.
- 3 No.
- What -- what is it you wanted to know if I reviewed?
- 5 Q. Do you recall Mr. Ali providing justifications for the
- 6 September 11th attacks in his LHM interview?
- 7 A. I don't, no. I'd have to look at it.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: One moment. I'll have that pulled up,
- 10 Your Honor. And that's -- the RHM has already been opened, it's AE
- 11 628AA (Gov) Attachment E. That is not for public display.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Page 3, please. Oops. My apologies,
- 14 Your Honor.
- MJ [Col McCALL]: No, that's fine. Why don't we do this?
- 16 We've been going for a while, so let's go ahead and take a recess.
- 17 It's almost 1530. Let's plan on being back in here
- 18 at -- it's 1525, so let's be back in here at 1540.
- 19 [The witness withdrew from the courtroom.]
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is in recess.
- 21 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1524, 08 May 2024.]
- 22 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1542, 08 May 2024.]
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is called to order. Please

- 1 be seated.
- 2 All right. Are we ready to proceed with the witness?
- 3 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. If we can get Dr. Morgan back on
- 5 the witness stand.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: And thank you for the court's indulgence,
- 7 Your Honor. I think we figured out our technical difficulties.
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: At least -- we figured out a workaround.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: You probably just jinxed yourself, but all
- 11 right ahead.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Well, I went old school.
- 13 [The witness, Dr. Charles Alexander Morgan III, resumed the witness
- 14 stand.]
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: Welcome back, Dr. Morgan. Please have a
- 16 seat.
- Go ahead, Mr. Groharing.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: All right. So when we broke, we had the
- 19 LHM, page 3, I believe, on -- and that's not for public display.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Correct. You can go ahead and pull up that
- 21 feed.
- 22 [END OF PAGE]

23

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED

2 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. GROHARING]:

- 3 Q. LHM page 3. All right. Just to orient us and get us all
- 4 in the same place -- we can pull up the prior statement if you want,
- 5 but I had read a statement that talked about justifying the attacks
- 6 by U.S. support for Israel from the other document. And what I'd
- 7 like to point your attention to on page 3 and on the second -- and
- 8 the second paragraph under "Khalid Shaikh Mohammad"?
- 9 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 10 Q. Said: Ali's uncle, Khalid Shaikh Mohammad, and Ramzi
- 11 Yousef agreed with the goal of attacking the United States because of
- 12 its support of Israel. Ali later stated that he, too, agreed with
- 13 the goal of attacking the United States because of its support for
- 14 Israel.
- 15 Is that consistent with the statement that I just read to
- 16 you?
- 17 A. It appears to be.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay. If we could go back to 101,
- 19 page 3, please.
- Q. And, again, in the -- underneath the "Destroying property
- 21 in violation of law of war," the second paragraph reads: You have
- 22 violated the law of war by supporting the Israel occupation of Arab
- 23 land in Palestine and Lebanon and for displacing 5 million

- 1 Palestinians outside their land. You have supported the oppressor
- 2 over the oppressed and the butcher over the victim.
- 3 Is that consistent with a statement that Mr. Ali made in the
- 4 LHM about justifying the attacks?
- 5 A. You're asking me to do reasoning by analogy. And forgive
- 6 me, but I wasn't read in as the expert to do intelligence analysis
- 7 work for you. This is really far afield from what I testified about,
- 8 memory and ----
- 9 Q. Sure.
- 10 A. --- finding corroborating memory. I just want to tell
- 11 the court, I was never retained to testify as an intelligence
- 12 analyst, but it feels like you're asking me to thread a needle for
- 13 you.
- I appreciate that and I can give my opinion and -- but
- 15 that's not ----
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. ---- really my ----
- 18 Q. Just ----
- 19 A. ---- role but ----
- 20 Q. Can you ----
- 21 A. The reason -- lots of people would agree with that
- 22 statement if that's their faith, right? We're seeing protests around
- 23 the world right now with people acknowledging this; they're not all

- 1 terrorists. So yes, there's a similarity between the statements.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. But that has nothing to do with what I was testifying
- 4 about with memory, false memory, versus statements that might be true
- 5 or not true.
- 6 Q. That ----
- 7 A. So I think you've misunderstood ----
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 A. --- both what I testified to.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. But I just want to be clear. You're asking me to do a
- 12 completely different kind of mental work right now, which is more in
- 13 my role when I was at the Agency doing analytic work, evaluating
- 14 things to see how much alike and similar they are and whether or not
- 15 it can support your thesis that this is a proof for -- for this
- 16 assertion, right? You're lining up your proofs that you hope will
- 17 support your claim.
- 18 And that's what I feel I'm in the process of doing right
- 19 now, but that's your job as the prosecutor, not mine ----
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. --- as a witness.
- Q. Sure. And my question to you was: Were those statements
- 23 consistent? To the extent that Mr. Ali indicated justification for

- 1 the September 11th attacks was because of the United States support
- 2 for Israel, is that consistent with the sentiment expressed there?
- 3 That's the question.
- A. It's consistent with many people's beliefs, but it is
- 5 consistent with his statement, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 7 And finally, on page 6, second paragraph: We asked to be
- 8 near God. We fight you and destroy you and terrorize you. The jihad
- 9 and God's cause is a great duty in our religion. We have news for
- 10 you. The news is you will be greatly defeated in Afghanistan and
- 11 Iraq, and America will fall, politically, militarily, and
- 12 economically. Your end is very near and your fall will be just as
- 13 the fall of the Towers on the blessed 9/11 day.
- 14 We will rise from the ruins, God willing. We will leave
- 15 this imprisonment with our noses raised high in dignity as the lion
- 16 emerges from his den. We shall pass over the blades of the sword
- 17 into the gates of Heaven.
- 18 Does this writing express a conditioned defeat to you?
- 19 A. This sounds like poetry. It's religious. It's
- 20 belief-related poetry, like biblical texts. You could read
- 21 statements like this in the Bible. You can read statements like this
- 22 from many people who are zealous in their faiths.
- I've heard Jim Mitchell comment on the need for Islam to go

- 1 away. There's just -- this is expressing a belief. I don't know
- 2 whose it is.
- 3 Q. It's -- but the belief is -- the author, I will represent
- 4 to you, one of the authors is Mr. Ali.
- 5 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection.
- A. I have no basis ----
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan, if -- if you see counsel stand
- 8 up ----
- 9 WIT: I didn't. I'm sorry.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: ---- to object, please stop testifying.
- 11 Ms. Pradhan?
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection. We're beyond the assumption
- 13 now, and counsel's just represented that the author was
- 14 Mr. al Baluchi, and that is not in the record anywhere.
- 15 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection -- yeah, if you can just watch
- 16 your phrasing, Mr. Groharing.
- 17 Objection sustained.
- 18 TC [MR. GROHARING]: All right.
- 19 Q. I will represent to you that the author of this
- 20 document -- one of the authors is Mr. Ali. You indicated ----
- 21 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Objection.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection sustained.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: We have this in evidence?

- 1 TC [MAJ DASTOOR]: No.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: It's in evidence.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: And just be careful of the hot mic,
- 4 Mr. Groharing.
- 5 Look, I know this is subject to a motion right now, whether
- 6 it's even going to be coming in at some point. If you want to test
- 7 the witness' -- the basis of his opinion, if you want to ask him if
- 8 this is true, does this show something, go for it.
- 9 TC [MR. GROHARING]: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 10 Q. Do you believe this statement would reflect conditioned
- 11 defeat?
- 12 A. It may reflect a fatalism that it's all up to the
- 13 hands of God, which is a religious acceptance of what happens
- 14 happens.
- 15 At the individual, personal level, we would -- we might call
- 16 it conditioned defeat in a person who's been tortured, but it'd be
- 17 difficult to say what this is compared to a psychological
- 18 understanding of a depressed person and a traumatized person.
- 19 It appears to be attributed to a number of people, so I have
- 20 no idea whose -- whose thinking ----
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. --- this would reflect, but...
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. And ----
- 2 Q. And you noted that in the bottom of the document, it says
- 3 signed, The 9/11 Shura Council. And that's Khalid Shaikh Mohammad,
- 4 Ramzi Binalshibh, Walid Bin'Attash, Mustafa Ahmed al Hawsawi, and Ali
- 5 Abdul-Aziz Ali, right? That's what's on the bottom of the document?
- A. It also appears to be a statement meant for other people,
- 7 which means there's a -- there's an ideology or political purpose to
- 8 it. I mean...
- 9 Q. Okay. Can you please pull up the next document.
- 10 TC [MR. GROHARING]: And this is the last document, Your
- 11 Honor.
- 12 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: And one moment, I'll give you the
- 14 appellate exhibit.
- 15 Your Honor, it's AE 628AA Attachment J.
- 16 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 17 Q. Okay. And this is another transcript of a conversation
- 18 between Mr. Ali and Mr. Ghailani.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Next page, please.
- Next page, please.
- Q. And if you read from the top, Mr. Ali asks Ghailani: Do
- 22 you want to hear my plea or you don't?
- Ghailani says: What?

- 1 Do you want to hear my plea guilty?
- 2 Ghailani: Do you have a problem?
- 3 Ammar: I do have a problem, you will use it against me
- 4 later.
- 5 Ammar: They will bring you to testify. They will tell you,
- 6 "We will let you out."
- 7 Ammar goes on: I consider the military operations in
- 8 New York and Washington on September 11th are of the best of my
- 9 deeds, that I will face God Almighty with on the day of judgment.
- 10 Therefore I am happy -- I am happy to. I am extremely very happy to
- 11 announce today in front of all people, those who are infidels and
- 12 those who are believers, that I participated in financing this
- 13 operation through transferring amounts of monies to the following:
- 14 The martyr Mohamed Atta.
- Do you know who Mohamed Atta is?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. Is your understanding he's the pilot hijacker for Flight
- 18 11?
- 19 A. I worked with the Department on Justice on a project
- 20 related to that issue.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 And Marwan al Shehhi, God have mercy on him. In order for
- 23 them to complete their studies and preparedness for the operation

- 1 and uh -- I received all these moneys from my mujahideen brother,
- 2 Khalid Shaikh Mohammad. And I was also the link. You know what the
- 3 link means? Between the leadership headquarters in each of Pakistan
- 4 and Afghanistan and Germany and the America. And I had the honor of
- 5 participating in preparing some of the mujahideen brother who carried
- 6 out these attacks by providing logistic support to them while they
- 7 were present in the Emirates before they traveled to the
- 8 United States. And it was the [sic] intention to follow to join them
- 9 and it was my intention to join the battalions of this blessed raid,
- 10 but unfortunately, I could not obtain an entry visa in
- 11 America -- entry visa to America.
- I carried out this work, and I pledged allegiance to the
- 13 mujahideen Sheikh, the Sheikh of the mujahideen, the great
- 14 mujahideen, Usama bin Laden, may God protect him, to give submission
- 15 and obedience in jihad and to commit jihad with total conviction in
- 16 religious Shariah about the necessity to commit jihad and fight you
- 17 wherever you are in this world until you leave our holy lands in the
- 18 land of the two noble holy mosques; and until you stop you siege in
- 19 killing Muslims in Iraq; and until you stop ----
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Next page, please.
- Q. ---- your support to the state of terrorist Zionism that
- 22 is killing our Muslim brothers in Palestine and Lebanon and is
- 23 desecrating the Agsa mosque and occupying the Arab and Muslim lands.

- 1 So if you consider my work in jihad against you on 11th of September
- 2 to be terrorism or a crime, then I, with much pride, honor, and
- 3 dignity, announce it to all people that I am a terrorist and a
- 4 first-class criminal.
- 5 Ammar continues: And I wish that I would be killed by you
- 6 so I can win Heaven and you would be in hell. And I tell you what
- 7 God ordered me -- I tell you what God ordered me to say to you. Say
- 8 that we will not be subjected but to only what God has predestined
- 9 for us; he is our lord, and on God, the believers will go forward.
- 10 This announcement comes voluntarily from me, without being forced or
- 11 pressured, or promise of an agreement with any side. God is great
- 12 and may the prophet of the believers be honored.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Next page, please. You can take that one
- 14 down.
- 15 A. If you -- could I ask you to go back to the line that
- 16 started right before you began reading?
- 17 O. Sure.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Back to page 4, please.
- 19 A. The one prior.
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Page 3, please.
- 21 A. From a psychiatric standpoint, the lines that precede
- 22 where you started are very important.
- 23 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. Where it says: I do have a problem. You will use it
- 2 against me later. They will bring you to testify and they will tell
- 3 you we will let you out.
- 4 That reflects directly an attitude about the people of
- 5 government; that they're not -- they're going to lie. They're never
- 6 going to let him out.
- 7 He goes -- he laughs and says: They're going to bring you
- 8 to testify and they will let you out.
- 9 That sets the framework that reveals a mindset about the
- 10 FBI, the U.S. Government, and the -- the situation the person's in.
- 11 What follows psychiatrically, in my opinion, is you hear
- 12 someone's expression of announcement of faith. Mentally ill people
- 13 can do that. It could be an expression of martyrdom, a desire to die
- 14 and go to Heaven.
- But that is more important up front above it, in my mind,
- 16 because that frames the reference that lets you know -- you asked me,
- 17 does he trust them? That says he does not. And says no,
- 18 this -- this is a world that he believes he's going to be lied to.
- 19 Which is why I maintain this is a mentally ill person who knows he
- 20 doesn't have any other choices. If this is the way he can express
- 21 how he believes meaning will be found, that makes perfect sense.
- 22 But it -- it doesn't mean -- it doesn't mean he's freely
- 23 choosing what would be in his best interest. He knows his -- he

- 1 doesn't have those options.
- 2 Q. And you make that assessment without ever speaking to him?
- 3 A. I make that assessment -- why do you think someone would
- 4 laugh? You don't have to be a behavioral specialist to look at
- 5 that -- look at that dialogue that comes up ahead and then look at
- 6 that. It certainly puts the possibility front and center that
- 7 they're mocking the system, going, "You've got to be kidding.
- 8 They're not going to let him out."
- 9 Q. Doctor ----
- 10 A. He's making a joke.
- 11 Q. Okay. Doctor, is that same analysis that you just
- 12 provided, is that the same kind of rigor and analysis that you put
- 13 into all of the opinions that you've provided in this court today?
- A. No, I'm just pointing out something obvious, that you
- 15 don't need a degree ----
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. You don't need a degree to understand when someone is
- 18 laughing and saying what they think of the FBI. You don't like that
- 19 because that doesn't go with your position that he trusted them. I'm
- 20 saying he didn't trust anybody underneath his polite facade, but ----
- Q. It's that kind of rigor that you brought to these
- 22 proceedings and to all of your opinions. Is that fair?
- 23 A. That's actually preposterous. You know full well I've

- 1 identified the specific symptoms of PTSD, the criterion; I've laid
- 2 them out. I'm sorry if I annoyed you by pointing out something that
- 3 you didn't want to point out on here, but you're asking me my
- 4 opinion ----
- 5 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan, thank you for your testimony.
- 6 Mr. Groharing, move on to your next question.
- 7 TC [MR. GROHARING]: That's all very helpful, Dr. Morgan. I
- 8 appreciate your testimony.
- 9 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Let's take a ten-minute recess.
- 10 [The witness withdrew from the courtroom.]
- 11 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is in recess.
- 12 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1559, 08 May 2024.]
- 13 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1609, 08 May 2024.]
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is called to order.
- The parties are present. The accused are absent.
- 16 [The witness, Dr. Charles Alexander Morgan III, resumed the witness
- 17 stand.1
- 18 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan is on the witness stand.
- Ms. Pradhan, are you ready?
- 20 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Sorry. I was trying to not be right here
- 21 when you ----
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: That's fine.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Thank you.

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 Questions by the Assistant Defense Counsel [MS. PRADHAN]:

- 3 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Morgan.
- 4 A. Good afternoon.
- 5 Q. All right. I'd like to start by talking a little bit
- 6 about the timeline of your work with Mr. al Baluchi's team.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So you were asked by Mr. Groharing about your 2016
- 9 declaration, which was -- I think it was dated April 2016.
- 10 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: And that's in the record at AE 425NN.
- 11 Q. Do you recall that?
- 12 A. I do.
- Q. All right. And that declaration focuses on the impact of
- 14 stress on memory, broadly; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. That's your area of expertise -- one of them,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. ---- in which you were qualified as an expert before this
- 20 commission?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And, sir, could you tell me what -- the impact of
- 23 stress on memory, just recount for us what -- what studies that

- 1 expertise is based on?
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Objection, asked and answered.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, Ms. Pradhan, look, maybe go -- get to
- 4 the point on it. I ----
- 5 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Okay.
- 6 MJ [Col McCALL]: I have the transcript, so I -- I know the
- 7 different studies. If you -- but if you want to draw his attention
- 8 to a particular study, that's fine.
- 9 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Okay.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: But objection sustained.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: All right.
- Q. And that's based -- that 2016 declaration is based on your
- 13 extensive work in SERE school; is that correct?
- 14 A. SERE school and at the National Center for PTSD.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- Now, between that period when you submitted your declaration
- 17 in 2016 and March 2022 when you were called upon to conduct forensic
- 18 inspections, were you -- do you recall being very active in
- 19 Mr. al Baluchi's case between those years?
- 20 A. I wasn't, no. I -- I was busy teaching at the university.
- Q. And then in the lead-up to the writing and the drafting
- 22 and submission of your second declaration, which was in January 2024,
- 23 you reviewed a number of documents. Do you recall?

- 1 A. I did.
- 2 Q. Okay. And among those were medical reports?
- 3 A. They were.
- 4 Q. Conducted by independent experts?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. In the medical reports that you reviewed, do you recall
- 7 when those were completed?
- 8 A. There were a number. The ones by Dr. Xenakis I think were
- 9 2015 and 2016. Dr. Gur's for brain imaging, I believe, was 2019.
- 10 And I think Dr. Shea's was 2020, 2021. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Okay. And in what capacity or pursuant to what area of
- 12 expertise were you asked to inspect Camp VII and Echo II in 2022?
- 13 A. It was -- I was asked to consider aspects of our -- if one
- 14 is under a state of fear, would fear -- if fear conditioning was
- 15 occurring, what might be the cues? And if we think of context
- 16 conditioning, what would be the contextual cues and how would
- 17 I -- how would I think about that?
- 18 And so when I inspected the sites, that -- that was the
- 19 framework for how I was evaluating the spaces and the objects that
- 20 were in the spaces, in the same way that I would when we do a
- 21 research experiment, and we say we're going to study fear
- 22 conditioning and memory. Here are the spaces participants will be
- 23 in.

- 1 So I went there with an eye toward evaluating the
- 2 characteristics of the space.
- Q. All right. And you were asked by Mr. Groharing what you
- 4 reviewed in the process of drafting that supplemental declaration and
- 5 for your testimony today, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you've testified about reviewing the report written by
- 8 the CIA's Office of the Inspector General?
- 9 A. I did.
- 10 Q. A number of Dr. Jessen and Mitchell's white papers,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. I did.
- Q. A number of classified documents about the RDI program?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. A number of Mr. al Baluchi's previous medical records?
- 16 A. Yes, I reviewed those.
- 17 O. You testified about voluminous ----
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Your Honor ----
- 19 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Yes.
- 20 MJ [Col McCALL]: Mr. Groharing?
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: The question misstated the
- 22 evidence -- the testimony.
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: Give me more.

- 1 TC [MR. GROHARING]: He didn't testify about a number of
- 2 classified CIA documents that he reviewed.
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Ms. Pradhan?
- 4 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: I suppose I could be mistaken, but
- 5 Mr. -- I believe Dr. Morgan gave, you know, as -- we've been over
- 6 this a few times now about exactly what he reviewed, and he did say
- 7 that he reviewed classified documents, so...
- 8 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection overruled. Go ahead.
- 9 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: All right.
- 10 Q. Well, my last question was: You testified in response to
- 11 Mr. Groharing's questioning about voluminous courtroom testimony from
- 12 Drs. Jessen and Mitchell in 2020 and 2024 as well as from FBI agents.
- 13 Do you recall?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. All right. You also testified that you reviewed
- 16 photographs of all the black sites in which Mr. al Baluchi was held?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. Photographs taken by the team during your inspection of
- 19 Camp VII and Echo II?
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Sorry. Photographs taken by our legal team during your
- 22 inspection of Camp VII and Echo II?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. Did you base your conclusions in your second
- 2 declaration on those documents along with your previous -- along with
- 3 your accumulated expertise?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'd like to talk about uncontrollable stress,
- 6 Dr. Morgan.
- 7 Mr. Groharing asked you about the length of time that
- 8 Mr. al Baluchi was tortured and represented that it was three to four
- 9 days of EITs, enhanced interrogation techniques. Do you recall that?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. All right. Do you recall in your review of the OIG report
- 12 reviewing -- and during -- on direct examination reviewing a number
- of techniques that were approved for use with Mr. al Baluchi?
- 14 A. I do.
- Q. And there were a number of techniques that required
- 16 approval by CIA, right ----
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. ---- in order for use?
- Do you recall what those were?
- 20 A. I believe the ----
- TC [MR. GROHARING]: Objection, asked and answered.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: Objection, overruled.
- 23 A. I believe there were a couple of statements about the

- 1 degree to which one could be sleep deprived and also relevant to the
- 2 waterboarding. There were some concerns about the risk of heart
- 3 attack and things like that that were mentioned in the report.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall a list of other techniques that could
- 5 be used by the CIA without approval?
- A. I do. The -- they included there could be cold or heat
- 7 stress, there could be walling, there could be control of the body,
- 8 with the chin grasp, the belly slaps, stress positions. It was
- 9 someone's impression for a while, I guess, that broomsticks were to
- 10 be used behind the knees for a stress position. That has never been
- 11 a SERE technique, at least since the early 19 -- 1994, '95, to my
- 12 knowledge.
- Other techniques were being -- with restraint sort of
- 14 standing. In other words, the sleep deprivation was done by
- 15 requiring the person to be standing. And then water dousing was
- 16 another one of the stressors. Sound was a stressor. White noise,
- 17 stress. And then between light and dark, depending on the condition.
- 18 Q. All right. Dr. Morgan, based on your expertise in
- 19 interrogation and detention stress, would one incidence of mock
- 20 drowning on a tarp cause uncontrollable stress?
- 21 A. Yes, it would be. If a person did not ask for the
- 22 experience and was fearful, it would be -- it is an uncontrollable
- 23 stress experience. They don't have control over what's happening.

- 1 They cannot say when it should be started, how long the duration or
- 2 intensity of it should be, and when it would cease.
- 3 And those are the parameters that define uncontrollable
- 4 stress. And uncontrollable stress may be greater -- there are
- 5 degrees of magnitude of uncontrollable stress, but it would be -- it
- 6 would be scientifically uncontrollable stress.
- 7 Q. Would having a stick placed behind your knees causing
- 8 intense pain cause uncontrollable stress?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Does the fact that it was, in fact, not an approved
- 11 technique and the CIA did not approve it make any -- would that
- 12 change the level of uncontrollable stress in Mr. al Baluchi?
- 13 A. No. Whether it was approved or not approved
- 14 doesn't -- from a science standpoint doesn't make something
- 15 controllable or uncontrollable stress.
- Q. Would two and a half years of continuous sleep disruption
- 17 via 24/7 light and white noise cause uncontrollable stress?
- 18 A. That would be uncontrollable stress, yes.
- 19 Q. Would it matter to Mr. al Baluchi and the effect on
- 20 Mr. al Baluchi if the CIA ----
- A. Sorry.
- 22 Q. --- said that 24/7 light and white noise were not
- 23 enhanced techniques and, in fact, were not an interrogation technique

- 1 at all?
- 2 A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the first part. I was trying to
- 3 clear my ears. I'm sorry.
- Q. Sorry. Would it matter to the effect, the overall impact
- 5 on Mr. al Baluchi, if the CIA said that 24/7 light and white noise
- 6 for prolonged periods of time were not intended as an interrogation
- 7 technique?
- 8 A. It wouldn't matter about the impact of it. It is
- 9 uncontrollable stress. It doesn't -- the intention as to why it was
- 10 being done doesn't matter. We know that prolonged light exposure or
- 11 dark exposure or fluctuation in sound exposure are detrimental to
- 12 nonhuman animals and human animals.
- And when I say that, I mean their elevations of cortisol,
- 14 depressions of the immune system, increase of gastric ulcers and
- 15 increased cognitive difficulty in processing information.
- Q. Now, Dr. Morgan, you were asked by Mr. Groharing if you
- 17 had reviewed any records that indicated that the shackling caused
- 18 Mr. al Baluchi stress at the black sites. Do you recall that?
- 19 A. I do.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: May I have use of the document camera,
- 21 sir? This is not for display to the gallery.
- 22 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Go ahead.
- Q. I'd like to show you a document that's in the record at

- 1 AE 200MM Attachment E. I know it's a little bit difficult to read,
- 2 and I apologize for that. It's the quality of our -- quality of the
- 3 scan, I think.
- 4 But this is an unclassified statement by Mr. al Baluchi
- 5 written, as you'll see at the top, December 1st, 2015. And it says:
- 6 In black site -- self-redacted -- there the U.S. Government had my
- 7 both hands tied together by tight handcuffs for about 120 days
- 8 straight.
- 9 When my torturers decided to move me to black
- 10 site -- self-redacted -- they had to cut the handcuffs by bolt cutter
- 11 because the handcuffs got so rusted that wouldn't open with keys.
- 12 During that time, half of my body, either left side or right, would
- 13 go numb because I couldn't move my arms away from each other.
- 14 Until this day, I'm suffering from the symptoms, along with
- 15 multiple neurological pain all over my body, as handcuffs and
- 16 restraints are still being used on me on daily basis, at least once
- 17 every 24 hours, as a reminder to my mind of what happened in the past
- 18 and to my body to reignite.
- Does that provide you with additional detail to assess the
- 20 impact of uncontrollable stress on Mr. al Baluchi?
- 21 A. It does. With nonhuman animals, we would call that
- 22 prolonged restraint stress. The inability to -- inability to move
- 23 for great periods of time was extraordinarily stressful for animals

- 1 in the laboratory. It's stressful for humans as well. And this
- 2 would be a remarkable period of time, if it was 120 days, for someone
- 3 to have restraint stress.
- 4 It is the kind of experience that's also been described by
- 5 other victims of torture. They've talked about the swelling in the
- 6 limbs and then pain afterward. And it's -- it's very consistent with
- 7 what could become a conditioned stimulus to be in the -- a position
- 8 of being handcuffed again. And body pain would also be a cued
- 9 reminder of the torture.
- 10 Q. All right. I'd like to show you a document that's in the
- 11 record at the same place.
- 12 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: AE 200MM Attachment C.
- 13 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Thank you, sir. And, again, this is not
- 15 for display to the gallery at this time.
- 16 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 17 O. And I'd like to direct your attention to about halfway
- 18 down the page, beginning, "Now back to suspension."
- 19 It states: Now back to suspension, I wasn't just
- 20 being -- excuse me -- suspended to the ceiling. I was naked,
- 21 starved, dehydrated, cold, hooded, verbally threatened, in pain from
- 22 the beating and water drowning, as my head smashed by hitting against
- 23 the wall for dozens and dozens of times.

- 1 Let me stop there and ask if that is consistent with what
- 2 you read in the CIA OIG report describing, by the CIA, the
- 3 descriptions of Mr. al Baluchi's EITs?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Continuing: My ears were exploding from the blasting harsh
- 7 music which is still stuck in my head.
- 8 Is that statement, Dr. Morgan, consistent with what you know
- 9 of the impact of acoustic stressors?
- 10 A. It's one of them. Excuse me. Studies on acoustic stress,
- 11 just white noise. In healthy humans, white -- uncontrollable stress,
- 12 such as white noise, for a period of ten hours will produce symptoms
- 13 of depression and mental confusion and anxiety.
- 14 The -- the prolonged experience of hearing white noise might
- 15 even suggest that he sustained some hearing damage. It would depend
- 16 on whether it's ringing in his ears.
- 17 If there is no damage to his ears neurologically, then this
- 18 would be what we would call a reexperiencing symptom in PTSD, which
- 19 can be very vivid in a person's mind. It can even take on the form
- 20 of an auditory flashback where a person actually reexperiences,
- 21 literally, the sound in their ears of what they've been exposed to.
- 22 But, yeah, noise stress is extraordinarily debilitating to people.
- Q. All right. And I'll come back to noise stress in a

- 1 minute, but I want to move a little bit further down the page to this
- 2 line, where he states: I was shaking and trembling. My legs barely
- 3 supported my weight as my hands were pulled even higher above my head
- 4 after I complained that the handcuffs were so tight as if cutting
- 5 through my wrist.
- Then my legs start to -- I believe that word is "swell" as a
- 7 result of long suspension. I started screaming and the doctor came
- 8 with a tape measure, wrapped it around my leg. And to my utmost
- 9 shock, the doctor told the interrogators, "No, that wasn't enough"
- 10 and my leg should get more swollen.
- 11 So my first question is: Does that description of the use
- 12 of shackles provide you with more detail about the impact of stress
- on Mr. al Baluchi during this period of EITs?
- 14 A. Yes. To be suspended in that way and waiting for leg
- 15 swelling to occur. Leg swelling occurs because we have peripheral
- 16 edema. It means there's not enough muscle movement to milk to fluid.
- So when our arteries are pulsating and blood is going to our
- 18 extremities, a degree of fluid and nutrients are moving out from the
- 19 blood into the tissue. And then our lymphatic system moves that
- 20 fluid back into the venous system that returns the blood to the
- 21 heart.
- Just as some people find when they've been on an airplane
- 23 too long, their feet swell. Well, when someone's hanging in that

- 1 position, the blood is draining, really, from their upper extremities
- 2 and the -- the interstitial fluid is swelling in the lower
- 3 extremities. It's not able to be returned to the heart.
- And so that's the phenomenon he is describing, which means
- 5 there are several different things that then would be associated with
- 6 pain. The wrists, we have our ulnar, median, and radial nerves. And
- 7 with something pulling on the wrists, it would be very likely enough
- 8 to cause numbness and pain that could be permanent in the
- 9 extremities. And the same is true for -- in his legs and feet.
- 10 Q. And I'd like to direct your attention on -- on -- to the
- 11 very last -- last three lines, saying: The doctor came with a tape,
- 12 wrapped it around my leg, and said, "No, that wasn't enough."
- Now, you were asked by Mr. Groharing whether you were aware
- 14 that medical personnel at the black sites were assessing the
- 15 detainees during their periods of EITs. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. I do.
- Q. All right. Now, is this description consistent with your
- 18 understanding of what medical personnel were doing at the black sites
- 19 during the period of EITs?
- 20 A. It is. And that's what prompted a vigorous debate in the
- 21 American Psychiatric Association community and the American
- 22 Psychological Association community, because physicians were actively
- 23 participating in the process of people being tortured.

- 1 They might not have been doing it directly, but they were
- 2 signaling it could continue. And in the mind of the people who were
- 3 being tortured, then the doctor is no different. They're part of the
- 4 team of the people who conduct the torture.
- 5 So subsequently, it would be natural that a person would not
- 6 want to trust completely a physician because the physician would
- 7 represent an individual who gives the thumbs up or down as to whether
- 8 or not pain can continue, whether pain and suffering can continue.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 I'd like to call your attention to a document that's in the
- 11 record at AE 200MM Attachment G.
- 12 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: And, again, this is not for display to the
- 13 gallery, sir, at this time.
- 14 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Go ahead.
- 15 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: And I will zoom in a little bit. This is
- 16 a little small.
- 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can I have a
- 18 break?
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: Sure.
- 20 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Just five minutes.
- 21 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. That's fine.
- 22 [The witness withdrew from the courtroom.]
- 23 MJ [Col McCALL]: The commission is in recess.

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1629, 08 May 2024.]
- 2 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1635, 08 May 2024.]
- 3 MJ [Col McCALL]: Commission's called to order.
- The parties are present. The accused are absent.
- 5 [The witness, Dr. Charles Alexander Morgan III, resumed the witness
- 6 stand.]
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: Dr. Morgan's on the witness stand.
- 8 Go ahead, Ms. Pradhan.
- 9 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Thank you, sir.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: And just for planning purposes, I'm planning
- 11 on only going to 1700 tonight. So just -- when you get -- as we get
- 12 closer to that, if you're reaching a point that's a good stopping
- 13 point, let me know.
- 14 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Absolutely. No problem, sir.
- 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 Questions by the Assistant Defense Counsel [MS. PRADHAN]:
- 17 O. Dr. Morgan, I'd -- just for the record, I'd like to direct
- 18 your attention to a document that's in the record at AE 200MM
- 19 Attachment G. Let me know when you can see that. I believe that's
- 20 the entire document on one page.
- 21 Are you able to read that as it is?
- 22 A. I am.
- Q. All right. Great. So I'd like to direct your attention

- 1 to the first paragraph. About halfway through that paragraph, the
- 2 line beginning "This is when."
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. All right.
- This is when I knew others had been manipulating
- 7 the -- blank -- redacted -- interrogators. Redacted -- was another
- 8 place of complete darkness where I was naked, thirsty, starving, and
- 9 shackled while suspended from the ceiling and waiting for them to
- 10 come for me with more questions.
- Now, with regards to that sentence, is that consistent with
- 12 what you just read in that statement by Mr. al Baluchi?
- 13 A. It is.
- 14 Q. All right. And is the reference to complete darkness,
- 15 when -- you recall you were asked about, by Mr. Groharing on cross,
- 16 about the darkness at COBALT. Do you recall that?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Is that description, a place of complete darkness,
- 19 consistent with your review of the photographs of COBALT?
- 20 A. It is.
- Q. All right. The second paragraph: After the place of
- 22 darkness was the place of sterile white light. Here, they blazed
- 23 light that was bright and intense because of the sterile white of the

- 1 walls, floors, and ceilings.
- Now, is that description of sterile white light consistent
- 3 with what Mr. Groharing read you about Location Number 7, the second
- 4 place Mr. al Baluchi was held?
- 5 A. It is.
- Q. All right. And to continue: Here it felt as if I was
- 7 living in a refrigerator. Here I finally had clothes, short pants,
- 8 and a blanket, which was not enough to ward off the cold of this
- 9 place.
- Now, does that provide -- does that statement about the
- 11 temperature of Location Number 7 provide you with additional detail
- in your assessment of stress on Mr. al Baluchi?
- 13 A. It does. It would be what we call cold -- heat or cold
- 14 stress, moving the temperature of the room beyond the -- there's a
- 15 very narrow band for humans and on human animals, depending on what
- 16 kind of animal it is. But cold stress is quite significant, as is
- 17 heat stress.
- 18 It is a way of both activating the sympathetic nervous
- 19 system, which then disrupts sleep, shutting down the immune system,
- 20 which puts people at risk for infection and GI distress.
- 21 And as people become more and more cold, they also get more
- 22 drowsy and more confused. It's quite disorienting to people after a
- 23 while, and it changes sensation in the extremities as well.

- 1 So it's a very effective stressor when we want to create
- 2 stress in the laboratory to use either the cold presser test, which
- 3 is the mini version, keeping people's hands in ice water. And it's
- 4 very effective at producing significant changes in healthy people,
- 5 even in short periods of time.
- 6 When I say short, I mean up to 20 minutes, 15 minutes, if
- 7 people can keep their hands in the cold water that long. But it's a
- 8 powerful stressor.
- 9 Q. Do you recall that Mr. al Baluchi was held in Location
- 10 Number 7? Mr. Groharing made reference to September 2003, and that
- 11 he was held there for a minimum of four months.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing the photographs from
- 14 Location Number 7?
- 15 A. I do.
- Q. Do you recall where the air conditioning controls were in
- 17 his cell?
- 18 A. I believe they were outside, outside the cell.
- 19 Q. So he would not have had control over the temperature in
- 20 his cell; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right.
- 23 A. That's what would make the stress uncontrollable as well.

- 1 It -- there's -- there's no ability -- that's what it refers to,
- 2 the person has no ability to regulate the level or intensity or
- 3 duration of an aversive cue.
- Q. In the third paragraph there's a sentence beginning,
- 5 "There were constant threats." Do you see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. And the sentence reads: There are constant threats that I
- 8 would be returned to -- redacted -- and to the sterile white rooms.
- 9 Here in -- redacted -- the interrogators played a game of good
- 10 cop/bad cop. I spent my days and nights listening to the sounds of
- 11 others being tortured with the lights always on, not bright,
- 12 just -- not dim, just on.
- 13 My first question is: The third place in which
- 14 Mr. al Baluchi was held was Location Number 5 which was a
- 15 foreign -- foreign government controlled.
- However, with regards to the third sentence: There were
- 17 constant threats that I would be returned to -- redacted.
- 18 Is that consistent with the documents that you reviewed,
- 19 both in the OIG report and other documents, regarding
- 20 Mr. al Baluchi's debriefings?
- 21 A. It is. It's clear that he was given feedback that it was
- 22 always a possibility that things could change and he could return to
- 23 the previous highly aversive state.

- 1 Q. Next in the fourth paragraph: Another place of constant
- 2 light, light that I was told was to provide me with Vitamin D because
- 3 I had not seen the sun for a very long time.
- 4 Now, my first question is: Are you familiar with special
- 5 lights that can provide Vitamin D?
- 6 A. I am.
- 7 Q. Okay. And how are those used?
- 8 A. Those are used to both treat mood disorders in psychiatry,
- 9 but also helping treat the Vitamin D deficiency.
- 10 And he had rickets, according to his medical records, as a
- 11 child. So he is aware of that concept of why lights would be helpful
- 12 for Vitamin D. But that is one way of getting people enough exposure
- 13 to the right band of light that can let the body transform Vitamin D
- 14 into its appropriate downstream component.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the use of lights -- of these
- 16 special lights providing Vitamin D in lieu of any natural light?
- 17 A. In short doses for -- for people with mood disorders,
- 18 seasonal affective disorder, for people who live in very gloomy parts
- 19 of the world where the sun's not out, they will use them for certain
- 20 specified periods of time in the day.
- No one uses them continuously. For some people, there's a
- 22 risk of mania. There is -- it alters their circadian rhythm. Our
- 23 brain is very sensitive to the cycling of light to regulate our

- 1 internal chemistry, and this disrupts it. If it's prolonged light,
- 2 it disrupts the very internal chemistry that regulates our body and
- 3 brain.
- Q. And would that be a source of uncontrollable stress?
- 5 A. Definitely.
- Q. The last line of that paragraph: It was here that
- 7 manipulation of time was used to ensure that I never knew when to
- 8 pray, whether it was day or night or even what day it was on the
- 9 calendar.
- 10 And does that provide you more detail to assess
- 11 Mr. al -- the impact of stress on Mr. al Baluchi?
- 12 A. Well, in addition to light, cold, heat,
- 13 the -- disorientation occurs if we can't mark time. That's usually
- 14 why you see in people's prison cells they scratch the wall to try and
- 15 find some system to organize their thinking to demarc a period of
- 16 time from the next. And the more people are unable to do that, the
- 17 more confused, usually anxious, and more sort of cognitively impaired
- 18 they become. We've seen that from sensory-deprivation experiments.
- But it's completely consistent with the reports of other
- 20 people who have been tortured that when deprived of the sense of
- 21 time, it becomes extraordinarily disorienting. And many people, if
- 22 they can, they establish some mental routine to try and organize
- 23 themselves. So it's highly stressful.

- 1 Q. And is that, again, that description of constant
- 2 light -- I'll represent to you the fourth place Mr. al Baluchi was
- 3 held was Location Number 8, the photographs you've reviewed. Does
- 4 that description, another place of constant light, is that consistent
- 5 with your review both of the photographs and the descriptions of
- 6 Location Number 8?
- 7 A. Yes, it is. There were lights that
- 8 appeared able -- appeared to be capable of producing a great amount
- 9 of light.
- 10 Q. All right. The second-to-last paragraph: In many of the
- 11 places that I was held, I saw the same people who interrogated me.
- 12 And in other places new interrogators were present, but there were
- ones who always seemed to reappear at some point.
- Now, is that statement consistent with your review of the
- 15 documents regarding who was -- which interrogators were present at
- 16 different sites?
- 17 A. It is.
- 18 Q. And does that provide you more detail regarding your
- 19 previous testimony regarding people as potential cues for fear-based
- 20 memories?
- 21 A. Yes. A person who's associated with a traumatic event
- 22 who's then away for a while and comes back is a source, is a cue. I
- 23 know I use the terms a "cue" and a "stimulus." But in everyday

- 1 language, we'd say I remember that person was intimately involved,
- 2 responsible for doing something to me.
- 3 But in -- in science terminology, they become a conditioned
- 4 stimulus because their presence evokes in the mind of the person the
- 5 reminder of what could follow based on what they've experienced.
- 6 As in the Damasio chart, we -- there was a stimulus. Like I
- 7 drew the big red line, the presence of a cue rapidly moves the brain
- 8 to its fear and alarm systems, especially if it's associated with
- 9 danger.
- 10 And that appears to have been the purpose, design, and
- 11 function of that program as I understand it from Dr. Mitchell's
- 12 drawing and from his descriptions in the chapter that he and
- 13 Dr. Jessen wrote.
- 14 They intended to make conditioned fear through the use of
- 15 various means and then extend it by making sure people -- they could
- 16 mentally go back to that when re-exposed to cues so that they could
- 17 be manipulated.
- 18 Q. And would that cause uncontrollable stress?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. The last document on this point is you were
- 21 asked about the use of music at COBALT and whether you recalled the
- 22 description of loud music being played at COBALT. Do you recall
- 23 that?

- 1 A. I do recall that.
- 2 Q. All right. I'd like to show you a document that's in the
- 3 record at 200MM Attachment H.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: Go ahead.
- 5 Q. And I'll represent that this is another unclassified
- 6 statement by Mr. al Baluchi, with apologies for the language depicted
- 7 there in which he describes one of the songs that were played.
- And I'd like to start halfway down the first paragraph,
- 9 starting, "As if that wasn't enough."
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. All right. It states: As if that wasn't enough, I would
- 13 be taken to a room where I would be suspended from the ceiling, my
- 14 hands above my head. I was completely naked. It was very cold.
- 15 Even that wasn't enough for them. So they added the element of
- 16 blasting music 24/7 nonstop for months and months.
- 17 My first question for you is: Is that description of
- 18 blasting music 24/7 nonstop consistent with your understanding of the
- 19 conditions at COBALT?
- 20 A. It is.
- Q. All right. Continuing: The lyrics of the music were so
- 22 harsh. Among the many things that were going through my mind was the
- 23 conviction that I was about to be killed. It was just a matter of

- 1 when. I was counting every second, every minute, and on many
- 2 occasions I thought I was already dead. I had to go through an
- 3 effort every time, every moment, to know if I was dead or alive.
- 4 Until this day, those lyrics are stuck in my head.
- 5 So the first question I have for you is: Does this provide
- 6 you more detail with regards to the impact of noise and music on
- 7 Mr. al Baluchi?
- 8 A. Yes. It -- it is a conditioned stimulus that -- that then
- 9 can evoke a conditioned response. Loud noise is aversive. And in
- 10 healthy people who have not been tortured, loud noise can produce in
- 11 extended periods of time feelings of helplessness and depression.
- 12 People are working at understanding how that happens, but it
- 13 is the aversive nature of the sound and its volume and its constancy,
- 14 and it's highly disruptive to our ability to think and process
- 15 information.
- So his description is very consistent with the noise
- 17 exposure like that's done at SERE school to our own men and women who
- 18 often report that years later they can still remember all the lyrics
- 19 of the songs that were played when they were in isolation at SERE
- 20 school. It's a very effective stimulus that can be -- that can be
- 21 conditioned with noise and sound.
- Q. Thank you.
- ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Done with the document camera for now,

- 1 sir.
- 2 Q. Now, you were asked -- so, actually, just to complete
- 3 that. According to your review of the documents and your
- 4 understanding of Mr. al Baluchi's treatment throughout the course of
- 5 his time in CIA detention from early 2003 or mid-2003 through
- 6 September 2006, he was subjected to uncontrollable stress for much
- 7 longer than three days or two weeks; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, it is correct.
- 9 Q. All right. And you were asked by Mr. Groharing whether
- 10 Mr. al Baluchi -- it was a fairly specific question -- whether
- 11 Mr. al Baluchi was threatened with the use of EITs at Location
- 12 Number 7. Do you recall that?
- 13 A. I do.
- 14 Q. All right.
- 15 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: And here I just want to note for the
- 16 record, Your Honor, that my ability to redirect Dr. Morgan on this is
- 17 limited by the fact that the document in question is SECRET//NOFORN.
- 18 And so I could effectively rebut this, but I'm prevented from doing
- 19 so.
- And so it's one of those situations like we had with the
- 21 Camp VII commander where certain testimony is elicited in open
- 22 session and the ability to rebut is simply not available. And I can
- 23 give you the record cite for that and we will address it in closed

- 1 session.
- 2 MJ [Col McCALL]: Well, tell me this. I mean, I know in open
- 3 sessions we show Secret documents to witnesses and let them answer in
- 4 limited fashion, not getting into the subject matter.
- 5 But are you saying that you couldn't show him this document
- 6 that you have and say does that change your opinion or -- of whether
- 7 or not EITs were imposed on Mr. Ali at Camp VII?
- 8 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: I'm happy to do it that way in a very
- 9 summary fashion if that's acceptable to everyone.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: That works for me.
- 11 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: All right. This document is in the record
- 12 at AE 628SSS Attachment F, MEA-PRG-00000896.
- Q. And I'd like to direct your attention to the last full
- 14 paragraph. And I'll ask you to just review that and ask if that
- 15 refreshes your memory about whether or not he was specifically
- 16 threatened at Location Number 7.
- 17 [The witness reviewed the evidence.]
- 18 A. Yes. I've completed it.
- 19 Q. Thank you. Now, you were also specifically asked about
- 20 the difference between -- in distinguishing COBALT from the rest of
- 21 the sites in which Mr. al Baluchi was held, you were asked about the
- 22 aspect of darkness -- right? -- and the fact that COBALT was very
- 23 dark and whether Mr. al Baluchi was subjected to darkness after that.

- 1 Do you recall that?
- 2 A. I do.
- Q. All right. I'd like to show you a photograph which is in
- 4 much the same manner, sir.
- 5 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: This is a SECRET//NOFORN photograph. This
- 6 is displayable only to the parties and the commission.
- 7 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right.
- 8 Q. Can you see that?
- 9 A. I can.
- 10 Q. All right. Do you recall reviewing this photograph
- 11 previously?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. All right. And I'll represent to you that that is a
- 14 photograph from Location Number 9, also known as Site A, which is the
- 15 last location in which Mr. al Baluchi was held before his rendition
- 16 to Guantanamo Bay.
- 17 Do you recall that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. All right. Does that -- without going into detail, does
- 20 that refresh your memory about whether or not Mr. al Baluchi may have
- 21 been exposed to darkness after his time at COBALT?
- 22 A. It does.
- Q. Thank you. Now, you've previously testified that

- 1 uncontrollable stress causes fear-conditioned memories; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. It causes a number of things, but it creates a
- 6 fear-conditioned memory, yes.
- 7 Q. Is PTSD the only result of uncontrollable stress?
- 8 A. No. There's a range of outcomes from uncontrollable
- 9 stress.
- 10 PTSD is the most commonly discussed outcome for people who
- 11 have been subjected to uncontrollable stress. Major depression is a
- 12 comorbid disorder that goes with it. But other people who have
- 13 experienced deliberate uncontrollable stress, which we'll call
- 14 torture, the -- torture isn't the scientific term. We talk about
- 15 uncontrollable stress.
- Other outcomes are chronic pain syndromes, gastrointestinal
- 17 issues, headaches that may or may not look like migraines. They
- 18 frequently complain of pain, chronic pain, but pain that can't be
- 19 pinpointed to a specific part of the nervous system.
- 20 So sometimes it's more of a -- it appears to be a
- 21 psychosomatic sort of illness, that they have unexplained body aches
- 22 and pains and seem to be complaining about a lot.
- Those are the most typical things. Depending on the degree

- 1 of uncontrollable stress, mild traumatic brain injury is also very
- 2 common, depending on what people experienced in that stressor, or
- 3 difficulty walking if people have been severely beaten on their feet
- 4 or have been maintained in shackles.
- 5 From a psychiatric standpoint, it's -- it's largely
- 6 post-traumatic stress disorder, major depression, and anxiety not
- 7 otherwise specified, and -- and illnesses related to body symptoms
- 8 like pain and hypochondriasis.
- 9 Q. And can any of the other psychiatric conditions that you
- 10 identified there, other than PTSD, cause involuntary conditioned
- 11 responses?
- 12 A. Well, the conditioned responses are present in all of
- 13 them. And I think sometimes we don't know why some people go down
- 14 the major depression -- they show more symptoms of major depression
- 15 than some symptoms of the classical conditioning, but in all of them
- 16 they show conditioned responses.
- But what we -- the reason why we -- excuse me. The reason
- 18 why we know we can link them is because the deficits in the learning
- 19 of safety are common to the psychiatric disorders that emerge as a
- 20 result of torture and exposure to uncontrollable stress.
- 21 Q. So conditioned responses are not limited to only patients
- 22 who are diagnosed with PTSD; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: Sir, this is a good stopping point, if
- 3 that's all right.
- 4 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Perfect.
- 5 Dr. Morgan, thank you for your testimony today. It looks
- 6 like your testimony will go an extra day. So again, tonight please
- 7 don't discuss your testimony with anyone, to include counsel for any
- 8 of the parties.
- 9 WIT: I won't.
- 10 MJ [Col McCALL]: But at this time I'm going to go ahead and
- 11 excuse you and then we're going to recess.
- 12 We'll plan to start tomorrow, again, with -- continuing on
- 13 with the open testimony at 0900. And then at some point tomorrow I
- 14 anticipate we'll have closed testimony.
- 15 WIT: All right.
- 16 MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Thank you.
- 17 [The witness was warned, was excused, and withdrew from the
- 18 courtroom.]
- 19 MJ [Col McCALL]: Any housekeeping matters to take up?
- 20 And Ms. Pradhan, was there an AE for that picture?
- 21 ADC [MS. PRADHAN]: I'm so sorry, sir. Yes, there is. I
- 22 don't usually do that. It's AE 517 Attachment B. That was
- 23 MEA-10018-00003868.

1	MJ [Col McCALL]: All right. Terrific.
2	All right. I'll see everyone tomorrow at 0900
3	Commission's in recess.
4	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1658, 08 May 2024.]
5	[END OF PAGE]