- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0904,
- 2 7 November 2017.]
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. This commission is called to
- 4 order. For the government, all the same parties who were
- 5 present for the last session are again present, except that
- 6 General Martins has again joined us.
- 7 For the defense, Lieutenant Piette is present. Three
- 8 civilian counsel are still not present, and other detailed
- 9 available defense counsel apparently aren't present as well.
- 10 But the same party is present who was present for our last
- 11 session.
- 12 For any interested people in the back, our kind of
- 13 the road ahead today, we're going to start with just some
- 14 administrative notes in an open session. Then we're going to
- **15** break for ----
- 16 [Conferred with courtroom personnel.]
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- then we're going to break for -- I'm
- 18 sorry, there's some feedback somewhere in here.
- We're going to break for the al Darbi deposition.
- 20 That's a closed session. I think everybody knows that. By
- 21 all accounts, it's going to be pretty short. However, it
- 22 takes about 40, 45 minutes to close the session, and then it's
- 23 going to take another 30 to 40 minutes to reopen the session.

1 So my goal would be to -- what we'll probably do, 2 once we go out of this open session, we'll just break, and 3 I'll give you time to be back for the next open session after 4 everyone has a chance to move things around and have an early 5 lunch. So my guess is we'll be back on the record at 12:30, 6 after we close this open session. 7 Now, in regard to the way ahead after that, I assume 8 that Special Agent McFadden will be ready at 12:30. If that's 9 the case, we'll have him testify at 12:30. And then we'll 10 move to any 207 witnesses who are available, if any are 11 available, be it in person or by VTC. And I'll check with you 12 all, Government, to find out where we're at. 13 All right. I'm doing my best to multitask. I don't 14 know if you can hear the noise in the back, but it sounds like 15 it's me on another device playing in the courtroom when I 16 talk. 17 So for the weekend, kind of where we're at, there was 18 a filing from the defense that I'll talk about, 389K. 19 was an order from the commission, 389L, for the production of 20 two witnesses to assist with good cause on the record, because 21 counsel haven't been released yet, as I think I've made clear 22 multiple times. Then there was 389M, a government filing, in 23 relation to this issue as well.

I will give verbal findings of fact before we depart
here sometime either late this week or next week, but my

position remains the same; and that is that the defense team
has significant resources that they have chosen not to bring
to court, and they continue to choose not to bring to court by

6

16

not to provide.

choice.

- 7 Despite every effort that I have made to get 8 Mr. al Nashiri his learned counsel and the two detailed DoD 9 civilians, plus another military counsel who is cleared and 10 available, plus two more military lawyers who, while not 11 cleared, are available to do work, plus expert assistance, 12 mitigation assistance, and everything else I can do -- and 13 I'll continue to make that effort to ensure the defense, 14 particularly the client, gets the resources that he's got at 15 his disposal right now that the defense community continues
- And it certainly seems like it is voluntary,

 18 intentional, and a strategy. And I'm going to make sure that

 19 that is all reflected in the findings when I issue them on the

 20 record. But in the short term, we're moving forward in the

 21 way that I have discussed.
- Hopefully, objective observers recognize why. If you have an agenda, I can't fix it, so you'll continue to say

1 whatever you want to say about it. But this process is going 2 to go forward in noncapital matters and we're going to issue 3 an order as well about, apparently, a second learned counsel 4 who is heading our way so that we are kept apprised of that 5 But that doesn't release the first outside appointed status. learned counsel. He's still a party of record. If we have to 6 7 sever that relationship at some time for good cause shown on 8 the record, we'll talk about it, but it hasn't been done yet. 9 So after those orders and the 389K series were issued 10 and occurred, the government sent an e-mail asking if we were 11 going to go on Monday -- or if we could go on Monday is 12 probably more accurate. I sent an e-mail -- I didn't; my 13 staff did. They sent an e-mail to all the parties, as the 14 government had done, indicating that we were not going to go 15 on Monday because I'm going to continue to give the defense 16 team time, and that we're going to go on Tuesday, just like I 17 said last week. So here we are going through that process. 18 After that e-mail exchange, the government made a --19 the government -- the defense made a filing, 389K, where they 20 renewed the request for me to abate these proceedings. After 21 that filing came in, I directed my staff, particularly 22 Mr. Potter, the senior trial lawyer now for this case, to send 23 an e-mail to both parties and ask the government if we're

- 1 going to get a response to that filing. There's no
- 2 requirement they respond, so I was just curious on Saturday,
- 3 while I was working, if the government was going to respond --
- 4 or maybe it was Sunday. It was Sunday, sorry. It doesn't
- 5 matter. I can look down at my e-mail. It was Monday.
- 6 And we got -- the defense filed on Monday. The
- 7 government then we sent an e-mail on Monday, are you going to
- 8 respond? And we sent that e-mail out at 11:11 -- I'm sorry,
- **9** at 11:03.
- Soon after that the government responded by e-mail,
- 11 appropriately to all the parties, and they said yes, we're
- 12 going to respond. And we got that at 11:05, just a couple
- 13 minutes after.
- 14 At 11:11, again at my direction, Mr. Potter sent out
- 15 an e-mail and asked the government, again copying all the
- 16 parties, and just said a response today would be greatly
- 17 appreciated. And it was greatly appreciated. We got it at
- **18** 1800.
- 19 At 11:41, Mr. Potter got an e-mail from the defense
- 20 counsel, the detailed military defense counsel, with no copy
- 21 to the government, which is why I'm disclosing it because it
- 22 is an exparte contact to the commission, that copied Brandi
- 23 Janes and Michel Paradis. And the e-mail to Mr. Potter was,

- 1 "Good morning. I'm curious as to why the defense wasn't asked
- 2 if we wanted an opportunity to reply to the e-mail that the
- 3 government sent stating their position as to the way forward.
- 4 Is that e-mail going to be included on the record? I was
- 5 almost held in contempt for trying to do exactly what the
- **6** government has done here. Very respectfully, Lieutenant
- 7 Piette."
- **8** We received the government response. So now,
- 9 Government, you know of that contact. We received the
- 10 government response at 5:59 p.m. last night. And then another
- 11 e-mail came in, but this did copy both parties, from
- 12 Lieutenant Piette. It arrived at 7:31. And Lieutenant Piette
- 13 indicated his filing, 389K, "...wasn't a motion. It was just
- 14 meant to be the equivalent of the government's email stating
- 15 their position on the way forward. I only put it in a
- 16 pleading because I risk contempt by doing what the government
- **17** did."
- So the record is complete. The e-mails are in the
- 19 record.
- Lieutenant Piette, that's not why you were almost
- 21 held in contempt. And it's important to be accurate and to
- 22 have candor towards both the tribunal and not to engage in
- 23 ex parte contact.

1 You were almost held in contempt because I ordered 2 you to file a pleading; totally different than asking if 3 someone is going to file a pleading. And it was the first day 4 we were here, and the defense counsel's absence was obvious, 5 the learned counsel and two civilians. And I wanted the 6 defense's position on what they thought the way forward was in 7 a pleading. The government filed one; the defense chose not 8 to. 9 And so on our first day on the record, many of you 10 will remember, I asked pretty clearly to get the pleading 11 formally, and I made clear -- maybe it was my mistake at the 12 802 session and I might not have been clear. I think I was 13 clear, but we all make mistakes and maybe I wasn't very clear 14 in the 802. And I expected it to be in a filing, because 15 e-mails don't typically go in the record. We engage in 16 administrative e-mails amongst ourselves frequently through my 17 staff and both sides, and you're all welcome to do that. 18 The situation was different; we all know that. 19 Mr. Potter was trying to figure out if the government was 20 going to file when they didn't have to. They could have 21 chosen not to file. And then if they were going to file, I 22 think Mr. Potter expected it would probably show up in a 23 pleading, which it did.

1 I have attempted -- I think I have reasonably --2 there are certainly moments over the last three-plus years 3 that I wasn't as civil as I would like to have been, but I 4 certainly try every moment to treat all of the parties and all 5 of the participants with respect. And I'm going to continue 6 to do that, which is why I'm making the effort I am to get 7 Mr. al Nashiri's attorneys here. 8 But in responding to the staff, I do expect the same 9 civility and decorum we'd expect in any tribunal anywhere, be 10 it through the federal court system, a state court system, or any kind of Article I court, of which the numbers of those are 11 12 so many it's too obvious to talk about. We all know they 13 exist. Civility is important. 14 The record is clear why we had an issue last week. 15 Lieutenant Piette, you chose to issue a filing after the 16 order. I told you I appreciated that, but this was clearly a 17 different situation. And again, anyone who objectively reads the traffic will know that. If you're not objective and you 18 19 have an agenda, you'll take it as you will, and I can't help 20 that either. 21 I'm going to continue to move on, as I said. 22 we're going to go right through the process we've already

discussed. And that means in January, too, we're going to

23

- 1 continue with preadmission. My plan was to discuss with the
- 2 government and the defense if we needed to do preadmission for
- 3 a year, if there was a way to move this to trial faster than
- 4 that. But if the learned counsel refuses to show up, and it
- 5 takes time to get the next learned counsel here, we're going
- 6 to move through preadmission of real evidence in the short
- 7 term, while we continue to work the attorney issue. Because
- 8 this case -- a lot of money has been spent on the defense team
- **9** and resourcing.
- 10 Again, I recognize Lieutenant Piette's at the table
- 11 by himself. An objective observer knows that there are three
- 12 civilian counsel who should be there, at least another
- 13 military counsel who should be there, and two military counsel
- 14 who can be helping. But if you have an agenda, you will just
- 15 discuss the fact that it's Lieutenant Piette by himself.
- 16 That's fine. But it isn't. And the defense community needs
- 17 to work to get Mr. al Nashiri his attorneys down here to help
- 18 him. All right. Again, I'll do lots of findings as we move
- 19 forward.
- 20 Lieutenant Piette, I think it's Major -- is it
- 21 Robinson, the other defense attorney who's been cleared?
- DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 MJ [Col SPATH]: Has he met with Mr. al Nashiri yet?

1 DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor. 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: Is he on island? 3 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor. 4 MJ [Col SPATH]: Are any other detailed defense counsel on 5 island? 6 DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor. 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thank you. 8 I guess -- let me ask this: When did Major Robinson 9 arrive on island? 10 DDC [LT PIETTE]: 29 October. 11 MJ [Col SPATH]: I know one of the other detailed defense 12 counsel, not cleared yet, is Major Fewell. For the government 13 to the extent -- again, I know that there are some limitations 14 on security clearances and getting there. To the extent that 15 you can assist by just asking people if they can move this 16 forward -- she is a former senior defense counsel in the Air 17 Force; she has a significant amount of experience; she's been 18 a trial lawyer for a number of years in the Air Force. And 19 while I know she could do work on this case, we all know that 20 she can't meet with her client until she gets cleared, so to 21 the extent we can assist with that, I know we will. 22 But Major Robinson is here on island, as I believe

was the case. I wasn't sure. All right. So that's where we

23

- **1** are at today.
- I know for everyone in the back, I'm sorry, it's
- 3 going to be -- you were here for 20 minutes, or whatever it
- 4 was, and then we don't have you back here for a little while.
- 5 I can't help how long it takes to change it for a deposition
- 6 and then change it back to an open session. But to do that
- 7 will get us to 11:30, between the two movements of court
- 8 reporters and the like. And so what I am going to do is just
- 9 add our lunch break into that, and we'll come back at 12:30 in
- 10 an open session, and we'll start with Special Agent McFadden.
- 11 For everybody else, you need to remain here. We'll
- 12 get Mr. al Darbi in here for the cross-examination in the
- 13 closed deposition, and we'll move through that as well.
- 14 Trial Counsel, is Mr. McFadden going to be available
- **15** when we ----
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. And for the 207 witnesses -- I
- 18 know I indicated I thought some were traveling down -- do you
- 19 have an idea of the numbers who are coming or are here, where
- **20** we're at?
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Colonel Wells has been our travel
- 22 director.
- 23 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand.

- 1 MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, good morning.
- 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: [Pause] Good morning. Sorry. I was
- **3** drinking coffee.
- 4 MATC [COL WELLS]: We have a number of witnesses
- 5 attempting to arrive on the rotator today to be available to
- 6 testify at the commission's direction Wednesday, Thursday or
- 7 Friday or Saturday and Sunday as needed. Some of them have
- 8 missed their flights, and so we're trying to scramble to see
- **9** if we can get them on the Thursday rotator.
- 10 We may utilize the VTC, but I will say for the
- 11 commission I think that's extremely difficult when the
- 12 witnesses need to look at the evidence bags and handle them.
- 13 We're still coming up with a process on that to accommodate.
- 14 So, sir, we have planned three witnesses for
- 15 Wednesday, three witnesses for Thursday, two witnesses for
- **16** Friday.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: That works. And then you have some for
- 18 the following week or no?
- **19** MATC [COL WELLS]: Yes, sir.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay.
- 21 MATC [COL WELLS]: In response to Lieutenant Piette's
- 22 submission, which you addressed just recently, he did include
- 23 an expert opinion with that, so obviously that would be

- 1 appropriate for a filing to bring it to the commission's
- 2 attention and not an e-mail.
- 3 But you also directed that we attempt to produce
- 4 Mrs. Yaroshefsky and Ms. Olson-Gault, so we're making contact
- **5** with them. So we targeted for Monday was your direction.
- **6** Then on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday -- Tuesday
- 7 we have three witnesses. Wednesday we have three witnesses.
- 8 Thursday we have three witnesses. And Friday, the final day,
- 9 we have two witnesses.
- 10 MJ [Col SPATH]: And so with the two that we asked for
- 11 late, the ones related to the 389, if Monday doesn't work, we
- 12 can move them into the days of the week if need be. I was
- 13 just trying to give a target date, if need be.
- 14 MATC [COL WELLS]: Yes, sir. We'll keep you apprised.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay.
- **16** MATC [COL WELLS]: Thank you, sir.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: And then let the defense team, to include
- 18 learned counsel and the two DoD military counsel and Major
- 19 Robinson, and anyone else you want to copy on the defense
- 20 team, know the order of witnesses who you are going to be
- **21** calling for the evidence. Thank you.
- 22 MATC [COL WELLS]: Yes, sir.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: We will, Your Honor.

- 1 MJ [Col SPATH]: Lieutenant Piette.
- 2 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor. I just wanted to take
- 3 this opportunity, first, to apologize to the court, your
- 4 staff, and the government. I think I was confused and upset
- 5 and I let the stress get to me, and I absolutely shouldn't
- 6 have sent those e-mails in the manner I did. So I wanted to
- 7 apologize to the court for that, and that incivility will not
- 8 happen again.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: And I will tell you this. I appreciate
- 10 that, and I have been there, both as a defense counsel, as a
- 11 trial counsel, and as a trial judge. You are not the first,
- 12 believe me. And I have done it.
- 13 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 MJ [Col SPATH]: Even last week there are comments I made
- 15 on the record, as I read it, that I would like to go back and
- 16 draw through. We all can't -- I wish we could be a hundred
- 17 percent. I don't get that opportunity and neither do the rest
- **18** of us, so.
- **19** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 20 And I also wanted to put on the record, I think I
- 21 said this last week, but Major Robinson is here, he is
- 22 cleared: but because we don't have learned counsel here
- 23 present, for whatever reason, to advise and assist

1	Mr. al Nashiri in accepting a new counsel, we that's, you
2	know, just consistent with our position that we cannot act
3	because we're not qualified in manners like that. Thank you
4	Your Honor.
5	MJ [Col SPATH]: Thank you. All right. We'll get set
6	up for everyone in the back, we'll see you at 12:30. For
7	everybody here, we'll get in here as soon as we can with
8	al Darbi's deposition.
9	We're in recess. Thanks.
10	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 0925, 7 November 2017.]
11	[END OF PAGE]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	