- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1001, 31
- 2 October 2017.]
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: This commission is called to order.
- 4 Trial Counsel, Mr. Miller, let's account for the
- 5 government representatives and make any announcement regarding
- 6 the transmission of these proceedings.
- 7 TC [MR. MILLER]: Good morning, Your Honor. Present for
- 8 the prosecution are Brigadier General Mark Martins; myself,
- 9 Mark Miller; Colonel John Wells; and Major Michael Pierson.
- 10 In addition to detailed counsel, we have at the
- 11 counsel table Master Sergeant Vanessa Pichon, who is one of
- 12 our paralegals; Staff Sergeant Kevin Creel, again, a
- 13 paralegal; and our analyst, Parker Smith.
- 14 Additionally seated in the back, Your Honor, we have
- 15 Patrick O'Malley of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,
- 16 Joseph Castellano of the Federal Bureau Investigation, and
- 17 Supervisory Special Agent Amanda Strickland.
- These proceedings are being transmitted by
- 19 closed-circuit television to the locations authorized in your
- **20** order. Thank you.
- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: Thanks, Mr. Miller.
- 22 Lieutenant Piette, I see that learned counsel,
- 23 Mr. Kammen, and the two assistant defense counsel, Ms. Eliades

- 1 and Ms. Spears, are absent. Do you have any other members of
- 2 the defense team you need to account for on the record other
- 3 than yourself?
- 4 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor. Present for
- 5 Mr. al Nashiri are myself, Lieutenant Alaric Piette, JAG
- 6 Corps, United States Navy. I'm a lawyer within the meaning of
- 7 Article 27(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. In
- 8 addition, we have present Ms. Brandi Janes; Ms. Kristina Hon;
- 9 Tech Sergeant Travis Gale; Mr. Roosevelt Roy; and the
- 10 translator. Additionally present is Brigadier General John
- 11 Baker, United States Marine Corps; Colonel Wayne Aaron, United
- 12 States Army; and Mr. Phil Sundel.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: With regard to General Baker, Colonel
- 14 Aaron and Mr. Sundel, are they of record for Mr. al Nashiri?
- 15 DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor. They are -- Brigadier
- 16 General John Baker is the chief defense counsel.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand. Is he entering an
- 18 appearance for Mr. al Nashiri or not?
- **19** DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. And the same for the other two?
- 21 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thanks.
- Mr. al Nashiri, I'm going to talk to you about your

- 1 rights to be present and your right to waive your presence at
- 2 any hearing.
- You have the right to be present during all sessions
- 4 of a commission; this includes any contempt proceedings
- 5 against anyone. If you request to absent yourself from any
- 6 session, such absence must be voluntary and of your own free
- **7** will.
- **8** Your voluntary absence from any session of the
- 9 commission is an unequivocal waiver of your right to be
- 10 present during the session. Your absence from any session may
- 11 negatively affect the presentation of the defense in your
- 12 case. Your failure to meet with and cooperate with your
- 13 defense counsel may also negatively affect the presentation of
- 14 your case.
- 15 Under certain circumstances your attendance at a
- 16 session can be compelled regardless of your personal desire
- 17 not to be present. The proceedings today constitute one of
- 18 those occasions, as we are going to be discussing the
- 19 circumstances that have led to you being in court without your
- 20 outside appointed learned counsel, Mr. Kammen, and two other
- 21 members of your defense team.
- 22 Do you understand what I have explained to you so
- **23** far?

- 1 ACC [MR. AL NASHIRI]: [Indicated a positive response.]
- 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: That is a positive response from
- 3 Mr. al Nashiri.
- **4** During past sessions I know you have indicated you
- 5 did not desire for me to take breaks during the prayer times.
- 6 Is it still your preference not to take breaks during prayer
- 7 time?
- **8** ACC [MR. AL NASHIRI]: Yes. Yes.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: And that is again a positive response
- 10 from Mr. al Nashiri. Thank you.
- 11 So on Sunday afternoon we had an 802 session under
- 12 the Rules for Military Commissions. It was pretty short.
- 13 Detailed military counsel for Mr. al Nashiri was there, and
- 14 counsel for the prosecution were present as well. Mr. Kammen,
- 15 Ms. Spears, Ms. Eliades were absent, but for 802 sessions we
- 16 only need one counsel from either side anyway, so we went on
- **17** with the 802.
- 18 However, since they were absent, I informed the
- 19 parties we weren't going to convene on Monday as previously
- 20 scheduled, and I ordered parties to file pleadings with the
- 21 commission no later than noon on Monday. What I wanted from
- 22 both sides was their position on the way forward in light of
- 23 the absence of, again, appointed outside learned counsel.

- 1 That was the extent of the 802 session.
- 2 Trial Counsel, want to add anything to my summary of
- 3 the 802 session?
- 4 TC [MR. MILLER]: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
- **5** MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel?
- **6** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Defense concurs; nothing to add.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: So after that the government did provide
- 8 a filing -- they provided it before noon on yesterday -- which
- 9 I have been through. After the 802 on Sunday there was an
- 10 e-mail from detailed defense counsel indicating the way
- 11 forward was not to do anything because learned counsel isn't
- **12** here.
- 13 Through the attorney advisor that I have, we e-mailed
- 14 back to indicate if you are filing with the commission,
- 15 especially if the commission wants one, it should be in the
- 16 format required by the rules. We got another e-mail back
- 17 saying that the defense counsel wasn't going to do that.
- 18 Maybe I wasn't clear, so we will talk about that
- 19 later on what I expect counsel to do. We have a designated AE
- 20 for your response in the right format. We will talk about
- 21 that as we move on.
- 22 Yesterday afternoon General Baker requested to add a
- 23 supplement to one of his filings. We allowed him to do that.

- **1** We got that last night.
- 2 Additionally, at some point yesterday I know my staff
- 3 sent an e-mail out at my direction notifying the parties what
- 4 time we were going to start today, at 10:00, and that was
- 5 after I got the government filing; and then indicating I
- 6 wished to have the chief defense counsel, General Baker,
- 7 available to provide testimony. It appears he is available
- 8 because I see him in the courtroom as well.
- 9 I will enter some findings of fact so that we, again,
- 10 have an understanding of why we are here, where we are at.
- 11 These have been proven to at least a preponderance of the
- 12 evidence. These findings of fact are relevant both to the
- 13 expected testimony of the chief defense counsel and the
- 14 absence of appointed outside learned counsel and detailed
- 15 civilian defense counsel, who are employees of the Department
- 16 of Defense.
- 17 On 23 December 2008 Mr. Richard Kammen was appointed
- 18 as the accused's outside learned counsel.
- 19 On 25 August 2015 the chief defense counsel,
- 20 Brigadier General Baker, detailed Ms. Mary Spears to the
- 21 accused's case as an assistant defense counsel.
- 22 On 18 November 2015 General Baker detailed Ms. Rosa
- 23 Eliades to the accused's case as another assistant defense

- 1 counsel. 2 On 11 May 2017 the government filed AE 369T, a 3 classified pleading. It provided notice to the commission and 4 the defense of a potential intrusion into attorney-client 5 communications between an attorney not part of 6 Mr. al Nashiri's team and not representing Mr. al Nashiri and 7 a detainee other than the accused. The disclosure by the 8 government was made voluntarily. 9 On 14 June 2017 the chief defense counsel sent a 10 memorandum to the chief prosecutor. The memorandum was titled 11 "Improper Monitoring of Attorney-Client Meetings." 12 memorandum the chief defense counsel informed the chief 13 prosecutor he had advised all defense counsel under his 14 supervision that they not conduct any attorney-client meetings 15 at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba until they know with certainty that 16 improper monitoring of such meetings is not occurring. 17 On 23 June 2017 the defense filed Appellate
- intrusions into attorney-client communications. 21 On 7 July 2017 I denied the motion because I am 22 statutorily prohibited from ordering the disclosure of 23 classified information, which everybody knows.

Exhibit 369HH, a classified motion seeking to disclose

classified information to Mr. al Nashiri related to alleged

18

19

20

- 1 On 14 July 2017 the defense filed Appellate Exhibit
- 2 369PP, a motion to compel production of discovery materials
- 3 related to potential intrusions into attorney-client
- 4 communications.
- **5** On 20 September 2017 I issued Appellate
- 6 Exhibit 369YYY. It was a classified ruling denying the motion
- 7 after I reviewed all of the information submitted thus far on
- 8 the issue, both classified and unclassified, and I found the
- **9** defense failed to carry its burden of proof to warrant
- 10 additional disclosure.
- 11 On 14 July 2017 the defense filed Appellate
- 12 Exhibit 369RR, a motion for an evidentiary hearing or, in the
- 13 alternative, abatement of the proceedings due to potential
- 14 intrusions into attorney-client communications.
- 15 On 20 September 2017, again after consideration of
- 16 all the classified and unclassified filings and the in-court
- 17 representations and a classified declaration submitted by the
- 18 government, I issued Appellate Exhibit 369ZZZ. It's a
- 19 classified ruling denying the motion and finding there wasn't
- 20 any basis to find there had been an intrusion into
- 21 attorney-client communications between this accused and this
- 22 defense team.
- 23 On 14 August 2017 the defense filed Appellate Exhibit

- 1 369AAA. It was a motion to allow the accused to meet with his
- 2 counsel in the Expeditionary Legal Center rather than the
- 3 legal -- or rather than their usual meeting location.
- 4 On 25 October 2017 I denied Appellate Exhibit 369AAA
- 5 in Appellate Exhibit 3690000. In that ruling, I noted while
- 6 denying the motion, I certainly didn't object to the defense
- 7 utilizing the courtroom, or frankly any room, for
- 8 attorney-client meetings as that is something that they need
- 9 to work out with the confinement facility. It is not
- 10 something for me to be involved in in most instances, and I
- 11 have stayed out of it, as you all know, because I don't run
- 12 this facility. I would note there have been many meetings
- 13 here in the ELC between the defense counsel and their client
- 14 in this case.
- 15 On 4 October 2017 this commission issued Appellate
- 16 Exhibit 388, a docketing order setting forth the issues to be
- 17 addressed at this session. Some of the issues that we're
- 18 going to address include the issues related to the deposition
- 19 of Mr. al Darbi, the deposition of Mr. al Darbi, testimony of
- **20** witnesses that we have ordered in 327E, and testimony of
- 21 witnesses regarding the preadmission of evidence authorized in
- **22** 207C.
- 23 On 6 October 2017 Mr. Kammen, Ms. Eliades and

- 1 Ms. Spears submitted a request to the chief defense counsel to
- 2 withdraw from their representation of the accused. Their
- 3 justification relied in significant part upon the chief
- 4 defense counsel's 14 June memorandum and a 5 October 2017
- 5 ethics opinion from Professor Ellen Yaroshefsky.
- **6** On 11 October 2017 the chief defense counsel notified
- 7 Mr. Kammen, Ms. Eliades and Ms. Spears that he had accepted
- 8 their request to withdraw from representing the accused, and
- 9 he released them for good cause shown on the record.
- 10 On 13 October 2017 the defense provided notice to the
- 11 commission of this purported withdrawal.
- 12 On 16 October 2017 the detailed defense counsel filed
- 13 Appellate Exhibit 389, a motion to abate proceedings pending
- 14 the detailing of a new learned counsel. On the same day this
- 15 commission issued Appellate Exhibit 389A -- just a second to
- 16 find my way in my notes -- which reiterated that Mr. Kammen,
- 17 Ms. Eliades and Ms. Spears remain counsel of record in the
- 18 case; ordered Mr. Kammen, Ms. Eliades and Ms. Spears to appear
- 19 at the next scheduled hearing of this commission unless
- 20 excused by me or the commission, invited the chief defense
- 21 counsel to file pleadings as to his perceived authority to
- 22 unilaterally and unreviewably excuse counsel, and set a
- 23 compressed briefing schedule.

- 1 Between 20 October and 26 October 2017, the 2 government and the chief defense counsel filed pleadings 3 responsive to Appellate Exhibit 389A. 4 On 27 October 2017 this commission issued 389F 5 denying the abatement motion and specifically finding the 6 following: 7 One, no good cause exists to warrant the excusal of 8 Mr. Kammen, Ms. Eliades or Ms. Spears. 9 Two, no evidence has yet been presented to 10 demonstrate intrusions in this case affecting this accused 11 which would ethically require withdrawal or disqualification 12 of outside appointed learned counsel. 13 And three, the excusal of outside appointed learned 14 counsel at this stage would prejudice Mr. al Nashiri's due 15 process rights. 16 On the morning of 28 October 2017 the chief 17 prosecutor e-mailed the chief defense counsel attaching the commission's ruling in Appellate Exhibit 389F and requesting 18 19 the chief defense counsel notify the government and commission
- 21 attorney compliance with applicable law and regulation by

of the chief defense counsel's steps to ensure civilian

20

- **22** participation in the R.M.C. 802 conference scheduled for
- 23 Sunday at 1700 and then being present for the next session on

- 1 the record today, the 31st of October, Monday morning -- or
- 2 yesterday, sorry, the 30th of October, Monday morning.
- 3 On the afternoon of 28 October 2017 the chief
- 4 prosecutor again e-mailed the chief defense counsel asking for
- 5 acknowledgment of receipt, making clear that suitable
- 6 arrangements were in effect to facilitate travel and
- 7 providing: "I trust that you are considering the very real
- 8 disturbance and disorder to the military commissions
- 9 proceedings that will be caused if they do not appear by the
- 10 continuing impact of your purported excusal of them." The
- 11 chief defense counsel acknowledged receiving the e-mail on
- **12** 28 October 2017.
- 13 On 29 October 2017 at 0610 hours on the morning of
- 14 the scheduled departure to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the chief
- 15 defense counsel advised the chief prosecutor that he had been
- 16 informed that Mr. Kammen, Ms. Eliades and Ms. Spears do not
- 17 intend to travel to Guantanamo. That information was not
- 18 provided to the commission until after the charter flight had
- 19 landed at Guantanamo Bay.
- 20 On 29 October 2017, after we had arrived at
- 21 Guantanamo Bay, I conducted a session pursuant to the Rules
- 22 for Military Commission 802, and that is when I directed the
- 23 submission of the filings by 1200 on 30 October 2017

- 1 addressing the way forward that I discussed earlier.
- 2 As mentioned, detailed defense counsel submitted an
- **3** e-mail to my support staff by which he indicated the defense
- 4 position was that substantive proceedings cannot occur without
- 5 the presence of learned counsel. Through my staff, I reminded
- 6 the detailed defense counsel that I expected written pleadings
- 7 to be filed by the parties. And maybe I wasn't clear in the
- 8 802 session, so I will clear it up here in a little while.
- **9** Detailed defense counsel submitted a second e-mail.
- 10 In this e-mail he stated because learned counsel is not
- 11 present, the defense will not be making any new filings. I
- 12 would point out that the detailed defense counsel made a
- 13 filing in the case with just his signature on it where he
- 14 requested an abatement.
- So that's where we are at and how we got here thus
- **16** far.
- 17 So first a couple questions as we kind of work
- 18 through the road ahead. Obviously we are going to call
- 19 General Baker here, and I have some questions for him, and I
- 20 will turn it over to both sides if they have any questions for
- 21 him.
- One, I hope that the unclassified attachment, and I
- 23 believe it's -- let me find my note on that so I have it

- 1 right. You will know it right away; it is the unclassified 2 attachment wherein learned counsel -- it's Attachment A to the 3 original 389, where the learned counsel detailed to the ethics 4 advisor, who we will talk about as well, what his concerns 5 were. It is unclassified, and so I'm not going to ask your 6 client if you provided it to him, but I sure would hope you 7 provided it to your client so he understands, at least to the 8 point that is allowed, why we are here; along with an 9 unclassified decision or opinion by the ethics advisor. So 10 again, I'm not going to ask. That is up to you-all, but I 11 would do that. 12 The other piece is I would get familiar with 13 Strickland, and I would get familiar with learned counsel 14 being available to the extent practicable, because we are 15 moving forward this week. We are going to have a witness 16 testify on Thursday or Friday that came down on the flight, 17 and next week we are going to be moving through the al Darbi deposition issues and through the al Darbi cross-examination, 18 19 and then we are going to move into the other things that are 20 on the docket. 21 And I would suggest if anyone disagreed with my
  - UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

ruling on an abatement that they file a writ. We all know the

process here, and I don't have to explain it. But that

22

23

- 1 doesn't really get to some of the questions I need answered so
- 2 we can move forward. I see General Baker is here.
- 3 Trial Counsel, if you would swear General Baker in
- 4 and ask the initial questions.
- **5** [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel, Philip Sundel with the ----
- **6** MJ [Col SPATH]: Come on up here.
- 7 So you are not an attorney of record for
- 8 Mr. al Nashiri. So what is your position?
- 9 [MR. SUNDEL]: I am not, sir. I am Acting General Counsel
- 10 for the Military Commissions Defense Organization, and I'm
- 11 just here to inform the military commission that pursuant to
- 12 Rule 501(b)(1), the chief defense counsel is invoking
- 13 privilege and will not testify.
- 14 MJ [Col SPATH]: Well, he will come up and invoke
- 15 privilege to each question I ask and then I will rule on
- 16 whether or not that information is privileged or I'm going to
- 17 pierce it. And I have some questions where he has filed an
- 18 affidavit, clearly waiving any privilege that he might be
- 19 claiming, because he filed it to the commission.
- 20 [MR. SUNDEL]: Your Honor, under 501(b)(1) he is invoking
- 21 his right to not testify. The extent of the nonprivileged
- 22 information has already been provided to the court. He has
- 23 nothing to add.

- MJ [Col SPATH]: And again, he will be able to say he is not testifying to each question, just like we would do anyone invoking their Fifth Amendment right. He can say it to each question if he wants to. But I would suggest that y'all have an honest discussion with yourselves about what is privileged
- 6 and what is not when you file an affidavit and make yourself a
- 7 witness about factual matters.
- 8 There are questions about that that don't involve
- **9** discussions, don't involve attorney work product; they
- 10 involve: Did you do this? Pretty easy. Is your signature on
- 11 it? And I also have some direction for him.
- So he is going to come and get sworn in and testify.
- 13 You are welcome -- you can sit right there and you are welcome
- 14 to advise him if you disagree as we move forward. But I don't
- 15 plan to ask him anything that is even close to privileged
- **16** information. However ----
- 17 [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel, under 5 ----
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- is not representing the accused in
- 19 this case and he made himself a witness by issuing a ruling,
- 20 and a decision, to excuse counsel. And you know that.
- 21 [MR. SUNDEL]: Under 501(b)(1) ----
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: I appreciate you're going to keep saying
- **23** that.

- 1 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- he is refusing to be a witness. It is
- 2 not an invocation that needs to be made on the stand.
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: That is your interpretation ----
- 4 [MR. SUNDEL]: He is electing to refuse to be a witness.
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: That is your interpretation. Apparently
- 6 you all struggle with this. I get to interpret the law and I
- 7 get to rule.
- **8** [MR. SUNDEL]: Your Honor, if you ----
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: I get to interpret it. That is how it
- 10 works. And that is how it works anywhere. This isn't some
- 11 weird session, even down here, and I know you all do that.
- 12 This is a normal proceeding. And I am telling you that while
- 13 I appreciate what you are saying, he has filed, in this case,
- 14 making statements. That makes him different than someone who
- 15 has been quiet as a defense counsel. That makes him
- 16 different. He has chosen to make himself a witness in this
- 17 case. I didn't choose that.
- 18 [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel, if you find that he has improperly
- 19 invoked his right to not be a witness, then we will take an
- 20 appeal, and he will not act as a witness until the appeal is
- 21 decided.
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: That is not one of the options, as you
- 23 know.

1 [MR. SUNDEL]: We disagree. 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may go file a writ and you may 3 attempt to get a stay, if the court grants it. I'm very 4 amenable to the appellate courts. I've listened to them 5 frequently in my life and I have no problem. And that seems to be the difference. When I get ordered by a court to do 6 7 something, I just do it, and then I take what action I can. 8 So if you look down at this, what is your belief that 9 he can simply refuse an order to come up here and testify? 10 [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel, the harm of a privilege being 11 wrongfully pierced ----12 MJ [Col SPATH]: What is your authority? 13 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- is irrevocable, so he is entitled to 14 take an appeal from an order to testify despite a claim of 15 privilege, especially in open ----16 MJ [Col SPATH]: What is your authority for that? 17 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- court and subject to questioning by 18 parties. 19 MJ [Col SPATH]: What's your authority to do that? What's 20 your authority to that other than ----21 [MR. SUNDEL]: My authority to that ----22 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- other than the rule that you cited 23 multiple times?

1 [MR. SUNDEL]: My authority to that is the Model Rules of 2 Professional Responsibility that say that an attorney is 3 obligated to pursue vehicles to challenge an order to pierce a 4 privilege until the highest court has decided the issue or 5 refused to accept the issue. 6 MJ [Col SPATH]: And again, I haven't pierced any 7 privilege yet. You -- you are way ahead of the course ----8 [MR. SUNDEL]: Requiring him to be a witness after we have 9 invoked 501(b)(1), we believe, is the same thing. 10 MJ [Col SPATH]: What authority ----11 [MR. SUNDEL]: There is too great a risk ----12 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- do you have -- what case, what 13 authority, what citation, other than, again, pointing me to 14 the general rule, where I haven't asked him to pierce 15 privilege? I have told you -- and are you his defense 16 counsel? 17 [MR. SUNDEL]: I am the acting general counsel for ----18 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand that. 19 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- the Military Commissions Defense 20 Organization. 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: Are you his defense counsel? 22 [MR. SUNDEL]: This is an institutional claim. 23

MJ [Col SPATH]: And so if there is a privilege that I'm

piercing, I will be happy to talk to you about it, but I'm 1 2 not. I'm not. He filed things. What ----3 [MR. SUNDEL]: The risk ----4 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- basis -- what authority do you have 5 that you file something with the court and that privilege 6 isn't waived as to the information in the filing? In the 7 filing. 8 [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel, as long as we have not disclosed 9 privileged information in the filing ----10 MJ [Col SPATH]: Right. 11 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- then we have not pierced the 12 privilege. 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: I agree. But do you understand that --14 did you -- did you file this? That is not privileged. It is 15 yes or no. 16 [MR. SUNDEL]: That is the extent of the nonprivileged 17 information. There is nothing ----18 MJ [Col SPATH]: Again ----19 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- to add in addition to that. 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- you haven't let me ask a question. 21 You've assumed that I'm trying to pierce a privilege that I'm 22 not. You have also stepped into the mix of somebody who has 23 filed things both with the prosecutor -- he sent an e-mail to

- 1 the prosecutor. Did you send the e-mail? When you said 2 this -- right? You said this publicly; thereby, no privilege, 3 I mean ----4 [MR. SUNDEL]: Colonel ----5 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- you sent it to the chief prosecutor. 6 [MR. SUNDEL]: ---- the government constantly files 7 pleadings. That does not mean that no government information 8 is privileged. 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: You are correct. 10 [MR. SUNDEL]: The parties -- the parties do not waive a 11 privilege ----12 MJ [Col SPATH]: And the government lets me know 13 frequently when they are asserting privilege. And then we 14 have a discussion about what we are going to do about that. 15 And frequently, it doesn't get disclosed. 16 All I'm saying to you is, under 501(d), you really 17 think you can say he is not testifying about nonprivileged 18 matters, so you are going to refuse an order from the
- 20 [MR. SUNDEL]: Yes.

commission as well?

19

- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. Well, I'm going to have General
- 22 Baker refuse it, because you are not his lawyer. And I
- 23 appreciate your assertion.

1	CDC [BGen BAKER]: Your Honor, can we take about a
2	five-minute recess?
3	MJ [Col SPATH]: Sure. We are in recess.
4	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1028, 31 October 2017.]
5	[END OF PAGE]
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1042,
- 2 31 October 2017.]
- 3 MJ [Col SPATH]: The commission is called back to order.
- 4 The same parties who were present before are again present.
- 5 Mr. Sundel.
- **6** [MR. SUNDEL]: Philip Sundel again.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: Is it Colonel or Mr. Sundel?
- 8 [MR. SUNDEL]: No, no, no. It's Mister. I'm sorry.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: No, that's all right. I want to make
- 10 sure I hear you correctly.
- 11 [MR. SUNDEL]: We believe that ----
- 12 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand. Here's the issue: You're
- 13 not a party of record. There has been no filing from you
- 14 telling me that you want to appear. I don't know how you have
- 15 standing to be here, and so I can't help you right now. What
- 16 you are doing is getting yourself cross-wise where there is no
- 17 order for you to violate.
- 18 General Baker is ordered to come testify. If he
- 19 refuses the order, we will deal with it. You are not his
- 20 defense counsel, you are not Mr. al Nashiri's lawyer, and you
- 21 haven't entered an appearance; and so you have no right of
- 22 standing. So go take your seat.
- Trial Counsel, swear General Baker in and ask the

- **1** preliminary questions.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: General Baker.
- 3 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Sir, before we do that, can I offer a
- 4 potential way ahead?
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: Sure.
- 6 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I am very concerned about getting into
- 7 privileged material, and I'm also concerned about the
- 8 complexity of the area of law of privilege. I mean, we are
- 9 talking about ----
- **10** MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand that.
- 11 CDC [BGen BAKER]: So it -- it could be -- it could help
- 12 us, all of us, get there if I knew basically what you wanted
- 13 to ask so that we can figure -- you know, we can figure out
- **14** the way forward.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: You can take all the pauses you want.
- 16 And I don't even mind if you talk to the attorneys back there
- 17 if you have confusion or are worried about my questions. I
- 18 would hope over the time you have sat in this courtroom you
- 19 know that I recognize the importance of privilege ----
- 20 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Yes, sir.
- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- and recognize the importance of the
- 22 orderly administration of this.
- 23 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I do, sir. And I suggest ----

- **1** MJ [Col SPATH]: I appreciate that. And so I have the
- 2 same issue.
- 3 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I just ----
- 4 MJ [Col SPATH]: You are not an attorney of record.
- 5 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I get that. I just suggest that an
- 6 orderly process would be to know the subject areas that you
- 7 want to go so that we don't question, stop, 15-minute break,
- 8 question, stop.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand. I have worked on these
- 10 questions for quite some time, and I believe they are very
- 11 carefully crafted.
- 12 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Well, Your Honor, pursuant to Rule
- 13 501(b)(1), I am asserting my right to refuse to be a witness
- 14 in this case.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: You do understand that that means there's
- 16 an actual claim of privilege over the questions I'm asking
- 17 you, not an absolute right not to testify? Do you understand
- **18** that?
- 19 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I have -- and I will confess to having
- 20 done more than read the rule.
- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: Look above. What privilege are you
- 22 claiming?
- 23 CDC [BGen BAKER]: The deliberative process privilege,

- 1 which is a common law privilege; the government information
- 2 privilege under 506; the attorney-client privilege under 502;
- 3 the attorney work product privilege under common law; and,
- 4 additionally, my obligation under my state ethics rules to
- **5** protect confidential information under Rule 1.6.
- **6** Again, Your Honor, this is super complicated.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: This isn't. You have filed things here.
- **8** Here is the bottom line: You are ordered to come testify.
- 9 You can pause before you answer questions. You can assert a
- 10 privilege and tell me which one, not a blanket this one, this
- 11 one, this one, this. Each question, if you think there is a
- 12 privilege, you assert it, and then we will deal with whether
- 13 or not we get around it.
- 14 Your appellate counsel has said I have to wait until
- 15 the Supreme Court rules on it. That's silliness. That's not
- **16** how it works. I've ordered the disclosure of privileged
- 17 information right here. And I'm not suggesting I'm going to
- 18 do that, because I don't need privileged information to ask
- 19 you the questions I'm going to ask you and issue the order to
- 20 you I'm likely to issue.
- 21 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Your Honor, the -- you keep saying that
- 22 this filing makes me a witness. This is an averment of facts
- **23** that any ----

- **1** MJ [Col SPATH]: And you filed it with your name.
- 2 CDC [BGen BAKER]: -- in response to a request from you --
- **3** yes, absolutely.
- 4 MJ [Col SPATH]: With your name.
- 5 CDC [BGen BAKER]: And in any pleading that anybody files,
- 6 the lawyer that files that does not become a witness.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: You're not an attorney of record.
- 8 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Your Honor, this was filed in response
- **9** to your invitation.
- 10 MJ [Col SPATH]: If you wanted to. You also have sent
- 11 e-mails to General Martins ----
- 12 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Absolutely.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: ---- that have been attached. You also
- 14 have excused counsel. Not privileged there. Maybe some of
- 15 the discussion you had with those counsel, they may or may not
- 16 be privileged. That's a debate we could probably have. But I
- 17 don't care what your discussions were. I don't plan to ask
- 18 you about your discussion.
- I plan to ask about the affirmative acts you took in
- 20 this case that are public knowledge and have been reported
- 21 both in the press and here through e-mail. That is not
- 22 privileged. Those are acts you took affecting this case.
- 23 And again -- and I plan to issue you an order from

- 1 the commission. You can choose to accept it or not and go
- 2 from there, but we're not going to spend all day doing this.
- 3 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Your Honor, again, under Rule 501(b)(1)
- 4 I refuse to appear as a witness.
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. So, I'm ordering you to
- 6 testify. You are refusing to come up here, take the oath, and
- 7 testify; is that accurate?
- **8** CDC [BGen BAKER]: That is accurate; yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I'm also ordering you to
- 10 rescind the direction you gave when you excused both learned
- 11 outside -- appointed learned counsel and the two civilians.
- 12 Are you refusing to comply with that order as well? You
- 13 excused them; you released them.
- 14 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Yes, sir.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: I'm ordering you to send them a note
- 16 saying you are not releasing them. I can't order Mr. Kammen
- 17 here. I know that. I know you've got two DoD employees that
- 18 work for you. I know what their government contract says.
- 19 But that is your choice as their supervisory attorney, and
- 20 everybody can deal with that, including your supervisor.
- 21 My question to you is: I'm ordering you to send them
- 22 a memo telling them their withdrawal is not approved because
- 23 you don't have the authority.

- 1 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Oh, I'm definitely not going to ----
- **2** MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay.
- 3 CDC [BGen BAKER]: ---- I am definitely not -- Your Honor,
- 4 Rule 5-0 -- I understand your ruling. I understand your
- 5 ruling.
- 6 MJ [Col SPATH]: You don't, because you haven't done
- 7 anything to fix the ruling. How does this normally work? I
- 8 issue a ruling. You disagree with it -- or you all disagree
- 9 with it and we go to the appellate court and they tell me I'm
- 10 right or wrong. They do it every week. And I'm okay with it.
- 11 That is the normal process.
- 12 You interpreted a rule, and now there are two rulings
- 13 from this commission that tell you you got it wrong.
- 14 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Your Honor, if your -- if your order to
- 15 me is to -- I want to make sure that I understand what -- your
- 16 order to me. If your order to me is, General Baker, you must
- 17 rescind your action that you took on October 13th ----
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: Yes.
- 19 CDC [BGen BAKER]: ---- whatever the date -- whatever the
- 20 correct date is, excusing learned counsel and assistant
- 21 defense counsel, I refuse to follow that order.
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: And you are also refusing to testify.
- 23 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Yes, sir, pursuant to ----

1 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand you are citing a rule. Ι 2 have ordered you to come up here and testify and take the 3 oath. 4 Okav. We'll talk more tomorrow. 5 Lieutenant Piette, I probably wasn't clear in the 802 session, so I'm going to make it reasonably clear. I expect a 6 7 filing. You can write "I'm not going to answer," you can 8 write whatever you want, but it goes on a heading so that it 9 is part of a record of trial that is public as opposed to an e-mail to my staff. And maybe I didn't say that as clearly as 10 11 I should have at the 802 session. 12 So we have an AE number designated for your filing. 13 You have until 1600 today to issue a file -- or send a filing 14 in using that AE number in our 389 series. I can't be more 15 clear than that. Do you understand? 16 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, sir, I understand your order. 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. On Thursday -- we had a 18 witness travel down here. General Baker, the other, I think, 19 order -- again, you can certainly refuse to follow it -- is I 20 expect you to make arrangements for the two detailed military

civilian -- or detailed civilian counsel, since you are their

supervisor and they're employed by DoD, I expect you to

communicate to them the need to get themselves down here

21

22

23

- 1 posthaste.
- 2 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I understand your order.
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: Are you going to communicate that to
- 4 them?
- **5** CDC [BGen BAKER]: I need to think about that, Your Honor.
- 6 And ----
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: That's fine. Just let me know by 1600.
- 8 You can send an e-mail -- since you are not a party of record,
- 9 send an e-mail to the staff and let me know if you are
- 10 communicating it to them or you are not.
- 11 CDC [BGen BAKER]: Aye, sir. And if I communicate with
- 12 your staff, I will obviously copy the parties.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: I understand. Do you believe -- well,
- 14 you won't answer any question so we can't even satisfy whether
- 15 or not you have supervisory authority over Mr. Kammen, because
- 16 you have decided not to testify about administrative matters;
- 17 your choice.
- To the extent you believe you have supervisory
- 19 authority over Mr. Kammen, I expect you to communicate to him
- 20 we're moving forward, and that he would wisely probably also
- 21 make an effort to come here. But I understand I can't order
- 22 him to this island. There is nothing I can do about that.
- 23 CDC [BGen BAKER]: I understand, Your Honor.

- **1** MJ [Col SPATH]: Same e-mail by 1600. Just let us know if
- 2 you are going to comply.
- 3 All right. Tomorrow at noon we are going to be in
- 4 session briefly. I anticipate we are going to have a contempt
- **5** hearing.
- 6 And then Thursday, 0900, we are going to start with
- 7 the witness who traveled down here. And we will do some other
- 8 administrative matters Thursday and Friday.
- 9 Tuesday next week we'll start the cross-examination
- 10 of al Darbi in the closed deposition, and we will just see
- 11 where we are after that.
- 12 Trial Counsel, what do you want to talk about? And I
- 13 will give it to you too, Defense Counsel.
- 14 ATC [Maj PIERSON]: Your Honor, prior to proceeding with
- 15 the cross-examination, the government does believe we will
- 16 still need a hearing under M.R.E. 505(h) as to classified
- 17 information that may be used as part of the cross-examination.
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: Makes sense. We will do that on Monday.
- **19** ATC [Maj PIERSON]: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel? I feel sorry for the
- 21 position you have been put in.
- 22 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Roger that, Your Honor.
- Respectfully, and this is regarding the order you

- 1 gave to have a filing by 1600. And I want to give the court a
- 2 heads up that it is my position, it is the defense's position
- 3 that right now Mr. al Nashiri has the statutory right to
- 4 learned counsel at all ----
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: You can stop. It says to the extent
- 6 practicable. I've already interpreted the statute. I mean,
- 7 that's simple for me. To the extent practicable he can have
- 8 learned counsel on matters of capital litigation.
- **9** What I'm talking about is a filing telling me what
- 10 our proposed way ahead is now that he is not here. And what
- 11 I'm talking about is your ability to do cross-examinations,
- 12 which you have done before, direct examinations, which you
- 13 have done before, and pretrial information and motions, which
- 14 you have done before.
- 15 If I'm wrong, your client will get a windfall because
- 16 I have ordered us to move forward without learned counsel.
- 17 But if you refuse, you too, at noon tomorrow, will be here for
- **18** a contempt hearing.
- **19** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: It's that simple. I've already
- 21 interpreted, and there will be a ruling, based on the
- 22 government's filing, about the ability to have learned
- 23 counsel.

1 The chief defense counsel has decided that 2 Mr. al Nashiri does not need defense counsel here. That's his 3 choice. To the end -- in his filing, said it is not 4 practicable to get them here. Well, the law discusses just 5 that. It isn't practicable, and we are not going to wait 6 right now. 7 Hopefully, by the time we get to any findings case, 8 we will have learned counsel to assist you, or more counsel. 9 But we are going to continue to move forward. And if we need 10 to come back and redo some things, we've got all the time in 11 the world, as we've demonstrated for the last nine years. 12 So again, you are detailed counsel, and I have 13 interpreted the rule. So you can defy the order to be here; 14 you can sit here and do nothing. I would read Strickland and 15 some other cases where we have had defense counsel who feel 16 like you do, a judge's ruling was unfair and they didn't like 17 it so they didn't engage in an opening statement, closing 18 argument, crosses of witnesses, directs of witnesses, or 19 filing motions. And the appellate court said that is a 20 strategy. It's a strategy that may well work, but it didn't 21 work here, and they didn't find the counsel ineffective. 22 So that is your choice, and that is your issue. 23 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, I understand, Your Honor. And as

- 1 the only counsel in this room who has been detailed
- 2 specifically to defend Mr. al Nashiri, I aim to defend him.
- 3 And I cannot do that without a learned counsel because, by
- 4 statute, he has to have one.
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: We have already dealt with that.
- **6** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: The issue is resolved. You are welcome
- 8 to file a writ. You've got your chief appellate counsel here,
- 9 apparently, to make an appearance on the record to a case that
- 10 he's not detailed to. I would file a writ, and maybe the
- 11 C.M.C.R. will step in quickly, or maybe they won't. Maybe
- 12 three weeks from now they will step in and say, Spath, you got
- 13 it wrong again, like I have twice already. Sorry. And we
- 14 will come back and do it again.
- 15 But again, your order is easy. We will be here
- 16 Thursday -- we will be here at noon tomorrow and we will be
- 17 here Thursday with the government's witness, who flew down
- 18 here on an airplane. You can engage in the direct or you can
- 19 waive it affirmatively on the record. But again, I would read
- 20 those cases after Strickland, understand where we are at, and
- 21 understand that I find learned counsel are not practicable in
- 22 the near term, if ever, by the actions of General Baker.
- And again, maybe you have set your client up for

- 1 success if there is a conviction and the appeal will be a lot
- 2 easier for you.
- 3 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor, I understand. But just
- **4** as a ----
- **5** MJ [Col SPATH]: We're done.
- **6** DDC [LT PIETTE]: No.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: Thursday, they are calling a witness, and
- 8 we are getting underway.
- 9 DDC [LT PIETTE]: I understand, Your Honor, and I will be
- **10** here ----
- 11 MJ [Col SPATH]: Noon tomorrow.
- 12 DDC [LT PIETTE]: ---- but what I am not going to do is
- 13 make any more pleadings, because I see the slippery slope that
- 14 happens when I make a motion ----
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: So in response to the pleading, you are
- 16 not going to file one?
- **17** DDC [LT PIETTE]: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: You are going to defy my order?
- **19** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. Then noon tomorrow I will see the
- 21 three of you.
- 22 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Thank you.
- 23 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. We are in recess.

1	[The	R.M.C.	803	session	reces	sed	at	1059,	31	October	2017.]
2					[END	0F	PAG	E]			
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											