- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0900,
- 2 13 November 2017.]
- 3 MJ [Col SPATH]: The commission is called to order. For
- 4 the government all the parties who were present last are again
- 5 present. General Martins is not here again, and he was not
- 6 here for our last session.
- 7 And for the defense, the one counsel is present, the
- 8 detailed defense counsel. Learned counsel and the two
- 9 civilians continue to refuse to appear, despite a hearing that
- 10 had been scheduled for months and despite not having been
- 11 released because no good cause was shown on the record.
- 12 I'll again point out I reviewed all the classified
- 13 and unclassified evidence -- we'll talk about it in a little
- 14 while -- and no good cause was shown to excuse counsel. But
- 15 despite that General Baker purported to excuse them and also
- 16 refuses to fix what is an unlawful order.
- 17 Mr. al Nashiri is not here today.
- 18 Trial Counsel, do you have a witness to address that?
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Yes, Colonel Wells will be handling that
- 20 matter, sir.
- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thank you.
- 22 And are we transmitting the proceedings?
- TC [MR. MILLER]: We are, sir. And in addition there is

- 1 an additional FBI personnel in the gallery -- here today,
- 2 rather, Matthew Pezzulo. He has the necessary clearances,
- 3 sir.
- **4** MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thanks.
- 5 MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, the witness is the
- 6 assistant staff judge advocate who was previously called in
- 7 this case and who was sworn. Please take your seat.
- **8** Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may. Yes, I recognize him as the
- 10 person who testified last week about this.
- 11 MAJOR, U.S. Army, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
- 12 was previously sworn, and testified as follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 Questions by the Managing Assistant Trial Counsel [COL WELLS]:
- 15 Q. Major, I have handed you an exhibit. What is the
- **16** exhibit number?
- 17 A. This is Appellate Exhibit 375E.
- 18 MATC [COL WELLS]: Last week we handed an exhibit to you
- 19 which was designated 388, I believe. But, Your Honor, I've
- 20 talked with the court reporters and we should designate this
- 21 series under the 375 series. So the previous form we used in
- 22 Mr. Nashiri's absence should be designated as Appellate
- 23 Exhibit 375D, three pages, and we will make that

- 1 administrative change, with your permission.
- 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may. Thank you.
- 3 Q. This form is marked as Appellate Exhibit 375E. It is
- 4 three pages, do you have three pages?
- **5** A. I do have three pages.
- **6** Q. And did you meet with the accused this morning?
- 7 A. I did meet with the accused this morning.
- 8 Q. And did you use this form when you advised him of his
- 9 right to be here?
- **10** A. This is the form that I used.
- 11 Q. Can you tell us what happened, please.
- 12 A. So the interpreter and I met with Mr. al Nashiri and
- 13 he was in his cell. Introduced myself, advised him that he
- 14 had a commission this morning. He indicated that he knew that
- 15 he had a commission. I asked him if he would be attending.
- 16 He indicated he did not want to attend, he wanted a day off.
- 17 I told him, okay, I would read the statement of understanding.
- 18 I handed him the Arabic version and he followed along
- 19 as I read the English version to him. And when I finished
- 20 reading the statement of understanding, I asked him if he had
- 21 any questions. He indicated he had no questions.
- 22 And then he signed the English version and then
- 23 handed it to me and I signed the English version.

- 1 Q. On the second page of that exhibit, does your
- 2 signature appear?
- A. It does.
- 4 Q. And then there's another signature there. Whose
- **5** signature is that?
- **6** A. That is the accused's signature. I watched him sign
- 7 and date that form.
- **8** Q. And he did that in your presence?
- **9** A. He did that in my presence.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you have a belief that he understood what
- 11 you were saying?
- 12 A. It was my belief that he understood everything that I
- 13 advised him.
- **14** Q. Did you use an interpreter?
- 15 A. There was an interpreter there, and he didn't have
- 16 any questions for the interpreter; Mr. al Nashiri didn't. He
- 17 didn't have any questions for me.
- He asked me why do we always have to read the form.
- 19 And I told him because the judge ordered us to read the form
- 20 every single day, so that's why we have to read the form. He
- 21 indicated he understood what was in the form before I started
- 22 reading it.
- Q. Did he indicate -- again, if I missed this -- why he

- 1 did not want to attend today?
- A. He simply said he wanted a day off.
- **3** MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
- 4 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- 5 [Conferred with courtroom personnel.]
- 6 MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, I retrieved Appellate
- 7 Exhibit 375E, returned it to the court reporters, and now
- 8 they've passed it to you.
- **9** Sir, I have no further questions.
- **10** MJ [Col SPATH]: Thank you.
- **11** Defense Counsel, any questions?
- **12** DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I don't have any questions.
- 14 Thank you again for your testimony. You're excused.
- 15 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the witness stand.]
- 16 MJ [Col SPATH]: I find that Mr. al Nashiri has
- 17 voluntarily and knowingly waived his right to be present at
- 18 this pretrial proceeding today.
- I know we have a witness ready to go by VTC. Let me
- 20 just go through a few administrative notes from the weekend,
- **21** and then we will go from there.
- I've already commented on the absence of appointed
- 23 outside legal learned counsel who remains detailed to this

- 1 case, remains a part of this case, and has voluntarily
- 2 abandoned representing his client, despite multiple orders,
- 3 and the two DoD civilians.
- 4 You all should see two orders today. One relates to
- 5 the 045 series, Appellate Exhibit 045, and it is going to
- 6 rescind the need to give monthly updates. We've been through
- 7 59 of them. We don't have a trial scheduled as of yet, and so
- 8 there's no need to continue. And you'll see that today.
- **9** There's also an order forthcoming in the 120 series,
- 10 closing out 120. And if we find additional discovery related
- 11 to the Rendition Program, just submit it, of course, for
- 12 review; but we'll do it under a separate AE number. 120 is
- 13 finished. You won't have to give any more updates under that
- **14** series as well.
- 15 Soon I'm hoping to get a written order out to the
- 16 defense community to provide updates biweekly, twice a week,
- 17 on what they're doing to get appointed learned counsel added
- 18 to this case. I'm not releasing Mr. Kammen, but the defense
- 19 community has indicated they're adding another learned counsel
- 20 on top of the multiple counsels and experts that they already
- 21 have. And so we need to find out how that's coming along, and
- 22 we need to do so expeditiously.
- And again, I'm not ordering them to provide a second

- 1 learned counsel, that's their choice. But since they're doing
- 2 it, I want to know how they're doing it and when. So you
- 3 should see that written order, I hope before we get off
- 4 island.
- 5 For the government, I don't want to do this in
- 6 writing; I'm going to do it verbally. And it has to do with
- 7 the classified information in 389. And so to the extent
- 8 possible, I want the OCAs to declassify what they can. I want
- 9 you all to work with them. I know I can't order it. I know I
- 10 can't order it disclosed. But I am ordering you to work with
- 11 the OCAs to declassify, to the extent possible, information
- 12 related to this alleged intrusion, and, frankly, the complete
- 13 lack of evidence of intrusion in this case for this accused.
- And so if you could do that, Colonel Wells, or Major
- 15 Pierson.
- **16** ATC [Maj PIERSON]: Yes, Your Honor, acknowledged.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right, thank you. Colonel Wells, you
- 18 wanted to say something?
- 19 MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, we would like to caucus
- 20 here with the prosecution; however, I believe that specific
- 21 information is best to identify to the OCAs, so we will work
- 22 as a team here to identify that information.
- MJ [Col SPATH]: Yes.

- 1 MATC [COL WELLS]: All right. 2 MJ [Col SPATH]: And again, we can't make them do it; I 3 recognize that. But in the interest of transparency and, 4 frankly, what allegedly went on, it would be nice to tell 5 people, particularly in relation to this particular accused. 6 because that's the one I'm worried about. 7 MATC [COL WELLS]: Yes, sir. Thank you. 8 MJ [Col SPATH]: Additionally, 11 December, if you would 9 make a note on your calendar, there is a hearing scheduled
- 10 down here, Hadi currently. I don't know if that's going to go 11 or not. But what I'm hoping to do is add a couple days that 12 week for us to come down here and deal with the outstanding 13 issues related to absent counsel. And also the one witness 14 who has refused so far to appear and testify, we'll deal with 15 those issues as well if we don't resolve them this week.
- 16 But, I mean, everybody should recognize this. I'm 17 waiting for a federal district judge to let me know what my 18 authority is or isn't. And so I'm hoping we have some 19 resolution on that, both in Indiana and in D.C., so that I can 20 move forward, or not, in relation to three lawyers, frankly, 21 who remain voluntarily absent from their client, one of them
- 22 after a nine-year relationship with the client.
- 23 So again, if you would make a note, 11 December,

1	we're going to try to come down here for a day or two. If
2	<u>Hadi</u> is in session, we'll work around it; if <u>Hadi</u> is not in
3	session, we'll use the courtroom.
4	All right. One of the witnesses I know by VTC has
5	appeared in response to a request I made to the government to
6	get two witnesses who had provided some information related to
7	this issue of counsel. I see one of them is here by VTC.
8	Trial Counsel, could you identify the witness, swear
9	the witness in, and then I'll ask some questions.
10	ATC [Maj PIERSON]: Your Honor, Mr. Miller is going to
11	handle the direct examination. Just prior to that, as to the
12	second witness, we did want to provide an update to the
13	commission. The chief prosecutor signed a subpoena, and it is
14	going to be served this afternoon, in discussions with
15	Ms. Yaroshefsky's counsel. And the subpoena directs
16	Ms. Yaroshefsky to attend a session of the commission via VTC
17	at the Mark Center on 0900 on Friday, the 17th of November.
18	MJ [Col SPATH]: Thank you. All right. Mr. Miller?
19	TC [MR. MILLER]: Ma'am, would you stand up, please, and
20	raise your right hand.
21	[END OF PAGE]
22	
23	

- 1 EMILY OLSON-GAULT, civilian, was called as a witness for the
- 2 military commission, was sworn, and testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. MILLER]:
- **5** Q. Would you state your name for the record, please.
- **6** A. It's Emily Olson-Gault.
- 7 Q. All right. And where are you presently located?
- 8 A. I'm in Alexandria, Virginia.
- **9** Q. At the Mark Center?
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thank you.
- 14 Questions by the Military Judge [Col SPATH]:
- **15** Q. Ms. Olson-Gault, can you hear me?
- **16** A. Yes, I can hear you fine.
- 17 Q. Please take a seat. I'm sorry. Am I saying your
- **18** name correctly, Olson-Gault?
- **19** A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. I want to make sure. And I mean this, thank you very
- 21 much for agreeing to come in and testify and provide some
- 22 information about the issue that we're discussing. I know you
- 23 heard some comments about that a moment ago. I appreciate it

- 1 very much.
- 2 A. You're very welcome.
- Q. So let's get some background first, if you would.
- **4** A. Sure.
- **5** Q. What is your current job title or position?
- **6** A. So my official title is senior attorney to chief
- 7 counsel to director, which I don't use all of all the time,
- 8 but I am director and chief counsel of the American Bar
- **9** Association Death Penalty Representation Project.
- 10 Q. How long have you been in that job?
- 11 A. Well, I've been with the project for coming up on ten
- 12 years now. I've been in my current position for about two and
- **13** a half years.
- 14 Q. So prior to that, kind of the seven and a half years
- **15** or so ----
- **16** A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. ---- what were you doing at the ABA then?
- 18 A. I was still with the Death Penalty Representation
- 19 Project. I was a senior staff attorney before I was the
- 20 director.
- Q. And so for the two and a half years now that you're
- 22 in your current position, what, basically, does your job
- **23** entail?

- 1 A. It's a combination of management of all the project's
- 2 activities, directing our programmatic work, and also serving
- 3 as an expert on the standard of care for capital
- 4 representation. So sometimes it is working with agencies and
- 5 lawmakers who are trying to set up their own capital counsel
- 6 systems, sometimes it's training.
- 7 I do training of both capital defenders and pro bono
- 8 attorneys handling capital cases, and I also do a fair amount
- 9 of recruitment of pro bono counsel to take on capital cases,
- 10 and that's almost exclusively in the post-conviction context.
- 11 Q. So in this case, I know you were -- you were
- 12 contacted by somebody apparently to get an affidavit.
- **13** A. Yes.
- **14** Q. Do you remember who reached out to you?
- **15** A. So originally it was a member of my steering
- 16 committee, Eric Freedman, who is a professor at Hofstra Law
- 17 School. He's the reporter for the guidelines and he pretty
- 18 frequently contacts me when someone needs information about
- 19 the guidelines or an opinion on the guidelines. And he
- 20 reached out to me and said there was an attorney who needed an
- **21** opinion.
- **22** Q. Did they identify the attorney?
- 23 A. Yes. That was Michel Paradis.

- 1 Q. And what did they -- what did they ask you for?
- 2 A. I basically was asked to put together an affidavit
- 3 talking about the requirement to have qualified counsel; what
- 4 qualification requirements are under the guidelines; what the
- 5 training requirements are under the guidelines; and to talk a
- 6 bit about the need to have counsel at every stage of the
- 7 capital case, which is something that the guidelines discuss.
- 8 Q. Were you provided any information about what was
- **9** going on in this case?
- 10 A. Very minimal background. My -- all I was told was
- 11 that it was obviously a military commissions case, that there
- 12 had been learned counsel working on the case, that they had
- 13 withdrawn for ethical reasons -- I was not given the details
- 14 about what that was -- and that the remaining attorney on the
- 15 case was a younger lawyer who did not have any capital
- 16 litigation experience or training. And that was the extent of
- 17 what I was told.
- 18 Q. Okay. And the person who provided you that was
- **19** Michel Paradis?
- 20 A. Yes. Yes. That came from him.
- 21 Q. Okay. And other than that attorney, Mr. Paradis,
- 22 have you talked to any other attorneys connected with the
- 23 case?

- 1 A. I have not, no.
- 2 Q. I'm just curious: For the military commissions, are
- 3 you familiar with kind of what they are and what the process
- 4 is?
- 5 A. Vaguely, yes. It's certainly not my area of
- 6 expertise, but I have a general idea.
- 7 Q. Okay. The information on the military commissions, I
- 8 assume just what you've either read or seen publicly?
- **9** A. Yes, Your Honor. It's really just a -- what I've
- 10 seen publicly. I'm familiar with them to the extent that the
- 11 authorizing act does discuss the guidelines, and so to that
- 12 extent it's something that I've been professionally involved
- 13 with a tiny bit. But beyond that it's just what I've seen in
- 14 the news.
- 15 Q. And so for the case here related to Mr. al Nashiri,
- **16** are you familiar with the specifics of this case?
- 17 A. Very little. I'm aware that it has to do with the
- 18 USS COLE bombing. That is, I think, the extent of my
- 19 knowledge about it.
- Q. Are you aware of what stage we're at in the
- **21** proceedings?
- 22 A. Yes. I understand that you're at the pretrial stage.
- 23 Q. How about the procedural history involved?

- **1** A. That, I don't -- I don't know anything about.
- 2 Q. Okay. So let's talk about capital-qualified defense
- 3 counsel.
- **4** A. Sure.
- **5** Q. In your affidavit you discuss minimum requirements
- 6 for it. Just, in general, can you tell us what are those
- 7 requirements?
- 8 A. So the guidelines have kind of three very broad
- 9 categories of qualification standards, or kind of a three-part
- 10 qualification standard. The first, obviously you have to be
- 11 admitted to practice; the second is that you've demonstrated a
- 12 commitment to zealous advocacy and high-quality
- 13 representation; and the third is really that you have
- 14 fulfilled the training requirements of -- under the
- 15 guidelines.
- 16 So those training requirements are much more
- 17 extensive and go into detail about having completed a training
- 18 program specifically in defense of death penalty cases that
- 19 covers a number of substantive areas that are related to
- 20 capital defense.
- 21 Q. And for the guidelines -- and tell me -- I know you
- 22 will, but tell me if you don't agree. I assume we can all
- 23 agree that they're qualitative rather than quantitative?

- **1** A. The qualification standards, absolutely, that's
- 2 correct. That was a change that was made in the 2003
- **3** guidelines specifically.
- 4 Q. So at least -- again, I recognize the need for
- 5 learned counsel. Clearly it's part of our statute. We'll
- **6** talk about that.
- **7** A. Uh-huh.
- **8** Q. But fair -- is this hypothetical fair: A dedicated
- 9 defense counsel with training in criminal defense may -- and
- 10 "may" being the important word in that -- may perform better
- 11 than a capitally qualified counsel?
- 12 A. I think if that person has training in capital cases,
- 13 I think it depends on what you mean by capitally qualified
- 14 versus experience in criminal cases. But I think if the
- 15 person has training, certainly that may be.
- 16 Q. I guess I would -- recognizing that capitally
- 17 qualified counsel go through training, I think we can agree --
- 18 and again, I know you'll let me know if you don't ----
- **19** A. Uh-huh.
- Q. ---- there's different levels of competence even when
- 21 somebody is capitally qualified?
- 22 A. Certainly.
- Q. And so a really good criminal defense attorney might

- 1 bring some skills to the table that a capitally qualified
- 2 defense counsel doesn't have?
- 3 A. I certainly think that a very good criminal defense
- 4 attorney could have skills that a capital defense attorney
- 5 doesn't have, but they might not have the knowledge about the
- 6 specific capital aspects of the case that are necessary. And
- 7 that's why the guidelines talk about a capital-specific
- 8 training program that's required.
- 9 Q. That capital-specific training program, can you give
- 10 me an idea of what's involved in that?
- 11 A. Sure. So what we're talking about here, it's
- 12 often -- you know, I attend these frequently, these capital
- 13 training programs, probably two or three a year that I'm
- 14 involved with, often as one of the faculty members teaching
- 15 there.
- And we're talking about usually a multi-day
- 17 continuing legal education course that is going to talk
- 18 about -- I mean, sometimes they're specific to trial,
- 19 sometimes they're specific to post conviction; but if we are
- 20 talking about a Trial Level 1 here that talks about developing
- 21 relationships with your client, about mitigation, about
- 22 developing an integrated theory of the case, about jury
- 23 selection, about dealing with mental health experts, other

- 1 expert witnesses, forensic experts, things like that.
- 2 Q. Now, here -- I know -- and again, I recognize for the
- 3 affidavit you're provided information, of course, and then
- 4 prepare an affidavit. So I recognize that part of that is,
- 5 you know, you've got to rely on the information you're given.
- **6** Fair?
- 7 A. Right. Yes. Fair.
- **8** Q. So here in the affidavit I know you referred to
- 9 Lieutenant Piette as the sole counsel currently representing
- 10 Mr. al Nashiri. Hypothetically, if Mr. al Nashiri has seven
- 11 other detailed appointed defense counsel ----
- **12** A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. ---- how does that impact your affidavit?
- 14 A. If those counsel are working on his case and if one
- 15 or more of them is capitally qualified, then that would affect
- 16 my conclusions about whether he is receiving qualified counsel
- 17 under the guidelines. But that was -- again, my affidavit was
- 18 based on the information I was provided, which is that those
- 19 other lawyers were not working on his case.
- Q. And in this case the capitally qualified defense
- 21 counsel, are you aware that he was in an attorney-client
- 22 relationship with Mr. al Nashiri for nine years?
- 23 A. Yes, I think I did see that.

- 1 Q. Did anybody give you any information as to how many
- 2 hours he had invested in the case?
- A. No. Your Honor.
- 4 Q. I'm not even going to attempt public math. I know
- 5 the total amount he's been paid, so -- and I know the rate.
- 6 It's the federal rate; it was \$183 an hour.
- **7** A. Uh-huh.
- **8** Q. So I know it's almost \$2 million, so whatever that
- 9 translates into it. It sounds like a lot of hours have been
- 10 invested, fair? If those facts are true?
- 11 A. If those facts are true, yes, Your Honor.
- 12 Q. Are you aware that the learned counsel who is still
- 13 detailed to this case has filed literally hundreds of motions
- 14 in relation to this case?
- **15** A. I was not aware of that.
- 16 Q. In this case, are you aware of why capitally
- 17 qualified counsel refuses to be here?
- 18 A. My -- the only information I have is that there is
- 19 some ethical conflict that they have asserted. That is the
- **20** extent of my knowledge.
- Q. Are you aware that, in response to that, I ordered
- 22 them to continue to represent their client?
- A. Yes, I did see that. I think I saw that in the news.

- 1 Q. Yes, I think many people did, unfortunately, I
- 2 guess -- so here's a question about that: At least reviewing
- 3 the New York Bar rules or the Connecticut Bar rules or the
- 4 Indiana Bar rules, where he's from, as I got ready for this,
- 5 in the bar rules it indicates that even if you have good cause
- 6 not to represent your client, if a tribunal orders you to be
- 7 there, you still represent your client. I assume that's
- **8** pretty standard?
- **9** A. That is really outside of my area of expertise.
- **10** Q. I understand.
- 11 Now, in regard to the ABA Guidelines, fair that a
- 12 good part of it is focused on or discusses mitigation in
- **13** capital cases?
- **14** A. That's a very important part of it, yes.
- 15 Q. And in this case are you aware of whether Mr. Nashiri
- 16 has access to mitigation specialists and consultants?
- 17 A. I -- I'm not aware of whether or not he does.
- 18 Q. And if he does -- again, hypothetically -- I
- 19 recognize again you're kind of -- you get what information you
- 20 get from everybody, and I recognize that. But hypothetically,
- 21 if he's got multiple mitigation specialists and consultants
- 22 who, again, have invested hundreds of hours, that's an
- 23 important step to working with those guidelines, I assume?

- 1 A. It certainly is, to the extent that or in the sense
- 2 that the mitigation specialists are an integral part of the
- 3 defense team along with the lawyers under the guidelines.
- 4 Q. And so when they came to you for the affidavit, did
- 5 they let you know how many hours or numbers of mitigation
- 6 specialists or the effort they've made on the case thus far?
- 7 A. No, Your Honor.
- **8** Q. For the ABA Guidelines, do they contemplate
- 9 withdrawal or leaving a case by a capitally qualified defense
- 10 counsel?
- **11** A. There is a guideline -- there is a guideline in
- 12 the -- what I call the performance standards. Those are the
- 13 10 series of standards that talks about the duty to facilitate
- 14 the work of successor counsel. So in that sense they do
- 15 contemplate withdrawal and they talk about maintaining records
- 16 and not -- and cooperating, excuse me, with successor counsel.
- 17 So in that case they contemplate it.
- 18 Q. And for the guidelines, I assume they contemplate,
- 19 then, if you're going to withdraw and be permitted to
- 20 withdraw, there's going to be an effort to work with the
- 21 successor counsel?
- 22 A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. In a -- in a capital case -- and if it's outside your

- 1 area of expertise, it's fine.
- **2** A. Uh-huh.
- **3** Q. But do you have an opinion you can offer on how a
- 4 capitally qualified counsel should withdraw in a case where
- 5 they've been representing someone for nine years?
- $\mathbf{6}$ A. The -- in addition to what I already said, no, I
- 7 don't have anything beyond that. The guidelines simply
- **8** instruct the duty to maintain records and cooperate.
- **9** Q. Do you have an opinion on the risks to a client if a
- 10 capitally qualified counsel abandons their client after
- 11 multiple years of litigation?
- 12 A. Certainly there is a risk to the client any time you
- 13 have attorney abandonment, and the U.S. Supreme Court has
- 14 addressed that in a few different cases recently. I don't
- 15 have -- there's nothing specific in the guidelines that talks
- 16 about that. It's more affirmative, talking about the need to
- 17 affirmatively have counsel there rather than what happens if
- **18** they disappear.
- 19 Q. And I think we've covered this, but the best practice
- 20 for substitution of capitally qualified counsel -- I know in
- 21 your affidavit you talk about Bell, United States v. Bell.
- 22 A. Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 Q. I assume a best practice would be some kind of

- 1 turnover between the outgoing released learned counsel and the
- 2 incoming capitally qualified counsel?
- 3 A. I think that's right, yes.
- **4** Q. So here, of course, we've got the Military
- 5 Commissions Act, which -- you know, the statute that kind of
- 6 sets us up, as passed by Congress and signed by the President.
- 7 I think we watched the same news reports. I found out that we
- 8 were just made up, but okay.
- **9** Assuming that Congress and the President speak with
- 10 some knowledge and I have to follow it, as is my task, in
- 11 there they have this language about "to the extent
- 12 practicable," for a capitally qualified counsel. Are you
- 13 familiar with that in the Military Commissions Act?
- 14 A. I believe so. I'm not -- I'm certainly not an expert
- 15 on the Military Commissions Act. I know that there's language
- 16 in there that talks about as soon as practicable that counsel
- 17 should be appointed. If there's language "to the extent
- 18 practicable," I might have to review that. I'm not
- 19 specific -- familiar with that specific.
- Q. And so hypothetically -- I mean, again, if it says to
- 21 the greatest extent practicable an accused is to be
- 22 represented by learned counsel -- again, that's a
- 23 hypothetical.

- **1** A. Uh-huh.
- **2** Q. Any opinion on what that means?
- **3** A. I don't. That's really outside my expertise.
- **4** Q. I guess as a best practice, would you think that
- 5 outgoing counsel -- again, regardless of why, but would you
- 6 assume that outgoing counsel would make some effort to
- 7 introduce new counsel to their client?
- 8 A. I guess I -- under the guidelines that's not
- 9 something that's really discussed. And I do want to just
- 10 clarify, and you may not be specifically asking about the
- 11 guidelines. But the guidelines aren't intended to be best
- 12 practices, just to make a clarifying point there; that the
- 13 guidelines are really supposed to be the -- the minimum that
- 14 is required, which I think that there can be a pretty wide
- 15 gulf between the minimum requirements and a best practice.
- Now, in an ideal world, if we're talking about that
- 17 as a best practice, sure, you would want your outgoing
- 18 client -- or your counsel to be able to facilitate starting a
- 19 new relationship with new counsel.
- 20 Q. Now, understand -- and agreed. I think we talked
- 21 about that earlier, kind of the breadth of capability by
- 22 defense counsel. Cases like Strickland show us the courts
- 23 tolerate pretty poor performance even after a conviction.

- **1** Fair?
- 2 A. Under the <u>Strickland</u> standard you can have very poor
- 3 performance and the conviction or sentence sometimes is not
- 4 set aside. That is true.
- **5** Q. And believe me, I understand -- we all have feelings
- 6 about it. I -- as I say so often, I'm stuck with the law they
- 7 give me, as hopefully you'll appreciate when I work through
- 8 it.
- 9 All right. Let me see if counsel have any questions
- 10 for you. Hold on for just a second.
- 11 A. All right. Sure.
- 12 MJ [Col SPATH]: Trial Counsel -- or let me check.
- 13 Defense Counsel, do you have any questions? Please.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 Questions by the Detailed Defense Counsel [LT PIETTE]:
- **16** Q. All right. Good morning.
- **17** A. Good morning.
- 18 Q. So again, I'll do kind of similar to what the judge
- 19 did and pose sort of what would be considered as
- 20 hypotheticals.
- 21 So let's say you have, on a capital case, an attorney
- 22 who has no capital experience and has attended one capital
- 23 training program, let's say the National College of Criminal

- 1 Voir Dire in Boulder, Colorado. Is -- under the guidelines,
- 2 is that counsel qualified to make litigation decisions in a
- 3 capital case against a -- or on behalf of a capital accused?
- 4 A. In your hypothetical it's a voir dire training?
- **5** Q. Yes.
- **6** A. Then I would say no, because the training
- 7 requirements require a comprehensive capital training, and
- 8 voir dire is just one component of that.
- **9** Q. Okay. And so building on that, and let's say that
- 10 same attorney has tried a dozen trials, none of them involving
- 11 capital issues, and conducted 50 cross-examinations. Is that
- 12 counsel then qualified to make litigation decisions on behalf
- 13 of the capital accused?
- **14** A. Not under the guidelines, no.
- 15 Q. Okay. Say under the guidelines that attorney also
- 16 has -- in addition to everything I've said before, has
- 17 practiced, you know, hundreds of instances of laying
- 18 foundation or of challenging foundation or of just observing
- **19** foundation being laid. Does that attorney then -- is that
- 20 attorney then qualified, under the ABA guidelines, to make any
- 21 litigation decisions on behalf of a capital accused?
- 22 A. No, he is not.
- Q. What if that attorney, with all those qualifications,

- 1 also has four -- or let's say three other attorneys working
- 2 with him, none of whom have any capital experience and none of
- 3 whom have attended any capital litigation training? Is that
- 4 attorney, or any of those attorneys then qualified, under the
- 5 ABA guidelines, to make any litigation decisions on behalf of
- 6 a capital accused?
- 7 A. No, they are not.
- **8** Q. Now, what if those four attorneys also have a
- 9 mitigation specialist who is there to assist them and to
- 10 gather mitigation? Are those attorneys then qualified to make
- 11 litigation decisions on behalf of a capital accused?
- 12 A. No, they are not.
- 13 Q. Okay. And if they were -- if they were to make
- 14 litigation decisions and participate in the trial without a
- 15 learned counsel present, is it fair to say that they would be
- 16 failing to live up to the minimum requirements laid out by the
- 17 guidelines, the ABA guidelines, for a capital representation?
- 18 A. I think that's a fair statement, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And just to be clear, these ABA guidelines
- 20 exist to protect the rights of a capital accused, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 DDC [LT PIETTE]: All right. Thank you. I have no
- 23 further questions.

- **1** MJ [Col SPATH]: Trial Counsel?
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 3 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. MILLER]:
- **4** Q. Ma'am, have you ever tried a capital case?
- **5** A. At the trial level, no. I have been on
- 6 post-conviction capital teams.
- 7 Q. So the answer is you've never tried a capital case?
- **8** A. At the trial level, no, I have not.
- **9** Q. And what is it that you teach at these trial schools?
- 10 A. The ABA guidelines, the minimum requirements for
- 11 effective defense representation.
- 12 Q. I think you indicated that the -- in response to the
- 13 judge's question, that the best lawyer on the case might not
- 14 necessarily be the death penalty qualified counsel; is that
- 15 correct?
- **16** A. No, that wasn't what I intended to say.
- 17 Q. Is the learned counsel always the best person on the
- 18 case, best lawyer on the case?
- **19** A. I think it depends on how you define "best."
- 20 Q. All right. Well, would you agree that learned
- 21 counsel may not always be the cross-examiner on a defense
- **22** team?
- A. Again, it depends on how you define "best."

- 1 Q. "Best," the one who's the best at it. Fairly
- 2 straightforward concept.
- **3** A. If that lawyer does not have training in capital
- 4 cases, then he doesn't know what information to elicit, so in
- 5 that case I would not consider him to be the best lawyer on
- **6** the case to conduct the cross-examination.
- 7 Q. Do you think that it takes special capital training
- 8 to cross-examine a witness about, let's say, DNA evidence?
- **9** A. It might, yes.
- **10** Q. How?
- 11 A. It is one of the specific areas ----
- **12** Q. How?
- A. ---- that's one of the -- that's one of the specific
- 14 areas that you need to have training on, how DNA forensic
- 15 evidence should be used in capital cases.
- 16 Q. Lawyers talk about DNA in all types of cases, don't
- **17** they?
- 18 A. Certainly DNA comes up in different ----
- 19 Q. And a noncapital-trained lawyer would know how to
- 20 attack DNA evidence; isn't that correct?
- 21 A. I don't -- I'm not sure that they would or not. They
- **22** might.
- Q. And couldn't a noncapitally trained lawyer question a

- 1 witness in a capital case about the recovery of shell casings
- 2 at a murder scene?
- **3** A. I would say not if they are serving as lead counsel
- 4 in that case, no.
- **5** Q. I'm not asking about lead counsel, ma'am. I'm just
- 6 talking about a lawyer who's tried a number of cases to
- 7 question a police officer about the recovery of shell casings
- 8 at a crime scene. Do you need to be capitally trained to do
- 9 that?
- 10 A. You need to be part of a team that has a lawyer
- 11 directing it who is capitally trained so to direct what is
- 12 being elicited in that cross-examination.
- 13 Q. And what would the capital experience elicit? What
- 14 would that -- in what way could the capitally trained lawyer
- 15 help that person question a police officer about the recovery
- **16** of shell casings?
- 17 A. The idea is that there needs to be an integrated
- 18 theory, from start to finish, of your defense. There also
- 19 needs to be an awareness of the complex rules that are going
- 20 to come up to deal with waiver, evidentiary rulings, things
- 21 like that.
- 22 To the extent that someone is conducting a
- 23 cross-examination on any topic, whether it be shell casings or

- 1 mitigation, they need to have an understanding of that theory
- 2 of the case, they need to have an understanding of those
- 3 rules, they need to have an understanding of what is
- 4 happening, what may happen on appeal. And that's why we make
- 5 sure that everything is done as a team in the capital case.
- **6** Q. What about the ability of a witness to make an
- 7 identification based on the lighting at the time? Do you need
- 8 to be capitally trained to cross-examine the witness about
- 9 their ability to see?
- 10 A. I would have the exact same answer as to your
- **11** previous question.
- 12 Q. So you must cover all these things in the training
- 13 that you give?
- **14** A. Me personally? No, I don't talk ----
- 15 Q. The training that you put on.
- **16** A. ---- about those things.
- 17 Q. The training that you put on.
- 18 A. A comprehensive training program would cover things
- 19 like that, yes.
- Q. The ability to cross-examine a witness about the
- 21 lighting at a crime scene, you train on that?
- 22 A. Cross-examination ----
- **Q.** You train on that?

- **1** A. Cross-examination ---- me personally? No.
- 2 Q. All right. So it's your opinion that there are no
- 3 matters in a death penalty case that are noncapital in nature?
- 4 A. I think that's correct, yes.
- **5** Q. What about the ability to determine whether or not a
- 6 chain of custody was followed in a case?
- 7 A. Someone can have that ability, but that isn't a
- 8 noncapital aspect of it.
- **9** Q. Witness A picks up a piece of evidence, gives it to
- 10 the custodian of evidence who then puts it in the evidence
- 11 locker. Does it take any specialized capital training in
- 12 order to question that chain of custody?
- 13 A. Again, it's not that ----
- 14 Q. Yes or no, ma'am. Yes or no. Does it take any
- 15 specialized training to do that?
- **16** A. To ask the question? No. To do it properly? Yes.
- 17 Q. So in every other noncapital case in the
- 18 United States, lawyers are making mistakes asking those
- **19** questions?
- A. I'm not saying that every other case -- every other
- 21 case in the United States they're making mistakes. What I'm
- 22 saying is that the guidelines are very specific, that every
- 23 single task in a capital case is more complex and more

- 1 difficult and requires a higher standard of performance by
- 2 virtue of the fact that it is in a capital case.
- 3 Q. But we go back to the fact that sometimes the
- 4 noncapital lawyer may be the better cross-examiner, correct?
- 5 That lawyer may be the better arguer. That better -- that
- 6 lawyer may be the better researcher, correct?
- 7 A. And again, I'm not saying that they might not have
- 8 certain skills or abilities that are greater, but I would not
- 9 call them the better person for that if they don't have
- 10 capital training or experience.
- 11 Q. So it's your testimony here that the capital case
- 12 lawyer is -- or the capitally-trained lawyer has to do every
- 13 aspect of the case?
- **14** A. No, that's not what I'm saying.
- 15 Q. So you're suggesting that an experienced trial
- 16 lawyer, not capitally trained but assigned to a capital case,
- 17 needs to be counseled by learned counsel regarding whether a
- 18 photograph is a fair and accurate depiction of something?
- 19 A. I'm saying Guideline 10.4 makes lead counsel, who in
- 20 this context would be learned counsel, responsible for
- 21 everything that every member of the capital team does; and
- **22** that would fall within that, yes.
- Q. Now, you were contacted about this affidavit by an

- **1** Eric Freedman; is that correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- **3** Q. From Hofstra University?
- **4** A. Yes.
- **5** Q. Do you know if he knows Mrs. Yaroshefsky? Do you
- **6** know who I am talking about?
- 7 A. I do. I believe she is also a professor at Hofstra,
- 8 so I would guess so, but I don't know personally if he does or
- **9** not.
- 10 Q. All right. And when he contacted you, what did he
- 11 ask of you?
- 12 A. To provide information about the ABA Guidelines and
- 13 what they say about counsel qualifications and the need to
- 14 have counsel at every stage of the capital case.
- 15 Q. And did he provide you with any information as to
- **16** what the case -- the nature of the case?
- 17 A. He's told me what case it was for and gave me the
- 18 name of the attorney, as I was telling the judge earlier,
- 19 Michel Paradis.
- **20** Q. That's not a person on this case, is it?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, didn't you think it was important to find out?
- A. I knew that Mr. Paradis was filing something in U.S.

- 1 District Court on behalf of the accused in this case. That
- 2 was sufficient information for me.
- 3 Q. Did you bother to find out who the counsel of record
- 4 were in the case?
- **5** A. No, it wasn't relevant to my opinion.
- **6** Q. It wasn't relevant to your opinion to find out what
- 7 had -- actually had occurred in the case?
- 8 A. No, because the guidelines say what they say. And
- 9 that's all I was being asked. I was not being asked to opine
- 10 on anything that has happened in this case. I was simply
- 11 being asked to give an opinion about what the guidelines say
- **12** on this subject, which is what I did.
- 13 Q. But you made factual representations in your
- 14 affidavit, ma'am.
- **15** A. Only to the extent that they were -- only based on
- 16 the limited information that I had been provided.
- 17 Q. Right. And didn't you think it was important to find
- 18 out whether or not that information was accurate?
- 19 A. No, because I didn't make those factual
- 20 representations based on my own personal knowledge. I
- 21 explained what my understanding was and what ----
- Q. Well, no, that's not what you did. You indicated --
- 23 you didn't say that the information came from somebody else.

- 1 You said this is the way it was.
- 2 A. If you have a copy of my affidavit, I'm happy to look
- 3 at it. But I'm fairly certain I said "it is my understanding
- 4 that "if there were any factual representations made.
- **5** Q. Here's your representation to the court: It is my
- 6 understanding, correct, that Lieutenant Piette, sole counsel
- 7 currently representing Mr. al Nashiri, and that he has no
- 8 capital case experience.
- 9 Now, did you talk to Lieutenant Piette to confirm
- **10** that?
- **11** A. I did not, no.
- 12 Q. Did you talk to Mr. Kammen?
- 13 A. I did not. I've never spoken to him.
- **14** Q. Did you talk to Ms. Eliades?
- **15** A. I did not.
- **16** Q. Did you talk to Ms. Spears?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- 18 Q. Did you ever determine whether or not he was, in
- **19** fact, the sole attorney?
- 20 A. Again, no. It was only based on that limited
- 21 information.
- Q. All right. And you've learned today that the
- 23 information that you had was false?

- **1** A. I don't think I've learned that today, no.
- 2 Q. Well, you understand that there are other attorneys
- **3** assigned to the case, correct?
- **4** A. I don't understand that any other attorneys are
- 5 working on the case, which is what's relevant to the
- 6 guidelines.
- 7 Q. You said the sole counsel representing him. That
- 8 would be persons assigned to the case, correct, ma'am?
- **9** A. Not as far as the guidelines are concerned, no.
- 10 Q. All right. So you didn't talk to any of the other
- 11 persons, any of the other number of attorneys who are assigned
- 12 to the case, correct?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- 14 Q. Now that you know that there are other persons
- 15 assigned to the case, do you think you should file an amended
- **16** affidavit to be candid with the court?
- **17** A. No.
- **18** Q. No? Well ----
- 19 A. That doesn't change -- unless those people are
- 20 actively working on the case and are capitally qualified, it
- 21 doesn't change any of what I said.
- Q. What about the mitigation experts that they have?
- 23 Does that not change anything, ma'am?

- 1 A. That does not, no.
- 2 Q. Is this just sort of a form affidavit that you file
- **3** in a number of cases throughout the country?
- 4 A. No, this is -- I wrote this specifically based on the
- 5 subject matter, the specific subject matter that was requested
- 6 here, and the limited facts that I had been provided.
- 7 Q. Don't you think it's important, as a lawyer, to find
- 8 out all the facts, not just the limited facts that you're
- **9** provided?
- **10** A. Not as a subject matter expert on the guidelines;
- 11 that's not necessary and that's not my role, to determine the
- 12 underlying facts.
- 13 Q. Well, if he was, in fact, capitally qualified, you
- 14 wouldn't need to file an affidavit, correct? So wouldn't it
- 15 be important to find that fact out?
- 16 A. If he was, in fact, capitally qualified, then all of
- 17 my assertions about the guidelines remain true.
- 18 Q. There wouldn't be a need for an affidavit and it
- 19 would make your affidavit a little less important, correct?
- 20 A. I think it would, yes.
- Q. And as we're talking about the guidelines, the
- 22 guidelines are just one factor that courts consider, correct?
- A. It depends on where -- what you're talking about.

- **1** There are jurisdictions that have adopted them.
- **2** Q. All right.
- 3 A. But in other jurisdictions they're just instructive,
- 4 yes.
- **5** Q. In fact, that's what the United States Supreme Court
- 6 has said?
- 7 A. The U.S. Supreme Court has said that in the context
- 8 of a post-conviction claim looking at ineffective assistance
- **9** of counsel, yes.
- 10 Q. All right. And I didn't see that cited in your
- **11** affidavit, correct?
- 12 A. I believe <u>Wiggins v. Smith</u> is in there.
- 13 Q. I'm talking about <u>Bobby v. Van Hook</u>.
- 14 A. <u>Van Hook</u> merely repeated the language from <u>Wiggins</u>,
- 15 so no, I did not specifically cite back to Van Hook as well.
- **16** Q. And you didn't cite <u>Cullen v. Pinholster</u>, correct,
- 17 which again said that your guidelines are nothing more than
- 18 one factor to be considered, correct?
- **19** A. I did not cite Pinholster, I don't think so.
- Q. All right. In fact, you were trying to leave in your
- 21 affidavit the impression that courts generally accept these as
- **22** gospel.
- A. I don't think I ever used the word "gospel," no.

- 1 Q. But that's what you were trying to be -- the notion
- 2 that you were trying to leave with your affidavit, isn't it?
- **3** A. No, I don't think so at all. They are guides to
- 4 reasonable performance.
- **5** Q. You didn't cite these other cases, did you?
- **6** A. Again, those cases cite back to <u>Wiggins v. Smith</u>
- 7 which is the case that I cited.
- **8** Q. What about <u>Anderson v. Secretary Florida Department</u>
- 9 of Corrections where it states, "The Supreme Court has
- 10 explicitly rejected such an implication. In Van Hook the
- 11 court reversed the Sixth Circuit per curiam for erroneously
- 12 substituting the ABA Guidelines for local contemporary
- **13** professional norms."
- 14 A. Van Hook involved a very specific issue where the
- 15 court applied guidelines from 2003 to counsel performance that
- 16 happened in the early 1980s. What the court was upset about
- 17 there was that the Sixth Circuit had not even considered
- 18 whether those 2003 guidelines represented the prevailing
- 19 professional norms in the '80s. That's what happened in
- 20 Van Hook. It did not reject the idea that the guidelines are
- 21 guides to counsel performance.
- 22 Q. So Anderson was another case you failed to cite,
- 23 correct?

- 1 A. I did not cite it. That's correct.
- 2 Q. All right. And you failed to cite the fact that the
- 3 military justice system has specifically rejected your
- 4 guidelines, correct, in United States v. Loving, and then
- 5 repeated again in United States v. Witt.
- **6** A. Well, my understanding is that that is a separate --
- 7 while this is all the military system, cases that proceed
- 8 under the UCMJ have, yes, rejected the idea of adopting the
- 9 guidelines. However, they have drawn the distinction -- and I
- 10 believe it's <u>U.S. v. Akbar</u> that drew the distinction between
- 11 that and the military commissions, where Congress has given
- 12 specific direction to, A, have learned counsel, and B, be
- 13 guided by the guidelines.
- **14** Q. And <u>Akbar</u> also rejected them, correct?
- **15** A. For UCMJ cases, yes.
- 16 Q. But you didn't cite those cases in your affidavit
- 17 either, correct?
- 18 A. They were not commissions cases, so I did not, no.
- 19 Q. You indicated that the guidelines now apply to
- 20 military proceedings by way of court-martial and military
- 21 commission tribunal or otherwise. But again, you failed to
- 22 cite Loving and Witt, correct?
- 23 A. That language you just quoted is from the guidelines

- 1 themselves, yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know of any reason why Lieutenant Piette could
- 3 not cross-examine a witness about evidence that was seized on
- 4 the USS COLE?
- **5** A. Under the guidelines, I know that if -- if my
- 6 understanding is correct and he is not part of a capital team
- 7 with learned counsel, then he's not qualified to do that under
- 8 the guidelines.
- **9** Q. In a nondeath-penalty case, could be do it?
- 10 A. I don't know, because I don't know in detail his
- 11 nondeath penalty qualifications.
- 12 Q. If he was able to do it in a nondeath-penalty case,
- 13 don't you think he could do it in a death-penalty case, ma'am?
- 14 A. No, that's specifically what -- the guidelines reject
- 15 that idea, that just because you can do it in a
- 16 nondeath-penalty case, you can do it in a death-penalty case.
- 17 Q. So there is a heightened -- let me understand this.
- 18 The ability to cross-examine a witness about basic
- 19 foundational issues is different in a death-penalty case than
- 20 it is in a nondeath-penalty case?
- 21 A. The guidelines say that every representation ----
- Q. I'm not asking about the guidelines. I'm just
- 23 talking about as a practical matter.

- 1 A. My expertise is on the guidelines. That's what I can
- 2 tell you about.
- 3 TC [MR. MILLER]: Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 MJ [Col SPATH]: Thanks. Defense Counsel, any follow-up?
- **5** DDC [LT PIETTE]: No need, Your Honor.
- 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 Questions by the Military Judge [Col SPATH]:
- **8** Q. Ms. Olson-Gault, just a couple follow-up questions
- 9 and then I think we can get you on your way.
- **10** A. All right.
- 11 Q. I think we both agree, the guidelines are that,
- 12 they're guidelines, not prescriptive?
- 13 A. That the ABA has no power to prescribe anything and
- 14 they were not intended as such, no.
- 15 Q. And then they are guidelines for minimal standards in
- **16** capital cases?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- 18 Q. Fair to say nothing in the guidelines contemplates a
- 19 hypothetical like learned counsel of nine years simply walks
- 20 out the door and refuses to come to court and represent his
- 21 client? Fair?
- 22 A. The guidelines really aren't about what counsel --
- 23 they don't contemplate that specific hypothetical, no. I will

- 1 say that. They are there to cover the -- to make sure that
- 2 the accused is receiving zealous representation. And so
- 3 they're designed to cover not just one or two hypotheticals.
- 4 Q. Right.
- **5** A. I think they're designed to cover any hypothetical.
- **6** Q. And certainly the guidelines aren't intended to give
- 7 learned counsel the ability to just stop litigation in capital
- 8 cases, making a trial impossible? That's not their goal?
- **9** A. Certainly not, no.
- 10 Q. Their goal, I assume, is to promote ethical,
- 11 competent, zealous lawyers in representing their clients?
- 12 A. Their goal is to ensure that the accused receives
- 13 that, yes.
- 14 Q. And at least here, again, we have this -- this
- 15 language in the Act where we're to have learned counsel to the
- 16 greatest extent practicable. Fair that the guidelines have a
- 17 different opinion on that? I mean, the guidelines, the
- 18 position would be you have to have learned counsel for
- **19** everything?
- 20 A. That is correct. That's what the guidelines say.
- 21 Q. Cases like <u>Van Hook</u> -- and I recognize you did cite a
- 22 case in there that I read, but following up with Van Hook,
- 23 Van Hook does make clear the guidelines are not some kind of

- 1 talismanic, you know, prescriptive demand, but, in fact, are
- 2 guidelines that, you know, if you can follow them, of course,
- 3 you would?
- **4** A. What the court has always said, and this is from
- 5 Wiggins, is that they're guides to reasonable counsel
- 6 performance. I think that does change when a jurisdiction has
- 7 adopted the guidelines or otherwise by statute, by court rule,
- 8 by indigent defense board, promulgation of rule has decided to
- **9** make the guidelines carry more weight; and that has certainly
- 10 happened throughout the country.
- 11 But as a general matter, when we're not talking about
- 12 one of those jurisdictions, what the court has said is that
- 13 the guidelines are guides to reasonable counsel performance.
- 14 Q. And so in the military, good example -- I know we
- 15 talked about it -- clearly they have not adopted those
- 16 guidelines yet. And so while it would be nice maybe if they
- 17 would -- we can talk about that outside of a courtroom --
- 18 we're where we're at in the UCMJ.
- 19 Fair, that at least right now, if you're tried in a
- **20** UCMJ court-martial, they do not have to comply with those
- 21 guidelines?
- A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. And if you're in a jurisdiction that either has

- 1 rejected them or not adopted them, certainly, again, while we
- 2 may all hope you'd follow the guidelines, they don't have to?
- **3** A. There's -- they are not mandatory, that's right.
- 4 Q. Okay. And so at least here, again hypothetically, if
- 5 the statute says "learned counsel to the greatest extent
- 6 practicable," I mean, that's something that a commission or a
- 7 trial judge has to figure out, right, what that means? How do
- 8 we do this?
- **9** A. Certainly that's something that the judge has to
- 10 figure out. I do think it's relevant here that the -- since
- 11 we're talking about the -- what the commissions act says, that
- 12 Congress specifically talked about our guidelines and wanting
- 13 the Secretary of Defense to be -- give weight to those
- 14 guidelines when promulgating rules. So I think they do carry
- 15 slightly more weight in that context than it would if it was
- 16 silent about them.
- 17 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I think -- let me make sure.
- **18** Defense Counsel, any follow-up?
- **19** DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Trial Counsel, any follow-up?
- 21 TC [MR. MILLER]: Nothing. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Ms. Olson-Gault, I really do
- 23 mean it. I thank you very much for coming in and testifying.

- 1 I recognize getting to the Mark Center is no easy task and
- 2 getting through there is no easy task. So getting down here
- 3 is difficult; getting in there is difficult. So I really do
- 4 appreciate you taking the time to come and talk to us, and I
- 5 appreciate your efforts in your work. Thank you very much.
- **6** WIT: You're very welcome. Thank you.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. We'll disconnect. Thanks.
- 8 [The witness was excused, and the VTC was terminated.]
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I didn't ask her questions
- 10 about ethics. I'm saving that for the ethics professor, since
- 11 she would have expertise in that area.
- 12 I think when I was speaking about declassification
- 13 and I issued that order, I said 389. I meant 369. But I
- 14 think everybody recognizes what we're talking about; it has to
- 15 do with these alleged intrusions. I just want to make sure we
- **16** work through those.
- 17 In my effort to get up to speed, what, four years ago
- 18 now, three and a half years ago, I have some recollection of
- 19 an AE series where Mr. Paradis was conflicted off of this
- 20 case. So I need to look into that, as do you all.
- I remember seeing the name. I remember it being that
- 22 name. And I remember there were filings with Judge Pohl at
- 23 the time related specifically to a conflict.

- 1 And so I think it's important to figure out if that
- 2 exists. Again, I reviewed it three and a half years ago. I
- 3 spend more time on some filings than others. That wasn't one
- 4 that at the time meant much to me because I hadn't heard his
- 5 name.
- **6** But over the last two weeks his name has come up more
- 7 than once. And so I sure would like to know, if there was
- 8 such a filing, what Judge Pohl did. And I'll look, too. And
- 9 then if he is conflicted, what's he doing?
- 10 So yet another issue we can deal with the ethics
- 11 professor with when we have testimony from her. If it exists.
- 12 Again, there are a lot of materials I've read over the last
- 13 three and a half years, but I do specifically remember going
- **14** through that.
- 15 Defense Counsel, is Major Robinson still here on the
- **16** island?
- 17 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: Have you made any effort to introduce him
- 19 to your client?
- 20 DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor.
- 21 MJ [Col SPATH]: Is Major Fewell -- did she come down over
- 22 the weekend by any chance?
- DDC [LT PIETTE]: No, Your Honor.

- 1 MJ [Col SPATH]: And I'm assuming Ms. Eliades, Ms. Spears
- 2 and Mr. Kammen have continued to leave you here without
- **3** appearing on the island this weekend. Fair?
- **4** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I know we have two witnesses
- 6 dealing with -- oh, Mr. Miller.
- 7 TC [MR. MILLER]: One, Your Honor.
- 8 MJ [Col SPATH]: One. Okay. We'll take a break before we
- **9** call them, but who is the witness?
- 10 TC [MR. MILLER]: It's the Assistant Director, John Adams.
- 11 MJ [Col SPATH]: And how many exhibits is he dealing with?
- 12 TC [MR. MILLER]: Seven or eight photographs and one
- 13 physical real evidence, one item of real evidence.
- 14 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. So what we'll do in a moment,
- 15 we'll take a recess. We'll come back in 15, if that works.
- 16 If it's going to take longer, let the bailiff know, and we'll
- 17 finish up again before lunch.
- I would again point out we are on a reasonable pace
- 19 and giving the defense counsel's significant time to prepare
- 20 cross-examination questions about real, physical evidence, an
- 21 issue you deal with in every single trial. And I do
- 22 appreciate Ms. Olson-Gault's testimony, and I do appreciate
- 23 the work she does. I hope that came across.

- 1 I think it's important here that we are in a pretrial
- 2 stage cross examining witnesses as opposed to in front of
- 3 members. There's no jury here that's going to sort this out
- 4 right now. There's no court members. There's no plan yet to
- 5 even get them here. We're just dealing, frankly, with
- 6 blocking and tackling in any trial that any lawyer can do.
- 7 And, frankly, Mr. Kammen should be on the phone with
- 8 you, at the very least, Lieutenant Piette. I again have great
- 9 empathy, I do. I'm doing the best I can interpreting the law
- 10 I'm given. I've obviously interpreted "to the extent
- 11 practicable as meaning if you voluntarily abandon your role
- 12 and walk away and leave your client after nine years, not only
- 13 is your behavior completely unethical and unreasonable, but
- 14 you've made a strategic call to do that.
- 15 I think trial counsel referred to it as the nuclear
- 16 option. I think that's a pretty fair description of the
- 17 efforts underway by the defense community in this case.
- Hopefully, again, for anyone watching, if you've got
- 19 an agenda, I can't help you. If you don't, you should be
- 20 appalled by the conduct and remain so.
- 21 All right. Fifteen minutes, we'll come back. We're
- 22 in recess.
- 23 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1001, 13 November 2017.]

- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1018,
- 2 13 November 2017.]
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: This commission is called back to order.
- 4 All of the parties who were present at our last session remain
- **5** present.
- **6** Mr. Miller, call your witness.
- 7 TC [MR. MILLER]: Thank you, Your Honor. The government
- 8 calls Assistant Director John Adams. Raise your right hand to
- **9** be sworn, sir.
- 10 JOHN ADAMS, civilian, was called as a witness for the
- 11 prosecution, was sworn, and testified as follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. MILLER]:
- 14 Q. State your name for the record, please.
- **15** A. John Adams.
- **16** Q. And your occupation, sir?
- 17 A. I am a special agent with the Federal Bureau of
- **18** Investigation.
- **19** Q. And where are you presently assigned?
- 20 A. I'm assigned to FBI Headquarters in Washington, D.C.
- 21 Q. Sir, I want to go into some of your background. I
- 22 want to start first with your education. You attended
- 23 university; is that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- **2** Q. And where did you go to college?
- **3** A. I went to the East Tennessee State University in
- 4 Johnson City, Tennessee.
- **5** Q. And did you graduate from that school?
- **6** A. I did. I attained a Bachelor of Science degree in
- 7 microbiology.
- **8** Q. In what year did you graduate?
- **9** A. 1990.
- 10 Q. Did you do any graduate work subsequent to your
- 11 obtaining your degree?
- 12 A. I did. I did a -- one year of graduate work at the
- 13 University of Tennessee in Knoxville in forensic anthropology.
- 14 Q. And what sort of training or course work did you do
- 15 in forensic anthropology?
- **16** A. So forensic anthropology is the study of human
- 17 remains in an effort to identify individuals from skeletal
- 18 remains and determine potentially how they died, and it's the
- 19 study of decomposition of the body. So also a lot of
- 20 instruction in training in recovering human remains.
- Q. Did you obtain work as a law enforcement officer?
- 22 A. I did. During my training at the University of
- 23 Tennessee I participated in an internship program with the

- 1 Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, and they recruited me and
- 2 offered me a job in the Tennessee Crime Lab.
- **3** Q. And when did you go, first go to work for the
- 4 Tennessee Bureau of Investigation?
- **5** A. 1994.
- **6** Q. Now, prior to actually being an agent with the --
- 7 I'll call it the TBI, did you have to go through some sort of
- **8** schooling or training?
- **9** A. So when I was hired, I was brought in as a forensic
- 10 scientist -- special agent forensic scientist trainee, and I
- 11 completed a one-year training period in serology in DNA
- 12 testing in the crime lab. And during that time I also spent
- 13 time investigating crime scenes as a trainee in that capacity
- **14** as well.
- And so at the end of the one-year training period, I
- 16 was a certified forensic scientist, forensic examiner, and
- 17 crime scene investigator.
- 18 Q. And how long did you remain with the Tennessee Bureau
- **19** of Investigation?
- A. For a total of three years.
- Q. All right, and how many, if you could put a number on
- 22 it, how many crime scenes do you think you investigated?
- A. So I traveled across the state. I would say more

- 1 than 50, less than a hundred, somewhere in there.
- 2 Q. And did you receive specialized training in your area
- 3 over that three-year period, additional to what you learned at
- 4 the academy, your initial mentorship, rather?
- **5** A. Yes, in two different capacities. In DNA analysis, I
- 6 spent time in California at a school out there learning DNA
- 7 analysis techniques. And in addition to that, then I had
- 8 training, most of it on the job with more experienced
- 9 investigators in crime scene investigation and analysis.
- 10 Q. I think you indicated you remained with the TBI for
- 11 three years?
- **12** A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And at the end of that three years, what did you do?
- **14** A. So then I began my employment with the FBI.
- 15 Q. And I take it you went to Quantico to New Agent
- **16** School?
- 17 A. I did. I started new agent training in March of
- 18 1997, and upon completion of that I was assigned to the
- 19 Washington field office in Washington, D.C.
- Q. Did you receive more evidence collection training
- 21 while at Quantico?
- 22 A. I did. It was very basic, particularly for the
- 23 experience that I already had. But nonetheless, it's part of

- 1 the basic new agent training at Quantico.
- 2 Q. You indicated that you went to the Washington field
- 3 office. What was your -- what was the squad or your initial
- **4** assignment?
- 5 A. So my first assignment was on a squad which
- 6 specialized in espionage cases, particularly military
- 7 espionage.
- **8** Q. Did you have any what we call collateral duties?
- **9** A. Yes. After -- after being assigned to the Washington
- 10 office for approximately one year, then I was asked to join
- 11 the Evidence Response Team in the Washington office, based on
- 12 my prior experience.
- 13 Q. Again, did you receive training, specialized training
- **14** to be a member of the ERT?
- 15 A. I did. There's a -- the Evidence Response Team
- 16 members all go through a basic course, which I attended. And
- 17 then there's opportunities to go to advanced level courses as
- **18** well.
- 19 Q. And did you take advantage of those opportunities?
- 20 A. I did. There was several that I took, to include
- 21 some blood spatter analysis, the human -- recovery of human
- 22 remains, some ballistics work; so there were several classes I
- **23** took.

- 1 Q. How long did you remain at the Washington field
- 2 office?
- **3** A. Seven years.
- 4 Q. And at the end of the seven years, were you
- 5 transferred?
- **6** A. I was. I took a promotion to the counterterrorism
- 7 division at FBI Headquarters.
- **8** Q. And how long did you remain in that position?
- **9** A. Two years.
- **10** Q. And where did you go from there?
- 11 A. After those two years, I was assigned to the
- 12 Knoxville FBI field office in Knoxville, Tennessee, as the
- 13 joint terrorism -- Joint Terrorism Task Force supervisor of
- 14 the squad in Knoxville.
- **15** Q. How long did you remain in Knoxville?
- **16** A. Five years.
- 17 Q. And what was your assignment -- what was your next
- **18** assignment?
- 19 A. After I left Knoxville, I was promoted to assistant
- 20 special agent in charge in the Richmond, Virginia, FBI field
- 21 office.
- Q. Did you have any specific duties and
- 23 responsibilities?

- **1** A. I did. I was the ASAC over the National Security
- 2 Branch, which included counterterrorism, counterintelligence,
- 3 and intelligence.
- 4 Q. How long did you remain in Richmond?
- **5** A. For approximately two years.
- **6** Q. And where did you go next?
- 7 A. After that I went back -- I was promoted back to FBI
- 8 headquarters to the counterterrorism division. I was the
- 9 section chief of the strategic operations section.
- 10 Q. How long did you remain as chief?
- 11 A. So I was in that position for one year, and then I
- 12 was promoted to deputy assistant director in counterterrorism
- 13 division.
- **14** Q. How long did you remain as -- in that position, sir?
- **15** A. One year.
- **16** Q. And where did you go?
- 17 A. And then I was promoted to the special agent in
- 18 charge of the Norfolk FBI field office in Norfolk, Virginia.
- 19 Q. So you would have headed that Norfolk division?
- 20 A. I was, indeed.
- 21 Q. And are -- you remained there or did you ----
- A. I was there for about 18 months, until I was promoted
- 23 to my current position of Assistant Director of the

- 1 Directorate of Intelligence at FBI headquarters.
- Q. Generally what are your duties and responsibilities?
- **3** A. So I'm in charge of the FBI's intelligence division,
- 4 which oversees how we in the FBI do all of our intelligence
- 5 analysis, how we collect it, how we analyze it; in charge of
- 6 all the policy and the process and the training for all of our
- 7 intelligence workforce.
- **8** Q. In addition to -- or other than the COLE bombing,
- 9 have you ever worked what I will call a large bomb scene ----
- **10** A. Yes.
- 11 Q. ---- crime scene?
- **12** And what were those?
- 13 A. So -- so prior to the USS COLE investigation, I -- in
- 14 1998 I was a member of the Evidence Response Team in
- 15 Washington, D.C. I deployed, with other team members, to
- 16 investigate the bombing of the U.S. Embassy in Nairobi, Kenya.
- 17 In 1999 I was part of a team that went -- deployed to Kosovo
- 18 to investigate war crimes following the war there. And then
- 19 the COLE in 2000. And then in 2001 I led the crime scene
- 20 investigation at the Pentagon after the attacks of 9/11. And
- 21 then in 2003, I led a team in Saudi Arabia where there was
- 22 attacks on three different residential compounds near Riyadh,
- 23 and I led that crime scene investigation there.

- 1 Q. You indicated you went to Kosovo in 1999. What were
- 2 your duties and responsibilities there?
- **3** A. So I was one of the team members. It was a fairly
- 4 small team that -- of ERT personnel that went. We also took a
- 5 number of our hostage rescue team members for force protection
- 6 in that environment.
- 7 But responsibilities of the team in that
- 8 investigation were to examine victims or potential victims of
- 9 war crimes to determine how they were killed, their identity.
- 10 And some of those victims were buried, and so we had to exhume
- 11 them from either individual graves or, in some instances, mass
- 12 graves, and examine those remains.
- In other instances there were individuals that were
- 14 killed and then their houses were burned and the debris had
- 15 covered their remains. And so we had to recover the remains
- 16 from the burned-down houses and identify those individuals by
- 17 whatever means we could, and sometimes that was personal
- 18 effects, jewelry, clothing and the like that we had to then
- 19 talk with the families to help identify them; others by
- 20 certain injuries that we knew that they had had based on some
- 21 medical records or, potentially, less frequently, some dental
- 22 records that helped with that as well.
- Q. I want to direct your attention to October of 2000.

- 1 You were working at the Washington field office at that time;
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Did you -- were you notified that there had been an
- 5 attack in Aden, Yemen, on a U.S. warship?
- **6** A. I was. And that we needed to identify some personnel
- 7 from the team to be ready to deploy to Yemen to investigate
- 8 the attack.
- **9** Q. And were you to be a member of that team?
- **10** A. I was.
- 11 Q. And did you travel to Yemen with the team?
- 12 A. I did indeed, yes.
- **13** Q. Did you take a military flight?
- **14** A. We did, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And do you remember how many people went with you?
- **16** A. Not an exact number. Approximately 50 people, FBI
- **17** personnel.
- 18 Q. Did you take certain supplies with you, also?
- 19 A. Indeed. We took our ERT supplies. We took some --
- 20 actually some MREs for food and some water and some
- 21 sustainment items, a lot of protective equipment. And then we
- 22 also had, as I mentioned, members of our hostage rescue team
- 23 that were there for -- to help with force protection, and they

- 1 took, you know, a lot of equipment as well to support that.
- 2 Q. Do you remember landing in Yemen?
- **3** A. I do.
- **4** Q. And anything unusual happen?
- **5** A. Indeed. It was, of course, a long trip. We landed
- 6 in Yemen. We were on a military plane with all our equipment
- 7 inside of the cargo area. Lowered the back gate, back hatch
- 8 of the aircraft, and immediately saw Yemeni military members
- 9 in military jeeps with guns mounted up on the tops of the jeep
- 10 pointed in our direction, and immediately recognized that --
- 11 not necessarily a welcoming sight, for sure.
- 12 Q. Were you able finally to leave the plane? Obviously
- 13 you were.
- 14 A. We were. It took what seemed to -- over an hour of
- 15 negotiations between the leadership of the -- of our
- 16 deployment with some individuals on the ground, some of our
- 17 personnel from State Department, trying to sort out the
- 18 arrangements on how we would be able to get our equipment off
- 19 the plane and transported to a facility where we were going to
- **20** stay.
- Q. And did you finally -- did you go to the facility
- 22 where you were going to stay, the hotel?
- 23 A. We did. We worked out an arrangement. The Yemenis

- 1 eventually conceded to allow us to take our equipment, which
- 2 was part of the discussion. They were concerned about the
- 3 equipment that we were bringing into the country. They
- 4 allowed us to eventually bring it in as long as we x-rayed --
- 5 allowed it to be x-rayed as we brought it through the airport.
- **6** We were able to then load it onto some trucks and
- 7 then transport all of our equipment, our bags, and our
- 8 personnel to a compound, which we were planning to stay at,
- 9 which included some small houses and then a larger kind of
- 10 lodge. But we ultimately determined that it was -- the
- 11 security was not what we wanted it to be in that location. So
- 12 after approximately eight hours, we actually packed things up
- 13 again and moved to another facility, a hotel that had a more
- 14 secure perimeter.
- 15 Q. And at the hotel, was that -- the second hotel, were
- 16 there other law enforcement personnel staying there?
- 17 A. There were some members of the Naval Criminal
- 18 Investigative Service that were there; members of U.S. Navy
- 19 were there. That's all that I remember.
- Q. Were there any what I will call operational meetings
- 21 held at the hotel prior to going out to the ship?
- A. Yes, there were. So as many of us team members got
- 23 checked into a room, got our things kind of situated, some of

- 1 the leadership of the FBI group met with the leadership of the
- 2 Navy and NCIS to talk about the situation and arrangements to
- 3 get our team out to the ship. And during those discussions it
- 4 was reported back to us that the Navy had requested that we
- 5 prioritize our efforts to help them locate the missing
- **6** sailors, that -- the sailors that had gone missing after the
- 7 attack.
- **8** Q. Were you assigned any specific duties?
- **9** A. I was. So what we were told was that the site of the
- 10 attack below deck on the USS COLE was a very confined area.
- 11 It was a challenge to get equipment down to that lower -- the
- 12 lower deck. And so we had to take only a small number of
- 13 individuals that were absolutely necessary to -- to help with
- 14 that search.
- 15 Based on my body recovery experience, I was one
- 16 chosen, and I asked for a couple of the other guys on the team
- 17 that I had worked with before to go down to that area as well.
- 18 And so we limited it to four of us from our ERT team, and then
- 19 a couple of bomb techs that went down with us as well.
- Q. And who were the members of the team that ----
- 21 A. So it was myself, Special Agent Tom O'Connor, Special
- 22 Agent Jeff Miller, and Special Agent Kevin Finnerty were the
- 23 four ERT members that went.

- 1 Q. Do you remember going out to the ship?
- **2** A. I do.
- **3** Q. And how did you get to the ship?
- **4** A. So we were transported by van. Had to go through a
- 5 number of checkpoints as we arrived at the harbor, which was
- 6 about a -- seemed like about a 20-minute drive from our hotel
- 7 to the -- to the harbor. After going through a number of
- 8 checkpoints, then we got out of the van and walked up to the
- 9 edge of the shoreline there where I saw that there was a small
- 10 contingent of U.S. Marines that had set up a screening area
- 11 and campsite right there at the edge.
- 12 And then we walked down to a small boat with our
- 13 equipment, loaded that on the boat that would then transport
- 14 us out to the COLE, which was anchored about 200 yards
- 15 offshore out in the middle of the bay.
- 16 Q. And when you arrived, I take it, you went on to the
- 17 ship. Did you receive any sort of tour or any -- for lack of
- 18 a better term, sort of a walkthrough?
- 19 A. We did. So as we arrived on the COLE, we carried all
- 20 of our equipment up the gangway up onto the topside of the
- 21 deck. And then -- then we wanted to do kind of a site survey
- 22 and to try to see what the area looked like. And so we went
- 23 down a number of hatches and down several levels to get down

- 1 to the galley area where much of the damage was evident.
- 2 And it was -- a couple things that I remember
- 3 distinctly was it was very hot, of course, in Yemen at that
- 4 time, close to 90 degrees, very humid. We went into the ship.
- 5 The air conditioning felt nice, but as we got down to the
- 6 lower decks and down to the galley area, you could tell that
- 7 it was -- it was very hot, very humid down there. And it was
- 8 obvious when we got there that the big hole in the side of the
- 9 ship was the cause of that, allowing all the air from the
- 10 outside to get into that lower level.
- 11 Q. Were -- I take it the sailors were on the ship, also,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. There were. There were -- we got up onto the deck of
- 14 the COLE, there were a lot of sailors that were up topside,
- 15 because down below deck where many of the sailors -- where
- 16 their bunks were, those were -- it was uninhabitable down
- 17 there because of the hot and humid nature, and quite honestly
- 18 from the smell down there, that it was just not hospitable.
- And so many of the sailors had nowhere else to go,
- 20 and so they just stayed up on topside. Many of them just
- 21 trying to kind of pass time, sitting, talking. It was evident
- 22 that they were very traumatized and very emotionally upset.
- 23 It was a very difficult time for many of them.

- 1 TC [MR. MILLER]: Permission to publish to the witness,
- 2 Your Honor, Prosecution Exhibits 192, 193, 223, and 228.
- **3** MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- 4 Q. First I want to show you what is Prosecution
- **5** Exhibit 192. Do you recognize that, sir?
- **6** A. I do.
- 7 Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- **8** A. This appears to be a photograph of the USS COLE
- 9 depicting the blast damage in the hull of the ship.
- **10** Q. 193, please. Do you recognize that?
- 11 A. I do. This appears to be a close-up shot, photograph
- 12 of the blast damage in the side of the ship.
- 13 Q. Now, from this position, looking at this photograph,
- 14 we see the hole, and then above it is this the area that you
- 15 were doing the search, or the body recovery was occurring?
- **16** A. So it -- looking at the photograph, it would be the
- 17 upper part, so above the hole and to the right of the hole.
- 18 That was -- the galley area was behind this upper part of the
- 19 hull that has less damage.
- The hole itself was the pump room of the ship, and it
- 21 was -- it was gone. It was -- it was completely destroyed.
- 22 There was water inside that pump room. And so me and my
- 23 teammates, we did not work in the pump room. There was no way

- 1 for us to work in there. We worked in the galley area and
- 2 then back to the -- behind the galley in the dining area.
- **3** Q. 223. Do you recognize that when you did your
- **4** walkthrough?
- 5 A. So I do, not necessarily from this vantage point, but
- 6 certainly I remember seeing the dome that was displaced on
- 7 this particular piece of equipment.
- 8 Q. Prosecution Exhibit 228. [Conferred with courtroom
- **9** personnel.] Do you recognize this photograph?
- 10 A. I do. This is a photograph of the topside or the
- 11 deck of the USS COLE. This is exactly what it looked like
- 12 when we arrived.
- 13 You can see pieces of debris on the deck of some sort
- 14 and different material. And then also this black staining, it
- 15 looked like ashes all over the top of the deck and then also
- **16** on the sides of the ship itself.
- 17 Q. So the ship had not been washed down or cleansed or
- 18 cleaned prior to your entry?
- **19** A. No, it had not.
- Q. All right. You indicated that you and three -- you
- 21 and two others went down into the galley area; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct. Three others, myself and three

- 1 others.
- 2 Q. And that would have been Finnerty, Miller and
- **3** O'Connor, correct?
- **4** A. That's right, yes.
- 5 TC [MR. MILLER]: Permission to publish to the witness,
- 6 Your Honor, Prosecution Exhibits 251, 255, 256, 258, and 197.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- **8** Q. I'm going to show you a series of photographs. Do
- 9 you recognize this individual?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, that's Special Agent Tom O'Connor.
- 11 Q. And is this the area in which you were conducting
- 12 your search, your body recovery search?
- 13 A. So this is -- yes. Beyond Tom you can see some
- 14 stainless steel back behind him, and that is back in the --
- 15 appears to be back in the galley area of the ship, and that's
- 16 one of the areas where we were working.
- 17 Q. All right. 256, please. Do you recognize this, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. That's -- that's another photograph of the
- **19** galley area.
- Q. Is that how it appeared back when you were conducting
- 21 your search, body recovery?
- **22** A. Yes.
- Q. 255. Again, do you recognize this particular area?

- 1 A. I do. That's another shot of the galley area, but it
- 2 also -- to the left side of the photograph you can begin to
- 3 see a bit of that pump room area that I described. It's
- 4 really destroyed.
- **5** Q. 258, please. Do you recognize this area, sir?
- **6** A. I do. So this is looking more at the pump room area.
- 7 You can actually see -- if I can draw on this. In this area
- 8 here, you can actually see water, and that's the hole in the
- 9 side of the ship.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor, the record should reflect,
- 11 as to Prosecution Exhibit 250 -- 258, I take it, the witness
- 12 has drawn a circle in sort of the middle, midleft area.
- 13 Q. 197. And do you recognize this photograph, sir?
- 14 A. I do. So this is just inside the blasted area of the
- 15 ship. So this is where the pump room was, and this is looking
- 16 from the water level up into the galley area.
- 17 You can see -- there are a couple of things to note
- 18 here. One is up in the right corner, it shows this green
- 19 metal/steel that is somewhat almost vertical. That is part of
- 20 the -- what was the pump room ceiling that was blasted up into
- 21 the galley from below when the -- from the blast damage.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Again for the record, Your Honor, the
- 23 witness has circled a -- sort of the greenish metal in the

- 1 upper right-hand corner of the photograph.
- 2 Q. Did -- I take it the body recovery was made difficult
- 3 because of all this jagged metal and compressed metal?
- 4 A. Yes, it was -- it was hazardous to those of us
- 5 working; therefore, we had lots of protective equipment, to
- 6 include gloves and helmets and heavy boots and Tyvek
- 7 coveralls. But in addition to that, it was very challenging
- 8 because the twisted metal had formed and actually had trapped
- 9 a number of the sailors in the twisted metal remains.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Permission to publish to the witness,
- 11 Your Honor, Prosecution Exhibits two fifty -- 254 and 257.
- **12** MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you first what has been marked for
- 14 identification as Prosecution Exhibit 254 for Identification.
- 15 Do you recognize that area, sir?
- **16** A. I do.
- 17 Q. What is that?
- 18 A. So this is -- it's actually a -- this is a bulkhead
- 19 between the dining area in the mess hall and the galley area
- 20 in the mess hall. And what you -- I can trace this. See,
- 21 this area here [indicating] is a hole that we had to cut in
- 22 the bulkhead with a cutting torch to access the galley area.
- Q. And you did that so you could recover the bodies?

- **1** A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And were you in charge of that particular operation
- **3** or were other persons doing that?
- 4 A. So -- yes. So I was in charge of that, but O'Connor
- 5 and Finnerty and Miller assisted with that.
- **6** Q. Do you know an agent by the name of Bodie?
- 7 A. I do. He was a bomb technician from one of our --
- 8 Dallas office, I think.
- **9** Q. Was he assisting in this project?
- 10 A. So he was -- he was down there at the time. I don't
- 11 know that he helped us actually recover the body [sic], but he
- 12 was down there.
- 13 Q. I mean, not recovering the bodies but assisting in
- 14 the cutting process? Do you know if Bodie was?
- 15 A. Yeah, I can't remember if he was there or not.
- 16 TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor, the record should reflect
- 17 that the witness has drawn a -- an outline around the opening
- 18 in the picture, Prosecution Exhibit 254 for Identification.
- 19 And we would move for its admission at this time, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel, any objection to what's
- 21 been offered as Prosecution Exhibit 254 for Identification?
- 22 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Your Honor, the defense takes no
- 23 position.

- 1 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. And as I've said over the
- 2 course of the last two-plus weeks, the defense is taking a
- 3 strategic position, in my opinion. I'm going to conditionally
- 4 admit it. It's Rule of Evidence 104(b). Once you demonstrate
- 5 relevance in trial, it's admitted.
- **6** You may proceed.
- 7 TC [MR. MILLER]: 257, please.
- **8** Q. Do you recognize this photograph, sir?
- **9** A. Yes. So this is a photograph of -- looking the
- 10 opposite direction from the last one. So this is in the
- 11 galley area, and you can see now -- I'll draw here. This is
- 12 the bottom side of that cutaway area, so it would be -- this
- 13 area was cut out. So we were looking at, in the last
- 14 photograph, from the other side of the wall; this is looking
- 15 from the galley side into the dining area.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize not necessarily who this person is,
- 17 but what function this person -- in the photograph, what
- 18 function he was fulfilling?
- 19 A. Yes. The Navy brought out -- very quickly brought
- 20 over some workers, some welders from the Norfolk Naval
- 21 Shipyard, and this was one of those guys, that -- he actually
- 22 did the cutting with the torch to get that opening in the
- **23** wall.

- 1 TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor, the record should reflect
- 2 that the witness has drawn sort of an outline on the far
- 3 right-hand side of the photograph.
- 4 246 -- oh. Permission to publish to the witness,
- 5 Your Honor, Prosecution Exhibit 246, 244, and 248?
- **6** MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. You may.
- 7 Q. Do you recognize this, sir?
- 8 A. I do. So this is a photograph of one of the sailors
- 9 that we recovered from down in that galley area. This is
- 10 actually -- so this is me at the -- at the top of the
- 11 photograph; this is Agent Finnerty, circling his head; and
- **12** Agent O'Connor there.
- 13 This is the area where -- we had set up an area on
- 14 the top side of the USS COLE's deck, and we separated it from
- 15 view from anywhere else around the deck itself with sheets and
- 16 tarps. And we set up a process when we brought the sailors up
- 17 top side to help try to identify anything we could, clothing
- 18 or identification or anything that would help us identify each
- **19** of the sailors.
- 20 And it was very dark down in the galley area. Our
- 21 primary effort down there was to recover the remains, put them
- 22 in the body bag and then take them up top.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor, the record should reflect he

- 1 circled the faces or the heads of the three individuals in
- 2 that picture.
- 3 MJ [Col SPATH]: He did. And just -- if you'd go back to
- 4 that just for a second. It was 246 for Identification.
- **5** TC [MR. MILLER]: For Identification.
- **6** MJ [Col SPATH]: For you, the witness -- you, the witness,
- 7 you're on the left.
- **8** WIT: Correct.
- 9 MJ [Col SPATH]: Special Agent Finnerty is the person in
- 10 the middle?
- 11 WIT: That's correct.
- 12 MJ [Col SPATH]: And then, as you look at the photo to the
- 13 right, is Special Agent O'Connor.
- 14 WIT: That's correct.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. You may proceed.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: We would move for admission of that
- 17 photo ----
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel?
- TC [MR. MILLER]: ---- Prosecution Exhibit 246 for
- 20 Identification.
- 21 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Defense takes no position.
- 22 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I -- again, recognizing that
- 23 is your position, I am not going to admit it yet. Again,

- 1 because of MR -- or Military Commission Rule of Evidence 403,
- 2 until we determine how many photographs you're going to offer
- 3 of the bodies, just to sort that. But you have offered it.
- **4** Thank you. 245 for Identification.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: 244, please.
- 6 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. MILLER]:
- 7 Q. What would happen to the bodies after they were
- 8 processed?
- **9** A. So after we did our processing, meaning everything we
- 10 could do to try to identify that individual, potential
- 11 injuries and the like, then we would zip the body bags up and
- 12 then drape the body bag with the American flag and secure it
- 13 and make it ready for presentation to a group of sailors that
- 14 the captain had identified that would come and carry the body
- 15 bags off of the ship and take them to the harbor.
- 16 Q. All right. And that's -- what you've just described
- 17 is Prosecution Exhibit 244 for Identification; is that
- 18 correct?
- **19** A. That's correct.
- **20** Q. And 248. Do you recognize that, sir?
- 21 A. I do. This is a group of sailors carrying two body
- 22 bags off the ship. This is the process that -- that the
- 23 captain and the sailors arranged. They wanted to do this to

- 1 ensure that the victims were treated with appropriate dignity
- 2 and respect as they were taken off of the ship.
- **3** Q. And this was all occurring while you were conducting
- 4 your duties and responsibilities and the ERT team was
- 5 gathering evidence, correct?
- **6** A. That's correct. As -- we would collect or recover
- 7 the sailors' remains, then we would bring them up top side,
- 8 get them prepared for removal off of the ship, and then go
- 9 back down and continue our work to locate and recover other
- 10 sailors.
- 11 Q. All right. And this carrying the sailors off, that's
- 12 Prosecution Exhibit 248 for Identification, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Fair and accurate depiction of how it appeared on the
- **15** COLE while it was actually occurring?
- **16** A. It is.
- 17 Q. And in the prior picture -- go back to the -- it's a
- 18 fair and accurate depiction of the bodies with the draped
- **19** flags?
- **20** A. It is, yes.
- 21 TC [MR. MILLER]: Move for the admission of two forty --
- 22 Prosecution Exhibit 244 for Identification and 248 for
- 23 Identification.

- 1 MJ [Col SPATH]: I just want to -- I think it was 244 is
- 2 that one, and then 248 is the follow-on.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Yes, Your Honor.
- **4** MJ [Col SPATH]: Okay. Defense Counsel?
- **5** DDC [LT PIETTE]: Defense takes no position.
- 6 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I understand. I think the
- 7 record is eminently clear on the court's position on that.
- 8 244 and 248 again I'm going to defer on until we sort
- 9 through how much of this type evidence we are going to use,
- 10 but you have offered them.
- 11 TC [MR. MILLER]: Thank you. And with permission we're
- 12 shortly going to publish to the witness, if we ask Court's
- 13 permission, Prosecution Exhibit 220, 221, and 242.
- **14** MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- 15 Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. MILLER]:
- **16** Q. How long did the body recovery last?
- 17 A. Four days.
- 18 Q. And did you then assist in the generalized evidence
- 19 recovery that was occurring on the ship?
- 20 A. I did, on the ship, yes.
- **21** Q. Prosecution 220.
- 22 Do you recognize photograph Prosecution Exhibit 220?
- **23** A. I do.

- **1** Q. And what is that?
- 2 A. This is a pile of metal that was created by -- we had
- 3 to remove a lot of that from the galley to make room to work
- 4 in that area. There was all of this large pieces of metal
- 5 that was difficult to work around, and so if we could, we
- 6 would remove that. And so this is a pile that we created
- 7 topside just to get it out of our way down below.
- **8** Q. 221, please.
- **9** Do you recognize that?
- 10 A. I do. This is a smaller pile of -- this appears to
- 11 be steel mostly, but metal that were recovered by the
- 12 divers -- Navy divers mostly. We had -- there was a couple of
- 13 FBI personnel that helped with the diving efforts -- that were
- 14 recovered from the area in the bay right around the ship that
- 15 were brought up and put in a pile on the -- on the topside --
- 16 on the deck as well.
- 17 So this -- it obviously has a different appearance
- 18 and consistency and weight as the other material from the
- 19 galley. This was believed to be pieces of the ship's hull
- 20 that was collected.
- **Q.** Was there a sifting operation occurring?
- 22 A. There was.
- Q. And did you assist in that?

- 1 A. To a certain extent. There was a larger operation on
- 2 the back, on the fantail part of the ship, and we did some
- 3 smaller sifting on the sides, of some buckets material. I
- 4 helped with the smaller sifting on the sides of the ship.
- **5** Q. And I think you indicated that you had previously
- 6 been involved in the embassy bombing crime scene, the Pentagon
- 7 crime scene. Is sifting a legitimate methodology?
- 8 A. Absolutely. It's -- in any of these big blast
- 9 scenes, there's all kinds of debris that results. And that
- 10 can be things blown in different directions, but also
- 11 buildings and other things that crumble. And to be -- conduct
- 12 a thorough crime scene investigation, all of that has to be
- 13 gone through. And oftentimes sifting is really the best
- 14 method to do that.
- 15 Q. Did the naval -- did the sailors assist you in any
- **16** way or assist the ERT efforts in any way?
- 17 A. So there was a Navy dive team that assisted greatly
- 18 in waters that were more than knee deep, essentially. We had
- 19 kind of worked out an arrangement. They handled, of course,
- 20 the operation outside of the boat in the bay and diving in the
- 21 waters there, but also there were many compartments in the
- 22 lower deck of the ship that were actually flooded. And so
- 23 even -- even those inside the ship we -- the Navy dive team

- 1 really conducted the searches of those areas.
- 2 Q. You indicated you assisted the ERT team after your
- 3 body recovery. What exactly were you looking for in a very --
- 4 in a general sense? What were you attempting to find?
- **5** A. So any -- any items that looked like they did not
- 6 belong on the ship, essentially, and more specifically,
- 7 anything that could have been from a smaller vessel that
- 8 potentially had a bomb, carrying a bomb, that may have ended
- **9** up on the ship itself.
- TC [MR. MILLER]: Permission to publish to the witness,
- 11 Your Honor, Prosecution Exhibits 76, 76A, 76B, and 76C, all
- 12 for Identification.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may proceed.
- 14 Q. For the record we have placed before you, Assistant
- 15 Director, the Prosecution Exhibit 76 for Identification.
- **16** A. That's correct.
- 17 TC [MR. MILLER]: Permission, Your Honor, to use the ELMO?
- 18 MJ [Col SPATH]: You may.
- 19 Q. I show you what has -- what is Prosecution
- 20 Exhibit 76A for Identification. Do you recognize that, sir?
- **21** A. I do.
- **22** Q. And what do you recognize that to be?
- A. I recognize that be some items that I collected from

- 1 the deck of the COLE and placed in an evidence bag for later
- 2 evaluation at our laboratory.
- **3** Q. All right. And it has a Q number on the bottom; is
- 4 that correct?
- **5** A. That's correct.
- **6** Q. All right. Are you familiar with the Q tab system?
- 7 A. I am.
- 8 Q. I don't know if you can make it out, but can you make
- 9 out the Q number on that?
- 10 A. It appears to be Q565.
- 11 Q. All right. I'm going to ask you, if you would, take
- 12 a look at the evidence bag itself. Do you recognize
- 13 Prosecution Exhibit 76 for Identification?
- **14** A. I do.
- **15** Q. And how are you able to recognize it?
- 16 A. It's a standard evidence bag that we use in the FBI
- 17 on our Evidence Response Team to collect evidence and seal it
- 18 for packaging and transport to our laboratory for examination.
- **19** Q. Do you recognize the handwriting?
- 20 A. I do. On the outside of the bag, the label portion,
- 21 there's a number of items that bear my handwriting, my name,
- 22 and my signature.
- Q. And if you could, please, read into the record the

- 1 description of the evidence, the date and time of the
- 2 recovery, location of the recovery, and by whom it was
- 3 recovered.
- 4 A. Okay. The description of the evidence is "machine
- 5 pieces." Date and time of recovery is 10/20/2000, 1500 p.m.
- **6** Location of recovery is Grid 1 Forward, recovered by
- 7 SA J. Adams. Received from USS COLE by SA J. Adams; date,
- 8 10/20/2000; time, 1500.
- **9** Q. That is all your handwriting; is that correct?
- **10** A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And can you see the contents of the bag?
- **12** A. I can, yes.
- 13 Q. And are those the same contents that are contained in
- 14 the photograph, Prosecution Exhibit 76A for Identification?
- **15** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. Is there a W number on the exhibit,
- **17** Prosecution Exhibit 76?
- **18** A. There is. It says W-111.
- **19** Q. All right. And is there also a 1B number?
- **20** A. There is, 1B882.
- Q. I'm going to ask you: Is there a chain of custody
- 22 form attached to that exhibit or attached to the folder in
- 23 which the exhibit came?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And do you recognize that particular chain of custody
- **3** form?
- **4** A. I do. It ----
- **5** Q. And is it in your signature?
- **6** A. It does have my signature in the "Accepted by" space.
- Q. Are you able to associate this particular form, this
- 8 chain of custody form, with Exhibit 76?
- 9 A. I can, yes. It's -- this chain of custody goes with
- **10** item 1B882 and item number W-111.
- 11 Q. For the record, I'm placing on the ELMO Prosecution
- 12 Exhibit 70 -- Prosecution Exhibit 76C for Identification.
- 13 Does [sic] the four entries on that exhibit, Prosecution
- **14** Exhibit 76C for Identification, identical to the form that
- 15 you've just described?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And again, for the record, your signature is at the
- 18 top right above the word "Collected"; is that correct?
- **19** A. That's correct.
- **20** Q. Lastly I'm showing you a photograph, Prosecution
- 21 Exhibit 76B. Do you recognize that photograph, sir?
- A. I do. It appears to be a photograph of the evidence
- 23 label on the front of this evidence bag.

- 1 Q. Fair and accurate depiction?
- 2 A. It is indeed, yes.
- 3 Q. Now, after you packaged that evidence, what did you
- 4 do with it?
- 5 A. So we had set up a room in one of the lower decks of
- 6 the COLE that we could secure. It was a small meeting room
- 7 that we could secure. We had a evidence lockbox in there, and
- 8 we had two agents from our New York office that maintained
- 9 control of that room, and they accepted evidence every day.
- 10 So I took -- that was our process. So when I
- 11 collected this, I took it to -- Jane Rhodes was the agent --
- 12 one of the agents that was there that day, and I gave it to
- 13 her for logging into our system and maintaining it in that
- **14** room.
- 15 Q. And what was your interest in obtaining or seizing
- 16 this specific evidence? Why was it of interest to you?
- 17 A. Because in my examination, just cursory examination
- 18 of these items, they did not appear to be anything that looked
- 19 obviously as part of the USS COLE. So it looked like it could
- 20 be something that ended up on the deck that came from another
- 21 vessel potentially.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that these items
- 23 were altered, changed, or damaged in any way since the time

- 1 that you seized them?
- 2 A. No. They appear to be identical to the -- at the
- 3 time I seized them.
- 4 TC [MR. MILLER]: Your Honor, we would move for the
- 5 admission at this time of Prosecution Exhibit 76A for
- 6 Identification, 76B for Identification, and 76C for
- 7 Identification.
- **8** MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Thank you.
- **9** Q. Did you ever help conduct any of the land searches?
- **10** A. I did not.
- 11 Q. After they were done searching the COLE, what did you
- **12** do?
- A. So went back to the hotel. And -- well, we actually
- 14 had a small command post set up in the hotel as well. I spent
- 15 some time there trying to just get some documentation
- 16 completed. As we then prepared to evacuate the area -- we had
- 17 some information that there may be a threat to our group, and
- 18 so we were rapidly making plans to depart Yemen.
- And so I was part of a contingent that was sent to
- 20 Germany, and stayed there for a few days in the event that
- 21 there was another attack in the Middle East, and I would be
- 22 somewhat pre-deployed to go wherever needed.
- Q. And then did you eventually return to the

- 1 United States?
- 2 A. I did, yes.
- 3 TC [MR. MILLER]: Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor.
- **4** MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel, any questions?
- 5 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Your Honor, the defense takes no
- 6 position.
- 7 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. Again, I think the record is
- 8 pretty clear on the ruling the commission has made, and I'll
- 9 enter additional findings of fact before we depart, along with
- **10** a written ruling to follow.
- 11 I say this to every witness. I appreciate you
- 12 traveling down here to testify in person. I know that people
- 13 can testify by VTC. It is important to come down and do it in
- 14 person. So I thank you for taking the time to do that.
- 15 I'm going to give you a standard order. Don't
- 16 discuss your testimony until we resolve this issue. Here it
- 17 is a little unique in that it might be a little while, so keep
- 18 that in mind as we move forward. And likely we'll see you at
- 19 some point in the future. Thank you very much. You are
- 20 excused.
- 21 WIT: Thank you, sir.
- 22 [The witness was warned, temporarily excused, and withdrew
- 23 from the courtroom.]

- 1 MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense Counsel -- I'm sorry, Trial
- **2** Counsel, tomorrow how many witnesses do we anticipate?
- 3 MATC [COL WELLS]: Your Honor, Colonel Wells here. Sir,
- 4 we anticipate one witness tomorrow.
- 5 MJ [Col SPATH]: And I know you will keep the defense
- 6 updated as to the order of witnesses, as I know you've done
- 7 throughout this -- this process.
- 8 And then Wednesday the plan still is no witnesses,
- 9 correct?
- **10** MATC [COL WELLS]: That is correct, sir.
- 11 MJ [Col SPATH]: And then Thursday and Friday, a
- 12 combination of seven witnesses, plus maybe Professor
- 13 Yaroshefsky.
- 14 MATC [COL WELLS]: Yes, sir. And we do have one
- 15 adjustment, and I will follow up with an e-mail. One witness
- 16 cannot travel because she is ill. Again, we'll inform the
- 17 parties. So that would be six witnesses on this issue, one by
- 18 VTC. I'm also informed that that witness may also be
- **19** unavailable, but I'll confirm.
- 20 And then the additional witness that we hope will
- 21 voluntarily comply with the court's order and the subpoena.
- 22 And I think we should have a decision by the Federal District
- 23 Court in the Southern District of New York at that time.

1 MJ [Col SPATH]: Perfect. 2 MATC [COL WELLS]: And, sir, before I leave the podium, I 3 would like to take an opportunity to discuss -- you raised the 4 question of a defense member, Mr. Paradis, and his 5 participation in this matter. 6 I was passed some research. This matter arose about 7 his conflict in representing another detainee that is a 8 co-conspirator with Mr. Nashiri. This arose ----9 MJ [Col SPATH]: Mr. -- it was Mr. al Bahlul, right? 10 MATC [COL WELLS]: That's correct, sir. 11 MJ [Col SPATH]: That's what I thought. 12 MATC [COL WELLS]: This arose back in 2012, and there was 13 a series of motions filed under the Appellate Exhibit 059. 14 Subsequent to that, under Judge Pohl's presiding 15 under the commission, the defense filed Appellate Exhibit 083, 16 releasing Mr. Paradis from Mr. Nashiri's representation based 17 on a conflict of interest. 18 Judge Pohl, on the UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED 19 transcript at pages 961 through 970, addressed the matter on 20 the record. And he said, "So at this point in time I am 21 finding -- and actually if you look at Colonel Colwell's memo,

paragraph 3, that clearly there is a conflict. At this

time -- the commission finds at this time that there is a

22

23

- 1 conflict with -- between Mr. Paradis' representation of
- 2 Mr. Nashiri in this case and Mr. Al Bahlul in the other case.
- 3 Again, the defense, if you wish to file a motion to explain
- 4 this and wish to revisit the issue, that is up to you. But as
- 5 of now, there is no motion before me and, therefore, that
- **6** issue is resolved at this time."
- 7 So it seems that he did conduct the R.M.C. 505
- 8 hearing on the record. There was further discussion.
- **9** And then later in the transcript, at page 969, Judge
- 10 Pohl said, "Again, at this point this is not a motion before
- 11 me. It has nothing to do with the notice. This is simply a
- 12 notice. If you wish to include it in a motion and you want me
- 13 to remedy it, file it. We are not going to start sticking
- 14 pieces of paper in the record for no apparent purpose, and
- 15 that is what I think you are doing." So at this point he is
- 16 still looking for a motion from the defense as it relates to
- 17 the conflict of interest.
- When you look at Appellate Exhibit 083 on their
- 19 notice, they indicate that they intended to file a notice or a
- 20 motion indicating that the military judge was interfering with
- 21 their attorney-client representation issue. Yet, as Judge
- 22 Pohl noted in paragraph 3 of the chief defense counsel's
- 23 decision, she had made the determination that a conflict did

- 1 exist and Mr. Paradis should not continue his representation
- 2 with Mr. Nashiri so long as Mr. Bahlul's military commission
- 3 case on appeal remains active.
- 4 So I think the prosecution would suggest to the
- 5 commission it would be appropriate to ask the defense for a
- 6 filing of some sort explaining Mr. Paradis' current
- 7 representation, just to clear this up on the record.
- **8** Nothing further, sir.
- **9** MJ [Col SPATH]: Thank you. More forthcoming on that.
- **10** Anything else from the government today?
- 11 TC [MR. MILLER]: Nothing further from the government.
- **12** Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 MJ [Col SPATH]: Defense counsel?
- 14 DDC [LT PIETTE]: Nothing from defense, Your Honor.
- 15 MJ [Col SPATH]: All right. I think the record is
- 16 eminently clear regarding the absence of still-appointed
- 17 outside learned counsel and two civilians who have an
- 18 attorney-client relationship with this accused. All three of
- 19 them have voluntarily abandoned their role of representing
- 20 him, which should be shocking. I just -- I really can't say
- 21 much else about it. I've said it every day.
- 22 But what we have done is moved through the basic
- 23 block-and-tackling of trial procedure, and we've had limited

1	witnesses each day and multiple days off, allowing defense
2	counsel to continue to prepare. And that was the case today.
3	We had two witnesses, one who the defense counsel asked
4	questions of, thereby demonstrating their competence and their
5	ability to ask quality questions, which the Strickland court
6	certainly would envision as strategy.
7	And so the decisions not to ask questions of these
8	witnesses on basic issues of real evidence is clearly a
9	strategic decision, and I think any appellate court is going
0	to see that. And I am confident that everybody recognizes the
1	abandonment by the civilian learned appointed counsel. We'll
2	stick with our practice.
3	We will start at 0900 tomorrow with the single
4	witness for tomorrow. Thank you. We are in recess.
5	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1115, 13 November 2017.]
6	[END OF PAGE]
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
2	