- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0908,
- 2 14 August 2017.]
- **3** MJ [Col RUBIN]: The commission is called to order. All
- 4 parties present when the commission last recessed are again
- 5 present. The accused has voluntarily waived his presence for
- 6 this session of the commission. The defense has provided AE
- 7 092A, which is a written waiver of the accused, executed in
- 8 the presence of his defense counsel, prior to this session in
- 9 accordance with AE 074C. The commission finds this waiver to
- 10 be voluntary and grants the accused's request to be absent
- **11** from this session.
- 12 Trial Counsel, who is here to represent the
- **13** government?
- 14 TC [CDR SHORT]: Good morning, Your Honor. All members of
- 15 the government who were present when the commission recessed
- 16 are once again present. Representing the government today are
- 17 Douglas Short, Commander, United States Navy; Commander Kevin
- 18 Flynn, United States Navy; Lieutenant Commander Vaughn
- 19 Spencer, United States Navy; Lieutenant Commander David
- 20 Lincoln, United States Navy; and welcoming today is also
- 21 Captain Johnathan Rudy, United States Marine Corps; Captain
- 22 Eric Depue, United States Marine Corps.
- Captains Depue and Rudy, Your Honor, both with the

- 1 United States Marine Corps, have been detailed to the
- 2 government and are now present.
- 3 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Captain Depue, good morning. This is
- 4 your first time appearing on the record. Can you both state
- 5 by whom you have been detailed and your legal qualifications
- **6** and status as to oath and whether you have acted in any
- 7 disqualifying manner in this case.
- 8 ATC [Capt RUDY]: Good morning again, Your Honor. Captain
- **9** Johnathan Rudy. I have been detailed to this military
- 10 commission by the Chief Prosecutor in accordance with Rule for
- 11 Military Commissions 503. I am qualified under Rule for
- 12 Military Commission 502(d) and have been previously sworn in
- 13 accordance with Rule for Military Commissions 807. I have not
- 14 acted in any manner which might tend to disqualify me in this
- 15 proceeding. The detailing document has been marked as
- **16** Appellate Exhibit 003H.
- 17 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Thank you. Captain Depue.
- 18 ATC [Capt DEPUE]: Good morning, Your Honor. Captain Eric
- 19 Depue for the government. I have been detailed by the Chief
- 20 Prosecutor in accordance with Rule for Military Commissions
- 21 503. I am qualified under Rule for Military Commissions
- 22 502(d), and I have previously been sworn under Rule for
- 23 Military Commissions 807. I have not acted in any

- 1 disqualifying manner, and the detailing memorandum has been
- **2** marked as Appellate Exhibit 003H.
- 3 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Thank you. And who is here to represent
- 4 Mr. Hadi?
- **5** DC [CDR COOPER]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- **6** MJ [Col RUBIN]: Good morning.
- 7 DC [CDR COOPER]: All counsel present when the last
- 8 session recessed are again present.
- 9 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Thank you.
- 10 On 13 August 2017, I conducted an R.M.C. 803 session
- 11 with all counsel present. The counsel and I discussed the
- 12 anticipated order of motions during this session and new
- 13 counsel put their qualifications on the record. We discussed
- 14 whether the parties anticipated classified argument on AE
- 15 070FFF and the possible need for an M.C.R.E. 505(h) hearing.
- 16 The defense informed the commission that they did not
- 17 desire to litigate AE 085 during this session of the
- 18 commission. The defense brought up a pending motion they
- 19 recently filed that has not yet been accepted for filing. The
- 20 defense stated they intend to object to the deposition of
- 21 Ahmed al Darbi occurring this week and the commission's ruling
- **22** on the third-party motion to reconsider AE 070GGG.
- The defense indicated that the accused intended to

- 1 voluntarily waive his presence today but intended to be
- 2 present at the deposition scheduled for this week.
- **3** Additionally, the defense informed the commission
- 4 that the accused has been dealing with some medical issues.
- 5 We discussed some ground rules and administrative issues
- 6 related to the deposition specifically related to how
- 7 objections would be handled, swearing of translators,
- 8 preservation of visual exhibits, camera views, and whether
- 9 translation would be simultaneous or consecutive. We also
- 10 discussed the anticipated length of the deposition.
- 11 Finally, we discussed the best time to hold an
- 12 M.C.R.E. 505(h) hearing this week related to the AE 070
- 13 series. The counsel were in agreement that it would be best
- 14 to conduct the 505(h) hearing after the government's direct
- 15 examination of Mr. al Darbi. The commission concurs.
- 16 Do counsel for either side have anything to add or
- 17 correct based upon the commission's summary of our 802
- 18 conference?
- TC [CDR SHORT]: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
- 20 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Defense?
- 21 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: It will be easier if I do this at
- 22 the end. First, I wanted to put on the record our discussion
- 23 of some of the deposition mechanics, just to make our

1 positions clear. We would -- we discussed and we have decided 2 we would in fact like to voir dire -- have the opportunity to 3 voir dire the interpreter. Trial counsel informed me this 4 morning that we will be supplied, under seal, with the CVs for 5 the interpreters as I understand it, and that actually may 6 obviate the need for it. We won't do it unless we feel like 7 there are additional questions that need to be asked, but we 8 would -- we would request that. It would not be intrusive to 9 preserve the identity of the interpreter, which is classified. 10 We may need to go briefly, I think, into a closed session to 11 handle that. 12 We also discussed the question of the camera coverage 13 of the deposition, and we requested, and now request formally 14 on the record, that the camera, there be a dual screen; one 15 camera on the witness, one on the questioning attorney and/or 16 the defense table when the defense is questioning, or as I 17 understand it's currently done -- I haven't actually looked at 18 the video feed for a very long time -- at least a shifting 19 back and forth in response to questions so that you capture 20 some of the actual dynamics in the courtroom. 21 We think this is very important and it will certainly 22 be an issue if it is not done that way when it comes time to 23 question the admissibility of the deposition. If this is in

- 1 fact a trial deposition, and the government has gone a little
- 2 back and forth on how they want to characterize this -- is it
- 3 trial or is it discovery pretrial -- then I think the question
- 4 of how much it conveys to the panel, the actual experience of
- 5 the courtroom, should be an issue and we will make that an
- **6** issue. So our request is that we handle the camera work in
- 7 that manner.
- 8 A couple of other issues. We have not received yet
- 9 the <u>al Nashiri</u> al Darbi direct transcript or video. That's
- 10 clearly 914. I don't think the government contests that. I
- 11 would appreciate it if the government could let us know on the
- 12 record when we could expect to receive that. I assume it will
- 13 be well before cross. My understanding is also, and this is
- 14 hearsay and rumor, that it may be caught up in some
- 15 classification review limbo, but I would appreciate them
- 16 speaking to that for our planning purposes.
- 17 Finally, I would like to speak to the objection to
- 18 going forward with the deposition this week that you
- 19 mentioned, and I want to -- we have reconsidered that
- 20 objection in light of the discussion at the 802 to the effect
- 21 that all objections will be reserved to the questions and
- 22 testimony of Mr. al Darbi until trial, with the exception of
- 23 objections that go to the form of the question. So if in fact

1 we are able to reserve, without waiver, all objections other 2 than to the form of the question, we anticipate that we will 3 be able to go forward with the deposition because we will have 4 the opportunity to consult with Mr. al Darbi -- I'm sorry, Mr. Al-Tamir, prior to formulating our objection strategy. 5 6 And just to give the background on that, because it's 7 important and leads into the larger question that we should 8 try to address as soon as possible, the government delivered 9 35 exhibits or so at 1630 in the afternoon this past Friday --10 in other words, right -- the Friday afternoon before we all 11 left to come down for this hearing. And prior to that we had 12 carefully considered whether, under current conditions and the 13 current issues that have arisen with respect to the 14 attorney-client relationship, we could go forward with the 15 deposition. We had concluded that we could. 16 When we received these exhibits, we realized that 17 without being able to speak to Mr. Al-Tamir about these 18 exhibits, about which we had no prior knowledge -- and I would 19 just pause for a moment. The government mentioned at the 802 20 yesterday that in fact we do have that. We have not been able 21 to locate at least many of these. If you -- and you have said 22 we received them in discovery. It would be very helpful if 23 you could give us the actual Bates numbers for that so we can

- 1 confirm that and we can put them in context.
- 2 But having now suddenly received exhibits that were
- 3 going to be admitted in evidence, we believed, at this
- 4 deposition, we did not think we responsibly could decide what
- 5 was admissible, what was not, what was strategically helpful
- 6 to not to object to, what were the possible bases for the
- 7 admission and so on, and so that was our basis for the
- 8 objection to going forward. After the discussion yesterday,
- 9 we have decided -- we hope and expect that we will at some
- 10 time before trial be able to actually consult with him and do
- **11** that.
- 12 So based on and in reliance on a ruling that all
- 13 objections except for objections to the form of the question
- 14 are reserved without waiver until the time of trial, we
- 15 withdraw our objection to the deposition going forward this
- **16** week.
- 17 That said, that does not address the really big issue
- 18 that we still have to deal with. To be perfectly clear, we
- 19 cannot go forward with the cross-examination until this
- 20 attorney-client confidentiality issue is resolved. It is one
- 21 thing to say we can talk later about the admissibility of the
- 22 exhibits; it's another to say how we formulate a
- 23 cross-examination strategy with a witness who claims to have

- 1 met our client, claims to have personal knowledge of our
- 2 client's activities, without first discussing in detail the
- 3 truth or untruth of those allegations, explanations or lack of
- 4 explanations for those allegations and so on.
- 5 So to require us to go forward with cross-examination
- 6 without resolution of this issue will violate Mr. Al-Tamir's
- 7 right to counsel.
- **8** We should have been able to begin resolving this
- $\mathbf{9}$ issue in this session. In fact, my recollection -- and I
- 10 could not find a reference on the record, but I believe it was
- 11 said -- and Judge, you will correct me if I am
- 12 misremembering -- that we all agreed, including you, that this
- 13 was an issue of primary importance that we would need to
- 14 resolve and put first on the agenda for this session. And we
- 15 promised that we would file a motion.
- 16 We did file a motion, a detailed motion, setting
- 17 forth all of the relevant facts and the highly relevant prior
- 18 history of these kinds of problems in other commissions cases,
- 19 all of which is necessary background for you to understand and
- 20 resolve the issue, and more importantly, for our client to be
- 21 able to make an informed decision about whether he is willing
- 22 to engage in substantive decisions with us under present
- 23 conditions.

1 And we set out more recent facts and we asked for 2 various forms of relief, but -- and laid out our -- the case. 3 We thought we had filed this motion. In fact, as you 4 mentioned in your summary, it remains, as far as we know, in classification review limbo. It was over two weeks ago that 5 6 it was filed, in plenty of time for the government to respond 7 and for us to begin resolving this, at least. 8 We believed, after consultation with our DSO, that 9 none of the facts and the other information or the arguments 10 in that document, AE 094, was classified, but it remains in 11 limbo. We cannot -- we cannot go forward with it today, 12 apparently. We did everything properly. We marked it 13 following the trial judiciary's instructions and so on, but it 14 has not yet been accepted. 15 So here we are again, Judge, over a month later, a 16 new session, and we have the same issue hanging over this 17 proceeding, and in fact hanging over the entire commissions 18 process, except that now that issue has gotten much, much 19 worse. And although we believe discussion of that development 20 is properly unclassified too, once again I cannot talk about 21 it, just as I cannot talk about AE 094 because it has not yet 22 gone through the classification review. I cannot talk about 23 it in this public setting because of instructions by trial

- 1 judiciary at the behest of the original classifying authority
- 2 that it is classified. So the information is contained in AE
- 3 095 that was filed last week and accepted for filing at the
- 4 SECRET level.
- 5 The impact of these classification decisions, and
- 6 inexcusably delayed classification decisions, is that this
- 7 process is on the verge of stalling. This is nothing new to
- 8 this system. Every commission case has been impeded by
- 9 classification problems in ways that are really unimaginable
- 10 in any other system of criminal justice outside of this one,
- 11 but what is most egregious here is that the public is being
- 12 misled. The public is being misled about a fundamental issue
- 13 that goes to the heart of the legitimacy of this system as a
- 14 whole, because today the state of the public record stands as
- 15 follows.
- 16 On the 29th of April 2017, trial counsel stood here
- 17 in a public session and made the following statements, read
- 18 the following statements, and I will read what they said
- 19 because I am reassured that they are unclassified because they
- 20 made those statements after consultation with the original
- 21 classifying authority, as we cannot do when we stand up in
- 22 court, on the basis, no doubt, of the actual classification
- 23 guidance, which helps determine these kinds of guestions where

- 1 the line is drawn. We do not have access to that, the
- 2 official classification guidance. It's been denied us for the
- 3 nine years that I have been working on the commissions. So I
- 4 am quite -- I feel confident that what I am about to say, what
- 5 I quote from them is unclassified.
- **6** They stood up and said, "The confidential nature of
- 7 attorney-client communications is strictly observed by the
- 8 military guard force in this location. They did admit that
- 9 recently, efforts to accommodate attorney-client meetings in a
- 10 location other than the normally designated meeting rooms
- 11 resulted in a small number of detainees, none of them
- 12 currently in contested military commission trial proceedings,
- 13 unintentionally being overheard in their communications with
- 14 their attorneys. And upon learning this was occurring, the
- 15 commander of the facility put a stop to it and self-reported
- 16 the situation."
- And they went on to say, "Appropriate remedial
- 18 actions are being taken, including the offer to the defense
- 19 counsel of an opportunity to inspect their meeting rooms to
- 20 satisfy themselves that no overhearing is occurring."
- Very reassuring. "There was a problem, we are on
- **22** it."
- That was the state of the public record when they

- 1 stood up and made that statement. That is still the state of
- 2 the public record, except that "redacted," and I will say
- 3 "redacted" to save the original classifying authority censors
- 4 the trouble of redacting what I would say otherwise.
- 5 "Redacted." That is not on the public record. What I just
- 6 said was redacted. It has been filed, information regarding
- 7 it in AE 095 that is before you. But the public does not
- 8 know, and the last thing the public knows about the situation
- 9 we are confronting now is trial counsel's statement from the
- 10 last session. That is an egregious situation, and I can't say
- 11 more than that.
- I also can't say -- make recommendations on ways to
- 13 resolve this in this setting even though in federal court it
- 14 is handled very, very differently, and I can't say more than
- 15 that either without risk of crossing the line, a line that I
- 16 don't know where that line is. They do. They have the line
- 17 to the OCA. But I will say that it's not how it's handled in
- 18 federal court. We know that for sure. And I would direct you
- 19 to one of the attachments in the defense response to AE 070LL,
- **20** which is classified, under seal, that you have before you.
- 21 So we object. We object to what we think is an abuse
- 22 of Executive Order 13526. We object to the public being
- 23 misled. And we absolutely object to further substantive

- 1 proceedings going on until we get this problem resolved. It
- 2 is real. It has gotten much, much realer, and until that is
- **3** resolved, we object.
- 4 We are willing to go forward per your statement in
- 5 the 802 summary with the motions we discussed; but beyond
- 6 that, we cannot commit to much, other than the strong desire
- 7 to see AE 094, in light now of AE 095, addressed at the
- 8 earliest possible moment.
- 9 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Mr. Thurschwell, just to clarify, the
- 10 defense is prepared to go forward on the three motions?
- 11 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Yes, we are, Judge.
- 12 MJ [Col RUBIN]: We discussed, and to the extent the
- 13 objections were handled in the manner in which you described,
- 14 you are prepared to go forward on the government's direct
- 15 examination of Mr. al Darbi?
- **16** ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Yes, we are, Judge.
- 17 MJ [Col RUBIN]: All right. Counsel, let's discuss some
- 18 of the deposition mechanics now. It seems like an appropriate
- **19** time.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: Your Honor, if we may have a minute to
- 21 address, to clarify a couple of issues.
- 22 MJ [Col RUBIN]: You may.
- 23 ATC [LCDR SPENCER]: Good morning, Your Honor. Lieutenant

- 1 Commander Spencer for the government.
- 2 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Good morning.
- **3** ATC [LCDR SPENCER]: I just want to clear up a few
- 4 concerns from Mr. Thurschwell. First of all, the public has
- 5 not been misled. That's simply not true. At best, it's
- 6 grandstanding and inaccurate.
- AE 094, which presumably you have seen but not yet
- 8 been accepted, contain possible classified information and it
- 9 was filed as an unclassified filing. Having said that -- and
- 10 I understand that's being in the process of being resolved,
- 11 which is why the government hasn't responded to it because it
- 12 has not been accepted for filing yet. So there has been no
- 13 delay by the government, there has been no bad faith by the
- 14 government. It's the defense, apparently in consultation with
- 15 their DSO, not properly marking something or not erring on the
- 16 side of caution on something that should have been properly
- 17 classified.
- 18 Having said that, the government is prepared this
- 19 week to waive our response to AE 094 and litigate that in a
- 20 closed session should the commission desire to do that. That
- 21 would best resolve, I believe, the defense's concerns. And I
- 22 am not saying the defense's concerns about the attorney-client
- 23 relationship are illegitimate, but the government believes

- 1 that they can be fairly easily resolved, agrees with the
- 2 defense that they should be resolved sooner rather than later,
- 3 certainly to keep the deposition on track with the
- 4 cross-examination in October. So the government is prepared
- 5 to litigate that issue in a closed session this week, waiving
- 6 our written response and moving directly to oral argument in a
- 7 closed session.
- **8** Lastly, with the 35 exhibits, Your Honor, as we
- 9 discussed yesterday in the 802 and as Mr. Thurschwell
- 10 referenced, virtually all of those documents, if not 100
- 11 percent of them, have been in the defense counsel's possession
- 12 for the better part of two years, some as great as four years.
- 13 So it's not something that they should have been surprised by.
- 14 However, based on Mr. Thurschwell's request, we will work to
- 15 get them the Bates numbers so they can directly
- 16 cross-reference those, understanding now the defense has
- 17 withdrawn their objection and is willing to proceed with the
- 18 direct examination but I wanted to put that piece of it on the
- 19 record.
- 20 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Thank you.
- 21 Counsel, if we could address some of the mechanics of
- 22 the deposition raised by Mr. Thurschwell.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: Yes, Your Honor. And one of the things

- 1 is regarding the interpreters also I just need to clarify.
- 2 The interpreters, it's not a classification issue, it's
- 3 under -- their CVs will be under seal under the protective
- 4 order, which is AE 014A, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Yes. Trial Counsel, who can clarify some
- 6 of the -- the government's position regarding the deposition
- 7 mechanics: Translator, voir dire of translator, camera
- 8 angles, objections? It seems like an appropriate time now
- 9 that it's been raised. We need to do this at some point, so
- 10 it seems best to do it now.
- 11 TC [CDR SHORT]: Yes, sir.
- 12 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Let's start with the translators.
- 13 Obviously they are going to be administered the oath.
- 14 Mr. Thurschwell indicated that the CVs will be provided to the
- 15 defense.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: That's my understanding. They are going
- 17 to be provided to the court under seal, as I think it was
- 18 brought up in the 802 briefly yesterday. And, with respect,
- 19 there was some back and forth; that is in fact the way it's
- 20 going to do. They will be marked as an appellate exhibit.
- 21 MJ [Col RUBIN]: It obviates the need for any voir dire.
- 22 What's the government's position regarding whether the defense
- 23 should be afforded the opportunity to voir dire the

- **1** translators?
- 2 TC [CDR SHORT]: That would be fine, sir. I just want to
- 3 make sure we follow the protective order and protect the
- 4 personal information of the interpreters, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Mr. Thurschwell, do you intend to voir
- 6 dire regarding qualifications only as opposed to identity?
- 7 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Qualifications only, Judge. We
- 8 are not interested in identity.
- 9 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Very well. The commission will give you
- 10 that opportunity, if you deem necessary, after review of the
- 11 CVs. I believe those are AE 008.
- 12 Trial Counsel, if you would address the camera,
- 13 camera or cameras. It's the defense's desire to have a camera
- 14 both on the deponent as well as the counsel asking the
- 15 question. What's the government's position?
- 16 TC [CDR SHORT]: Yes, sir. First of all, I would like to
- 17 state that it is my understanding that -- I know they haven't
- 18 seen the video from the <u>al Nashiri</u>, and I will address that as
- 19 well regarding the transcript and the video; we are going get
- 20 that to them as soon as possible, Your Honor, we hope, within
- 21 a day or so.
- 22 MJ [Col RUBIN]: All right.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: Regarding the camera angles, the camera,

- 1 the way they did it in the <u>al Nashiri</u> case, it worked, Your
- 2 Honor. They had a camera on the deponent and they actually
- 3 used a backup camera as well, a standalone, and that worked,
- 4 Your Honor. I understand, you know, we want to try to put
- 5 forth the courtroom experience as best as possible. I fully
- 6 agree that we can do that. However, the actions or inactions
- 7 of trial counsel or defense counsel are not evidence and I
- 8 want to make sure that that's clear, that that may actually
- 9 create an issue. Mr. Thurschwell says if they are not taped,
- 10 that could create an issue. What the counsel is doing and
- 11 acting is not evidence. Their words and questions are the
- 12 evidence that will be put into the record, Your Honor. So I
- 13 just want to make sure that that is clear on the record, no
- **14** matter how you want to proceed.
- 15 The other thing is ----
- 16 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Hold on one second. Mr. Thurschwell, how
- 17 do you anticipate -- if there were two cameras, how would that
- 18 ultimately be played to panel members? Split screen, one on
- 19 the counsel and one on the deponent?
- 20 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Ideally split screen, and we are
- 21 thinking more about cross-examination where what is in
- 22 evidence is the demeanor of the witness in response to the
- 23 questioning that he is undergoing. That is very much in

- 1 evidence and so yes, what the counsel says technically is not
- 2 in evidence unless it's adopted or agreed to by the witness.
- 3 But I think that for purposes of the admissibility question,
- 4 the similarity or dissimilarity of the substitute for live
- 5 trial testimony is critical to or is a critical element of the
- 6 admissibility decision. We think it will significantly
- 7 detract from its -- both the information that's imparted to
- 8 the panel and also to the legitimacy of the substitution of
- **9** the deposition for the live testimony.
- 10 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Trial Counsel, is it possible to have a
- 11 camera out-facing, whether it's the camera that's located
- 12 behind me filming the counsel also in question?
- TC [CDR SHORT]: Your Honor, this morning I spoke to the
- 14 courtroom technology people. There is -- it is possible. It
- 15 creates some difficulties. And my understanding is when it is
- 16 going back and forth, we may lose something, so we would
- 17 like to finalize it.
- 18 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Not to go back and forth, but I'd like to
- 19 have one camera focused exclusively on the deponent, another
- 20 camera perhaps facing outboard.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: With a split screen, Your Honor.
- 22 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Split screen.
- TC [CDR SHORT]: I will check with the courtroom

- 1 technology folks and make sure that is a possibility and
- 2 report back to you after the next recess, Your Honor.
- 3 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Mr. Thurschwell, is your desire more for
- 4 the cross-examination?
- 5 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Certainly it's our major concern
- 6 is the cross-examination, but that raises a good point. At
- 7 this stage it seems likely, since objections will have to be
- 8 resolved later, there is going to be editing of this video in
- 9 any event. And I find it difficult to believe that with
- 10 modern technology, if we have two recorded camera feeds
- 11 trained exactly as you suggested, one outward and one on the
- 12 witness, that there couldn't be splices, split screens that
- 13 were implemented after the fact that we could come to some
- 14 agreement on, you know, down the line. I mean, there is going
- 15 to be a lot of discussion down the line of the proper editing
- 16 of the video in any event at this point. That is a solution
- **17** also.
- 18 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Trial Counsel, if you could check on
- **19** the technology ----
- TC [CDR SHORT]: I will check, Your Honor, but at some
- 21 point I guess I need to emphasize that I believe, you know,
- 22 what Mr. Thurschwell was saying, that the demeanor and I guess
- 23 even ultimately the theatrics of a cross-examination or an

- **1** attorney is not evidence, Your Honor. I don't see how that
- 2 would be evidence. The evidence is the -- and as will be in
- 3 the jury instructions -- what you hear from the witness and
- 4 the witness stand.
- 5 MJ [Col RUBIN]: I think it's more to capture the full
- **6** effect of the hearing.
- 7 TC [CDR SHORT]: Okay. I will find out for you, Your
- 8 Honor, and I will report back.
- 9 MJ [Col RUBIN]: The commission's ruling will be the
- 10 following: There will be a camera on the deponent and I want
- 11 to get as much of his face, and as big as possible, because
- 12 obviously his credibility and his mannerisms are paramount for
- 13 a panel assessing his credibility.
- To the extent there may be another camera available
- 15 to film the counsel, I will permit it, provided the technology
- **16** will permit that.
- 17 TC [CDR SHORT]: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 MJ [Col RUBIN]: So whether that's the camera behind me
- 19 filming while there is a separate camera facing towards the
- 20 deponent, but ----
- 21 TC [CDR SHORT]: If we can film it at split screen, is
- **22** that ----
- 23 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Any way you can do it, whether it's two

- 1 different cameras or split screen, but my primary concern is
- 2 if and when this deposition is played to the members, they
- 3 have to have a large viewing of the deponent's face and body.
- 4 TC [CDR SHORT]: Absolutely, Your Honor, and that's how it
- 5 was done two weeks ago.
- **6** MJ [Col RUBIN]: It is my understanding there were
- 7 multiple cameras -- I think it was done in the courtroom,
- 8 multiple cameras. What I am envisioning is two cameras, one
- 9 definitely on the deponent and the other, if possible, on the
- 10 counsel asking the question.
- 11 TC [CDR SHORT]: Your Honor, I believe there was the
- 12 overhead camera was on the deponent here and the secondary
- 13 camera was a standalone tripod camera for backup purposes.
- **14** MJ [Col RUBIN]: That will work.
- 15 If you will address now the objections. Counsel, I
- 16 did reread R.M.C. 702(h), which deals with objections,
- 17 particularly (h)(2). What's the government's position
- 18 regarding objections made or not made by defense counsel?
- 19 Obviously there were some concerns by the defense counsel
- 20 regarding waiver of any objections.
- 21 TC [CDR SHORT]: Your Honor, I think we gave our position
- 22 last night in the 802, but I think that was the basis of the
- 23 government -- I mean, the defense withdrawing their objection.

- **1** As long as they have withdrawn their objection, any objections
- 2 that can be remedied at the time we need, they would waive if
- 3 they don't make that objection at the time.
- 4 MJ [Col RUBIN]: I guess what is the government's
- 5 interpretation, any objections that could be waived? Are we
- 6 talking about leading questions, form of the questions, or are
- 7 you talking more substantive issues?
- 8 TC [CDR SHORT]: The form of the question, Your Honor, is
- 9 what will be waived.
- 10 MJ [Col RUBIN]: All right. Form of the question ----
- 11 TC [CDR SHORT]: The substantive they can make later.
- 12 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Very well. Mr. Thurschwell, the
- 13 commission will accept your interpretation of the objections
- 14 as you articulated during the 802 summary. The commission
- 15 will have a liberal view of objections if and when those
- 16 issues are raised regarding admissibility of any of the
- 17 matters. So as far as form of the questions, whether leading,
- 18 confusing, misleading, and you can correct that at the time,
- 19 please make that objection and the deposition officer, myself,
- 20 will rule on that. As far as other objections, more
- 21 substantive objections, those will not be waived even though
- 22 they are not made when the deposition takes place.
- 23 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Thank you, Judge.

1 TC [CDR SHORT]: Judge, the only other thing the 2 government would like to address is you have accepted the 3 waiver for the accused's presence. It is our understanding 4 that the defense had made contact with the office this morning 5 and may be able to put some additional information on the 6 record as to that waiver. 7 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Commander Cooper. 8 DC [CDR COOPER]: Yes. As of this morning, Mr. Al-Tamir 9 continues to waive his appearance today, voluntarily and 10 freelv. 11 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Mr. Thurschwell, as far as consultation 12 with Mr. Hadi prior to the deposition, I am amenable if you 13 desire to have a slightly later start time to the deposition, 14 perhaps if Mr. Hadi is here sometime at 09 or earlier, holding 15 off the government's direct to maybe 1000 to give you an hour 16 with Mr. Hadi, if you would like, if that would ameliorate 17 some of the issues regarding speaking with him. 18 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Judge, at this stage, given that 19 nothing has been litigated or put on the record, we would 20 appreciate that opportunity. I cannot say that it would 21 ameliorate the concerns we articulated in AE 094, but it would 22 be helpful for many reasons. I tried, because of other 23

concerns that we raised at the 802 -- I wanted to see him last

- **1** night and was unable to. It would be good for me to be able
- 2 to talk to him for a bit about those other concerns prior to
- 3 the deposition.
- 4 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Very well. Trial Counsel, for the
- 5 members of the guard force, assuming we start the deposition
- 6 tomorrow morning, we will start at 1000. I would like
- 7 Mr. Hadi here no later than 09 to give the defense a full hour
- 8 with him.
- **9** TC [CDR SHORT]: Yes, sir.
- 10 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Thank you, Judge.
- 11 MJ [Col RUBIN]: As far as AE 094, if and when that is
- 12 accepted, Trial Counsel, is it the government's position that
- 13 this motion needs to be litigated and ruled upon before the
- 14 cross-examination of Mr. al Darbi could take place?
- 15 TC [CDR SHORT]: You are talking about AE 094, Your Honor?
- **16** MJ [Col RUBIN]: Correct.
- 17 TC [CDR SHORT]: Your Honor, the government is ready to
- 18 proceed and it is important to litigate that prior.
- 19 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Counsel, any more issues with the
- 20 deposition mechanics, start time?
- TC [CDR SHORT]: No, sir, Your Honor.
- 22 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Defense?
- 23 ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: I was distracted.

- 1 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Any other deposition-related issues that
- 2 we need to address?
- **3** ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Let me just inquire briefly.
- 4 Judge, the issue I -- you mentioned, but I neglected
- 5 to amplify when I stood up in relationship to the 802 summary,
- 6 was our motion to reconsider your ruling cutting off the video
- 7 feed to the mainland and other public areas here. That motion
- 8 is going to be filed sometime later this morning, we hope,
- **9** early afternoon at the latest. Our position is that that
- 10 should be resolved before the deposition takes place, and so
- 11 that's the only other issue we would, we would ----
- 12 MJ [Col RUBIN]: All right. It is the commission's intent
- 13 to start the deposition tomorrow, so you have until close of
- 14 business to file that. I can address that tomorrow morning
- **15** prior to the deposition.
- **16** ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Thank you, Judge.
- 17 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Okay. We would need to have an open
- 18 session prior to that.
- **19** ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: I understand, Judge.
- 20 MJ [Col RUBIN]: In AE 092, the commission's docketing
- 21 order, it lists four motions for the commission to receive
- 22 evidence and hear argument on as required. These motions
- 23 include Appellate Exhibits 070CC, 070FFF, 085 and 091. We

- 1 will not litigate AE 085 during this session pursuant to the
- 2 defense's request.
- 3 Defense, I am going to ask you that -- I am not going
- 4 to docket 085 for litigation absent an affirmative request by
- 5 the defense. This is the second commission in which it has
- 6 appeared on the Docketing Order and not litigated. So moving
- 7 forward I'm not going to list it absent an affirmative
- 8 request.
- **9** ADC [MR. THURSCHWELL]: Understood, Your Honor, and we
- 10 will be sure to make the affirmative request when we can, as
- 11 soon as we can do so.
- 12 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Thank you, sir.
- 13 All right. Counsel, let's start with AE 091. In
- **14** AE 091, the defense requests that the commission enter an
- 15 appropriate order compelling the government to provide the
- 16 accused with a write-enabled laptop computer. The government
- 17 opposes the defense motion as set forth in AE 091A. The
- 18 commission previously litigated a defense motion on this
- 19 matter. Oral argument was presented to the commission during
- 20 the November 2016 session. The commission will consider that
- 21 prior oral argument to the extent desired by the parties.
- 22 Nevertheless, counsel, feel free to provide oral argument to
- 23 the extent you may desire; and if you want me to consider any

- 1 prior matters, just please let me know.
- **2** Defense, do you wish to be heard on AE 091?
- 3 DDC [LCDR LOFLAND]: Good morning, Your Honor. Lieutenant
- 4 Commander Keith Lofland representing Mr. Al-Tamir regarding
- **5** AE 091.
- **6** As with AE 062, the defense has the burden both as to
- 7 matters of fact and persuasion by a preponderance. As
- 8 previously stated in AE 062 and in our motion AE 091,
- 9 Mr. Al-Tamir respectfully requested this commission compel the
- 10 government to provide Mr. Al-Tamir an appropriate
- 11 write-enabled laptop computer for purposes of both access to
- 12 this court, this commission, and to further vindicate his
- 13 rights to effective assistance of counsel.
- We note that in the government's response to AE 091A,
- 15 the government attached an e-mail to the Chief Defense
- 16 Counsel, Brigadier General Baker, regarding the convening
- 17 authority's position regarding providing laptops to detainees
- 18 generally. We note that this was not a specific response to
- 19 Mr. Al-Tamir's specific concerns previously raised. However,
- 20 if this commission treats that as a constructive notice to the
- 21 defense regarding its position regarding Mr. Al-Tamir's
- 22 request, we recognize that that would moot the alternative
- 23 requested in AE 091.

1 Accordingly, the defense would request, in the 2 further alternative, that this commission enter a further 3 order allowing for the defense to provide Mr. Al-Tamir an 4 appropriate laptop consistent with the practices engaged in 5 Mr. Mohammad, et al.'s case. 6 Turning to the government's arguments in their 7 response, those arguments ignore the specific context and the 8 unique conditions of Mr. Al-Tamir's confinement and 9 misconstrues the law as to what constitutes meaningful access 10 to the courts and effective assistance of counsel in these 11 circumstances. The right to access to the courts and 12 effective assistance of counsel are inextricably intertwined 13 with broadly recognized due process rights in our system of 14 justice. AE 091 and 062 read together clearly implicate those 15 riahts. In these circumstances, access must implicate a 16 meaningful opportunity for Mr. Al-Tamir not only to access, 17 review, comment upon the evidence in his case, but to also 18 meaningfully communicate that with counsel. 19 The correct analog for analyzing those rights is 20 reference to other commissions cases; in other words, this 21 jurisdiction. Those rights are not constrained to the most 22 onerous procedures that are referenced in the government's 23 response, and that's why we must look to what procedures were

- 1 implemented in Mr. Mohammad's case in those 9/11 cases and why
- 2 those procedures were instituted.
- 3 The defense has subsequently submitted a reply to the
- 4 government's response that further expounded upon the
- 5 procedural background of those cases, and that background is
- 6 illustrative of why a similar right attaches to Mr. Al-Tamir.
- 7 At all relevant periods of the representations of those
- 8 detainees, they were represented by counsel. Initially when
- 9 the decision for some of those detainees to go pro se was
- 10 made, standby counsel was assigned. The reason for the order
- 11 in those cases was to facilitate communication with that
- 12 standby counsel in order to guarantee the due process rights
- 13 of those individual detainees.
- 14 In the intervening years since that litigation began,
- 15 the pro se status of those detainees has changed; nonetheless,
- 16 the mandate from that commission in those cases to either
- 17 return the previously provided laptop computers or to provide
- 18 those detainees with adequate substitutes as specified in the
- 19 order referenced in the motions, vindicates the same purposes,
- 20 to facilitate communication with counsel in an effort to
- 21 protect both the access rights and the effective assistance of
- 22 counsel rights of those detainees.
- Related, those laptops as referenced in the

- 1 litigation in those cases were provided due to the significant
- 2 volume of evidence in those cases. Similarly, in this case,
- 3 there is an ample amount of both documentary and multimedia
- 4 evidence that is involved. Just referencing the unclassified
- 5 materials that have been provided thus far, we are now well in
- 6 excess of 33,000 pages of just documentary evidence.
- As a reference point of why the volume of evidence
- 8 matters in this case, we can reference a dispute that has come
- 9 up frequently in this commission regarding the basic identity
- 10 and name Mr. Al-Tamir. In the AE 070 series later, the
- 11 government avers that they were shocked and amazed that we
- 12 raised this issue last year. However, referencing just two of
- 13 the unclassified documents that the government has provided in
- 14 discovery -- for Trial Counsel's benefit, referencing pages
- **15** Hadi 1-027919 and Hadi 1-027932 for your reference -- those
- 16 are respective an International Red Cross letter written by
- 17 Mr. Al-Tamir dated 22 August 2007, the second document is a
- 18 letter that he wrote to the District of Columbia Circuit Court
- 19 dated 29 May 2008, both referencing and signed Nashwan
- 20 Al-Tamir or some derivative of that name. Those are just the
- 21 references in the unclassified discovery that the government
- 22 has provided.
- If, in all the volume of evidence that the government

- 1 has provided to date they missed that basic fact, it's
- 2 patently unreasonable to hold Mr. Al-Tamir to a standard of
- 3 assimilating, processing, reviewing the same volume of
- 4 information in any meaningful form without the assistance of
- 5 the similar mechanical means by which we were able to
- 6 eventually untie this very basic, you know, knot.
- 7 Further, the government distinguishes -- attempts to
- 8 distinguish the federal cases cited in our motion and ignores
- 9 a salient point of each of those cases, that in each of those
- 10 cases there was an underlying state policy or statutory right
- 11 to access computers or some other mechanical means of
- 12 accessing their case materials that was conferred by either
- **13** statute or policy.
- 14 While federal courts have not addressed the specific
- 15 question of whether or not a constitutional right in those
- 16 that it have -- we agreed that the government have tended not
- 17 to find a specific constitutional right, that issue is largely
- 18 overcome by events because in each of these cases there is an
- 19 underlying right in that jurisdiction, either by
- 20 administrative policy or clear statutory enactment, that does
- 21 convey such a right, which raises the question, what is the
- 22 right that has been conferred in this jurisdiction.
- In light of that question, the government's frame of

- 1 analysis is clearly wrong. It is not appropriate to look just
- 2 to the federal cases. This is not a federal district court.
- 3 This is in many respects a sui generis procedure, and the most
- 4 analogous cases to look to are the other active referred
- 5 commissions cases.
- **6** For the reasons laid out in our reply, there are
- 7 reasons why the laptop has been determined to be a necessary
- 8 adjunct to the access and counsel rights of those detainees.
- 9 Similarly, Mr. Al-Tamir stands in a very similar
- 10 posture to those detainees. The government makes a great deal
- 11 about the pro se status of the detainees when those laptops
- 12 were initially issued. That status and distinction has been
- 13 overcome by events in that litigation and should not inform
- 14 this commission's analysis of Mr. Al-Tamir's rights.
- 15 Salient points of comparison are Mr. Al-Tamir stands
- 16 before this commission, with the referred charges for military
- 17 commission, in a similar volume of information that requires a
- 18 mechanical means to properly assess, review, communicate with
- 19 counsel.
- I'm taking a pause just to be certain. I don't want
- 21 to bleed over into some of the classified information we will
- 22 discuss later that are also intertwined with this.
- I will conclude my argument by just referencing that,

- 1 as we have stated in our previous motions and arguments on
- **2** both AE 062 and the current motion before this commission,
- 3 Mr. Al-Tamir, as a matter of right in this jurisdiction, is
- 4 entitled to a laptop computer in order to assist him not only
- 5 with effectively accessing this court, this commission, but
- 6 also to effectively communicate the issues involving the
- 7 discovery that -- not only the ones that have come up to date,
- 8 but are fairly -- we fairly anticipate will come up in the
- 9 future that require some means to analyze a significant volume
- 10 of data.
- 11 If this commission deems that it is not going to
- 12 compel the government to produce a laptop, we reiterate our
- 13 alternative relief that we be permitted as a defense to
- 14 provide Mr. Al-Tamir with a similarly capable laptop computer
- 15 consistent with the procedures in Mr. Mohammad, et al.'s case.
- As noted in the government's response, there aren't
- 17 any, you know, procedural penological limitations to the
- 18 ability of the government to allow the provision of a laptop.
- 19 The government's cited basis for, outside of just not wanting
- 20 to, extend to bases of mere convenience for the government.
- 21 They cite that the laptops were secondarily provided as an
- 22 incentive to induce pleas from other detainees. That
- 23 administrative convenience for the government is not and it

1 should not be construed as an impediment to Mr. Al-Tamir 2 vindicating his rights or the defense facilitating him 3 vindicating those rights in the absence of an order for the 4 government to provide that laptop. 5 With that, that concludes my argument, barring any 6 questions from Your Honor. 7 MJ [Col RUBIN]: No questions. You have answered them. 8 Thank you. 9 Trial Counsel? 10 ATC [LCDR LINCOLN]: Your Honor, Lieutenant Commander 11 Lincoln. I will be arguing for the government. However, 12 although I do recognize those documents, we were not provided 13 notice, and I would just like the opportunity, I believe if 14 Commander Lofland had a copy, just to take a look at them. 15 MJ [Col RUBIN]: You may. 16 ATC [LCDR LINCOLN]: Could we have a five to ten-minute 17 recess, Your Honor? 18 MJ [Col RUBIN]: Very well. Let's take a ten-minute 19 recess. Please everyone carry on. 20 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1003, 14 August 2017.] 21 [END OF PAGE] 22

23