- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1000,
- 2 29 October 2015.]
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: Commission is called to order. All
- 4 parties again are present that were present when the
- 5 commission recessed.
- 6 This brings us to the next issue, which I believe is
- 7 152 -- Major Schwartz.
- 8 DDC [Maj SCHWARTZ]: Your Honor, before the recess there
- 9 was one last line that you said that Mr. Bin'Attash didn't
- 10 hear. I didn't hear it either. I don't know if you had ----
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Which line was that? Because I didn't
- 12 write that down.
- DDC [Maj SCHWARTZ]: It was going to the nature of his
- **14** relationship ----
- **15** MJ [COL POHL]: His relationship with Ms. Bormann?
- **16** DDC [Maj SCHWARTZ]: Yes, sir.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: I'll go over it again. Okay.
- 18 Mr. Bin'Attash, as I told you before, that -- and
- 19 again, you'll get a copy of my long ruling that will explain
- 20 my rationale -- that under the law you've not established good
- 21 cause to terminate Ms. Bormann, but Ms. Bormann and Major
- 22 Schwartz and all members of the defense team at the end of the
- 23 day do what you want them to do. Do you understand that?

- 1 ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: Yes.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: And, more importantly, don't do what you
- **3** don't want them to do. Do you understand that?
- 4 What I mean is, if you tell them not to do something,
- 5 they say, for example, in their opinion they want to file a
- 6 particular motion, and if you say "I don't want that motion to
- 7 be filed," then we will discuss whether or not that should be
- 8 filed or not. But ultimately it's your defense, and in this
- 9 case it is your life. So, ultimately, you are the decision
- 10 maker. Do you understand that?
- 11 ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: To understand that I understood
- 12 you, that if I do not want somebody to submit or file a
- 13 motion, a certain motion, they are not supposed to file it; is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: That's my view of the law. Anybody
- **16** disagree with that?
- 17 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Yes, Your Honor, on behalf of
- **18** Mr. Mohammad.
- 19 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 Sorry, there was a request for clarification. Yes, I
- 21 do not believe that's an accurate statement of the law.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Perhaps it's too broad a statement.
- 23 There are only certain decisions the accused can make,

- 1 correct?
- 2 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Absolutely.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: So if he indicates -- I understand what
- 4 you're saying, and as soon as I said that, I realized it's too
- **5** broad a statement.
- **6** Okay, Mr. Bin'Attash, let me try it a different way,
- 7 is that there are certain decisions only you can make, and
- 8 there are other decisions your attorneys will make on your
- 9 behalf, okay? The decisions that you make are unique to you.
- 10 And if you and your attorneys disagree on anything, they may
- 11 have a responsibility to file a motion, even over your
- 12 objection, but, if that were to happen, your input would be
- 13 considered before the motion was filed -- was litigated.
- 14 Any disagreement with that statement of the law? I
- 15 mean, he has a right to say I don't want -- I didn't want it
- 16 filed and you tell me why, doesn't he?
- 17 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: I mean ----
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: At least be heard on it. I'm not saying
- 19 it's dispositive. That's simply what I am saying.
- 20 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: I think the entire -- to work out all of
- 21 the parts and subparts of this issue is really complex.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 23 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Basically, my understanding is that the

- 1 defendant has the right to control the outcome of certain
- 2 questions, and the lawyers have the right to control and an
- $oldsymbol{3}$ obligation to control the outcome of others. And which are --
- 4 which are sometimes a little difficult ----
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. But at the end of the day, is that
- 6 if he disagreed with a particular course of action, would he
- 7 have the right, in your guys' view, to put that on the record
- 8 himself? Or not? Or does it depend what the issue is? Okay.
- **9** Okay. Mr. Bin'Attash, kind of what we talked earlier
- **10** about ----
- 11 ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: I'd like to ask one question.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
- ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: If I disagree with my lawyer about
- 14 a specific motion, the court will discuss that. You said
- 15 that; is that correct? How is this going to be discussed in
- 16 the court? I could give the judge one example about when the
- 17 motions -- about one motion.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Hold on a second, Mr. Bin'Attash. I don't
- 19 need an example here. I'm going to ask Mr. Nevin to respond
- 20 because he -- I don't want to put the Bin'Attash team in a
- 21 position -- okay.
- It's your position -- go ahead.
- We're just talking about general legal principles

- 1 here, not necessarily any particular motion, okay? You
- 2 understand kind of what the question is?
- 3 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Yes, sir. And I -- let me ask this
- 4 indulgence of the court -- of the military commission. I
- 5 think it was improvident for me to speak to this. I think
- 6 this is a really complicated issue that has lots of
- 7 implications, and I would prefer if we're going to have a
- 8 position-taking in this, to have the opportunity to do some
- 9 additional research.
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 11 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: I know there's a good deal of both case
- 12 law and scholarly research on this, and I'd be glad to try to
- **13** present ----
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: It's clear from what I've been talking
- 15 about that I don't necessarily have a clear view of it either.
- **16** So we'll go forth.
- **17** LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Thank you.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Bin'Attash, it's a very complicated
- 19 legal issue, about what you can control as far as motions are
- 20 concerned. And what we're going to do is I'm going to have
- 21 the attorneys provide me input on it, and then next time we
- 22 meet, I'll come back and tell you about it, okay? Because
- 23 it's just not a simple yes-or-no answer. So we'll come back

- **1** to it.
- **2** ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: That's good. There's no problem.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Okay. So, Defense, I'm going
- 4 to take Mr. Nevin up on his offer. I want to make sure you
- 5 know what the issue is, is what rights does the accused have
- 6 to control his defense in terms of -- I know he's got
- 7 certain -- you know, pleading guilty, I've got that part of
- 8 it.
- **9** But I'm really talking about the example we're
- 10 talking about here, the attorney wants to file a motion, the
- 11 accused says, "I don't want to file the motion," how do we
- 12 handle that? I don't think it's necessarily ripe right now,
- 13 but it seems to be an issue that let's get cleared up so we
- 14 can avoid it, okay? Normal briefing schedule, two weeks, two
- 15 weeks and a week.
- 16 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Your Honor, we recognized yesterday and
- 17 this morning it's important to take up and resolve the matters
- 18 involving the Bin'Attash team. But before moving on to other
- 19 matters, we do believe it's appropriate to address the 254WW
- 20 emergency motion regarding unlawful influence that happened
- 21 the other day.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Hold on. Hold on.
- 23 Major Schwartz, are we done with 380?

- 1 DDC [Maj SCHWARTZ]: Sir, I'll wrap up 380 in one
- 2 statement.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 4 DDC [Maj SCHWARTZ]: We'll brief this issue. We will
- 5 brief the regulation issue with respect to the Chief Defense
- **6** Counsel's role.
- 7 The other issue I just want to make sure the record
- 8 reflects we intend to brief is the 505(d), issue of good
- 9 cause. To the extent I agreed with the commission yesterday
- 10 about whether good cause is the standard, that's an issue that
- **11** we do intend to brief.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Sure. Fine. I understand. Okay.
- 13 Come to the podium. Major Poteet.
- **14** DDC [Maj POTEET]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- **15** MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning.
- 16 DDC [Maj POTEET]: The UCMJ restricts and constrains what
- 17 servicemembers may say about certain military and civilian
- 18 leadership; that is appropriate. Leadership at the top
- 19 justifies that constraint. Similarly, military and civilian
- 20 leadership are constrained by the rule of law and
- 21 constitutional due process, statutory prohibitions of unlawful
- 22 influence and other authority in what they may say about
- 23 military tribunals.

1 Yesterday in the Senate -- or excuse me, day before 2 yesterday in the United States Senate, the Secretary of 3 Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff 4 inappropriately criticized an order of this military tribunal, 5 and we do believe it's necessary at this point to take this 6 up. 7 There are serious consequences from ----8 MJ [COL POHL]: Just a second. 9 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Your Honor, if I may, sorry to interrupt. 10 I just want to make our position clear on this on behalf of 11 Mr. al Hawsawi. We just recently filed a motion for leave to 12 file a supplement on this issue. We've also sent a conference 13 request to the prosecution. And we're going to ask to have 14 the opportunity to supplement our previous filing with these 15 facts. 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Let's just do things in regular order of 17 business. Mr. Ruiz, if you want to be heard in this, you'll 18 be heard, but let Major Poteet finish, and I'll give everybody 19 an opportunity to be heard. Don't worry about it. 20 Go ahead, Major Poteet. 21 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 MJ [COL POHL]: So you filed a motion on unlawful

influence based on remarks by ----

23

- 1 DDC [Maj POTEET]: The Secretary of Defense and national
 2 civilian and military leadership.
 3 MJ [COL POHL]: These remarks were made when?
 4 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Made the day before yesterday, Your
 5 Honor, during a Senate Armed Services Committee hearing.
 6 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And you filed the motion today?
 7 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Last night, accepted for filing today.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: I don't think it's actually got a filing 9 number yet, but I have seen a copy of it, and, quite frankly,
- 10 that was the first time I was aware of the remarks. But
- 11 that's okay. Okay.
- 12 What do you want me to do now? You filed a motion,
- 13 okay? So what do -- do you want me to do now? Or let me
- 14 rephrase that. What don't you want me to do now?
- 15 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Judge, we've asked for this commission
- 16 to abate proceedings except as to conducting a thorough
- 17 investigation into this unlawful influence, and plainly it's
- 18 the appearance of unlawful influence. And certainly when the
- **19** Secretary of Defense ----
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Other than pulling up newspaper articles
- 21 off the Internet, what would this investigation entail?
- 22 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Your Honor, we believe that there may
- 23 have been inappropriate -- the investigation, I can't say

- 1 exactly what it is, but we have to question whether there was
- 2 orchestration or coordination between the legislative and
- 3 executive branches of the Senate fact-finding mission last
- 4 week to Guantanamo Bay regarding the issues presented in AE
- **5** 254 in which ----
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: What difference -- would that make a
- 7 difference? Isn't the issue whether I'm unlawfully
- 8 influenced?
- 9 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Your Honor, there is both apparent and
- 10 actual unlawful influence, of course.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: But it has to affect the proceedings, and
- 12 which part of the proceedings does it affect other than me?
- DDC [Maj POTEET]: With the defendants in this case, Your
- 14 Honor.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. But let me ask you this: I'm not
- 16 saying you won't get time to investigate the case, but this
- 17 deals with 254, right?
- 18 DDC [Maj POTEET]: It also strikes at the heart of the
- 19 independence of the trial judiciary. It strikes at the ----
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: You have another motion on that, anyway.
- 21 What I am saying is: Why should I stop now? Why should I
- 22 abate the proceedings? I mean, that's when I asked what do
- 23 you want me to do, I really meant what you don't want me to

- 1 do, okay? 2
 - It seems to me this is a matter that -- it's not the
 - 3 first time unlawful influence has come up as an issue.
 - 4 Unlawful command influence comes up frequently in military
 - 5 context. And it strikes to me the normal course of business
 - is to file pleadings, have it fully briefed, take evidence as 6
 - 7 necessary, and then follow the normal process. And I don't
 - 8 see any reason why we shouldn't follow the normal process now,
 - 9 do you? Apparently you do, so I'm just trying to figure out
- 10 why.
- 11 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Your Honor, this is an instance in
- 12 which the Secretary of Defense, who -- it's appropriate to
- 13 evaluate this as the Secretary of Defense being Your Honor's
- 14 direct superior. The only official who is specified in the
- 15 statute for Military Commissions Act or the Regulation for
- 16 Trial by Military Commission as having the authority to review
- 17 or remove the chief judge of the trial judiciary is the
- 18 Secretary of Defense.
- 19 The Secretary of Defense in 2011 -- then Deputy
- 20 Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter promulgated the Regulations
- 21 for Trial by Military Commission, and 1-3 states that the
- 22 Secretary of Defense is responsible for the overall
- 23 supervision and administration of military commissions within

- 1 the DoD.
- 2 The next provision is even more particularly
- 3 relevant. It says that the chief trial judge of the military
- 4 judiciary, as a designee of the Secretary of Defense or his
- 5 designee, is responsible for the supervision and
- 6 administration of the military commissions trial judiciary.
- 7 It's unique when a -- or it's "focused" is a better word.
- 8 It's focused when the direct superior of a judge in an ongoing
- 9 case publicly, officially, formally in an interaction between
- 10 the two most powerful branches of the federal government
- 11 criticizes -- is invited to address ----
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Actually, I believe the three branches are
- 13 coequal, but I don't want ----
- 14 DDC [Maj POTEET]: The judiciary is considered the least
- **15** dangerous, Your Honor.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead. I understand what you are
- 17 saying, and I'm not going to predecide anything, okay? I've
- **18** got it.
- But I just don't see why this cannot go the normal
- 20 course of business, and so it deals with one issue. It's not
- 21 the first time in these proceedings that there's been issues
- 22 raised about OSD's role in -- at least in where the trial
- 23 judiciary should live. It's not the first time that there has

- 1 been external matters raised as far as influencing the
- **2** judiciary.
- I'm not saying you won't get a remedy. I'm not
- 4 saying this is not an issue. I'm just saying it's not ripe,
- 5 and I fail to see why it cannot be handled in the normal
- 6 course of business. And, therefore, as your motion is -- and,
- 7 quite frankly, the government has an opportunity to be heard
- 8 in this, too.
- **9** DDC [Maj POTEET]: Of course.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: And basically they're supposed -- they're
- 11 not in a position to -- well, rephrase that. I'm not going to
- 12 have them respond to something like this, that they just got
- 13 today. In fact, they may not have even got it yet because
- 14 there isn't a notice on it.
- 15 At the end of the day, Major Poteet, if you're asking
- 16 me to abate the proceedings until this issue reaches some
- 17 other culmination point, that request is denied. We will
- 18 handle the issue in the normal course of business. It may
- 19 influence the current litigation on 254, and I will revisit it
- 20 when and if we get to 254 on the current docket.
- Thank you.
- 22 DDC [Maj POTEET]: Your Honor, I would just like to note
- 23 that there is a notice on the pleading, just to let the record

- 1 reflect. We requested the prosecution's position the evening
- 2 of the 27th of October and didn't receive a response within
- 3 24 hours. So that's why we filed it -- it was ready to be
- 4 filed earlier, but we didn't file it until last night.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: That's fine. I'm not faulting you. The
- 6 event only occurred a couple days ago, so I'm not faulting
- 7 that. What I'm just saying is that I don't believe you made a
- 8 showing that results in extraordinary remedy of stopping
- 9 everything on this issue, and I'm not going to do it. So
- 10 that's -- so it will be handled in the normal course of
- 11 business. Okay?
- 12 DDC [Maj POTEET]: And when 254 is brought up ----
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: When we get to 254, we'll discuss it at
- 14 that time.
- **15** DDC [Maj POTEET]: Yes, sir.
- MJ [COL POHL]: Because it could impact on 254. I'm not
- 17 saying it doesn't. I'm just simply saying you're asking for a
- 18 full stop of everything, and the answer to that is no.
- **19** DDC [Maj POTEET]: Yes, sir.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.
- Now, I can hear from everybody else, if they want to,
- 22 but let's understand this. The motion was just filed. If
- 23 other defense counsel wish to supplement, or whatever term you

- 1 want to use, pleadings on it, follow the normal procedure,
- 2 you'll have that opportunity. And, Trial Counsel, obviously
- 3 you will have an opportunity to respond in the due course of
- 4 time, according to the normal briefing schedule, unless for
- **5** some reason I change it, okay?
- **6** That being said, Mr. Ruiz, do I need to hear from you
- 7 again on this issue?
- **8** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: We probably would disagree on that,
- **9** but ----
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Disagree on what?
- 11 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: On whether you need to hear from me or
- **12** not.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: But the only reason I raise this issue
- 15 now is because 254 was just raised. And I wanted to make
- 16 sure, if you were going to get into logistics of it, that you
- 17 at least had our position on it. It doesn't appear to me from
- 18 what you're saying that you want to address logistics of 254,
- 19 but we do think that that's where -- that's where our position
- 20 makes a difference.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Again, as I just told Major Poteet, as the
- 22 request is to stop everything now, until this is investigated
- 23 or whatever term you want to use, that request is denied.

- 1 When we get to 254 on the current docket, then I will hear
- 2 whether or not we can proceed on 254 and, if so, how. Okay?
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Very good. Thank you.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 5 Trial Counsel, you wish to be heard on this? I
- 6 suspect not.
- 7 CP [BG MARTINS]: No, Your Honor.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That brings us to 152. And there's
- 9 two parts of 152 that I want to address today.
- 10 But before I do that, Mr. Harrington, your team
- 11 provided a 505 notice on a number of motions, including 152;
- **12** is that correct?
- 13 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, yes.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. The two parts I wanted to discuss
- 15 today deal with the government request for a hearing on the
- 16 accused's competency and the discussion we had I believe on
- 17 Monday, week ago Monday, on the order. First on the
- 18 competency issue.
- 19 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: The notice does not apply to that
- **20** part of it, Judge.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. On the order issue?
- 22 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Yes.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: It might?

1 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: It applies to the underlying 2 complaint, Judge. We are still requesting the order that ----3 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. 4 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: But the complaint obviously goes to 5 our continued complaints to the court about the camp 6 conduct ----7 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Got it. I just wanted to make it 8 clear on the 505. 9 Now, while you're standing there, let me just break 10 up -- on the 909 competency issue, your position is the same 11 as it's been from the start? You're not raising competency; 12 you don't see a competency issue from your perspective? 13 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: That's correct. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Fine. Thank you. 15 Trial Counsel, do you want to be heard on this 16 request for the 909 issue or not? 17 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: One second, Your Honor. 18 [Pause.] 19 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, sir. 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I may have the dates wrong here, 21 but I believe in December of '13, the government requested 22 that there be a 706 board conducted for Mr. Binalshibh 23

concerning his competency to stand trial. We did not meet in

- **1** February of '14 because the board wasn't complete. By the
- 2 time we got to April of '14, Mr. Binalshibh indicated he would
- 3 not cooperate with the board.
- 4 And I don't have the pleadings in front of me, but
- 5 somewhere in the course of the pleadings the government wanted
- **6** me to conduct some type of hearing to declare
- 7 Mr. Bin'Attash -- or, excuse me, Mr. Binalshibh, competent to
- 8 stand trial.
- **9** The government request for that hearing is denied.
- 10 There is no evidence before the commission that the accused,
- 11 in this case Mr. Binalshibh, is incompetent -- or is not
- 12 competent to assist in his defense and competent to stand
- **13** trial.
- 14 The default is always you presume competency until
- 15 evidence of the contrary. Mr. Binalshibh's complaints under
- 16 152 and his occasional speaking up in court do not raise the
- 17 level to this court that there is a competency issue. His
- 18 failure to cooperate in a 706 board would necessarily, in the
- 19 commission's view, waive any such allegation to begin with,
- 20 because you can't have it both ways.
- 21 Accordingly, the court, based on the state of the
- 22 record before it, that Mr. Binalshibh is presumed competent
- 23 according to the default. There will be a detailed order to

- 1 follow. That resolves, in my view, the 909 issue.
- 2 Now let's go simply to the order issue. I received a
- 3 pleading from both sides, a proposal pursuant to the
- 4 commission's direction on Monday. I had asked
- 5 Mr. Harrington's team to prepare a proposed order. He did
- 6 that, served it on the trial counsel, and trial counsel
- 7 objected to the -- some of the wordings in the order.
- 8 So I want to do this in the following order, is I
- 9 want -- okay. I want to hear from the government first. I
- 10 know it's kind of a reverse order, because what I'm seeing now
- 11 is -- I'm going to issue an order. The question is just how
- 12 it's worded. And I got one proposal, and then I have the
- **13** government response.
- 14 Trial Counsel, on 152FF, you proposed adding some
- 15 language and editing some language, correct?
- **16** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Paragraph 1, you want me to include the
- 18 words "The prosecution has denied that the JTF guard force
- 19 intentionally harassed or disrupted Mr. Binalshibh"?
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Why is that an order? I mean, the issue
- 22 here is -- I'm not saying that's not a statement of fact.
- 23 I've got that.

- **1** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Right.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: But the issue is to make a clear order.
- 3 The concern I've got that I'm trying to address -- and it
- 4 strikes to me is not difficult -- is that the oral order is
- 5 not being followed. I'm not saying that's true or untrue.
- 6 I'm saying that's what I'm getting, the allegation.
- 7 So the simple answer is: Put it in writing. Okay.
- **8** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Is this language necessary, to put it in
- 10 writing, to tell them not to do this anymore?
- 11 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, sir.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Now, your other edits is on
- 13 paragraph -- the rest of the edits are taking things out -- is
- 14 the first edit would be "The guards will provide numbers or
- 15 some identifier to Mr. Binalshibh for reporting accountability
- **16** purposes."
- 17 Why do you want that out? Understand, I'm not
- 18 ruling. I just want to get your rationale, because all I've
- **19** got here is an edited ----
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir. We were concerned about the
- 21 breadth of the defense proposed order in that it seemed to
- 22 give the accused certain rights that would infringe upon
- 23 JTF-GTMO's ability to lawfully detain him. We didn't think

- 1 that was necessary. We think that to the extent
- 2 Mr. Binalshibh has any complaints, he can simply bring those
- 3 up the same way he has been bringing them up to his attorney
- 4 and that we can litigate that in the due course.
- **5** But that, you know, somehow having the court reach
- 6 into JTF-GTMO's detention facility when there will be no
- 7 evidence, other than perhaps from the accused himself, that
- 8 any of this is happening anyway, it seems like it's giving a
- 9 lot more power to the detainee than is necessary under the
- 10 circumstances. So that was our general premise on why we
- 11 would edit it the way we recommended it.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: And your view is -- because I think all
- 13 we're talking about now is not the order part. It's the
- **14** reporting of alleged violations?
- **15** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Correct.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: And it's your understanding the current
- 17 way that is being done is that Mr. Binalshibh reports this to
- 18 his counsel, who then brings it to the attention of the
- **19** commission?
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Correct.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: As opposed to going through the SJA office
- **22** or something here?
- 23 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Correct.

- **1** MJ [COL POHL]: Got it. Okay. Thank you.
- 2 Okay. Mr. Harrington, do you want to be heard?
- 3 Again, now we're only talking about the edits.
- **4** LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Correct.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: I got your order.
- 6 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Correct. On this edit, Judge, we
- 7 pursue not only bringing things to the attention of the
- 8 commission, but going through the administrative functions.
- **9** And in the past, the guards wore numbers so Mr. Binalshibh
- 10 could identify the number. And the AWCs were identified by a
- 11 nickname or something else. We're not asking for people's
- 12 names. We're not asking ----
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: AWC, unless that's a ----
- 14 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Assistant watch commander, Judge,
- 15 who deals with him when there's a complaint.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 17 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Same with the watch commander.
- The problem we have, if we write a complaint to the
- 19 SJA and ask the authorities here to look into it or take care
- 20 of it, we don't want to be in a position of saying a white
- 21 male approximately 25 years old, blah, blah, at this
- 22 particular time. We just want some identifying marker. We're
- 23 not asking for the identities of the people. That's not the

- 1 purpose of it.
- 2 It makes it easy for everyone, including the people
- 3 that respond to it, and including the prosecution if they have
- 4 to do it. We don't have to go searching to try to identify
- 5 people.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Do they -- the guards in Camp VII don't
- 7 have names. They simply have numbers on their ----
- **8** LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Correct. Correct. And the
- 9 assistant watch commanders always had nicknames, and now they
- 10 cover them up. They don't give them to us.
- 11 That's all we want. We want to be able to identify
- 12 somebody so people can look into it efficiently and respond to
- **13** it.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That's the paragraph 4 edits.
- 15 Paragraph 5 talks about some type of different
- 16 reporting procedure? I'm assuming this is not the current
- 17 reporting procedure?
- 18 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Correct, Judge. Well, it's -- I
- 19 think it's a memorialization of what the procedure is, but we
- 20 wanted everything set out in a manner that we know everybody
- 21 would be on the same page. That's all.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Would it be fair to say that -- and
- 23 again, I'm now going to be parsing paragraph 5. The first

- 1 sentence -- it's two sentences.
- 2 First paragraph is -- is that designed to permit the
- 3 camp to address the issue before they brought it to me?
- 4 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Yes, Judge.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: And the second part -- second sentence is
- 6 so you know what the issue is, if there is an issue?
- 7 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Correct. That's correct.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: Now, paragraph 6, it's written kind of in
- 9 the passive voice, as I'm not sure who's supposed to promptly
- **10** notify me.
- 11 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, I think we can agree that
- 12 that particular paragraph should not be there, because ----
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: I would assume this is a ----
- 14 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: We do not want to involve the
- 15 commission in being the policeman, unless it's done in the
- **16** form of a motion with things put together.
- **17** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 Mr. Trivett, I've got one more question for you.
- 19 Is -- actually, I may have more than one question.
- The identifier, if any detainee -- let's not just
- 21 talk about Mr. Binalshibh. If any detainee feels that a guard
- 22 has mistreated him, does that detainee have the right to
- 23 complain about that?

- **1** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Sure.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And does that detainee have a right
- 3 to know, by number, who the guard is?
- 4 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes. I don't concede that they no
- 5 longer have guard numbers. That was the first I had heard
- **6** of ----
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: No. Assuming they have guard numbers,
- 8 okay, what I'm saying is, you know -- what I'm saying is, is
- 9 there anything -- if any detainee says, "I was mistreated by a
- 10 guard," how can he file that complaint unless you know what
- 11 the guard's number is?
- 12 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: I think there are ways that they can
- 13 do it, and they do do it. The Detainee Information Management
- 14 System has a flurry of these types of complaints that are
- 15 made, and they're logged. If they don't know -- if they don't
- 16 know the guard number, which I'm not conceding they don't, but
- 17 if they don't, they still know who the person is, whether it's
- 18 the assistant watch commander or the person who is at their
- 19 cell. They have the ability to communicate directly to the
- 20 control room as well.
- 21 So they have the ability to do this. We don't think
- 22 that they in any way don't have the ability to do this. He's
- 23 actually quite prolific in doing this.

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: And Mr. Harrington alleges that the first
- 2 sentence of paragraph 5, it says may report alleged
- 3 violations, basically what I am reading this, through the
- 4 chain of command. You don't think he -- why do you object to
- **5** that? Paragraph 5.
- **6** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Can you read that again, sir?
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah, it says "Mr. Binalshibh may report
- 8 alleged violations," and, again it's a "may," "to the JTF
- 9 guard force and directly to the representative of the SJA."
- 10 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, we ultimately -- I think my
- **11** concern ----
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: I don't know what the procedures are
- 13 there. I don't want to put procedures in place.
- **14** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Right.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: But Mr. Harrington is telling me this is
- **16** what they do now.
- 17 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: And I think our concern generally,
- 18 sir, is you infusing yourself in a process where you don't
- 19 need to be because they already have the ability to do it.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. My question is this, is -- is that
- 21 I want Mr. Binalshibh to know what -- and again, I don't run
- 22 detention facilities. I've got it.
- 23 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Certainly.

- MJ [COL POHL]: But is there a reporting procedure in the
 camp?
 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: So if that is read, "Mr. Binalshibh may
- 5 report alleged violations in accordance with JTF policy" ----
- **6** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No objection, sir.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: And why do you object to documentation of
- 8 the alleged violation of the order will be made to
- **9** Mr. Binalshibh's counsel? Why do you object to that?
- 10 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Because it has to come through us,
- 11 sir. We think that's a part of the normal discovery process.
- 12 When the issue comes up and it's raised and it's entered into
- 13 the Detainee Information Management System or it's a letter
- 14 sent, that it all comes through the government, that we're
- 15 part of the discovery process in that. We wouldn't object to
- 16 doing that, but it's our role as representatives of the
- 17 U.S. Government to do that.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: So if any detainee -- I don't want to make
- 19 this specific to Mr. Binalshibh -- writes down that guard
- 20 number A, B, C and D -- I know it's a number, 1, 2, 3, 4, did
- 21 this to me this day, he writes that down, you're saying he
- 22 can't give that to his counsel? That's all this is saying.
- MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: If he's writing his own note of that?

- 1 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah. Okay. Let me make sure I've got
- 2 this straight. You're treating documentation as the
- 3 camp-generated document.
- **4** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Correct.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: I was treating it as the accused's
- 6 documentation.
- 7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No, he's certainly free to do that.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Got it. Okay. Thanks.
- 9 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thanks.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: This is why I don't want to get into
- 11 running detention facilities.
- 12 Okay, Mr. Harrington.
- 13 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Just a point of clarification, is
- 14 that the detainees are not told what this procedure is. They
- 15 just make complaints and hope that they make their way up the
- 16 system. I mean, any other penal institution that I have been
- 17 experienced with, they always have a form, a detainee fills it
- 18 out. It's usually three copies. Two copies go to the
- 19 administration, and the detainee keeps a copy so the person
- 20 has something in writing that records it.
- The other thing is that some of the communications
- 22 here are not in person. For example, there's an intercom
- 23 system. If there's a problem with the guard, Mr. Binalshibh

- 1 hits the intercom and wants to talk to the AWC. There's
- 2 communication with the AWC, and they won't tell him who it is
- 3 that he is talking to. So that's kind of an additional
- 4 problem in here.
- 5 And I understand the court not wanting to get into
- 6 the management of the facility, but the system now is not
- 7 satisfactory for us to prepare these complaints and to bring
- 8 it to the court, and for everybody to deal with it more easily
- **9** and more systematic.
- And underlying all of this is the fact that this
- 11 inhibits our relationship with Mr. Binalshibh and our ability
- 12 to see him in the times when we come down here and he can't
- 13 come to see us. I mentioned that to the court before, because
- 14 of the things that he says are happening to him, that it makes
- 15 it impossible for him to even get up during the day. It's a
- 16 horrible interference, Judge, with our attorney-client
- 17 relationship.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Let me ask you this: When you say
- 19 documentation of alleged violations of this order will be made
- 20 available to Mr. Binalshibh's counsel, are we -- and, again, I
- 21 was confused when I talked to Mr. Trivett. I thought that
- 22 meant what he writes, he gives to you, but anything from the
- 23 camp, you have to request -- you'd have to request.

- 1 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: We never see that unless -- and
- 2 even requesting, we don't get it. But ----
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: But I thought you were focusing on his
- 4 complaints themselves.
- 5 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: That, but also we have a situation
- 6 where he writes to us. We file complaints on his behalf based
- 7 upon what he has written. So there's a two type of complaint
- 8 that goes in.
- **9** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And I don't -- okay. I got it.
- **10** Okay.
- 11 Mr. Trivett -- thank you, Mr. Harrington.
- 12 Mr. Trivett, last question: Do the detainees know?
- 13 Are they told how to report violations -- alleged violations
- 14 of their conditions of confinement?
- 15 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir, and they've utilized ----
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: No, just ----
- 17 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: It will go much faster, because I'm almost
- 19 done with this.
- 20 So if it reads "Mr. Binalshibh may report alleged
- 21 violations according to JTF policy and the detainees will be
- 22 informed of what the procedures are, "that's just
- 23 double-tapping what they already know?

- **1** MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Got it. Okay. Thank you.
- **3** Mr. Harrington?
- 4 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: One last point, Judge.
- 5 Mr. Binalshibh advises us now that when he is asked for the
- 6 identification of the watch commander or the assistant watch
- 7 commander, he has been told that the camp commander now has
- 8 instructed the watch commanders just to make up a number or
- 9 make up something. I don't know if that's true or not, but he
- 10 has no way of identifying these people. And there shouldn't
- 11 be -- if that's the case, there shouldn't be that kind of
- 12 game-playing.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I understand, Mr. Harrington. I'm
- 14 just trying to take a balance here ----
- 15 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: I understand, Judge.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: ---- of issuing a clean order without
- 17 getting into the daily running of a detention facility, but
- 18 while respecting your client's rights. Okay. I've got it.
- 19 Thank you.
- I've got the input on 152. I'll issue an order in
- 21 due course.
- Just to close the loop on something, I believe we did
- 23 this at an 802, and I just want to put it on the record that,

- 1 Ms. Harrington, you had asked -- Ms. Bormann had asked for
- 2 access to a 505(h) transcript for AE 378. I'm just putting on
- 3 the record that I granted that request.
- **4** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you.
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Just so I'm clear on the timing
- 6 here, is my understanding is that the afternoon -- or the
- 7 midday prayer is now 1245, not 1145. It's always been there.
- **8** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. What we're going to do is take a
- 10 ten-minute recess, and then we're going to pick up with 350.
- 11 And then, as we discussed in the docket, then we will
- 12 address -- how much, I can't tell you, given the light of
- 13 recent events -- then we'll go to 254. Okay. But before I do
- 14 that, there's one other -- and I don't know whether this has
- 15 changed or not in light of recent events. Ms. Bormann, we had
- **16** talked about you wanting to be heard on 008?
- 17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes, and I have been delinquent in
- 18 returning Mr. Trivett's e-mail because other matters ----
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. I got it. What does "we're
- 20 good" mean?
- 21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I'm being briefed by Major Schwartz,
- 22 who I guess coordinated with Mr. Trivett while I was attending
- 23 to other matters.

- 1 We are prepared to argue on 008 after 254.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. In an unclassified setting?
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That was the issue. Okay.
- 5 Just so I'm clear, when we last left 008, which is a
- 6 lingering motion, that I had given the government -- when we
- 7 last got to this, I heard complete argument from all defense
- 8 counsel, except Ms. Bormann, because part of it was ----
- **9** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Classified.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: ---- classified. I asked the government
- 11 if you wanted to be heard, and initially the government said
- 12 yes, and because it was classified, and other things, delayed
- **13** it.
- 14 So I never did get a government argument on it, and
- 15 then subsequent to that I heard the government didn't want to
- 16 be heard on it. And so where I think we're at, absent -- is
- 17 all I have left to do on 008 is to listen to Ms. Bormann.
- 18 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I think that's a correct statement of
- **19** the history.
- 20 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: That's correct.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I disagree, Judge.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Mr. Ruiz, what are you wanting
- 23 to ----

- 1 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, you granted a motion for leave to
- 2 supplement AE 008. We submitted a fifth supplement on
- 3 12 February 2015. It was in relation to the Senate's torture
- 4 report and we did, in fact, supplement AE 008 with that
- 5 information. We hadn't had the opportunity to address AE 008
- 6 with respect to that information.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: If you ----
- **8** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That was after.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: I believe, correct me if I'm wrong here, I
- 10 believe it was December of '13 that we last addressed 008
- 11 because, as I recall, we thought we may be able to do the
- 12 classified portion without the detainees, and we may get to
- **13** it. Is that everybody's else's memory?
- 14 Okay. If there has been new facts, new law since
- 15 December of '13 you wish to argue, you may. But I'm not
- 16 listening to the same thing I've heard already. Okay? So if
- 17 your answer is can I be heard on something that's new since
- **18** December of '13? The answer is yes.
- **19** LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Okay.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: But it is not to hear the same argument
- 21 again I've already heard.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No. To be very clear, you granted the
- 23 motion for leave to file supplement based on the new

- **1** information.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Got it.
- 3 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: That is what I will focus my argument on
- 4 and how it impacts 008 and our position. But I will also tell
- 5 the court that we have sent a conference request to the
- 6 prosecution to supplement AE 008 further based on information
- 7 that we learned last week and which I am unable to expound on
- 8 further in this setting.
- **9** But I think it is -- I think you know what I'm
- 10 referencing, and this is information that came to our
- 11 attention just last week, is new information that we believe
- 12 affects our argument on AE 008. We have a conference request
- 13 with the prosecution on that. We're following the normal
- 14 course of procedures, as I know the court is fond of doing.
- 15 But that is a matter that we believe is important to our
- 16 argument on the AE 008, and which we were not aware of until
- 17 last week and how it impacts our analysis.
- 18 We'd like to provide that to the court for its
- 19 analysis on AE 008. I wanted to make that clear because I
- 20 know where we last left it, you were accurate up to that point
- 21 and, of course, with the passage of time we've received
- 22 additional information ----
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: Just so I'm clear -- and the focus here is

- **1** defective referral, right?
- 2 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes, and of course the advice that is
- 3 given to the Convening Authority is part of that analysis.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I'm not sure what you're referring
- 5 to. You think I know something that I'm not sure I know. But
- 6 that's okay.
- 7 We'll go in the normal course of business. Right now
- 8 what I have before me is you have new law or new facts that
- **9** were not discussed in December of '13. Okay.
- 10 Does any other defense counsel have a similar issue?
- 11 Silence. Okay.
- So you will be permitted to -- Mr. Nevin?
- 13 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Just aside from automatic joinder, so
- 14 we're -- we take ourselves to be ----
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand, but my only point is he
- 16 wants to be heard on it.
- 17 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Yes.
- 18 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I was assuming that you would be
- 19 aware of the information I am referencing. It deals with the
- 20 additional program that came to our knowledge last week.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- 22 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: It's how we believe that impacts at least
- 23 a portion of the argument on 008, and I didn't want to go

- 1 further than that.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: No, don't go further than that.
- 3 Here's what we're going to do, is that we're going to
- 4 do 350, and we'll see where we're at. I will probably want to
- 5 hit 008 before 254, because I think 254 may take a while. And
- 6 what I'm hearing now is I have two arguments on 008 today with
- 7 a possibility that there may be supplement. Depending what
- 8 the supplement says, okay, I may or may not grant further oral
- 9 argument on 008, okay? But obviously I will not decide 008
- 10 until you've had an opportunity to at least brief the
- 11 additional issue, okay?
- 12 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Thank you.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Ms. Bormann.
- 14 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I'm sorry, just as a point of
- 15 reminder on the 505 hearing ----
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: I understand that, and ----
- 17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I was just going to remind the
- 18 commission of that, that we can't proceed on 350 until we
- 19 actually determine how to proceed.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Well, we can do -- let me just say, is I
- 21 think there's an element of 350 that we can address in an open
- 22 setting because it deals primarily with procedure and based on
- 23 unclassified pleadings. We'll talk about it, and if we can

- 1 address that part of it -- I see a threshold question on 350,
- 2 quite frankly. I see the <u>Touhy</u> issue as a threshold issue
- 3 that can be addressed in an unclassified setting, okay?
- 4 Because I'm talking procedure here more so than ----
- **5** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Substance.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: ---- than substance.
- 7 I agree that 350 itself is going to touch -- could
- 8 touch classified information. But what I'm saying is I want
- 9 to address the <u>Touhy</u> issue first, before we go to anything
- 10 else, because that seems to be a threshold requirement, given
- 11 the pleadings. And I know there's classified pleadings in the
- 12 Touhy issue, but I don't need to talk about what's in them.
- But given the government response, I think we need to
- 14 clarify what the Touhy procedure will be in this case before
- 15 we can really meaningfully go forward. Because without that,
- 16 I don't think we get to your basic -- we may or may not get to
- 17 the basic request for deposition or witnesses, as I understand
- 18 the way the <u>Touhy</u> rules work.
- 19 So when I say 350, that's what I want to focus on.
- **20** 0kay?
- 21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So a couple of housekeeping matters so
- 22 Your Honor is aware. On 350L, you granted in open court last
- 23 week allowing me to see 350C, which is Mr. Connell's

- 1 classified pleading.
- **2** MJ [COL POHL]: Right.
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: And you gave us leave to supplement
- 4 that. Unfortunately, 350C does not pertain to our supplement,
- 5 so our supplement doesn't really lie with 350C.
- **6** So we'll be seeking an additional AE number on the
- 7 350 series to supplement it to something else, because the two
- 8 things are not correlated.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Yeah.
- 10 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: And I didn't know that, because I
- **11** hadn't seen 350C.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand that. And that's fine,
- **13** Ms. Bormann.
- 14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Two, on 254, particularly on 254Y, we
- 15 requested jointly among the defense two witnesses, the officer
- 16 in charge of the camp involved in our client's -- Camp VII,
- 17 and the NCIC, noncommissioned officer in charge. The
- 18 government provided those witnesses to us.
- I received an e-mail on -- let's see, what is today?
- 20 Today is Thursday. On Tuesday at 1:00 after that, 2:30 in the
- 21 afternoon when I arrived back to my office after meeting with
- 22 Mr. Bin'Attash in the morning area and into the early
- 23 afternoon, I received an e-mail from Mr. Swann indicating that

- 1 they would be made available on Tuesday at 1:30. So that had
- 2 been overcome by events since it's now after 1:30, or the day
- **3** following, which would be yesterday, at 4:30.
- 4 When we finished the ex parte -- or not ex parte, the
- 5 closed 806 hearing yesterday, I went back to my office and
- 6 e-mailed Mr. Swann and said, "despite my best intentions, I
- 7 have been told by the commission that I need to remain here.
- 8 I'm going to receive a transcript." I need to redact it and
- 9 get it back to the commission ASAP. I'm not going to be able
- 10 to participate in the interview of the witnesses.
- 11 Mr. Swann responded back with some unhelpful
- 12 comments, but ultimately I'm asking for the ability to be able
- 13 to do that. I still have not received an answer from
- 14 Mr. Swann. I ask that -- I explained to him that I would be
- 15 available today at 4:30, and the answer I received was, "Well,
- **16** we'll see."
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Just so everybody has kind of got
- 18 my intent on the 254 issue, okay -- okay, is today the one
- 19 issue which I will discuss on the record -- and I've discussed
- 20 it before -- regards the EO complaint filed by the guards
- 21 against me, okay? And there's a motion on that to do various
- 22 things, but that's a threshold inquiry.
- Assuming I'm still around after that, okay, then the

- 1 next thing is which witnesses are coming and when are they
- 2 going to be here, with the intent to set up some type of order
- 3 to start getting the factual predicate started tomorrow, okay,
- 4 understanding that we've got the new 254 issue out there, and
- 5 so that may impact on that. But that was kind of when I
- 6 talked about discussing 254 today, or whatever day we're going
- 7 to get it, A, B, C and D, and then discuss your motion for
- 8 reconsideration of the witnesses.
- 9 And I don't want to tell you what I'm going to do on
- 10 that now, but that's kind of where I was going on 254 today.
- 11 And then if you need some time, we'll see what we can work in
- 12 on the timing.
- 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I want to let the commission know I
- 14 don't want to throw a monkey wrench into the entire situation
- 15 here, but if I don't have the opportunity to interview
- 16 witnesses, I'm not going to be prepared to proceed in the
- 17 taking of evidence.
- 18 So despite my best intentions ----
- **19** MJ [COL POHL]: Let me ----
- 20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- to attempt to do that, I just was
- **21** unable to do ----
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand that. And let me ask you
- 23 this. I understand as an Article I tribunal court, that each

- 1 case stands on its own. It's not a continuing jurisdiction.
- 2 So what's done in this case, even the same issues in a
- 3 different case, even if it's the same judge ----
- **4** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right.
- **5** MJ [COL POHL]: ---- it's two completely different things,
- 6 two factual predicates. But it's my understanding that this
- 7 issue has been litigated in another case, Mr. Hadi.
- **8** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. I'm not saying -- whatever that
- 10 judge did, the judge did. Nothing to do with -- he exercised
- 11 his judgment, I'll exercise mine, assuming I'm still the judge
- 12 for this. And, again, there are still some issues before me,
- 13 whether I'm -- but let me say this: There is a record of
- 14 witness testimony, I think. I don't know, okay? Have you
- 15 been given copies of that?
- 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I have access to them on the website.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Are any of those the same witnesses
- **18** we're just talking about?
- **19** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, different witnesses.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: That would be too easy.
- 21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right, that would be way too easy.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: That was my point.
- 23 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So I'm available today after the

- 1 commission ends, and that's what I advised Mr. Swann. So if
- 2 it can happen, I may be able to be prepared for tomorrow, just
- 3 to let the commission know.
- **4** MJ [COL POHL]: We're talking about two people?
- **5** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Two people.
- 6 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Swann, do I know who those two people
- **7** are?
- 8 TC [MR. SWANN]: You do, Your Honor. And I believe I was
- 9 extremely helpful on this issue. That said ----
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- TC [MR. SWANN]: ---- one of the two people has
- 12 participated in two interviews with the defense teams over the
- 13 last couple of weeks. I talked to her again this morning.
- 14 She's indicated that she will cooperate in an interview with
- 15 Ms. Bormann this evening immediately following these
- **16** proceedings.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- TC [MR. SWANN]: The camp commander has attended two
- 19 meetings, totaling well over what -- because he sat around
- 20 waiting for the other for about six hours. I offered up the
- 21 opportunity to Ms. Bormann to send other attorneys to the
- 22 meetings last night, just to take notes and do whatever. She
- 23 said no.

- 1 Now, I'll reach back to the company commander,
- 2 because he's a pretty busy guy, having to come over here
- 3 twice.
- **4** MJ [COL POHL]: The camp commander?
- 5 TC [MR. SWANN]: The camp commander. I will reach out to
- 6 him one more time, and I will ask him if he will likewise
- 7 consent to this interview later this evening to help
- 8 Ms. Bormann in her way.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: I've got it. Mr. Swann, I understand from
- 10 the tone of your voice the sense of frustration sometimes you
- **11** may feel.
- TC [MR. SWANN]: They're their witnesses. They've never
- 13 reached out, so I did it for them.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: I know that, and I'm sure you made your
- 15 best efforts. But I'm simply saying this: The government has
- 16 charged this as a five-person case, okay? And as you know, as
- 17 everybody knows who's been involved in this process, that
- 18 creates logistical issues that are geometrically more
- 19 difficult.
- 20 So sometimes when they've got to talk to one group of
- 21 attorneys, that does not mean the other group of attorneys
- 22 also have the right to talk to the person or do any business.
- 23 Just like cross-examining a witness. I'm just saying that for

- 1 you is -- is that you may want to explain that to various
- 2 witnesses, that they may have to do the same interview five
- 3 times.
- 4 But at the end of the day -- I'm not saying that
- 5 always will happen, I'm not saying they can't be done
- 6 together, but if there's problems because there's five sets of
- 7 attorneys that want to do something, the root cause -- I'm not
- 8 saying this pejoratively, but the root reason for that is the
- **9** government decision to charge five together.
- TC [MR. SWANN]: I understand, Your Honor.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: All I'm saying is I can see where a layman
- 12 may get frustrated why they need to do this again. And that,
- 13 to me, perhaps is a way to persuade him. But be that as it
- **14** may.
- 15 TC [MR. SWANN]: All right, sir. I've got it, sir.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Let's go ahead and take a 15-minute break.
- 17 We will pick up with 350. And, again, I'm focusing, just so
- 18 everybody knows where I'm going on this, on the <u>Touhy</u> issue,
- 19 Touhy notice issue that the government had raised in its
- 20 reply. And, quite frankly, it may be a cart-and-horse issue,
- 21 but that's kind of where I want to start with to avoid the
- 22 issue.
- Then when we get done with that, depending where

- 1 we're at, we'll go for the -- Ms. Bormann and Mr. Ruiz to be
- 2 heard on 008, and then we will discuss 254. And, again, that
- 3 will be primarily scheduling, who's going to be here, who's
- 4 not, as well as any questions you may have of me in regards to
- 5 the EO complaint or, quite frankly, the new issue. Then,
- 6 also, just 254 issues, and we'll see where we're at at that
- 7 point.
- 8 Mr. Swann, just so I understand, you said these
- 9 witnesses or at least one will be available this evening. I
- 10 like dealing with time. What time? 1800?
- 11 TC [MR. SWANN]: That witness will be available
- 12 immediately following this proceeding because that witness is
- 13 in the immediate vicinity of this building ----
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- TC [MR. SWANN]: The second witness, I will reach out ----
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Reach out during the break or lunch?
- 17 TC [MR. SWANN]: Reach out if I can make contact with
- 18 him -- I will reach out if I can make contact with him, and I
- 19 will arrange that interview, if he agrees, that it will take
- 20 place immediately following the first interview.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.
- That being said, the commission is in recess until
- **23** 1115.

1	[The	R.M.C.	803	session	reces	sed	at	1058,	29	October	2015.]
2					[END	0F	PAG	E]			
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											