1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 1041,
2 19 October 2015.]

MJ [COL POHL]: The commission is called to order. All
members of the defense teams are again present that were
present when the commission recessed. The Special Review Team
has left the courtroom and the regular prosecutors have shown
up.

8 General Martins, please account for your parties. 9 CP [BG MARTINS]: Good morning, Your Honor. Present for 10 the prosecution are myself, Brigadier General Mark Martins, 11 Mr. Robert Swann, Mr. Ed Ryan, Mr. Clay Trivett, Ms. Nicole 12 Tate, Ms. Danielle Tarin. Mr. Jeff Groharing, who is also on 13 my most recent detailing memo, is absent. Also at the 14 prosecution tables are Mr. Dale Cox, LN1 Juanita Passwater, 15 and Mr. Pascual Tavarez. And also in the courtroom is 16 Detective Patrick Lantry.

Your Honor, if it wasn't announced previously, these
proceedings are being transmitted by closed-circuit television
to locations in the Continental United States pursuant to your
order.

21 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Okay.

22 Just to bring the prosecutors up to speed of where we23 are at, during the session with the SRT, we never got to the

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

SRT issues. I accounted for Ms. Lachelier and swore her in.
 I gave the accused their rights to be present and their
 ability to waive said right.

4 An issue then came up for Mr. Bin'Attash in that he 5 indicated that he may want to go pro se and represent himself. 6 After a recess and discussing with his counsel, Ms. Bormann --7 and correct me if I am wrong on any of these summaries --8 indicated that, for a number of reasons, that Mr. Bin'Attash 9 may have lost trust in his counsel. And my question to her, 10 which is really where I want to kind of focus kind of where we 11 are at now is, what are his pro se rights; are they any 12 different than they were in federal court. Ms. Bormann's 13 response to me was I don't know under these circumstances, 14 given the lack of access to Internet capability and other 15 things.

So that's kind of where we are at. We are at the
point where Mr. Bin'Attash wants to be reinformed of his pro
se rights -- I guess informed of his pro se rights. Now, I
want to focus us now on that issue and that issue alone.

20 Ms. Bormann, since they were not here, could you
21 articulate your concerns -- I'm talking about only the pro se
22 rights, not why we got here -- of what your concern is that
23 you feel you are unable to inform Mr. Bin'Attash of his pro se

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** rights.

2 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: This commission is designed very 3 differently than any court I have ever participated in. Ιn 4 this system the United States Government has taken the 5 position that the United States Constitution doesn't apply, so 6 the Sixth Amendment analysis that would normally occur in a 7 pro se situation may or may not apply; I don't actually know. 8 And the logistics of and the denial of my client's basic 9 rights to communicate to the outside world completely 10 obliterate any pro se rights that any of my former clients may 11 have had.

12 You asked about the Moussaoui case and I said to you 13 the following. Mr. Moussaoui represented himself -- and I am 14 going to summarize again. Mr. Moussaoui represented himself 15 at the guilt-innocence phase, but he actually had access to 16 his counsel by picking up a telephone so he could ask them a 17 question. He actually had access to computers that he could 18 compile information on. He had access to a legal library 19 where he could do research. He had access to people with whom 20 he could consult. He had access to the outside world. Here 21 that's been denied by the United States.

So I don't have a clue, frankly, about what his pro
se rights are. And I know Mr. Bin'Attash has questions for

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 the court, the same questions I have, frankly, but he is the 2 one who should be answering and listening going forward. 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. 4 Trial Counsel? 5 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, our position is at this 6 point you should read him his pro se representation colloquy that's in the Judges' Benchbook, paragraph 2-7-2 and proceed 7 8 in that fashion. 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Let me ask you this. Do you believe --10 why don't you come to the podium, General Martins. Do vou 11 believe the starting point -- I know what's in the colloguy, 12 okay? 13 Is the starting point the normal Sixth Amendment 14 analysis? 15 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, there's ----16 MJ [COL POHL]: Without saying this applies, but is that 17 the analytical framework that should be applied? 18 CP [BG MARTINS]: I think rights to counsel are 19 established in the statute, and the rule-making authority of 20 the Secretary of Defense under the statute and the apparatus 21 that's set up in the Military Commissions Act gives a firm 22 frame of reference. The Benchbook is the approach. It 23 provides ----

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [COL POHL]: Which Benchbook are you referring to?
 CP [BG MARTINS]: The Military Judges' Benchbook.
 MJ [COL POHL]: The DA Pam.

4 CP [BG MARTINS]: The DA Pam, that's aligned with the
5 crimes and offenses, the different rights, that's the
6 appropriate colloquy to give.

MJ [COL POHL]: Now, in a normal case when an accused -8 if we are going to use the military context since you are
9 referring to that as your context, the accused would have the
10 Internet capability. The accused would have the ability to
11 talk to outside people. The accused would ----

12 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, I have heard that from 13 counsel, but there are special administrative measures, 14 communications management units in the federal system, when 15 the national security privilege is invoked appropriately, 16 there are all kinds of restrictions on that. So let's have 17 that conversation. In fact, there are standing motions for 18 that.

But we certainly resist the characterization that these individuals don't have a right to counsel if they like it, if they want it, an ability to participate in their own defense. The standard is not that everyone gets a high-end computer and ability to pick up the phone and call their

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 counsel when you are dealing with a national security case.

So a lot of averments have been made about standards
and how those are violated here, which we oppose certainly.
But we do stand by this ----

MJ [COL POHL]: Do you think the same communications
standards or lack thereof of the detainee as an accused would
also be -- would be the same if the detainee was representing
himself, all the current limitations on their ability to
communicate?

10 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your communications management order for 11 this case is tracked pretty closely with how the detention 12 facility commander is using that for everyone with regard to 13 legal communications. Pro se representation may give rise to 14 a bit of a different analysis with regard to co-accused or 15 coordinating defense. And in this case in 2008 there were 16 some arrangements for joint meetings and so forth that had to 17 accommodate the fact that for a period of time the accused 18 were pro se. But it doesn't give them additional ability, no, 19 to send out materials. They are still subject to your order 20 and to the camp commander's prerogative of security and review 21 of the material and prevention of contraband from getting in 22 and out.

23

MJ [COL POHL]: So it is your position that the -- if an

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

accused were to represent himself, that there would be no
 change in the current communication system, for want of a
 better term? Or did you just tell me that there could be an
 adjustment based on what happened in a prior iteration of this
 case?

6 CP [BG MARTINS]: I mean, the basic standards of ensuring 7 he has the ability to participate in his defense, an 8 ability -- if you were to go through the colloquy and find a 9 knowing, voluntary, intelligent waiver of counsel rights, the 10 overall standards of the ability to defend himself would be 11 there, access to witnesses and evidence and so forth. But how 12 those play out is going to be a case fact-intensive inquiry.

MJ [COL POHL]: Would a detainee who represents himself be
permitted to talk to the other detainees in the course of that
representation?

16 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yes.

**17** MJ [COL POHL]: Without monitoring?

18 CP [BG MARTINS]: Yes. I mean ----

**19** MJ [COL POHL]: I'm not ruling. I am just trying ----

20 CP [BG MARTINS]: The sphere of privilege, sure. There21 would be a sphere of privilege.

MJ [COL POHL]: Do you think the Military Judges'
Benchbook can be taken, is that the government -- that that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 covers the entire situation and if I did that colloquy that2 would apply to here with no change?

3 CP [BG MARTINS]: We believe that an appropriate standard
4 is to go through those lines of inquiry to ensure it's
5 knowing, voluntary, intelligent. Do you know something about
6 the Rules of Evidence? Give them a sense of ----

7 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand. I'm talking about, you
8 indicated earlier that there had been some alteration of that
9 in the '08 iteration of this case.

10 CP [BG MARTINS]: Not particularly in regard to the 11 colloquy, in regard to how to ensure the accused has an 12 ability to coordinate certain matters that make sense with 13 co-accused, how to accommodate a need for both camp security 14 but also a sphere of privileged communication during meetings 15 and so forth. Those kinds of things maybe require more 16 refined definition than you have right now in an order that 17 contemplated five accused with attorneys. But the basic 18 standards are the same. And we certainly resist this notion 19 that there is no standard out there for you to use.

MJ [COL POHL]: But going forward, it seems to me there
are two issues. One issue is an informed choice to go pro se.
The other issue is the logistics of how that's actually
implemented. And as I have found, sometimes what we say in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 here does not always get translated with fidelity to the camp. 2 CP [BG MARTINS]: The camp reads your orders carefully and 3 tries very hard to comply with them, and your Communications 4 Management Order 18 is followed and ----5 MJ [COL POHL]: So it is the government's position then 6 that the Military Judges' Benchbook -- what paragraph number 7 was that again, please? 8 CP [BG MARTINS]: The instruction is -- or the colloquy is at 2-7-2, Your Honor. 9 10 MJ [COL POHL]: That's the DA Pam. 11 CP [BG MARTINS]: 27-9. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: And then subsequent to that, if he were to 13 go pro se, there would be the order to the camp of how it 14 would be implemented? 15 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, we can provide you 16 additional information on that now that we know your area of 17 interest on this. 18 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand you are somewhat blindsided 19 by this. 20 CP [BG MARTINS]: Just reading -- having read the 21 communications management order last night in preparation for 22 various motions, it occurs to me that you may need to adjust 23 some of that guidance.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	MJ [COL POHL]: But I would need to know what that
2	adjustment is before I discuss it with Mr. Bin'Attash,
3	wouldn't I, for him to make an informed decision?
4	CP [BG MARTINS]: I think the general lines of inquiry are
5	in 2-7-2, and you could convey to him that there are some
6	uncertainties precisely about how he is going to go through
7	his day preparing his case. But that doesn't prevent you from
8	having that informed trying to inform that discussion and
9	do the things that the Benchbook colloquy requires.
10	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
11	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: May I be heard just on the Sixth
12	Amendment question that the general raised?
13	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I would welcome that.
14	MJ [COL POHL]: Well, it is not really your issue,
15	Mr. Connell.
16	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Certainly Mr. Bin'Attash's
17	representation, self-representation or otherwise, I have no
18	comments on that whatsoever. But the question of whether the
19	Sixth Amendment applies in this proceeding is one we litigated
20	in AE 057, left for another day, and it appears that today is
21	that day. The general had some comments on that topic, and I
22	do as well.
23	MJ [COL POHL]: For the sake of this discussion, without

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 ruling on that, we are going to assume the Sixth Amendment2 framework, analytical framework will apply, okay?

3 I will hear from Ms. Bormann and then there are some
4 other issues I want to ----

5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: A couple of comments on what
6 General Martins said. First of all, the proper analysis is
7 not whether or not whatever framework has come up, that
8 somebody comes up with allows Mr. Bin'Attash to participate in
9 his defense. That's what he does with counsel. The test is
10 whether or not he can conduct his own defense. Very different
11 issue.

And when you have to determine and advise him on his no se rights, if it's coexistent with the Sixth Amendment, you must give him a list of advantages and disadvantages to going pro se versus not going pro se. And that's why I couldn't do it, because I don't know what those are.

And so when you are talking about a Benchbook
framework, that Benchbook framework doesn't come close to
what's required for advice on a knowing and intelligent waiver
of counsel that has to be voluntary.

What you heard from me earlier, and General Martins
missed, was the following: Mr. Bin'Attash doesn't believe
that anything he is doing right now is voluntary. He believes

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	that it's a result of a continuation of the torture. So when
2	you are advising him, you must take into consideration the
3	fact that nothing he does right now he believes is voluntary.
4	MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann, how do we get there then?
5	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I don't know, Judge. I am just
6	advising you of the situation.
7	MJ [COL POHL]: What I am saying is this what I am
8	simply saying is this, is that if we get to the point I get
9	to the point where I am going to advise him of his pro se
10	rights, and you stand there and say he can't do that because
11	it's not voluntary, then why am I doing it?
12	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge
13	MJ [COL POHL]: Is this a competency to stand trial issue?
14	Is that what you are telling me?
15	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, I didn't devise the system.
16	MJ [COL POHL]: No
17	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Here is the real issue. The real
18	issue is what's happening outside of this courtroom and what's
19	happening with the interference in his ability to communicate
20	with his counsel is making is pushing this issue forward.
21	That's not voluntary. He has no control over it, and,
22	frankly, neither do I.
23	MJ [COL POHL]: But, Ms. Bormann, you can't

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I understand the frustration. I can2 see it in your face.

MJ [COL POHL]: I try not to get frustrated. I just try to get a wrong -- or fashion a remedy if there is a wrong, if there is a wrong here or a remedy that he wants. I am not aying there is a wrong, that he wishes to appear pro se and that no matter what I tell him it will not be a voluntary choice, then he can't voluntarily go pro se, then there is no need to discuss the issue further, is there?

10 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I don't know how to answer that. All
11 I can say to you ----

MJ [COL POHL]: Wait a minute. You can't stand up there and on the one hand say that he wants to talk about pro se and on the other hand tell me that he can't voluntarily make that decision.

**16** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I didn't tell you that.

MJ [COL POHL]: You are saying, Judge, ask him A, but he
can't tell you B, and that's all your problem, Judge, and I'm
just standing here.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, I informed you, pursuant to
your questioning of me earlier, about what my client's
position is. I told you that his position is nothing is
voluntary. That is his position, not mine.

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [COL POHL]: Then why would I do a pro se inquiry then,
if it's not voluntary?

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, I'm asking -- I mean, maybe you
should direct that question to Mr. Bin'Attash. Because
frankly, it seems to me that when you have somebody who has
been victimized by the United States over a long period of
time and suffered psychological trauma, that that's a question
that has to be addressed regularly, right?

9 MJ [COL POHL]: If the issue is that, is that an issue -10 what's the normal legal vehicle you do to address that?

11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, we file motions. But 12 unfortunately in this case, because of the delay occasioned by 13 FBI infiltration into a defense team and the concurrent 14 investigation, nothing on this case has gone forward for a 15 year and a half. So we have motions on the docket that would 16 actually help to remedy the issues that Mr. Bin'Attash faces 17 on a daily basis that haven't been heard.

18 MJ [COL POHL]: And so because they have not been heard,19 then where do we go from here?

20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: But that's where we find ourselves,
21 right? We find ourselves ----

MJ [COL POHL]: No. Where we find ourselves here is youstart out this discussion with me was about pro se

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

representation. I discussed it with General Martins. We have
 come back. We have talked about how that format should work.
 Then you say no matter what you say, Judge, it won't be
 voluntary.

5 So basically what you are telling me is, if I assume 6 what you are telling me -- excuse me. The logical conclusion 7 of where you are going with this is there could be no trial 8 because it has taken too long, and any decisions now are 9 involuntary, and he doesn't have me as his lawyer. That's 10 what you are asking me to do. You want me to abate the 11 proceedings because it's taken too long.

12 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That is not my position, and that is 13 not what I have argued. However, I will tell you that if you 14 are going to advise him of his pro se rights, they need to be 15 thorough, and they need to talk about what the ramifications 16 are, not generally, but in this case specifically. And then 17 you need -- as the court, need to make a determination about 18 whether or not that waiver is voluntary. It's not -- that is 19 not my job, and I don't pretend to have it be my job.

Right now I was just advised that my client may want
to go pro se. I am not in the position to be able to
determine whether or not that's voluntary. That is, frankly,
the commission's job.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Now, all of that being said,
Mr. Bin'Attash has questions, and I don't know if you want to
address them with him or whether you want me to raise them,
but one of the questions that he has, that he wrote me a note,
he wants to know if he will be able to tell his counsel when
he wants them to argue and not when he wants them to argue on
motions if he is pro se.

9 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

10 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: He wants to know, for instance, you 11 know, if he writes a motion, can he make me, you know, say I 12 want Cheryl to argue that, not to argue that. He wants to 13 know if he can argue classified information himself, and he 14 wants to know if he can receive the classified motions.

15 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: So those are some of the questions he17 has. I don't know the answers to those questions.

MJ [COL POHL]: Let's do this in a process-oriented way.
You have got the government's position, what they think the
pro se advice is, okay? You have got some questions from the
client of what he wants, okay? You have your own view of what
the pro se advice should be.

**23** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, actually I don't. This is not

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 something I was prepared to do, and I'm ----

MJ [COL POHL]: I'm not going to ask you for it right now.
LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I am being frank with you when I say
to you that, given how this system operates or fails to
operate, it is unclear to this lawyer, who has practiced in
courts throughout the country for almost 30 years, what to
tell my client. I have no idea.

8 And I have sat through pro se admonishments before
9 involving very particularized questions and answers that are
10 required, if we are going to be consistent with the
11 Constitution. But, frankly, I don't know what those are.

MJ [COL POHL]: You would have, as a starting point, whatyou base on your experience, correct?

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I would have a starting point in a
system that was set and where a client actually had access to
the materials.

17 MJ [COL POHL]: If we had a starting point that you had18 your normal -- what you consider ----

**19** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: The normal case.

**20** MJ [COL POHL]: The normal case.

21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Uh-huh.

**22** MJ [COL POHL]: You drafted that up.

**23** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I don't believe, that given

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

information that a client wishes to possibly go pro se, that
 it is appropriate for the defense counsel that will no longer
 maybe be representing him to provide to the court the pro se
 admonishments. I think that is a recipe for disaster.

MJ [COL POHL]: So let me see if I understand it, then.
You can get up before the court and criticize, suggest what
the pro se advice would be, but if I ask for your input on it,
that would be inappropriate. Isn't that what you have just
done?

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: What I am saying to you is -- no.
What I am saying to you is I don't know the answer. I mean, I
wish I did.

13 MJ [COL POHL]: But you have a lot of questions. My point14 being is you say that I have done this before.

**15** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right.

MJ [COL POHL]: I'm not -- okay. I understand. I am just
trying to get to the point here so whenever we get to
Mr. Bin'Attash I have addressed all your concerns.

**19** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Sure.

MJ [COL POHL]: But I can't address concerns you don't know about. You have articulated a number of concerns. I said give me in writing what you think it ought to be, and then you said no, I am not going to do that. I don't

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 understand.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I think it's in Mr. Bin'Attash's best
interests to be represented. So when you ask me how to
admonish a client who wants to go pro se, you put me in a
conflicted position.

MJ [COL POHL]: Why? No, let me ask you this ---LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I would rather not answer that on the
record. It's a conversation I have to have with my client.

9 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I don't want to talk about
10 Mr. Bin'Attash.

**11** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right.

MJ [COL POHL]: On a theoretical perspective, you are now
in federal court -- I know we are not in federal court. I
know that.

**15** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, we're not.

MJ [COL POHL]: You are in federal court and you are advising your client of his pro se rights. That's all I am asking from you. What would you normally tell your -- what does a judge need to tell your client? I'm not saying Mr. Bin'Attash specifically or -- that's what I am asking. You are saying you don't do that. Wouldn't you do that in federal court?

**23** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, I would not participate in the

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 admonishments in federal court.

MJ [COL POHL]: Not the admonishments. But in federal
court you would tell the client what his pro se rights were,
wouldn't you?

5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I would advise him on his pro se
6 rights, and I would know what those were, and they vary from
7 case to case and the complexity of each case and the
8 capability of each particular defendant. Because, of course,
9 you know how each person processes information and is able to
10 respond to what happens in an open courtroom, they -- each
11 varies.

MJ [COL POHL]: I was trying to address your point that I
think he ought to continue being represented; therefore, I
can't advise him of his pro se rights.

**15** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I'm not saying that.

16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I feel, you know ----

MJ [COL POHL]: But have you had an opportunity to
review -- okay. Is it your position that the defense will
provide nothing on what should be in the admonishment?
LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I haven't, frankly, thought about
that. I mean, you said General Martins is blindsided. So am
I.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I mean, if you ask me the question
now, I'm going to say I don't know. I need to confer with my
client, I need to confer with my co-counsel, and ----

5 MJ [COL POHL]: And you probably ----

6 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: --- people much smarter than me. So
7 that's the answer I can give you.

8 MJ [COL POHL]: Just as a starting point, you have seen
9 what the government position is, what the colloquy should
10 entail.

11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I see that they want to use the12 Benchbook as a framework.

**13** MJ [COL POHL]: Military Benchbook as a framework.

14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That's not -- that doesn't really tell15 me much.

16 MJ [COL POHL]: That tells you what they think. If you17 pick it up, you will see what they think we should say.

18 Trial Counsel, was the colloquy when this went19 through the first iteration sufficient?

20 CP [BG MARTINS]: The judge found it sufficient,21 Your Honor.

MJ [COL POHL]: I know the judge did because he gave it.
Is it the government's position now, seven years later ----

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** CP [BG MARTINS]: It is sufficient.

**2** MJ [COL POHL]: The same sufficiency.

3 CP [BG MARTINS]: You have the ability to determine
4 whether it's a knowing, voluntary, intelligent ----

5 MJ [COL POHL]: Do you have a copy of that colloquy?
6 CP [BG MARTINS]: We do, Your Honor.

7 MJ [COL POHL]: Make copies for the defense and provide it 8 That will be the starting point. You will have an to me. 9 opportunity to discuss it with your client. We will recess 10 until tomorrow morning and, if we need to, we will address it 11 at that time. Given the nature of all the issues, I know 12 there is concern about delay, but this is something that will 13 not be rushed through. Therefore, I have one other issue I 14 want to discuss. But do you understand the way ahead until 15 tomorrow morning at 9:00? Okay.

Now, the other issue I want to raise to the other
four counsel, given the nature of it being a joint defense -and I don't need an answer now, but do you have anything you
wish to add on the fact that Mr. Bin'Attash may be going pro
se? I throw it out to you. I don't know if it implicates
your approach or not, but I just want to put that on the
record that theoretically it may impact.

23 Mr. Nevin?

## UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Yes, Your Honor, I can tell you that I 2 would like a chance to talk to counsel about it, but I think 3 we would like the opportunity to speak to that issue. 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. 5 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: And the second -- just in terms of the 6 court's planning. 7 And the second thing I wanted to ask you is whether 8 we might be allowed to meet with Mr. Mohammad here in the 9 courtroom for the rest of the day, as we have on previous 10 occasions when we have stopped proceedings before the end of 11 the day. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel, any objection? 13 TC [MR. SWANN]: Your Honor, we will make it happen. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Maybe it's the military person in 15 me, but I would like to define the term "end of the day" 16 rather than end of the day. 17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I need a moment to confer with 18 Mr. Hawsawi before you go off the record. 19 MJ [COL POHL]: All right. I will give you an 20 opportunity, Mr. Ruiz. 21 What time is the end of the day for you, Mr. Nevin? 22 I see prayer is at -- afternoon prayer is at 4:07. 4:00. 23 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: End of the day to me meant 4:00 -- end

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

**1** of the day today to me meant 4:30.

2	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. The end of the day for the
3	attorneys will be 4:00. The end of the day for the detainees,
4	if they wish to pray, they can pray and then as soon as prayer
5	is done they go, or they go back and prayer there.
6	So 1600 is the end for the attorneys.
7	LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Thank you.
8	MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz?
9	LDC [MR. RUIZ]: We don't have any position on
10	Mr. al Hawsawi with respect to Mr. Bin'Attash's current issue.
11	However, for purposes of the record we want to just indicate
12	that Mr. al Hawsawi's defense team is prepared to proceed with
13	the scheduled docket, and we ask the court once again to
14	consider to sever Mr. al Hawsawi's case so that we could
15	proceed with the motions that are currently scheduled.
16	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.
17	Mr. Harrington?
18	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, this is a matter that came
19	up this morning and it involves 202 but it also involves the

up this morning and it involves 292, but it also involves the
prosecution team. I'm not going to address anything of
substance about 292 other than giving the court a little bit
of a background.

23 But Major Wichner and I met with Mr. Binalshibh on

# UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Saturday. He was having problems with his back during the
 meeting, but it seemed to be okay. On Sunday Lieutenant
 Colonel Pitvorec was supposed to meet with him to discuss her
 representation of him as independent counsel.

5 On Saturday, after the visit, on Mr. Binalshibh's way 6 back to the camp, apparently he fell at some point in time in 7 the transport thing and aggravated the problem that he had 8 with his back. They did provide him some medical treatment. 9 He got two apparently very painful shots in his arm which gave 10 some relief to his back, but he was not able to come to the 11 visit yesterday with either Lieutenant Colonel Pitvorec or 12 with my team.

13 And then this morning there was some delay in terms 14 of our ability to meet with our clients this morning because 15 of some transportation problem or something, so we only had a 16 matter of a couple of minutes to meet with him. During the 17 recess you have had over Mr. Bin'Attash's situation, 18 Lieutenant Colonel Pitvorec did have a brief opportunity to 19 talk to him. She can take part of today, obviously, to 20 continue to talk to him, so that alleviates one of the 21 problems.

But Mr. Binalshibh brought the issue to our attention
again, which is the recurring issue about -- and it happens

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 and gets aggravated every time we come to the hearings, about
2 what happened last night. And in the facility he claimed that
3 overnight constant vibrations, totally unable to sleep. His
4 eyes are all bloodshot this morning. He has not slept all
5 night.

6 The same kind of things that Ms. Bormann raised about 7 what led Mr. Bin'Attash to be in the position that he is in 8 are the same things that are occurring with Mr. Binalshibh, 9 and he has requested that I ask the court again to direct the 10 camp to stop this behavior.

I understand what you did before. You did it once on
the record, and I know the government will say we will
investigate it. I know they will come back and the camp will
deny that they are doing anything or that it's happening.

But when Mr. Binalshibh says the judge told the camp not to do these things, they either laugh at him or say we do what we want, we don't care what the judge says. But this impacts on the proceedings that are here right now.

19 It puts us in a position which leads us to be close 20 to the position that Mr. Bin'Attash was, and it's entirely 21 frustrating for Mr. Binalshibh and it impedes him, certainly, 22 with the issues that you have to resolve with respect to his 23 case right now, which deal with this conflict issue and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	potentially him exercising some waivers. And he is in a very
2	bad situation right now. And we also have to on the docket
3	is to resolve that competency issue. And I am not raising
4	this to say he is not competent. He is perfectly competent.
5	MJ [COL POHL]: I got it. My suggestion, Mr. Harrington,
6	because this issue does come up again and again, and at least
7	it is your position that whatever I said in court is not being
8	conveyed properly to the commander and/or they are not
9	following anyway. So do this: Draft an order for my
10	signature consistent with what my ruling was, and I will send
11	them a piece of paper. I'll serve the government. Give to me
12	what you think it says, and then they can visibly have a piece
13	of paper saying what they are supposed to be doing, okay?
14	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Thank you, Judge.
15	MJ [COL POHL]: Got it. Anything else? Apparently not.
16	The commission is in recess until 0900 tomorrow.
17	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1116, 19 October 2015.]
18	[END OF PAGE]
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT