1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0914, 2 18 October 2017.] 3 MJ [COL POHL]: The commission is called to order. 4 Trial Counsel, any changes in the government from the 5 last open session? 6 CP [BG MARTINS]: No changes, Your Honor. Good morning. 7 MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning. 8 Mr. Nevin, any changes? 9 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: No, sir. 10 MJ [COL POHL]: I would note all detainees except for 11 Mr. Hawsawi are present. 12 Ms. Bormann, any changes? 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, Judge. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Harrington? 15 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: No, Judge. 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell? 17 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: No change, Your Honor. 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz? 19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, Mr. Wilkinson and Commander Furry 20 are present today. 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Mr. Swann. Captain. 22 CP [BG MARTINS]: Captain, could you please move to the 23 witness stand.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	CAPTAIN, U.S. ARMY, was called as a witness for the
2	prosecution, was sworn, and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	Questions by the Trial Counsel [MR. SWANN]:
5	Q. Captain, did you have occasion this morning to advise
6	Mr. al Hawsawi of his right to attend these proceedings?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. What time did you do that?
9	A. I began at 0621 and ended at 0625.
10	Q. All right. I'm referring to what's been marked as AE
11	529 (MAH). It's a three-page document. Do you have it in
12	front of you?
13	A. I do.
14	Q. When you advised him of his right to attend, did you
15	use this form?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. All right. Did you use the Arabic form?
18	A. I read it in English and I had a translator read it
19	in Arabic.
20	Q. All right. Do you believe he understood everything
21	contained within that form and how you read it and the
22	interpreter interpreted it?
23	A. Yes.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Q. Did he sign the document that you have in front of2 you?

3 A. He did.

Q. And I believe I am referring to -- well, he signed
5 the Arabic version; is that right?

6 A. He signed both.

Q. Do you believe he voluntarily understood that he had
8 a right -- that he understood he had a right to attend but
9 chose not to attend?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. All right.

12 TC [MR. SWANN]: I have no questions, Judge.

13 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz, any questions?

14 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: No questions. Thank you.

15 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you, Captain. You are excused.

16 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the courtroom.]

MJ [COL POHL]: Before we renew the argument on 517, there are a couple of issues I want to address. First of all, on Monday the defense raised an issue as to a change in policy concerning defense visits to Echo II, the location used by the defense to meet with their clients at the detention facility. In essence, the defense was told that meetings in Echo II were not supportable by the JTF due to resource limitations while

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

the commission was in session this week. The commission
 ordered the government to produce evidence as to the basis for
 the change. A detention official testified in a closed
 session since the testimony involved classified information.
 The commission has issued a written ruling authorizing the
 closed session.

7 To summarize the unclassified findings, an unplanned 8 contingency required diversion of guard force personnel. For 9 this week only, the camp has insufficient resources to support 10 defense meetings in Echo II if commission proceedings with 11 detainees present are being conducted at the same time. If no 12 detainees are in the courtroom or no commission hearings are 13 being conducted, then the regular policy of defense meetings 14 in Echo II would be followed.

Based on the evidence, the commission chose not to order any change in the Echo II policy in effect for this week. Unforeseen contingencies are inevitable and require a certain degree of flexibility. The government has represented that this is a unique occurrence and have implemented remedial measures going forward.

21 On 21 November 2017, the government will provide a
22 status update whether the temporary policy change will impact
23 the scheduled December hearings in this case.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

In conclusion and most importantly, the right for the
 defense to meet with their clients is fundamental to a fair
 adjudication of this case. If similar issues arise in the
 future, the commission will take appropriate action to ensure
 this right is fully protected.

6 Prior to this hearing, I was notified by my CISO that 7 there is an issue with 502J slides and 525 slides. This has 8 come up at the last minute. My suggestion is that we will do 9 the other unclassified session today, and give the rest of the 10 day an opportunity for counsel for both sides to address that 11 Tomorrow morning then, assuming that's resolved, we issue. 12 will do the unclassified portion of those two motions, and 13 then after that we will do the closed 806 sessions. And the 14 orders are promulgated.

Mr. Connell, they are your slides. I know this is
16 kind of late-breaking news, this is why I want to give you
17 time to address it.

LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, that is perfectly fine. The two things that I want to put on the record are: Number one, that we timely submitted the slides for review according to the military commission's rules; and second, that it is my understanding this is a mosaic issue and not anything that I would have had notice of.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That being said, that brings us 2 back to, I think, the continuation -- excuse me. Ms. Bormann? 3 Is this on 517 or something else? 4 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Something else. 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Okav. 6 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: This morning we were informed by our 7 clients that en route to the hearing, certain attorney-client 8 privileged materials were seized by JTF-GTMO personnel. I'm 9 told by Mr. Trivett that they don't know yet what they plan to 10 do with that material. Mr. Trivett has agreed to the idea of 11 a court order ordering JTF not to review that material until 12 further order of the court to preserve attorney-client 13 privilege, and we are asking for that order now. 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Was the material allegedly seized in 15 transit while being carried by the detainee? 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes, from the legal bins and 17 elsewhere. 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. 19 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: It was a laptop -- all of them, 20 laptops and hard drives. 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And would it be fair to say normal 22 procedure is they bring this material with them to the 23 hearings?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes, always; because it contains
 attorney-client privileged material, you know, developed with
 counsel.

MJ [COL POHL]: Well, given what I just said earlier about
what we are going to do this morning, I think there will be
time to resolve this.

7 Mr. Trivett, do you have any objection to me issuing8 such order?

9 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: No objection, Judge. The laptops that
10 were seized, they have not been looked at, they have not been
11 powered on; they are just seized pending further order from
12 you.

13 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Well --

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: So we do not object to an order now.
 MJ [COL POHL]: Things happened. Let me find out why they
 happened.

17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That was my next request.

MJ [COL POHL]: Well, okay. The order -- I am ordering that none of the materials that were seized today be reviewed; and secondly, that the people who did the seizing explain why they seized them and why the normal procedure was not being followed. And they are to explain that to any defense counsel whose client's materials were seized.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That's what I was asking for, thank2 you.

3 MJ [COL POHL]: And, if necessary, we will have a hearing4 on it tomorrow.

5 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you very much.

6 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Trivett.

7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: We had anticipated at some point
8 filing a motion; we don't have all of the facts yet either.
9 But it may be unnecessary based on what you just said.

MJ [COL POHL]: We are trying to preserve the status quo
and to see why things change, other than the fact we are in
Guantanamo Bay and things seem to change frequently.

13

Mr. Connell.

14 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you, sir. I just wanted to note 15 that it may be, in the seizure of Mr. al Baluchi's client 16 information, that it is more expansive than others. With the 17 cooperation of all the parties, we basically shredded all the 18 stuff that was piled up in Mr. al Baluchi's cell and stored it 19 onto a hard disk. And the government has been cooperative in 20 setting up appropriate protections for that and for making 21 that process, which was, I think, endorsed by all parties, 22 possible.

23

But what it means is that there is -- in addition to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 an actual laptop, there is a hard disk and possibly some other 2 information. We don't really have a full picture yet. I just 3 want to make sure that your order covers whatever it was that 4 was seized in whatever format.

5 MJ [COL POHL]: Just so I am clear, material are brought by the -- legal material are brought by the detainees in the 6 7 vans into court.

8 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Yes, sir.

9 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Any of those materials that were 10 seized, in whatever format or carrying device -- computer, 11 paper, CD, there may be something I am not thinking of -- but 12 whatever was seized from them as relates to legal materials is 13 what I am talking about and that's covered by the order not to 14 be reviewed until this issue has had an opportunity for 15

defense counsel to weigh in on this thing.

16 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Thank you, sir.

17 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge?

18 MJ [COL POHL]: Yes.

19 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Just because Mr. al Hawsawi is not here 20 today, I do not know if the search of materials also would 21 cover him. Even though he has not been transported and you 22 have narrowly tailored it to materials seized in transit, I 23 would ask that you extend that to make sure it covers

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

Mr. al Hawsawi to make sure whatever search and seizure
 encompassed also his legal materials.

MJ [COL POHL]: Are you talking about back in the camp?
LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes, sir. I am not aware that this is
not a search that was conducted of every detainee.

6 MJ [COL POHL]: That's already covered by preexisting7 orders, isn't it, that they are protected?

8 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Yes, sir. But I think attorney-client
9 materials are covered in total and apparently they are still
10 being seized, so ----

MJ [COL POHL]: Exactly. I got it. The issue before me
is material seized while in transit today, not what's
happening with the camp. If there is a problem with the camp,
I will address that when there is a factual predicate to
support it.

16

Mr. Harrington.

17 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, just two things: One is, if 18 we could have the SJA or somebody notify whatever persons have 19 this in JTF that your order has gone into effect so they know 20 it right away; and secondly, in disclosure about why the 21 seizure was made, the method in which the seizure was made 22 because we believe, based on information we have, that it was 30 outside of the protective order for going through legal bins

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 outside of the presence of our clients.

•	
2	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. But, I mean, at this point yeah,
3	well, it's clear. Trial Counsel, make sure that the
4	protective order or the order I just issued, is conveyed to
5	whoever is I don't know, was the material seized at the
6	camp or was it seized here or do you know?
7	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: At the camp, Judge.
8	MJ [COL POHL]: At the camp?
9	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: In the transportation process, but
10	at the camp.
11	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So they were getting into the vans
12	and they said, give us all this stuff?
13	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: That's about all we can talk about
14	here, but
15	MJ [COL POHL]: But as I am saying, the material was last
16	seen in the custody of a person at the camp?
17	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Right before entry of the truck.
18	MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Trial Counsel, make sure that's
19	conveyed.
20	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir.
21	MJ [COL POHL]: Now. Okay, that's good. I see somebody
22	conveying it. That was kind of my question. Okay.
23	LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: And with respect to the manner in

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 which it was seized, Judge, your order also ----

MJ [COL POHL]: Well, at this point what I said, I said don't read it; and then they are to discuss -- explain to the defense counsel what was seized, why it was seized, and that would include obviously the manner it was seized.

6 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Right. Thank you, Judge.

7 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So that brings us to the8 continuation of the argument on 517.

9 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: Good morning, Your Honor. Major10 Wareham for Mr. al Baluchi.

11 MJ [COL POHL]: Major Wareham, I thought you were done12 last time.

DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: Well, we all had probably hoped so,
but I have got to correct one factual error before we go if
you are -- before we go further that's both listed in the
brief and argument.

17 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

18 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: It was originally understood by me 19 that the evidence was produced to us in portable document 20 format and I related it in that way. I was corrected 21 afterwards to learn that our team had been the one to convert 22 it to portable document format. We actually had received the 23 subject pictures in printed hard-copy form. So instead of

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 actually receiving it in any electronic form, the photos, 2 which were originally electronically stored, were printed and 3 provided to us in hard copy, thereby stripping any associated 4 information with it. 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Okav. 6 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: So I wanted to correct that error 7 before we continue. 8 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you. 9 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: Thank you. 10 Captain Brady. 11 ADC [Capt BRADY]: Good morning, Judge. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning. 13 ADC [Capt BRADY]: Delay, degrade, and destroy. The 14 government has a consistent policy of delaying the production 15 of discovery. When they do provide discovery, they degrade 16 the quality of that discovery. And when they are not delaying 17 or degrading, they destroy the evidence which is necessary to 18 the preparation of the defense. 19 Judge, this motion to compel is about metadata, which 20 is essentially digital information about location, date, time 21 when pictures were taken. Under R.M.C. 701(c), the defense is 22 entitled to photographs and documents that are in possession 23 of the United States Government. We are entitled to examine,

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

we are entitled to copy, and we are entitled to have those
 documents if they are material to the preparation of the
 defense.

4 Why is the metadata requested here material to the 5 preparation of the defense? These are photographs that have 6 to do with the conditions of confinement. The metadata that 7 we are talking about here, Judge, instead of defining it as 8 metadata, let's talk about it as the location of where the 9 picture was taken, the date and time as to when the picture 10 was taken. Why would those be important to the defense? Whv 11 would that be material to the preparation of the defense?

12 The date and time can inform the defense about when 13 our clients looked the way they did in that photograph: When 14 they looked potentially emaciated; whether they looked 15 potentially a certain way when they were held by the CIA 16 during 2003 to 2006.

17 The location, why is that important and material to 18 the preparation of the defense? This will inform defense 19 investigations, it will inform us as to where we should go to 20 investigate the crimes of the CIA, where we should go to 21 investigate/define mitigating evidence that will support our 22 arguments at sentencing, the locations about where our clients 23 were held, and the conditions in which they were held.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 Both of these information -- both of these, the time 2 and date and the location, inform our ability to investigate 3 this case which we are ethically required to do. It's Brady 4 material in that it is impeaching information. If the time 5 and date and location do not match up with the government's 6 narrative or what witnesses say on the stand, that will be 7 impeaching evidence and can impeach the credibility of 8 government witnesses that can potentially take the stand 9 during trial.

10 Metadata can also inform the defense on even smaller 11 points of information that are very critical to the defense's 12 investigation and to our presentation at trial. The order in 13 which the photographs were taken; if the order or the file 14 name indicates that there were 40 photographs in a certain 15 series, the metadata will tell us that -- the file name will 16 indicate that there were -- or certain photographs were 17 That will inform us about other detainees that might missing. 18 have been present during the time these photographs were 19 taken. It will inform the defense, Judge, about the number of 20 photographs that were taken.

If the government were taking hundreds of photographs
of our clients and they all take place over the course of
several weeks, it will inform the defense investigation about

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

why they were taking these photographs, why was there a need
 to document what our clients looked like on that date and
 time. If there were large gaps in time or there weren't
 photographs that were taken, it will inform the defense
 investigation about potentially why there were gaps, why were
 our clients away from an area where this camera was used.

7 The metadata can also inform about the type of camera 8 that was used so we can identify that the same camera 9 potentially could have been used. If the government argues 10 and Your Honor agrees that the location somehow is not 11 relevant, we can associate the camera type, via that metadata, 12 to associate our client's pictures being taken with the same 13 camera, two different dates, time, two different pictures to 14 one singular location.

15 That's important for the defense's investigation so 16 we can tie where our clients were held, what dates and times 17 they were located at that location, and we can associate their 18 pictures and their conditions with the statements they have 19 alleged to have made under torture by the hands of the CIA, 20 Judge.

Judge, 478 came up on Monday. The government wants
us to set a trial date. But this is a great example of the
government taking affirmative steps to delay, to degrade, and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 to destroy evidence that the defense is entitled to. The
2 metadata can assist the defense in sorting these photographs.
3 We have tens of thousands of photographs, Judge. Metadata
4 comes on photographs. You don't have to do extra steps to add
5 in the date, time, and location; you don't have to take
6 additional steps to put the metadata in.

7 The government has to take additional steps to print 8 out these photographs, give it to a paralegal, go and scan it 9 or print it, and just hand it to the defense versus just 10 putting them in our shared box. This takes additional time 11 from the government. And then when the defense attorneys 12 receive these photographs, we have additional difficulties in 13 sorting the photographs and associating photographs together 14 because that metadata in various programs that can be used is 15 not available to us.

16 For example, Judge, there are programs out there 17 which allow the metadata to be looked at in these photographs 18 and associate photographs together that, to the naked eye, 19 would not be associated with each other. These programs would 20 assist the defense counsel in preparing for trial and being 21 ready for trial sooner, but trial counsel takes affirmative 22 steps to slow down the defense's ability to look at, analyze, 23 and investigate our own discovery.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	Judge, this information is material to the
2	preparation of the defense and can even be <u>Brady</u> material. It
3	helps inform our constitutional investigation that we are
4	constitutionally and ethically required to do and the defense
5	is entitled to it.
6	May I have a moment?
7	MJ [COL POHL]: Sure.
8	ADC [Capt BRADY]: Judge, subject to your questions, that
9	is all I have.
10	MJ [COL POHL]: I have none.
11	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Subject to your questions, sir, we
12	rest on our brief.
13	MJ [COL POHL]: Mr I do have one question. Do these
14	pictures, the original of these pictures, have metadata on it?
15	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: There is metadata on the pictures,
16	yes, sir.
17	MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. That's my only question.
18	No, actually that raises another question. Is the
19	removal of the metadata based on relevance or that it's
20	classified?
21	MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Yes, sir, based on relevancy.
22	MJ [COL POHL]: You are not asserting a classification
23	issue on it?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Not at this time. As our brief said,
 though, we would, if we were ordered to provide it -- because
 it is consistent with other protections that we sought for
 substitutions of specific dates, being early, mid, and late,
 certain time periods in the discovery.

6 MJ [COL POHL]: All right. Thank you.

7 MTC [MR. TRIVETT]: Thank you.

8 MJ [COL POHL]: Do my questions elicit a response, Major9 Wareham?

10 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: Your Honor, based on the second 11 question involving relevance versus national security 12 privilege, as far as relevance is concerned, they conceded 13 relevance once they produced these pictures. As far as the 14 700 analysis goes, the metadata, and this needs to be very 15 clear, is nothing separate from the photographs. And let me 16 step away briefly from the technical, because everyone's eyes 17 tend to glaze over when I say metadata, everybody kind of 18 backs up and goes to sleep. So let's take it out of that and 19 talk about evidence as a whole.

Take, for example, a gun, right? Say we had a nice,
simple gun case here instead of the military commissions, and
they produced this gun for my inspection but removed the
trigger, removed the trigger saying, you know what, that part

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

you don't need to know about. It's one piece of a whole.
 That's exactly what's going on with these photos. It's one
 piece of a whole.

Or let's take it out of the physical and go to an
issue that we discovered previously here with redactions.
This is a redaction of information without judicial review or
approval. They are, in essence, by clicking print redacting
this information permanently.

9 So either way you look at it, don't get lost in the
10 technical. This is one piece of a whole. And under a
11 relevance concept ----

MJ [COL POHL]: Will I have to review all redactions that
the government says are being redacted because they are not
relevant to discovery?

DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: We would maintain that there should be no redactions without judicial approval. No, the evidence should never be changed without judicial approval or national security privilege process. Absolutely. So if they are going to start redacting ----

20 MJ [COL POHL]: Would that apply then -- should I review21 everything they don't give you then?

22 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: When we make a motion to compel, if we
23 decide to object to it, absolutely. In fact, this process

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

needs to stop overall. A process of redaction without
 judicial review is not discovery practice.

3 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.

4 DDC [Maj WAREHAM]: From that, we go on to the concept 5 that they have hinted at a national security privilege or 6 objection. If they are making that objection, that objection should have been made at the time of the production of the 7 8 photos. But at the time of the production of the photos, they 9 have conceded both relevance and materiality, as well as 10 helpfulness, because they have produced these photos which 11 are, in fact, classified. And if they needed to withhold that 12 information, they should have followed the summary 13 substitution procedures that exist in 505.

14 And so as far as these two arguments are concerned, 15 you produce the photos, you concede the metadata. This 16 practice of separating the two is exactly the point that we 17 are trying to confront here and needs to cease. So there is a 18 process of 505. They have not followed the 505 process here. 19 And to hint at a national security objection should the 20 existing evidence that should have already been produced be 21 produced properly, is not the way to do this.

So this is messy discovery practice overall, it needs
to be solved. And raw data in its original form needs to be

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

produced to us just like any evidence, unless there is proper
 objection under the rules.

3 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. Captain Brady, anything4 further?

5 ADC [Capt BRADY]: No, Your Honor.

6 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That brings us to 114.

7 DC [MS. PRADHAN]: Good morning, Your Honor.

8 MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning.

9 DC [MS. PRADHAN]: I would like the court's indulgence for
10 one minute as we have our slides -- our pre-approved slides
11 marked.

12 [Pause.]

DC [MS. PRADHAN]: Your Honor, you should have just received a set of slides that we have had pre-approved by the court information security officer. Those slides have been marked 114W. I understand that we may have a technical glitch from Table 4 today, so I will not ask to display them, but I will be referring to them.

19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.

DC [MS. PRADHAN]: Your Honor, AE 114 is a motion on
behalf of Mr. Bin'Attash, Mr. Binalshibh, Mr. al Baluchi, and
Mr. Hawsawi to compel discovery of information related to
buildings in which the defendants and potential witnesses were

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 confined.

23

2 Our original discovery request from 2013 asks for --3 there is a long list, Your Honor, and it asks for as-builts of 4 original work; as-builts of subsequent changes, alternatives 5 and additions; change orders; logs of submissions; 6 subcontractor's drawings; construction meeting reports and 7 agency inspector reports; construction meeting minutes; 8 inspection reports, included but not limited to special 9 inspection reports; project monitor reports and agency 10 inspector reports; architectural drawings, contracts, and 11 subcontracts; photographs of rough construction and additional 12 phases of construction; and closeout documents. And so what I 13 would like to explain is exactly why we need that information 14 and those specific documents.

15 So the original motion was actually filed in January 16 2013 before the redacted executive summary of the SSCI report 17 was issued and before we received much of whatever we have 18 received to date in terms of RDI discovery. Perhaps most 19 significantly, it was filed long before the destruction of the 20 black site, which was the subject -- or before we were 21 informed of the destruction of the black site, which was the 22 subject of our arguments at the last hearing in AE 425.

So obviously we have an unclassified portion of this

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

argument and a classified portion. What I would like to do in
 open session is go through some of what we have learned since
 AE 114 was filed that make it more important than ever for the
 government to produce further information about the buildings
 in which Mr. al Baluchi, other defendants, potential witnesses
 were tortured.

7 In AE 425, Your Honor, we submitted a number of 8 declarations from experts on torture, torture investigation, 9 and imprisonment. I would like to highlight, in particular, 10 two of those declarations. First, the declaration of 11 Dr. Pierre Duterte, who is a world-renowned torture specialist 12 and therapist; and Mr. Raphael Sperry, who is an architect and 13 sustainable building consultant with specialization in the 14 architectural and human rights aspects of prisons and jails.

Dr. Duterte's declaration is found in the record at
425E Attachment B. And he discusses the examination of the
physical spaces and calls it mandatory full, for a full and
effective assessment of torture.

Mr. Sperry's declaration -- I am slowing down for the
interpreters. I would like to spend a bit more time on
Mr. Sperry's declaration, which is in the record at 425E,
Attachment E. Mr. Sperry's declaration states that prison
architecture directly affects the experience of guards and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

prisoners. The color of walls, presence or absence of
 windows, and access or lack thereof to natural light can form
 part of an overall deprivation of sensory input. Prison
 architecture affects the experience of guards and prisoners in
 ways which may not be immediately obvious from photographs or
 diagrams.

For example, many prisons have hard surfaces that
reflect sound back to building occupants, resulting in
prisoners being unable to get proper rest in facilities due to
the constant noise. Some prisons may use techniques to dampen
noise or limit communication among prisoners, increasing the
sense of isolation among the prisoners.

13 Indoor climate is also important. Mr. Sperry states, 14 "Some prisons, for example, in the American South have been 15 criticized for the impact of climate, i.e., heat and humidity, 16 on the prisoners because the design does not mitigate the 17 effect of climate. The construction materials used to build 18 the prison facility, the materials contained within the 19 facility, the building systems and equipment, and the 20 structural and spatial layout of a facility may all contribute 21 to issues, including noise and indoor climate."

He also says that, "Even though a prisoner may onlydirectly experience a limited portion of a prison, the

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 architecture of the remainder of the prison indirectly2 influences the overall experience."

He says that there may be intended or unintended
acoustical connections between a cell and other cells or rooms
by means of mechanical ducts, of plumbing pipes or other
openings, that brings sounds from outside the cell into the
prisoner's experience.

8 Also a prison's -- I never thought I would be
9 standing before you talking about a prison's plumbing layout
10 but here we are. A prison's plumbing layout may also
11 influence the movement of prisoners between cells and in-cell
12 or out-of-cell time.

13 Now, we don't have the option of examining the 14 physical spaces. Those have, to our knowledge, all been 15 destroyed, or decommissioned as the government puts it. So 16 the closest we are ever going to get is by obtaining every 17 piece of information we can about those physical spaces and 18 the locations, as we will argue in AE 525, to put together as 19 holistic an understanding as possible of exactly what happened 20 to Mr. al Baluchi at the black sites, whichever ones he was 21 held at.

Now, what I would like to do is compare that volumeof information that Mr. Sperry and Dr. Duterte believed

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 important to know about the physical spaces of imprisonment2 and compare it to some of the examples of what we have now.

3 So on our first slide, Your Honor, you can see that 4 this is from government produced discovery, the marking is on 5 the slide, it's MEA-13E-00000339, and this document makes 6 clear that certain sites were engineered to reward detainees. 7 It talks about -- in the last line, it says, "The detainees 8 held at our site have provided significant intelligence and, 9 as such, have earned privileges beyond that of other 10 detainees."

11 So the questions engendered from that are what go 12 into a reward space or a privileged space? Is the temperature 13 more regulated? Are there beds like prison beds affixed to 14 the wall as opposed to, we know from the SSCI report, in some 15 places there were no beds or in some places there were just 16 mattresses on the floor? Are there any windows or natural 17 light? Are there florescent lights? Where are the controls for the lights and the temperature? Are there sinks? Are 18 19 there toilets? What kind of toilets are there? Keeping in 20 mind that some of these men have been tortured such that they 21 have movement issues or nerve damage. So the type of toilet, 22 whether they are squatting or they are sitting, makes a 23 difference.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 What are the cell materials? Are they steel? Are 2 they concrete? Are they wood, which can be much warmer? Are 3 there outdoor recreational spaces? Are there indoor 4 recreational spaces? Are there medical spaces? What is the 5 configuration of those cells? What is the layout of those 6 cells? Are the prisoners aware through proximity or sound 7 traveling that other prisoners are present? And are they --8 excuse me. Are they aware of how far away the guards are? 9 This is just a handful of questions for which it 10 would be -- it would be extremely helpful to have the 11 information that we originally requested to put information 12 like this in context.

And our second slide, we have the summary of a report
that talks about how this particular site was designed to
accommodate, quote, compliant detainees and those with
behavioral problems.

In here, this document actually provides an example
of some additional information about the physical differences
between the spaces designated for compliant versus
noncompliant detainees. Some of this is quite helpful. Type
A is a little bit bigger, it has a toilet and running water;
type B is smaller, it has a bucket for waste and no running
water. But we don't know what the light situation is in each

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

cell and we know that that's important from the SSCI report.
 From Mr. Baluchi's medical records, we don't know what the
 temperature differentials are between type A and type B cells.
 We don't know if music or loud noises or white noise

5 is being played at the site; and, if so, whether they are
6 being -- it is being played in the hallways, whether it's
7 being played directly in the cell, where the speakers are, if
8 there are speakers. All of this makes a difference.

9 We don't know where the guards are located; if they 10 are close to each cell, if they are just outside each cell, or 11 if they are farther down the hallway. And we don't know if 12 there is a way for the guards to see the detainees in their 13 cells. We don't know if there are cameras in the cells. We 14 don't know how meals are brought. We don't know if there is a 15 slot in the door or if the door is opened completely. And if 16 the doors are opened, what can the detainees see? Can they 17 see the hallway? Can they see other cells? Guard rooms? 18 What's their line of site?

I recall that the SSCI report says the site code
named COBALT had double doors, but we just don't know what's
being used at this particular site that's housing compliant
detainees and those with behavioral problems.

23

And now that brings us to the most exciting slides.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

And this is about a site, Your Honor, that had three different
 configurations. So I was actually very excited to read this
 document. I thought it would have much more information, and
 it does have some. This is where we can sort of start to put
 together the outlines of a picture that can only be filled in
 by the rest of the information that we have requested.

You have a newly arrived detainee in, quote,
interrogation mode. Now, I would like to know whether the
approved interrogation and exploitation plan is approved
before he gets to the interrogation mode holding cell, but
that's probably a subject for a different motion to compel.

12 The interrogation cell is a very small cell; it's not 13 more than a box of 6 feet by 6 feet. No running water. There 14 is a bucket instead of a toilet. We don't know if there are 15 pipes in the room at all or if there is the ability to have 16 running water.

But we don't -- but we do know that there is a drain grate in the floor, presumably for runoff liquids of some sort and possibly for water torture. And that purpose is important. And this is where a contract or a blueprint with notes or communications among contractors would be helpful.
We need to know what that grate was for if there was no running water in that cell.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

There is an HVAC system and an air grate presumably,
 so the temperature in the cell can be controlled. Again,
 where are the controls for temperature in the cell? Where are
 the controls for the air? Could the grate be opened or
 closed? Did it serve a purpose?

6 They talk about the paint on the walls. The paint on 7 the walls is white; the paint on the floor is gray. We don't 8 know what type of paint it was. We don't know if the type of 9 paint had a purpose. Some paints do. Some, depending upon 10 whether they are matte or glossy, they can be wiped down more 11 easily.

12 They list a single shackle point, which is about 12 13 inches above the floor. 12 inches above the floor, Your 14 Honor, I'm just stepping away from the mic for a second, is 15 about -- about here. All right? It's too high to be an 16 ankle, and it is sort of too low to be an arm. So what 17 exactly was that shackle point meant for? Were they on the 18 floor having their arms tied to it? Were they on the floor 19 having their legs tied to it? Were their arms and legs tied 20 together? What kind of shackle point was it? What was it 21 made of? These are all questions that that engenders.

I would also like to know where exactly the air gratewas in relation to the shackle point. Because what would be

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 helpful to know is if the temperature was modified to torture2 detainees shackled to the wall.

3 Speaking of the wall, what is it made of? We don't
4 know. Is it sturdy or hard? Is it steel or concrete? Or
5 does it have any kind of give? Is there a false wall for use
6 of the walling technique?

7 Now, we know that walling was described in a 2005 CIA 8 memorandum as, quote, the interrogator pulls the detainee 9 towards him and then quickly slams the detainee against a 10 false wall. The false wall was intended to help avoid 11 whiplash or similar injury. Now, it also says -- excuse me, 12 the SSCI report says that, despite the requirement to use a 13 false wall, Abu Zubaydah was first slammed against a concrete 14 wall. And Mr. al Baluchi, who suffered a traumatic brain 15 injury, was slammed against a concrete wall.

So just a general question: Were any cells across the program actually specially designed with special walls for the walling technique? Or was that all made up and the technique just always used with normal concrete for cost-cutting measures? Mr. al Baluchi 's TBI, I would very much like to know as, frankly, would my tax dollars.

The second part of that slide, when we move to
Category 2, it says that there is -- excuse me, it says that

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 there is a speaker in the ceiling area of the Category 1 and 2 Category 2 and 3 cells. In reality, Category 2 cells are 3 just two Category 1 cells put together. So again, my question 4 is what are those speakers being used for? Where are the 5 controls? What are the volume parameters on those controls? 6 We what we need to see are the notes and discussions around 7 the purpose of those speakers because of the way in which 8 Mr. al Baluchi and others in CIA custody were tortured using 9 loud music or white and other noise.

10 And we recently learned from speaking with music 11 experts about the psychological impact of different types of 12 noise. And so we need to know much more about exactly how 13 those speakers were used, how many there were, what the volume 14 was like.

15 Coming back to the air grate for just a moment, we 16 need to know if it was used only for temperature. It is an 17 open secret that the number -- that a number of food retailers 18 pump scents into the air to encourage buyers. The ones that 19 have admitted to it are -- include Planters Peanuts and 20 Hershey's, and possibly Subway as well. The reason for that 21 is that neuroscientists have actually identified smell, the 22 sense of smell, as the perfect target because it is linked 23 directly to the emotional and memory parts of the brain which

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 skip the rational center entirely.

2 So smell in the context of torture -- excuse me, 3 smell in the context of torture sites can be extremely 4 important, both in terms of identifying methods of torture and building a picture of a location, and in treating and avoiding 5 6 retraumatization later, which is always a priority for torture 7 victims. Again, we don't have the sites themselves, so 8 contemporary documents, notes, e-mails, meeting minutes, 9 contracts, prototypes, dioramas, anything regarding the 10 purpose of the fixtures in the physical buildings are what we 11 need.

12 Two last notes on this particular report: There is 13 no mention of light at all in this particular report. And 14 again, we know from Mr. al Baluchi's unclassified, quote, 15 medical records that he was exposed to 24 hours a day of light 16 for about two and a half years in order to keep him sleep 17 deprived. We need to know what the type of light was. Was it 18 all florescent light? How many bulbs were there, what was the 19 wattage and what the controls looked like.

If you go back to the second-to-last slide, at the top it says that, the report summarized in this document -- to be clear, this is not the report itself, but the report summarized in this document supersedes all others regarding

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 cell configurations in this site for ease of understanding. 2 What does this mean exactly? What were the configurations 3 before this? How many times did they change and why did they 4 change? Was it due to the number of detainees being held at 5 the site? Was it because of security issues? Was it because 6 of the torture techniques? We need to know what all those 7 previous cell configurations were and the reasons for the 8 changes.

9 It's clear to us now, as we have expressed over the 10 course of 425 arguments and in the context of this particular 11 motion, that the physical features of the black sites are of 12 absolutely enormous importance, material importance to every 13 aspect of the defense for these men. I have gone through, you 14 know, in some detail a litany of the qualities that we need to 15 know: humidity, temperature, color, ambient noise, speaker 16 noise, construction materials, the relationship of outside 17 noise to inside noise, outside temperature to inside 18 temperature, lines of site.

19 The purposes or incidence of each of these physical 20 details are material to build the picture of Mr. al Baluchi's 21 torture and interrogations. And yes, in the years since AE 22 114 was initially filed, we have received some measure of 23 additional information from both the redacted executive

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 summary of the SSCI report and from government-produced 2 discovery, but there is an important difference between these 3 secondary or really other documents and the primary documents 4 that we actually requested in AE 114. 5 Subject to your questions, Your Honor --6 MJ [COL POHL]: I have none. Thank you. 7 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Your Honor, I just want to say, maybe I 8 misheard counsel's recitation a moment ago, Mr. Mohammad has 9 joined to this motion. 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Okav. 11 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Okay. Thank you. 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Any other defense counsel wish to be heard 13 on this? 14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: We are also joined, so adopt her 15 arguments. 16 MJ [COL POHL]: This may have been filed before the 17 automatic joinder rule, but anyway. 18 Trial Counsel? 19 CP [BG MARTINS]: Your Honor, the court should deny the 20 motion. We adopt all of our prior pleadings and argument in 21 the AE 114 series because the AE 114 series included two 22 motions for relief. Our response, initial response, was in 23 114A, and then we filed a second response to the second

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 request for relief in AE 114G.

I note that we had oral argument on this motion
February 25, 2016, so we would adopt the arguments made there;
although that was earlier in the process and we had not fully
litigated Appellate Exhibit 397, which was our motion to
consolidate 114 with several -- a number of other motions that
were RDI related. So we adopt the 114-related argument in
397.

9 What I would like to do here, Your Honor, is 10 highlight what we believe is the controlling -- very few, 11 really, authorities here that are the controlling law. 12 Tomorrow we will highlight for you what we believe in the 13 hundreds of photographs, thousands of pages related to 14 conditions of confinement that we believe is the controlling 15 facts on these determinations that we made. So the 16 controlling law, we would -- we find helpful day to day as we 17 do this, of course.

18 Our discovery Rule 701, with the applicable rule 19 being Rule for Military Commissions 701(c)(1), this is a Rule 20 for Military Commission that, near verbatim, is the rule from 21 the Rules for Courts-Martial. It also happens to be one --22 although there are some differences in military discovery 23 practice with the federal courts, as you are aware, it happens

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 to be near verbatim. The comparable rule in Federal Rule of
2 Criminal Procedure 16, and I'm going to go ahead and get a
3 bit -- a bit nerdy here on the specifics of Rule 16 because it
4 goes to the case law I'm going to refer to, which was dealing
5 with an earlier set of numberings in Federal Rule of Criminal
6 Procedure 16.

7 So the comparable paragraph of Federal Rule of 8 Criminal Procedure 16 to our 701(c)(1) is Rule 16(a)(1)E, 9 which, before 2002, was (a)(1)C. And that's the paragraph 10 that is construed by the two controlling cases that I will 11 talk about here. So 701 is obviously important authority. 12 It's promulgated by the Secretary of Defense under statutory 13 authority in Section 949a subsection A of the Military 14 Commissions Act, which gives him that authority, and the 15 operative rule. And bear with me, I know you know this rule, 16 but this is where we are all litigating right now and where 17 counsel, Ms. Pradhan, has been litigating in this material to 18 the preparation of the defense phrase.

So 701(c), upon a request of the defense, the
government shall permit defense counsel to examine any books,
papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, buildings,
places, or copies of portions thereof which are material to
the preparation of the defense or are intended for use by

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 trial counsel as evidence in the prosecution case-in-chief at2 trial.

So we are not using any of this material. I think we made long clear, none of this is going to be part of our case. So it's that operative phrase "which are material to the preparation of the defense," the Federal Rule actually says material to preparing the defense, but that phrase is then invoked in the controlling law in our reviewing court.

9 Your Honor, I would also direct the commission's
10 attention to the discussion of 701(c), and it goes to the
11 "material to the preparation of the defense" phrase which
12 appears in all three subparagraphs of 701(c). That first
13 sentence says that, "For a definition of material to the
14 preparation of the defense, see <u>United States v. Yunis</u>," which
15 is a 1989 D.C. Circuit case. So that's our reviewing court.

16 Discussion, as we know, is not binding on you the way 17 the rule is, but it is persuasive. It's an indicator of what the drafters were thinking as they used this rule. And so 18 19 they are telling us to look to <u>Yunis</u> for this specific phrase. 20 Yunis provides us our guidance, as we know. This term -- what 21 is helpful once you are looking at something, Yunis provides 22 guidance once privilege has been invoked, and we are in that 23 bit of substitutions, and whether you find them adequate and

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

whether you could order over a government claim of privilege
 the production of something or the disclosure of classified
 information. So <u>Yunis</u> gives you guidance about that.

4 But, Your Honor, I wish to point out that Yunis also 5 is a controlling case in the D.C. Circuit for this 6 paragraph -- for this phrase "material to the preparation of 7 the defense." And that's up front. That's when we, as 8 prosecutors, are out and about looking at things and 9 determining what is discoverable or not. So that's 701(c)(1), 10 which we believe is the applicable rule here that we are all 11 discussing and arguing about and which, when we have 12 previously discussed Appellate Exhibit 114, you have had 13 questions about.

14 I also wish to point the commission to the other part 15 of 701 that we think is particularly helpful or particularly 16 useful here in this discussion, and that's 701(f), foxtrot, a 17 very important authority here, because this is not in Rule for 18 Court-Martial 701; it's also not in Federal Rule of Criminal 19 Procedure 16. But the Secretary of Defense has used his 20 authority to promulgate rules and has promulgated Rule 701 21 with this national security subparagraph F. And in doing 22 that, by departing from the Rule for Court-Martial, he is 23 invoking his rule-making authority to make exceptions.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 And in Section 949a(b), so it's 949a subsection (b), 2 the exception authority of the secretary in making rules that 3 are different from courts-martial has to be based as required 4 by the unique circumstances of military and intelligence 5 operations in the context of hostilities or by other practical 6 need. The secretary invoked that exact language of practical 7 need and unique circumstances at the preface to the Manual for 8 Military Commissions.

9 So what does 701(f) say? We believe it is very
10 important in this area because all of the material we are
11 talking about here is classified from the start. And 701(f)
12 says that the protection of classified information applies at
13 all points in the proceedings and is privileged at all points
14 in the proceedings. So that bears upon aspects of this,
15 although there is a materiality discussion in 701.

16 So we believe that's very important authority.
17 701(a) -- I'm sorry, 701(c)(1) and then 701(f).

0kay. The second major authority I would like to point you to is a case, and that's the <u>Yunis</u> case that I have already mentioned. It is a 1989 case from the D.C. Circuit. And, Your Honor, I would direct the commission to the part where it's talking about materiality, and that's -- that's very much toward the end of the opinion. I will get you a

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 specific site. We think this is useful authority for some of 2 the questions that have come up here this morning about what 3 goes to you, what doesn't go to you, what is our materiality 4 to the preparation of the defense determination look like. 5 But I would point the commission to page 624 of Yunis. So that the point cite is 867 F.2d, 617 is the start of the case; 6 7 624 is where I believe is some very helpful guidance on how we 8 are going through this material.

9 And Yunis says that this apparent Catch 22 that 10 Yunis's counsel pointed to about not being able to make a 11 showing of materiality is more apparent than real. It's 12 not -- they have an ability to make this showing of 13 materiality, and <u>Yunis</u> points to two things. It actually 14 quotes a Supreme Court case that I won't mention, but in 15 quoting the case it says, we understand counsel's arguments 16 about being hampered in making a showing of materiality, but 17 they have the ability, even if they can't describe what a 18 piece of information would speak to because they don't have 19 it, they can describe what events -- they may be able to 20 describe what events the information would speak to, and then 21 what the connection of those events are, relevance of those 22 events are ----

23 MJ [COL POHL]: Where would they get this narrative?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 CP [BG MARTINS]: I'm sorry?

2 MJ [COL POHL]: Where would they get the narrative of the3 events from?

4 CP [BG MARTINS]: That's the very next thing in the
5 Supreme Court case that's quoted by the <u>Yunis</u> court in 1989.
6 They are actually quoting the case of <u>Valenzuela-Bernal</u> and
7 they could say they could ask the client, and we will show you
8 examples where that's occurred.

9 MJ [COL POHL]: Do you think the nature of the information
10 requested here is the client would be a good source of that
11 kind of detail should they ask for it?

12 CP [BG MARTINS]: I think the client would and has been, 13 demonstrably to counsel, a good source for events that may 14 help us determine whether something is relevant or not. The 15 presence or absence of materiality as the -- because we are 16 now talking about material to the preparation of the 17 defense -- and the -- so this is at 624 of the Yunis case. 18 And it does say the client is available to discuss aspects. 19 The things that they are speaking to, they do have to 20 show how they are relevant to the crimes charged and to the 21 case. You have given some guidance of that in your order. 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Do they need to be relevant -- could they

23 be relevant to sentencing?

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

CP [BG MARTINS]: I think they have to make the
 connection. I think they have to explain how it is relevant.
 MJ [COL POHL]: You said relevant to the case. I want to
 make sure that ----

5 CP [BG MARTINS]: Sentencing is part of the case and they
6 have to link it and we have an understanding of things that
7 are material.

8 Allow me to state something here, too, that's come up 9 this morning, though not in this motion, but it's come up 10 before in the AE 114 litigation. We do not concede relevance 11 or discoverability as a matter of law when we turn something 12 There are other reasons we may provide something. over. And 13 they are not a concession as to its discoverability as a 14 matter of law. There is a state of the art, you know, in 15 discovery practices now.

16 There is a memo from the Deputy Attorney General from 17 2010 that, in large cases, broad discovery, consistent with 18 the protection of privilege, serves the truth-finding 19 function, may provide a margin for error and good-faith 20 determinations by counsel that are not quite there.

MJ [COL POHL]: General Martins, we are arguing 114. You
sound like you are revisiting the previous argument.

23 CP [BG MARTINS]: No, you have -- well, no, you have

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

specifically asked me in prior discussions of 114 this
 question, are you guys conceding relevance? So if you are
 conceding relevance, why aren't you showing them the whole
 thing or why aren't you giving them more? So I need to hammer
 that answer down. It has come up before in the litigation.
 MJ [COL POHL]: I don't want to drift into a previous
 motion, that's all.

8 CP [BG MARTINS]: No, it is definitely 114 from prior9 argument.

10 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead.

11 CP [BG MARTINS]: And then Yunis, and I would also point 12 the commission to the United States v. Graham case. This is 13 also our reviewing court; it's a 1996 case, and we cite it in 14 our brief. But in Graham, Graham is specifically looking at 15 this material to the preparation of the defense phrase in 16 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(C) -- again that 17 became the current rule -- and the Graham court says the 18 material -- the materiality burden is not a heavy burden, but 19 the information must enable the accused to significantly alter 20 the quantum of proof in his favor and that, therefore, 21 requires a look to the quantum of proof they already have. 22 So the D.C. Circuit's controlling case on the

23 specific phrase does require an understanding of what they

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 already have as you are thinking through additional 2 information. That's this Graham case. And in Graham, the 3 information that the D.C. Circuit said did not meet that 4 threshold was deposition testimony of the key government 5 witness, who was a co-conspirator. And the deposition 6 testimony went to other bad acts and things of the witness, 7 and they wanted to cross-examine this witness. So then -- and 8 then also a polygraph result that indicated deception. So 9 they ruled those things were not, because they did not 10 significantly alter the quantum of proof in the accused's 11 favor.

12 Your Honor, the authorities I have just given you --13 701, specifically 701(c)(1), 701(f), <u>Yunis</u>, page 624, and then 14 Graham, and the discussion I just gave you from 15 United States v. Graham appears at point cite 83 F.3d, the 16 case starts at 1466, but I direct the commission to 1473 to 17 74 -- those authorities, Your Honor, we believe are useful and 18 helpful. They certainly are as we go through the thousands of 19 pages and try to do this work, and we believe they help bring 20 into focus what we will argue tomorrow as what are the 21 controlling facts in light of the thousands and thousands of 22 pages we have provided about conditions of detention, some of 23 which counsel was honest enough to actually provide back to

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1 you today, and then -- and then hundreds of photographs that 2 you haven't seen because we have given to them, including 3 photographs of all of the sites. 4 So that's to set the stage for tomorrow. We believe 5 those are controlling law. 6 And subject to your questions. 7 MJ [COL POHL]: I have none. Thank you. 8 Ms. Pradhan. 9 DC [MS. PRADHAN]: -- just a few quick points, Your Honor. 10 First, I want to note that in AE 397, the government 11 actually did concede the relevance and materiality of AE 114 12 when they discussed their ten-category construct. General 13 Martins spent some time on Rule 701. Under Rule 701, when 14 something is produced, they are actually waiving an objection 15 to relevance. Now, whether you want to call it waiver or 16 concession, that is what they -- excuse me, they are waiving 17 their objection to relevance. Whether you want to call it a 18 waiver or concession, that is what they are doing. 19 In the M.C.R.E., Rule 510 states -- it is entitled a 20 Waiver of Privilege by Voluntary Disclosure. And it states, 21 "A person upon whom these rules confer privilege against 22 disclosure of a confidential matter or communication waives 23 the privilege if the person or the person's predecessor while

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

holder of the privilege voluntarily discloses or consents to
 disclosure of any significant part of the matter or
 communication under such circumstances that it would be
 inappropriate to allow the claim of privilege."

Now, I heard a great deal from the government about
explaining the <u>Yunis</u> case and explaining Rule 701. I did not
hear any actual challenges to the materiality of what -- of
the information that I described as needed from AE 114, Your
Honor. Quite the contrary.

10 In our presentation, we presented a large number of 11 reasons for the relevance and materiality of that particular 12 information to Mr. al Baluchi's defense. And this brought the 13 government to a point that they have made numerous times --14 and that I fail to understand why they keep making this point, 15 because it has been disproven so many times -- but that is 16 that we have the ability to speak to our clients to get the 17 information that we need about their treatment at the black 18 site.

Now, we have submitted a great volume of information,
including the declarations in AE 425E, about the impact of
torture on memory and the fragmentation of memory due to
torture. And so while we do, of course, ask Mr. al Baluchi
and I'm sure, as I am sure all counsel do speak to their

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

clients, we are then in the position of having to verify
 everything that Mr. al Baluchi tells us. That is as part of
 being defense counsel.

4 As one example, I would like to refer you back, Your 5 Honor, to the declaration of Dr. Pierre Duterte again. That's 425E, Attachment B. And he states here that, "Aside from the 6 7 effects of physical torture, I have observed the following 8 physical manifestations of post-traumatic stress disorder and 9 other traumas among my patients: digestive problems, vision 10 problems, body aches, headaches. In my opinion, physical and 11 psychological effects may occur even 20 or more years after 12 the initial trauma." Now, this is the important point: I 13 have observed that severe trauma may fragment the memory, such 14 that many patients can no longer recall correct facts or 15 conditions about a traumatic event and are unable to have 16 chronological and logical memory of the traumatic events. 17 They instead recount memories that may contain details created 18 as coping mechanisms during or after the trauma."

19 This argument carries no weight and, Your Honor, you
20 should assign no weight to it every time the government makes
21 it.

- 22 Subject to your questions.
- **23** MJ [COL POHL]: I have none. Thank you.

UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

1	DC [MS. PRADHAN]: Thank you. Anything further from any
2	other defense counsel? Apparently not.
3	General Martins, anything further?
4	CP [BG MARTINS]: No, Your Honor.
5	MJ [COL POHL]: That brings us to 510. Mr. Connell.
6	LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Your Honor, I need to take a moment
7	and create a diagram on the screen that I had expected to have
8	a slide for. Would it be appropriate to take the morning
9	break now and I will do that at the break?
10	MJ [COL POHL]: We will go ahead and take the morning
11	break now, but before we that's fine. I was going to take
12	it in about 20 minutes anyway, but we can take it now. So the
13	commission will be in recess for 15 minutes.
14	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1023, 18 October 2017.]
15	[END OF PAGE]
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	