- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0902,
- 2 15 May 2017.]
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: The commission is called to order.
- **4** General Martins, who is here for the United States today?
- **5** CP [BG MARTINS]: Good morning, Your Honor. Present for
- 6 the government, Brigadier General Mark Martins, Mr. Robert
- 7 Swann, Mr. Edward Ryan, Mr. Clayton Trivett, Ms. Nicole Tate,
- 8 Major Christopher Dykstra, Mr. Dale Cox, Mr. Rudolph Gibbs,
- 9 Sergeant Jesus Banks. And present in the back of the
- 10 courtroom with the Federal Bureau of Investigation are Patrick
- 11 O'Malley, Kim Waltz and Mary Needham.
- 12 Your Honor, I would like to put on the record that
- 13 these proceedings are being transmitted by closed-circuit
- 14 television to locations in the Continental United States
- 15 pursuant to your order.
- **16** MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- 17 Mr. Nevin.
- 18 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: David Nevin, Ms. Lebeouf and Major
- 19 Poteet. Mr. Sowards is absent pursuant to your order.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Upon your request, yes.
- 21 LDC [MR. NEVIN]: Permitting that in response to my
- 22 request. And Mr. Mohammad is present.
- MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann.

- 1 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, present are myself, Mr. Edwin
- 2 Perry, Captain Brian Brady and Major Matthew Seeger.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Harrington.
- 4 LDC [MR. HARRINGTON]: Judge, in addition to me is Alaina
- 5 Wichner and Major Jarrod Stuard, who will give his credentials
- 6 in a few minutes. And excused at our request is Major
- 7 Christopher Lanks. Right.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Connell.
- **9** LDC [MR. CONNELL]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning.
- 11 LDC [MR. CONNELL]: On behalf of Mr. al Baluchi are
- 12 myself, James Connell; Lieutenant Colonel Sterling Thomas of
- 13 the United States Air Force; Ms. Alka Pradhan. Excused at our
- 14 request is Major Jason Wareham.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ruiz.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: Judge, I am present along with
- 17 Ms. Suzanne Lachelier, Lieutenant Colonel Jennifer Williams,
- 18 Major Joseph Wilkinson, and Mr. Sean Gleason.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you. The commission will note all
- 20 the accused are also present. Major Stuard, please put your
- 21 detailing qualifications on the record.
- 22 ADC [Maj STUARD]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning.

- 1 ADC [Maj STUARD]: My name is Major Jarrod Stuard, United
- 2 States Air Force. I have been detailed by Brigadier General
- 3 John Baker, the Chief Defense Counsel, shown by my detailing
- 4 memo, Appellate Exhibit 004X. I am qualified and certified
- **5** under ----
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Major Stuard.
- 7 ADC [Maj STUARD]: Yes, sir.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: I tell this to everybody. You need to
- 9 slow down because the interpreters need to have time to
- 10 interpret, okay? Go ahead.
- 11 ADC [Maj STUARD]: I am qualified and certified under
- 12 Article 27(b) and sworn under Article 42(a) of the Uniform
- 13 Code of Military Justice. I am also qualified and certified
- 14 under Rules 502 and 503 of the Rules for Military Commissions.
- 15 I have not acted in any manner that might tend to disqualify
- **16** me in these proceedings.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you.
- **18** ADC [Maj STUARD]: Thank you, sir.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Pursuant to the normal practice on the
- 20 first day of the hearings, I am going to advise all the
- 21 accused of their rights to be present and their ability to
- 22 waive said right.
- 23 And this is directed to all of the accused. You have

- 1 the right to be present during all sessions of the commission.
- 2 If you request, if you request to absent yourself from any
- 3 session, such absence must be voluntary and of your own free
- 4 will. Your voluntary absence from any session of the
- 5 commission is an unequivocal waiver of the right to be present
- **6** during that session. Your absence from any session may
- 7 negatively affect the presentation of the defense in your
- 8 case. Your failure to meet with and cooperate with your
- 9 defense counsel may also negatively affect the presentation of
- 10 your case.
- 11 Under certain circumstances, your attendance at a
- 12 session can be compelled, regardless of your personal desire
- 13 not to be present. Regardless of your voluntary waiver to
- 14 attend a particular session of the commission, you have the
- 15 right to attend any subsequent session. If you decide not to
- 16 attend the morning session but wish to attend the afternoon
- 17 session, you must notify the guard force of your desires.
- 18 Assuming there is enough time to arrange transportation, you
- 19 will then be allowed to attend the afternoon session.
- You will be informed of the time and date of each
- 21 commission session prior to the session to afford you the
- 22 opportunity to decide whether you wish to attend the session.
- 23 Mr. Mohammad, do you understand what I just explained

- 1 to you?
- **2** ACC [MR. MOHAMMAD]: Yes.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Bin'Attash, do you understand what I
- 4 just explained to you?
- **5** ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: Yes, but I have one point I would
- 6 like to tell the judge as soon as he is done addressing the
- 7 rest of the brothers.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. You will be given that opportunity,
- 9 Mr. Bin'Attash.
- 10 Mr. Binalshibh, do you understand what I just said?
- **11** ACC [MR. BINALSHIBH]: Yes.
- 12 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Ali, do you understand what I just
- **13** said?
- **14** ACC [MR. AZIZ ALI]: Yes.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: And Mr. Hawsawi, do you understand what I
- 16 just said?
- 17 ACC [MR. AL HAWSAWI]: Yes.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Before I speak to Mr. Bin'Attash, there is
- 19 one other thing I want to alert counsel to which I am going to
- 20 discuss. The government response to 488 and 502C, footnote
- 21 two, reflects some confusion upon the process for partially
- 22 nonjoining, for want of a better term. I'm just alerting you
- 23 to that. I want to discuss that relatively early this week to

- 1 make sure that we understand where we are at and to clear up
- 2 what confusion there is, if there is confusion.
- 3 That being said, Mr. Bin'Attash, what did you want to
- **4** say?
- **5** ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: At the beginning I would like to
- 6 note about the team that I have. There is no improvement or
- 7 any advancement between the relationship between me and the
- 8 attorneys. Last month they prevented the paralegal from
- 9 visits, even though they allowed them this month. All of this
- 10 because they do not trust each other. They do not trust each
- 11 other and I don't trust them and they do not trust me. This
- 12 is all under the leadership of Cheryl Bormann.
- And the second point that is connected to the same
- 14 topic, among the things that we agreed to so the situation
- 15 would improve had to do with attorney James Hatcher. He was
- 16 with me on this case since February 2008 until a couple of
- 17 months ago. I trust him and I know him and I trust his work.
- Among the things that I agreed with with the team
- 19 last session, that he would return to the team so he would be
- 20 the connection between me and the attorneys to, you know, to
- 21 get the trust and the relationship between us, and they
- 22 approved that. However, things did not work well, especially
- 23 with General Baker and Hatcher, and he was dismissed lately

- 1 from the Office of the Defense.
- 2 The last point, it has to do with motion 380. It is
- 3 a motion under seal. I have sent a letter to the judge on
- 4 that 13 January 2015 and I want it to be taken from under
- **5** seal.
- 6 Also, motion 380FF, I don't want it to be under seal.
- 7 Even if there is an attorney-client relationship involved, I
- 8 have no problem with that.
- 9 This is all I have.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you, Mr. Bin'Attash.
- 11 That brings us to the current schedule. Just to
- 12 clear up a little housekeeping, Mr. Ruiz, we discussed 335 and
- 13 310. Those orders are in the process of being done, but they
- 14 are not completed. If you wish to be heard on them, I will
- 15 certainly let you be heard on it again if you would like.
- 16 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I guess it depends on what the order is
- 17 going to be. If you let me know, I'll let you know if I want
- 18 to be heard.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Well, when you get a judge who will
- 20 buy off on that, let me know, because I'm not.
- 21 LDC [MR. RUIZ]: I just had to try, Judge.
- 22 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. That brings us to 506A, which is a
- 23 request for continuance from Ms. Bormann.

- **1** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Good morning.
- **2** MJ [COL POHL]: Good morning.
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: As a point of clarification,
- 4 essentially it is not a motion to continue, it is a motion to
- 5 abate pending the resolution of a conflict.
- **6** On May 5 of this year, in the District Court for the
- 7 Northern District of Illinois, a lawsuit was filed by a former
- 8 mitigation specialist who worked for the defense team for
- 9 Mr. Bin'Attash. That -- I'm sorry, it was actually filed
- 10 sometime in April, but it was served on the Department of
- 11 Defense General Counsel, who accepted service.
- 12 On May 8th we filed a notice with you containing an
- 13 ex parte version of the complaint. And on Tuesday, May 9th,
- 14 Judge Gettleman, the presiding judge over the civil suit,
- 15 sealed the complaint.
- 16 I am a named defendant, as is Major Matthew Seeger
- 17 and Mr. Edwin Perry. General Baker is a named defendant.
- 18 Three of the four defense counsel now serving Mr. Bin'Attash
- 19 are named defendants in the lawsuit. I can't go into the
- 20 nature of the lawsuit because it's a sealed complaint.
- I am asking Your Honor to take an exparte
- 22 presentation because it is a sealed complaint and because it
- 23 involves matters that are sensitive and involve

- 1 attorney-client privilege and opinion privilege. I would like
- 2 to make an ex parte presentation regarding the nature of the
- 3 conflict and why it is that defense counsel, including Captain
- 4 Brian Brady, are put in a position where if the matter does
- 5 not abate, we will be asking for: one, an independent counsel
- 6 to advise Mr. Bin'Attash, because he is named as a witness in
- 7 the complaint; and two, we will be moving to withdraw.
- 8 So at this point I am asking for an exparte
- **9** presentation.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: Do you have any authority for why a
- 11 criminal trial will stop because of a civil suit?
- 12 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: My Professional Rules of
- 13 Responsibility 1.7, which pertains to all of us as we sit here
- 14 in the back row, requires us to identify conflict. And when
- 15 we have identified that conflict, do what we can to resolve
- **16** it.
- 17 An abatement, short of withdrawal, would possibly
- 18 resolve the conflict. Because if there is no factual
- 19 determination necessary in the lawsuit, it doesn't put us in a
- 20 position where we are adverse to Mr. Bin'Attash. But if it
- 21 gets past that situation, there is a different issue, and I
- 22 can't go into that in an open setting.
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I understand that. But I read your

- 1 ex parte pleading and I'm assuming that that's the conflict
- 2 you are talking about.
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: It is.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. So why do I need to have another
- **5** ex parte discussion about it?
- **6** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Because there is additional
- 7 information which has come to be known to defense counsel for
- 8 Mr. Bin'Attash that you need to be apprised of.
- 9 MJ [COL POHL]: Has any pleading been served on the
- 10 government on this issue?
- 11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes. The open pleading identifying
- 12 that there is a conflict, at this point a potential conflict,
- 13 but that being named in a lawsuit that's asking for a
- 14 significant amount of money for allegations made by
- 15 Mr. Semmerling has, at the very least, put a bit of a chill on
- 16 defense counsel sitting in the back row.
- 17 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann, I'll give you your ex parte
- 18 presentation, but let me kind of say where I am seeing this
- 19 now. What you are saying, what you are telling me is that if
- 20 a former member of the defense team sues current members of
- 21 the defense team somewhat related to the representation, that
- 22 the criminal trial stops until the civil proceeding is
- 23 completed; is that what you are really asking me?

- 1 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No, Judge, that is not, in fact, what
- 2 I am asking you.
- **3** MJ [COL POHL]: What are you asking me?
- 4 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I am asking you to do one of two
- 5 things today -- ultimately, actually three things. One would
- **6** be to abate, pending resolution of ----
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: When is the resolution going to be?
- **8** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well, if the matter is dismissed under
- 9 Rule 12(b), then there is no factual averment necessary and a
- 10 conflict can be avoided.
- **11** MJ [COL POHL]: And what if it's not?
- 12 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Then we have to address the issue.
- 13 Then it becomes an actual conflict, and I can't go into the
- 14 basis for that right now.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: I am talking procedurally right now.
- 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: And if that occurs, if that occurs,
- 17 then I will be moving to withdraw, along with the other three
- 18 members of the defense team sitting in the back row, and the
- 19 hearings that you will have held in the meantime on
- 20 Mr. Bin'Attash's case will have been affected by what I am
- 21 advising you of today, which is the potential for conflict,
- 22 which is what I am required to do under Rule 1.7 of the
- 23 Illinois Rules for Professional Conduct. So I have identified

- **1** a conflict ----
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: But what I am saying is is that, in
- 3 essence, that we stop everything because a former member has
- 4 filed a civil suit, and then basically we wait until that
- 5 civil suit is resolved before we come back. Is that what you
- 6 are asking me?
- 7 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I'm asking you to take -- to make a
- 8 ruling one way or the other. I'm asking you to recognize that
- 9 there is a potential conflict that we are burdened by.
- **10** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay --
- 11 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: We have identified it under our
- 12 ethical rules to you.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: I have got your pleading, but now you are
- 14 telling me there is something more.
- 15 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: There is, and that the situation
- 16 merits an abatement until the potential conflict is resolved
- 17 one way or the other. If it does not become an actual
- 18 conflict, then there is a possibility that there will be no
- 19 effect. If it becomes an actual conflict, then we are
- 20 required, under ethical rules, to move to withdraw because
- 21 there is a problem.
- So, you know, you recognized this very issue in
- 23 AE 292. In that case Mr. Harrington was burdened by a

- 1 potential conflict. It didn't become an actual conflict as
- 2 you ruled eventually because Mr. Harrington's conduct turned
- 3 out not to warrant further investigation and charges.
- 4 However, while that potential conflict was pending, you abated
- 5 proceedings because you understood that Mr. Harrington was in
- 6 a situation that could not -- where he could not proceed and
- 7 advise his client properly on the nature of the conflict
- 8 because he himself was -- had a personal interest in it.
- 9 And what I am saying to you is four of us -- Major
- 10 Matthew Seeger, myself, Mr. Edwin Perry, and a witness to it,
- 11 Captain Brian Brady -- all have a personal interest in the
- 12 outcome of this litigation. That is the definition of a
- 13 conflict. So I'm asking, one, to be able to give you more
- 14 information if you are disinclined to abate Mr. Bin'Attash's
- 15 case without it.
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. All I am saying is you filed a
- 17 pleading and you say you have additional information you want
- 18 me to consider?
- 19 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Why don't you just supplement your
- **21** pleading?
- 22 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Because we just discovered this
- 23 subsequent information yesterday, last night, at 5:00 -- 4:15,

- 1 sorry, after client meetings.
- 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you.
- 4 MJ [COL POHL]: Trial Counsel wish to be heard on this? I
- 5 am assuming this is solely a Ms. Bormann issue, so ----
- **6** TC [MR. RYAN]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 7 MJ [COL POHL]: No, what I am saying is it's only your
- 8 team as opposed to the other teams.
- **9** Good morning, Mr. Ryan.
- 10 TC [MR. RYAN]: Your Honor, I have significant argument to
- 11 make on the whole issue. If Your Honor is only considering
- 12 now whether to take ex parte presentation from defense
- 13 counsel, maybe it is best for me to wait. I do note that I do
- 14 object to the ex parte presentation.
- 15 MJ [COL POHL]: Since you are not going to see the
- 16 ex parte presentation, if there is any, or ex parte pleading,
- 17 which is probably what it's going to be, we can do it now or
- 18 we can do it later, because here is what I am going to do? I
- 19 am not going to have an ex parte hearing. If the defense
- 20 wishes to supplement their pleadings with additional facts, we
- 21 will do it as a normal ex parte supplement and then we are
- **22** going to address the issue at that time.
- TC [MR. RYAN]: In that case, sir, I would like to make my

1 argument now. 2 MJ [COL POHL]: Go ahead. 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: The short answer, Judge, is counsel is 4 There is no legally cognizable conflict of interest 5 under the law at this time burdening counsel that would 6 justify the stopping of these proceedings through an 7 abatement. Before I get into the law, I wish to make a few 8 points. First off, a civil complaint has been in existence 9 now, according to counsel's own pleadings, since 21 April. 10 Nineteen days later the motion that's before the 11 commission in 506 was filed. That was the first time that the 12 prosecution learned of its existence. We know from the 13 pleading ---- or we are told from the pleading that Your Honor 14 has been provided a copy of the civil complaint filed in 15 Illinois. By the time that we had heard about it, its 16 existence, the complaint in Illinois had, in effect, been 17 sealed by the U.S. district judge. 18 The complaint is the basis of their entire motion 19 seeking significant relief from this commission. We request 20 that the commission order defense to provide us with at least 21 a redacted version so that we can be better apprised of the 22 facts or, in the alternative, Your Honor, that the judiciary, 23 through Your Honor, provide us with a properly, as you see it,

- 1 redacted complaint so that we may be better apprised of the
- 2 facts.
- 3 The second point, sir, as part of their argument, the
- 4 defense states that an actual conflict could arise not just as
- 5 to counsel for Bin'Attash, but as to all of the counsel in
- 6 this case because of what they call "a limited joint defense
- 7 agreement."
- 8 Now, when this was raised before by the prosecution,
- 9 the commission and the prosecution were told that it was none
- 10 of your, or our, business, and that was even if it exists.
- 11 You heard that phrase many times. Now, not only are they
- 12 willing to say it exists, but they are telling you that it is
- 13 so binding on all other counsel who represent other
- 14 defendants, that it must -- it is so binding on all of them
- 15 that it will create a conflict for every lawyer in this
- 16 courtroom.
- 17 In light of this rather sobering revelation, we
- 18 request that you be provided with this limited joint defense
- 19 agreement so that you may rule on whether it is acceptable
- 20 under the law, under rules of ethics, and under your oversight
- 21 responsibility for this case in this courtroom.
- But now as to the conflict itself, Your Honor, you
- 23 should rule as a matter of law, without further evidence or

1 testimony or information, that none exists. 2 Now, here is what we know, sir. There was a 3 gentleman known as Semmerling. I believe he is referred to at 4 times as Dr. Semmerling, who was an employee of the Bin'Attash 5 This I think goes back about two years ago now since he team. 6 left that team, Judge. He apparently feels now that he has 7 suffered tortious conduct and even civil rights violations as 8 a result of actions by the Bin'Attash defense team. It's my 9 understanding that Dr. -- or Mr. Semmerling was the mitigation 10 expert on the team. I know from information and belief that 11 he has had experience in that area and that he was the 12 mitigation expert in the Dr. Hasan Fort Hood shooting case. 13 So Mr. Semmerling filed a civil suit, and here is the 14 important point: Based on the papers, even the ones we 15 haven't seen, it seems quite clear that all of these matters 16 occurred within the Khallad Bin'Attash defense team or within 17 the military defense team's organization. There is no 18 allegation of prosecutorial involvement or any investigative 19 agency acting on behalf of the prosecution team or even 20 independently. 21 The law states that a conflict of interest of the 22 type being raised here requires the defense counsel be under 23 the scrutiny at least of the United States government in the

- 1 form of either a criminal prosecution or at least a criminal
- 2 investigation. The theory and understanding that goes back
- 3 many decades in this is that, at that point, the counsel is
- 4 operating under a conflict because he or she will, the famous
- 5 phrase is, pull his punches, pull his or her punches in the
- 6 advocacy of his client to try and curry favor with the
- 7 government; and/or because they are under investigation, lose
- 8 such objectivity out of their intense anger towards the
- 9 government that they won't be acting on behalf of their client
- 10 in a reasoned fashion, but rather will be acting out of
- 11 personal animosity.
- Here we don't have that. We have a civil party, a
- 13 private citizen, who apparently feels very wronged and is
- 14 suing this defense team. In that, all by itself, there is no
- 15 such incentive to either pull punches or to try and curry
- 16 favor.
- 17 Also, the result of the civil suit, whatever it might
- 18 be, and whether it comes in a short period of time or a long
- 19 period of time has no bearing on Mr. Bin'Attash. He has got
- 20 no dog in that fight. Fighting Mr. Semmerling tooth and nail
- 21 will have no impact on him. If Semmerling loses, if he is
- 22 beaten senseless in a court of law, Bin'Attash loses nothing.
- 23 If Semmerling prevails, if he wins a king's fortune,

- **1** Bin'Attash gains nothing.
- 2 Now, the defense tries to fit a square peg in a round
- 3 hole by saying that Bin'Attash could, and often the word could
- 4 is used, take a factual stance contrary to his defense team
- 5 that could, again, give rise to their liability in a civil
- 6 sense or even ethics issues as well. Now, once again, it's
- 7 quite speculative in a sense. And it's said, and we have not
- 8 heard this before, that Bin'Attash is a possible witness in
- 9 this case. Leaving aside all of the issues of how they are
- 10 going to make a witness out of a detainee at Guantanamo, that
- 11 still doesn't get them there.
- 12 In 350I filed March 23, 2015, we quoted the case of
- 13 United States v. Bruce. A violation of an ethical obligation
- 14 does not in and of itself give rise to a conflict of interest
- 15 unless it involves the attorney putting his own interests in
- 16 conflict with his client's. It is the competition between
- 17 these interests, rather than some independent failure of the
- 18 attorney, that gives rise to the conflict. Thus, not every
- 19 shortcoming of counsel can be classified as a conflict of
- 20 interest.
- Now, of course, it goes without saying that we are
- 22 not making any comment on whether there was a shortcoming at
- 23 all by counsel or the counsel's team or General Baker. We

- 1 have no idea. But I simply say that even if it is taken to
- 2 its logical extreme, it still doesn't involve this commission,
- 3 the ongoing criminal case, because it doesn't involve a
- 4 legally cognizable conflict of interest.
- Now, certainly, Judge, we do recognize that it would
- 6 be a difficult situation where there is this sort of palace
- 7 intrigue of what was going on in a defense team where one
- 8 person feels so wronged that they are suing the others. And
- 9 as to what the military commission should do in this
- 10 situation, we do have some thoughts on them.
- In the event that counsel's speculation comes to pass
- 12 and they are in a position of being on opposite sides of a
- 13 situation, that alone is simply still a personal dispute. And
- 14 honestly, Judge, in this particular case, in this particular
- 15 defense team, it's no different from where we have been for
- 16 the past two years. Maybe the original breakdown in
- 17 communication involves Semmerling. We don't know. But they
- 18 have been greatly at odds and you heard it again this morning.
- 19 Whatever comes of the civil suit, whether it's a
- 20 little dispute that involves Bin'Attash or a big one, or he is
- 21 not involved even in the least, this commission, we submit,
- 22 should simply add this, the facts regarding this, the issues
- 23 concerned, into the good cause analysis that the commission

- 1 has already engaged in in regard to 380. So we should take
- 2 this out of this box of being a conflict of interest in the
- 3 law and make it down into the sort of internal dispute of a
- 4 client against a defense attorney and whether that rises to
- 5 the point that the relationship should be severed.
- 6 This is not a new effect, this is not a new event in
- 7 the history of criminal law jurisprudence. If we were to ask
- 8 defense counsel in this case how many of them have ever
- 9 represented a client where there was a bad personal
- 10 relationship between them, I imagine we would see a lot of
- 11 hands get raised in this case, and this one makes it no
- 12 different. We will see if they settle their differences.
- 13 Maybe they will. Mr. Bin'Attash has indicated in the past
- 14 that he thought there was some efforts going to that analysis.
- 15 But as to where we are now, Judge, Your Honor should
- 16 reject the idea of conflict of interest, it doesn't belong in
- 17 that box, and move it back into this 380 analysis that we have
- 18 been through.
- 19 One last point, Judge, I want to make at times, and
- 20 it might have been referred to in the pleading, there is this
- 21 idea that when there is even a slight cause for a potential
- 22 conflict, that the commission or a judge will at times engage
- 23 in a colloguy with an accused. We are submitting that it

- 1 should not be done in this situation, Judge, based completely
- 2 for the most part on what I said on the law, that this doesn't
- 3 amount to a legal conflict of interest.
- **4** But also, number two, because of the history and
- 5 because of the accused's statements in the past, engaging in a
- 6 colloquy with him as to whether he would waive any potential
- 7 conflict -- even if one did exist, which it doesn't -- only
- 8 gives him the ability to do what he was unable to do in 380,
- **9** which is to fire his counsel.
- We continue to say, Your Honor, that there was never
- 11 good cause shown, nor is there today, but we do recognize that
- 12 Your Honor has a continuing duty to monitor that situation.
- 13 That's all I have, sir.
- 14 MJ [COL POHL]: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
- **15** Ms. Bormann?
- 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Just briefly, Judge, on what Mr. Ryan
- 17 said about all defendants' counsel. That's incorrect. Some
- 18 of the codefendants' counsel may be witnesses in the matter,
- 19 and whether or not and to what extent an actual conflict
- 20 involves them is yet to be determined. I have no idea. I
- 21 wanted to raise it for the court because it is certainly a
- 22 possibility. But the potential conflict that the four of us
- 23 are under is crystallized pretty clearly.

1 Rule 1.7 states that except -- and this is Illinois, 2 and it's adopted in all of the states where all of 3 Mr. Bin'Attash's counsel are licensed in some form or another 4 with different rule numbers. It says, except as provided in 5 paragraph B, a lawyer shall not represent a client if the 6 representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A 7 concurrent conflict of interest exists if, and then I am going 8 to go to subsection (2), there is a significant risk that the 9 representation of one or more clients will be materially 10 limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, 11 not applicable here, a former client or a third person, not 12 applicable here. Here is the one that is: Or by a personal 13 interest of the lawyer. 14 I am being sued, as is Major Matthew Seeger, as is 15 Mr. Perry, and Captain Brian Brady is a witness in the 16 proceedings. Those -- that is the nature of the conflict. I 17 can't get around it ----18 MJ [COL POHL]: How does that ----19 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- I have -- I am honest when I tell 20 you it bothered me when I woke up this morning. It bothers 21 each and every one of us. 22 MJ [COL POHL]: I understand from a personal perspective 23 why it bothers you, I got it; no one likes to be sued. I got

- 1 it. Let me ask you this. How does this lawsuit chill your
- 2 representation of Mr. Bin'Attash?
- 3 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I cannot tell you why that is until we
- 4 have an exparte presentation ----
- 5 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. Well ----
- **6** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: ---- because that pertains to some
- 7 privileged information.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. What I am going to do right now,
- 9 Ms. Bormann, is defer a decision on your abatement request. I
- 10 am going to grant your request to supplement the record. I am
- 11 not doing an ex parte presentation, but you may file a
- 12 supplemental pleading, and after I get that I will consider
- 13 the next way ahead.
- 14 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge, if you are going to be forcing
- 15 us to proceed today and not allow us to do an ex parte
- 16 presentation, you have put me in a position where I have to
- **17** withdraw.
- 18 MJ [COL POHL]: Ms. Bormann, I looked at your pleading.
- **19** You filed a pleading.
- 20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: I see no conflict of interest in the
- 22 pleading you provided and I see a speculative, potential
- 23 conflict of interest. That's what you have given me to this

1 point. 2 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes. 3 MJ [COL POHL]: And now you want me to stop everything 4 because you want to give me more because what you have given 5 me so far is inadequate and if you are not you are going to 6 quit. 7 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I don't believe it's inadequate, 8 Judge. What I am doing is asking you to give us 15 minutes or 9 a half an hour of time so that we can provide you the 10 information in an ex parte setting that would provide ----11 MJ [COL POHL]: And who is going to be here at this 12 ex parte sitting now? 13 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Mr. Bin'Attash and his defense 14 counsel. 15 MJ [COL POHL]: And everybody else leaves and you get 15 16 minutes? 17 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: That's what I am asking for. 18 MJ [COL POHL]: And what are you going to give me as 19 ex parte presentation? You are going to give me another fact? 20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes, we are going to give you a series 21 of facts that we were apprised of late yesterday afternoon.

#### UNOFFICIAL/UNAUTHENTICATED TRANSCRIPT

MJ [COL POHL]: And how were you apprised of these?

LDC [MS. BORMANN]: With a -- I can't say in an open

22

23

- 1 setting because it involves privileged information. This is
- 2 the difficulty that we have. So I can put it in writing, but
- 3 before we proceed, then I would ask that we take a break so I
- 4 can put it in writing and give it to Your Honor or we can do
- 5 it in an exparte presentation.
- **6** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. When will this end, Ms. Bormann?
- 7 By that I mean, you are saying I got this pleading, it was
- 8 filed in April, I got it last week. I filed the pleading.
- **9** Now I get a new fact on Sunday afternoon or Sunday night.
- 10 Stop everything. Let me talk about this new fact. This is
- 11 ongoing civil litigation. Am I going to hear this over and
- 12 over again? Stop again, Your Honor, I got a new fact from
- 13 discovery; I got a new fact here; somebody is claiming
- **14** something over here.
- 15 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Judge ----
- 16 MJ [COL POHL]: How does this end? A civil trial is
- 17 dictating a criminal trial is what you are asking me to
- **18** do ----
- 19 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Of course not.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: ---- it sounds like it.
- 21 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: What I am asking you to do is to take
- 22 testimony on a conflict that exists between defense counsel
- 23 and Mr. Bin'Attash.

- **1** MJ [COL POHL]: You ask me to take a proffer, I assume.
- 2 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Yes.
- 3 MJ [COL POHL]: You used the word evidence and ----
- 4 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right. I mean we could, I guess, call
- 5 a witness, but I think the proffer would probably do the
- 6 trick. Judge, I mean, as you might imagine, this is not a
- 7 position that any of us wanted to be in.
- 8 MJ [COL POHL]: No, I understand that. I just ----
- 9 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: And what I am attempting to do ----
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: My problem, Ms. Bormann, I will be right
- 11 up front with you. I read your pleading and, quite frankly, I
- 12 see it having no merit, what you have already given me, that
- 13 no merit that a civil lawsuit somehow that's just been filed
- 14 would stop a criminal trial from proceeding at all, unless
- 15 there is an actual conflict in there, and there isn't.
- 16 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: It wouldn't stop the entire trial,
- 17 Judge. It only pertains to Mr. Bin'Attash. There -- the
- 18 other four cases could go forward.
- 19 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay, let's put that aside for now. As I
- 20 said, you want me to abate Mr. Bin'Attash's trial until
- 21 sometime in the future when there is some type of resolution,
- 22 currently undefined, in this civil proceeding?
- 23 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Here is the situation we are under.

- 1 We are burdened by a conflict of interest that has not
- 2 actualized quite yet, although the burden is this ----
- 3 TC [MR. RYAN]: Counsel, Judge, I was told that
- 4 Mr. Bin'Attash is apparently telling the guards that he can't
- **5** hear. He is apparently having problems with sound.
- **6** ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: I have no problem.
- 7 TC [MR. RYAN]: My apologies.
- **8** MJ [COL POHL]: Okay.
- **9** LDC [MS. BORMANN]: And I forgot where I was.
- 10 MJ [COL POHL]: I remember where I was. My question was,
- 11 is that you want the civil proceeding ----
- 12 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No.
- 13 MJ [COL POHL]: ---- to dictate the schedule of the
- **14** criminal trial for your client?
- 15 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: No. No. I want to represent my
- 16 client the best way I know how. And right now I'm not at my
- 17 best because I have a personal interest in the outcome of a
- 18 lawsuit that may put me at odds with Mr. Bin'Attash, and that
- 19 causes problems.
- 20 MJ [COL POHL]: Okay. And in your current pleading, I
- **21** fail to see how it puts you at odds with Mr. Bin'Attash.
- 22 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Well ----
- 23 MJ [COL POHL]: You filed it. You asked me to consider

- 1 it.
- 2 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: I did, but I can't talk about how that
- 3 is in the argument, which it is contained in the ex parte
- 4 version.
- **5** MJ [COL POHL]: That's what I am talking about.
- 6 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Right. I can't do that in open court.
- 7 But I can tell you, I can crystallize it for you in an
- 8 ex parte setting.
- **9** ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: If I may add one sentence to this
- 10 issue.
- 11 MJ [COL POHL]: Mr. Bin'Attash, you are represented by
- 12 counsel. I let you talk when we were talking about that issue
- 13 and that issue only. But you are not a lawyer in this case
- 14 and you are not going to sit -- you are not or any of the
- 15 accused are going to be permitted to present additional
- 16 argument on what counsel is arguing. So your request to add
- 17 one point is denied.
- 18 ACC [MR. BIN'ATTASH]: But I am worried about the issue of
- 19 conflict of interest.
- 20 LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Exactly.
- 21 MJ [COL POHL]: Your request to add anything is denied.
- 22 Ms. Bormann, I will take you at your word that it is
- 23 only 15 minutes and that's what you will get.

1	LDC [MS. BORMANN]: Thank you.
2	MJ [COL POHL]: The commission is in recess. Everybody is
3	to be excluded except for the Bin'Attash team and
4	Mr. Bin'Attash. The outside feed is to be turned off and we
5	will have an ex parte session with Ms. Bormann. Let me know
6	when that's accomplished. The commission is in recess.
7	[The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 0942, 15 May 2017.]
8	[END OF PAGE]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	